**DMIT2032 Lab 1 HTML CSS Review**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Tasks | Grade | Marks |
| CSS base files linked to document for example: normalize, reset reboot.css |  | **1** |
| **Navigation**  Elements mirror layout of the provided Photoshop file for both large and small viewport.  Mark-up is appropriate correct element usage and the rules and best practices of HTML5 have been observed.  CSS classes are semantically named for the contents.  CSS is efficient and not repetitive. | **3**  **3**  **1**  **1** | **8** |
| **Page Header**  Elements mirror layout of the provided Photoshop file for both large and small viewport.  Mark-up is appropriate correct element usage and the rules and best practices of HTML5 have been observed.  CSS classes are semantically named for the contents.  CSS is efficient and not repetitive. | **3**  **3**  **1**  **1** | **8** |
| **Content Rows** 5 marks per row  Elements mirror layout of the provided Photoshop file for both large and small viewport.  Mark-up is appropriate correct element usage and the rules and best practices of HTML5 have been observed.  CSS classes are semantically named for the contents.  CSS is efficient and not repetitive. | **3**  **1**  **1**  **1** | **30** |
| **Bonus Row Live Reload**  Elements mirror layout of the provided Photoshop file for both large and small viewport.  Mark-up is appropriate correct element usage and the rules and best practices of HTML5 have been observed.  CSS classes are semantically named for the contents.  CSS is efficient and not repetitive.  CSS animations used  CSS positioning used to draw bar.  The web browser window is drawn using CSS. | **3**  **1**  **1**  **1**  **5**  **5** | **16** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Comments | Score | GRADE |
|  | **63** |  |

Marking Rubric

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Marks | 5 Marks Criteria |
| **5** | **Task was completed with the highest of proficiency adhering to best practices and followed subject matter guidelines all tasks were completed to a professional standard.** |
| **4** | **Task was completed well some minor mistakes. Well above average work shows good understanding of the task and high degree of competence** |
| **3** | **Satisfactory work some features missing or incorrectly implemented. Show a moderate level of understanding in the task with room for improvement.** |
| **2** | **Below average work. Task was poorly complete. Show understanding of the task and the requirements to implement but implementation was poorly executed.** |
| **1** | **Some of the task was completed. Showed a lack of understanding in the subject matter and very poorly executed** |
| **0** | **Not completed.** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Marks | 3 Marks Criteria |
| **3** | **Proficient shows a high degree of competence in completing task.** |
| **2** | **Capable above average degree of competence in completing task** |
| **1** | **Satisfactory shows a satisfactory degree of competence in completing task.** |
| **0** | **Shows a limited degree of competence in completing task.** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Marks | 1 Marks Criteria |
| **1** | **Task Completed satisfactorily** |
| **0** | **Task was not** |