Final Exam

CS131: Programming Languages

Tuesday, March 18, 2014

1.	5.	9.
2.	6.	10.
3.	7.	
4.	8.	

Name:			
ID·			

Rules of the game:

- Write your name and ID number above.
- The exam is closed-book and closed-notes.
- Please write your answers directly on the exam. Do not turn in anything else.
- The exam ends promptly at 11am.
- Read questions carefully. Understand a question before you start writing. Note: Some multiple-choice questions ask for a single answer, while others ask for all appropriate answers.
- Relax!

1. (5 points each) This question explores how an OCaml datatype can be used to represent the nonnegative integers (sometimes called the *natural numbers*). Consider the following datatype:

type nat = Z | S of nat

In this representation of nonnegative integers Z represents the number 0 (the constructor Z stands for "zero") and S n represents the integer that is one bigger than the number represented by n (the constructor S stands for "successor"). For example, the number 3 would be represented by the value S(S(S, Z)).

(a) Implement a function plus of type nat -> nat which takes two integers in the above representation and returns a nat value representing their sum.

(b) Implement a function leq of type nat -> nat -> bool which takes two integers m and n in the above representation and returns a boolean value indicating whether m is less than or equal to n.

2. (2 points each) Consider the following Java interfaces and classes. You may assume that each class has a zero-argument constructor.

```
interface Greeter {
  void greet();
}
class Person implements Greeter {
  public void greet() { this.hello(new Integer(3)); }
  public void hello(Object o) { System.out.println("hello object"); }
}
class CSPerson extends Person {
  public void hello(Object o) { System.out.println("hello world!"); }
}
class FrenchPerson extends Person {
  public void hello(Object o) { System.out.println("bonjour object"); }
  public void hello(Object o) { System.out.println("bonjour " + s); }
}
```

For each code snippet below (which is independent of all other snippets), either indicate that the snippet causes a compile-time error, causes a run-time error, or show what Java would print as a result of evaluating that snippet.

```
(a) Person p = new CSPerson();
   p.greet();
(b) CSPerson p = new CSPerson();
   p.greet();
(c) Greeter g = new FrenchPerson();
   g.hello("joe");
(d) Person p = new FrenchPerson();
```

p.hello("joe");

3. (2 points each) Consider a subset of the types from the previous problem:

```
class Person {
  public void greet() { this.hello(new Integer(3)); }
  public void hello(Object o) { System.out.println("hello object"); }
}
class CSPerson extends Person {
  public void hello(Object o) { System.out.println("hello world!"); }
}
And consider this snippet of code using those types:
CSPerson p = new CSPerson();
p.greet();
```

Circle the best answer for each question below.

- (a) Suppose Java lacked support for inheritance, but everything else about the language was unchanged. So for example, extends declares a subtyping relationship between two classes but not an inheritance relationship. What would be the effect on the above code?
 - i. no change in behavior
 - ii. the CSPerson class would not pass the static typechecker
 - iii. the code snippet above would not pass the static typechecker
 - iv. the code snippet would cause a run-time error
 - v. the code snippet would print something different than it currently does
- (b) Suppose Java lacked support for dynamic dispatch, but everything else about the language was unchanged. What would be the effect on the above code?
 - i. no change in behavior
 - ii. the CSPerson class would not pass the static typechecker
 - iii. the code snippet above would not pass the static typechecker
 - iv. the code snippet would cause a run-time error
 - v. the code snippet would print something different than it currently does
- (c) Suppose Java lacked support for static overloading, but everything else about the language was unchanged. What would be the effect on the above code?
 - i. no change in behavior
 - ii. the CSPerson class would not pass the static typechecker
 - iii. the code snippet above would not pass the static typechecker
 - iv. the code snippet would cause a run-time error
 - v. the code snippet would print something different than it currently does

4. (5 points) Consider the following Java interface, which represents booleans:

```
interface Bool {
   Bool logicalAnd(Bool b);
   void ifThenElse(CodeBlock b1, CodeBlock b2);
}
interface CodeBlock { void execute(); }
```

The logicalAnd method performs "and" for booleans (but unlike the built-in && operator, logicalAnd is not short circuiting). The ifThenElse method acts like a traditional if-thenelse statement, executing one of the two code blocks (represented by the interface CodeBlock) depending on the value of the boolean.

It turns out that we can implement this functionality without using the primitive booleans, or any primitive values, at all. Define classes True and False, each of which should implement the Bool interface.

For example, here is some sample client code:

```
Bool b1 = new False();
Bool b2 = new True();
Bool b3 = b1.logicalAnd(b2);
b3.ifThenElse(
  new CodeBlock() { public void execute() { System.out.println("hi!"); } },
  new CodeBlock() { public void execute() { System.out.println("bye!"); } });
```

Executing the above code will cause bye! to be printed to standard output.

Your solution may not use instanceof tests or any other ways of asking an object whether it represents true or false. Your solution also may not use any primitive data in Java, such as booleans, integers, and Strings, and it may not use any built-in control structures such as if, for, and while.

5. (5 points) Continuing the example from the previous question, we can use our notion of booleans and conditional statements to implement while loops. Here is an interface for the condition used to guard a loop:

```
interface LoopGuard {
  Bool evaluate();
}
```

The evaluate method evaluates the condition to produce a boolean result (using the Bool type from the previous page). Implement a method called whileTrue that acts like a traditional while loop, but using our new types. The method takes a LoopGuard and a CodeBlock and it repeatedly executes the code block while the loop guard is true.

Again, your solution may not use instanceof tests or any other ways of asking an object whether it represents true or false. Your solution also may not use any primitive data in Java, such as booleans, integers, and Strings, and it may not use any built-in control structures such as if, for, and while.

Fill in the code below with your solution. (Technically the two parameters need to be declared final if you refer to them within an anonymous class in your implementation, but we'll not worry about that.)

```
class Loops {
   void whileTrue(LoopGuard guard, CodeBlock body) {
      // YOUR CODE HERE
   }
}
```

6. (2 points each) Consider the following class:

```
class MyInt {
  int i;
  MyInt(int k) { this.i = k; }
  void swap1(MyInt j) {
    int tmp = j.i;
    j = new MyInt(this.i);
    this.i = tmp;
  void swap2(MyInt j) {
    MyInt tmp = j;
    j.i = this.i;
    this.i = tmp.i;
  void swap3(int j) {
    int tmp = j;
    j = this.i;
    this.i = tmp;
}
```

Answer each of the following questions with respect to the following definitions:

```
MyInt m1 = new MyInt(3);
MyInt m2 = new MyInt(4);
```

Each question is completely independent of all other questions.

- (a) What are the values of m1.i and m2.i after a call m1.swap1(m2)?
- (b) What are the values of m1.i and m2.i after a call m1.swap2(m2)?
- (c) What are the values of m1.i and m2.i after a call m1.swap3(m2.i)?
- (d) Java's parameter-passing style is best described as which one of the following?
 - i. call by value
 - ii. call by reference
 - iii. call by value for primitives (integers, floats, etc.); call by reference for objects
 - iv. call by reference for primitives (integers, floats, etc.); call by value for objects

- 7. (3 points each) Circle all answers that apply.
 - (a) Which of these are advantages of throwing an exception over simply returning a distinguished error value (e.g., null)?
 - i. Exceptions never cause the program execution to be terminated.
 - ii. Exceptions clearly distinguish erroneous from normal behavior.
 - iii. Exceptions implicitly propagate up the dynamic call chain to the nearest handler.
 - iv. Exceptions can contain additional information about the error that occurred.
 - (b) Consider a successfully typechecked Java method void m() throws Exn1, Exn2 {...}, where Exn1, Exn2, and Exn3 are all direct subclasses of Exception. Which of the following are true?
 - i. At least one of Exn1 and Exn2 will be thrown whenever m is called.
 - ii. A call to m will only throw Exn2 if Exn1 has already been caught.
 - iii. If Exn1 is thrown during the execution of m it will definitely not be caught before the method ends.
 - iv. If Exm3 is thrown during the execution of m it will definitely be caught before the method ends.
 - (c) Consider again the method m from the prior problem. Which of the following are true?
 - i. Java requires every caller of m to catch exceptions of type Exn1 as well as Exn2.
 - ii. Java requires every caller of m to catch exceptions of type Exn3.
 - iii. Java requires every caller of m to either catch exceptions of type Exn1, or to include Exn1 or a supertype of Exn1 in its throws clause.
 - iv. Java ensures that every caller of m does not include Exn3 in its throws clause.

8. (2 points each) What will the Prolog interpreter print in response to each of these queries? You should assume that Prolog is performing the "occurs check" as part of unification.

(a)
$$f(X,a) = f(b,Y)$$

(b)
$$f(W,W) = f(X,g(Z))$$

(c)
$$f(X,a) = f(b,X)$$

(d)
$$f(X,Y) = f(Y,g(X))$$

(e)
$$[a] = [X, Y]$$

$$(f) [a] = [X | Y]$$

(g)
$$[Y, b] = [X, Y]$$

(h)
$$[Y, b] = [X | Y]$$

9. (5 points) Define a Prolog predicate merge(X,Y,Z) which is provable when the list Z is the result of merging the lists X and Y. You may assume that X and Y are given as constant lists whose elements are integers in sorted order from least to greatest, and that the two lists have no elements in common. Z must also then be a list of integers in sorted order from least to greatest. For example, the query merge([1,3,5],[2,6],W) should succeed with a single solution of W mapped to [1,2,3,5,6], and its execution should terminate. You may not use any built-in predicates on lists, such as sort and append.

10.	(3 points each)	${\bf Consider}$	a Prolog	predicate	member(E	L),	which	is provable	when	E is	an
	element of list I	L. We can	define th	e member	predicate a	as follo	ows:				

```
member(X, [H|T]) :- member(X, T).
member(H, [H|_{-}]).
```

In the following questions, you need not show the proof tree (though building the tree will likely help you determine the answers).

(a) Consider the query member(X, [1,2,3]). Show the solutions to this query that the Prolog interpreter would produce, in the same order that they would be produced. If there are no solutions produced (either because there are no answers or because the interpreter goes into an infinite loop), write NONE. If there are an infinite number of solutions, show the first three solutions that would be produced and then write INFINITE.

(b) Consider the query member(1,X). Show the solutions to this query that the Prolog interpreter would produce, in the same order that they would be produced. If there are no solutions produced (either because there are no answers or because the interpreter goes into an infinite loop), write NONE. If there are an infinite number of solutions, show the first three solutions that would be produced and then write INFINITE.

(c) Suppose that the order of the two rules above in the definition of member is reversed. Given this new definition of member, answer the same question as in part (a) above.

(d) Suppose that the order of the two rules above in the definition of member is reversed. Given this new definition of member, answer the same question as in part (b) above.