

System Administration HW5

B04705003 資工三 林子雋

1 Reference

1. [Rolling Release Vs. Fixed Release Distros —Which Linux Distributions Are Better?](#)
2.
 - (a) [What is the REAL \(life\) benefit of a rolling release distro?](#)
 - (b) [Linux distributions: Rolling releases vs point releases, which should you choose?](#)
 - (c) [Rolling release vs. fixed release Linux](#)
 - (d) [Rolling release vs. fixed release Linux](#)
3. <https://wiki.archlinux.org/index.php/pacman>
4.
 - (a) <https://www.archlinux.org/pacman/pacman.8.html>
 - (b) <https://wiki.archlinux.org/index.php/pacman>
 - (c) https://wiki.archlinux.org/index.php/System_maintenance#Partial_upgrades_are_unsupported
5. <https://wiki.archlinux.org/index.php/pacman>
6. <https://bbs.archlinux.org/viewtopic.php?id=97550>
7. <https://bbs.archlinux.org/viewtopic.php?id=111210>
8. https://wiki.archlinux.org/index.php/Pacman/Tips_and_tricks#Removing_unused_packages_.28orphans.29
9.
 - (a) <https://delightfullylinux.wordpress.com/2015/07/01/is-gpg-hanging-when-generating->
 - (b) <https://hashrocket.com/blog/posts/encryption-with-gpg-a-story-really-a-tutorial>
10.
 - (a) https://wiki.archlinux.org/index.php/GnuPG#Encrypt_and_decrypt
 - (b) https://wiki.archlinux.org/index.php/DeveloperWiki:Package_signing

2 Problem

1. (a) Rolling release: The updates are pushed as soon as possible.
(b) Fixed release: The updates are tested thoroughly and pushed at once.
2. **Pros:**
 - (a) Painless upgrade process: Don't need to reinstall whole image every 6-12 months.
 - (b) Can always get the latest development, hardware support and new features.

Cons:

- (a) Unstability: Bugs may appear in new updates.
- (b) Disturbance from update installation: You have to update things all the time.

Which is more suitable?: I think rolling-release is more suitable because small updates once for a while can be more painless compared to fixed-release updates for this big Linux workstation. Also, bugs can usually be fixed more quickly for rolling-release.

3. "-S" flag would synchronize the repository database, "-y" would download a fresh copy of the master package database from the server defined in "pacman.conf" and "-u" would upgrade all packages that are out-of-date.

Why the latter is not recommended?: If you use "pacman -Sy", it would install package **without** upgrading. Therefore, it might lead to dependency issues.

4. (a) Search in installed packages:

```
pacman -Qs '^vim$'
```

- (b) Search in package databases:

```
pacman -Ss '^vim$'
```

5. List dependencies of 'firefox':

```
pacman -Qi '^firefox$' | grep 'Depends On'
```

6. Find which package a file belongs to:

```
pacman -Qo /etc/resolv.conf
```

7. Remove a package and its dependencies which are not required by any other installed package:

```
pacman -Rs emacs
```

8. Orphan: packages were installed as dependencies but are no longer required by any installed package.

```
pacman -Qdt
```

9. Generate a gpg key:

```
gpg --gen-key
```

If it takes too long times, you can install rng-tools first.

```
pacman -S rng-tools  
sudo rngd -r /dev/urandom
```

After gpg key generation, you can sign package:

```
makepkg --sign
```

And you can verify like this:

```
gpg --verify SIGNATURE_FILE SIGNED_FILE
```