


TOP SECRET

25X1




6 February 1957

25X1



Copy No. 131


# CURRENT INTELLIGENCE BULLETIN

DOCUMENT NO. 44  
NO CHANGE IN CLASS. X  
[ ] DECLASSIFIED  
CLASS. CHANGED TO: TS S C  
NEXT REVIEW DATE: 2005  
AUTH: HR 70-2  
DATE 12-27-79 REVIEWER: 

25X1

OFFICE OF CURRENT INTELLIGENCE  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

25X1



State Dept. review completed

TOP SECRET

25X1


Approved For Release 2002/10/21 : CIA-RDP79T00975A002900440001-1

Approved For Release 2002/10/21 : CIA-RDP79T00975A002900440001-1

25X1A

## CONTENTS

*C/D*  
**1. USSR SLOWS RATE OF ECONOMIC GROWTH FOR 1957**



25X1A

*C/D*  
**4. SINO-CEYLONESE COMMUNIQUE**



*C/D*  
**5. U NU'S POLITICAL OPPONENTS FEAR HE WILL MAKE  
PEACE WITH COMMUNIST INSURGENTS**  
(page 8).



25X1A

*C/D*  
**6. MOSCOW STEPS UP ATTACK ON NATIONAL COMMUNISM**



25X1A

25X1A

# 1. USSR SLOWS RATE OF ECONOMIC GROWTH FOR 1957


25X1A



At the opening session of the Supreme Soviet on 5 February the USSR revealed a more realistic "new look" in its planning aimed at salvaging Sixth Five-Year Plan goals. Planned growth of industrial production in 1957 has been cut back from the 10.7 percent for 1956 to 7.1 percent in order to concentrate resources in lagging raw materials industries. The 1957 budget allocations to industry and agriculture are slightly higher than last year.

Industry: Incomplete data from the speeches by the new chief of planning, M. G. Pervukhin, and Finance Minister A. G. Zverev give no indication that the USSR has abandoned the Sixth Five-Year Plan goals, but prospects for success are by far the worst that have faced the Soviet Union since World War II. By radically rephrasing the investment program Soviet leaders may still hope to reach the 1960 goals for iron, steel, coal, cement and electric power, the key industries in which construction of new capacity is far behind schedule. The machine building industries will concentrate on producing the capital equipment required by these basic industries. State investment expenditures on machinery and equipment will increase by 20 percent, from 55 to 60 billion rubles. Production of agricultural machinery, however, still has high priority. Growth rates in heavy and light industry were cut back about equally.

Consumer Welfare: The budget provides for a substantial reduction in the state loan and higher personal income tax exemptions, and more than half of the increase in total budget expenditures is allocated to health and welfare measures. Although investment in housing is increased from 25 to 30 billion rubles and loans to private builders will increase six times, no change in the original housing goals for 1960 is apparent. The planned 9.5 percent increase in agricultural production in 1957 assumes that the extremely favorable weather conditions of 1956 will recur. Thus prospects for the Soviet consumer in 1957 appear essentially unchanged.



25X1A

Military Allocations: The announced military allocation decreased from 102.5 billion rubles planned for 1956 to 97.6 billion in 1957. Although information is incomplete, this allocation is not inconsistent with the announced Soviet demobilization of 1.2 million men. If this demobilization has in fact been completed, the 1957 allocation could allow an increase in military procurement of approximately 10 percent. On the other hand, if demobilization was limited to the 400,000 soldiers who apparently joined the labor force in 1956, 1957 procurement may be held at about 1956 levels.

Prospects: Soviet economic problems appear to be more serious than had previously been thought. Pervukhin's more realistic approach may in the long run bring industrial growth back to the rate of previous years. In the short run, however, the planned rate of growth will be the smallest for any peacetime year since 1928. The Soviet leadership will have to accept at least a temporary delay in the race to "catch up with the West!"

25X1A

25X6

Approved For Release 2002/10/21 : CIA-RDP79T00975A002900440001-1

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Approved For Release 2002/10/21 : CIA-RDP79T00975A002900440001-1

#### 4. SINO-CEYLONESE COMMUNIQUE

25X1A



The joint communiqué issued on 5 February in Colombo by Premiers Bandaranaike and Chou is a propaganda victory for Chou, and will help to offset the fact that he obtained no joint communiqué from Nehru and only noncommittal statements from the other Asian leaders he has visited since his return from Moscow. The statement reflects the Communist view on several key issues and criticizes American policies.

On the question of Middle East developments the communiqué echoes Communist propaganda ridiculing the concept of a power vacuum and charging the US with trying to take over the position formerly occupied by Britain and France. The statement also attacks "antagonistic military blocs," stressing the need for prohibition of nuclear weapons and calling for an end to nuclear weapons tests.

The communiqué calls for stronger Asian-African solidarity to combat the efforts of "imperialist and colonial forces" and declares that another Asian-African conference should be convened "at the earliest opportune moment." Peiping and Moscow both had earlier indicated approval of Indonesian suggestions for a second Bandung conference.

Bandaranaike probably acquiesced in Chou's wording on several points on which the Ceylonese prime minister himself does not feel strongly and which he does not intend to press. A number of views expressed in the communiqué are also held by other South Asian nations.

25X1A



25X1A

5. U NU'S POLITICAL OPPONENTS FEAR HE WILL MAKE  
PEACE WITH COMMUNIST INSURGENTS



As an incoming prime minister, Nu may be planning to offer a program with a "new approach" to the solution of Burma's most serious problems. Some kind of negotiations with the insurgents and an effort to establish a coalition with the NUF might well be part of such a plan, as there is considerable sentiment in Burma for the nine years of insurgency to end.

The Communist insurgents have made several unsuccessful approaches to the government during the past year for a negotiated settlement in order to operate as a legal political party. The government, however, has consistently refused to deal with the Communists as equals and has insisted that the insurgents surrender with their arms before negotiations take place.

25X1A



25X1A

## 6. MOSCOW STEPS UP ATTACK ON NATIONAL COMMUNISM

25X1A

An article in the 3 February issue of Soviet Russia, entitled "Concerning False Slogans of National Communism," contains specific attacks on members of the Yugoslav, Polish and United States parties.

Such attacks, if continued, may cause the Gomulka leadership in Poland to take an even more definitive stand in defense of national Communism and lead to a more overt Soviet campaign against that leadership. At the same time, this type of attack will encourage pro-Soviet elements in Poland to believe that their cause is not yet lost.

The article cites US official and press statements as depicting national Communism as a tactical weapon which can be used to "divide and conquer" and equates national Communism with "national opportunism." It attacks Yugoslavia for attempting to substitute coexistence for proletarian internationalism in relations between socialist states. It criticizes statements "in Yugoslavia, and also in some organs of the Polish press, . . . in which national peculiarities are emphasized one-sidedly and their 'particular roads' are advanced as the first plan for the achievement of socialism."

The continued attack on the Yugoslavs tends to belie the rumors circulating in Belgrade that Khrushchev offered Tito some form of "olive branch" when he talked with the Yugoslav ambassador to Moscow on 28 January shortly before the latter returned to Belgrade.

25X1A