

MAT 310 LINEAR ALGEBRA HOMEWORK 5

Section 2.3: 2a 3 9 11 12 13

(2a. omitted)

3. Let $g(x) = 3 + x$, and define linear transformations $T : P_2(R) \rightarrow P_2(R)$ and $U : P_2(R) \rightarrow R^3$ by

$$\begin{aligned} T(f(x)) &= f'(x)g(x) + 2f(x) \\ U(a + bx + cx^2) &= (a + b, c, a - b) \end{aligned}$$

Denote by β and γ the standard (ordered) bases of $P_2(R)$ and R^3 .

- (a) Compute directly the matrices of U , T , and UT with respect to these bases. Then verify the last one with the theorem on the composition of linear transformations (2.11 in your textbook).
- (b) Let $h(x) = 3 - 2x + x^2$. Compute the expression of $h(x)$ with respect to β and the expression of $U(h(x))$ with respect to γ . Then verify these expressions using the matrix of U from the previous part and the theorem 2.14 in your textbook.

(a) By a direct calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} T(\beta_1) &= 0(3 + x) + 2(1) &= 2(1) + 0(x) + 0(x^2) \\ T(\beta_2) &= 1(3 + x) + 2(x) &= 3(1) + 3(x) + 0(x^2) \\ T(\beta_3) &= 2x(3 + x) + 2(x^2) &= 0(1) + 6(x) + 4(x^2) \end{aligned}$$

The matrix $[T]_\beta$ of T with respect to the basis β is by definition the matrix whose columns are the expressions of the $T(\beta_i)$ with respect to the basis β . Therefore this matrix is

$$[T]_\beta := \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

By another direct calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} U(\beta_1) &= (1 + 0, 0, 1 - 0) &= (1, 0, 1) = \gamma_1 + \gamma_3 \\ U(\beta_2) &= (0 + 1, 0, 0 - 1) &= (1, 0, -1) = \gamma_1 - \gamma_3 \\ U(\beta_3) &= (0, 1, 0) &= (0, 1, 0) = \gamma_2 \end{aligned}$$

The matrix $[U]_\beta^\gamma$ of U with respect to the bases β and γ is by definition the matrix whose columns are the expression of the $U(\beta_i)$ with respect to the basis γ . Therefore this matrix is

$$[U]_\beta^\gamma := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The last slew of direct calculations is:

$$\begin{aligned}
U(T(\beta_1)) &= U(2, 0, 0) = (2 + 0, 0, 2 - 0) = (2, 0, 2) \\
U(T(\beta_2)) &= U(3, 3, 0) = (3 + 3, 0, 3 - 3) = (6, 0, 0) \\
U(T(\beta_3)) &= U(0, 6, 4) = (0 + 6, 4, 0 - 6) = (6, 4, -6)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the matrix of UT is

$$[UT]_{\beta}^{\gamma} := \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 6 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 2 & 0 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$$

According to the theorem 2.11 from the textbook, this last matrix should equal to the following matrix product:

$$[U]_{\beta}^{\gamma}[T]_{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

This is true. It can be verified by direct calculation of each entry according to the definition of the matrix product.

(b) The expression of $h(x) = 3 - 2x + x^2$ with respect to the standard basis β of $P_2(R)$ is

$$[h(x)]_{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

According to a direct calculation, $U(h(x)) = (3 + (-2), 1, 3 - (-2)) = (1, 1, 5)$. So the matrix of $U(h(x))$ with respect to the standard basis γ of R^3 is

$$[U(h(x))]_{\gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

According to the theorem in your textbook, these expressions should satisfy the matrix equation

$$[U]_{\beta}^{\gamma}[h(x)]_{\beta} = [U(h(x))]_{\gamma}$$

This can be verified by direct calculation of the entries of the matrix product shown below:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

9. Find linear transformations $U, T : F^2 \rightarrow F^2$ such that $UT = 0$ (the zero linear transformation), but $TU \neq 0$. Use your answer to find matrices A and B such that $AB = O$ but $BA \neq O$.

Notice that the condition $UT = 0$ is equivalent to the statement that the image of T is contained in the null space of U . So let's start with a transformation having null-space equal to the span of $(1, 0)$ and another having image equal to this span.

For $(a, b) \in F^2$, set $U(a, b) = (b, b)$ and $T(a, b) = (a + b, 0)$. For each such (a, b) ,

$$U(T(a, b)) = U(a + b, 0) = (0, 0)$$

Therefore $UT = 0$. Let's see if we also succeeded in arranging for TU to be non-zero:

$$T(U(a, b)) = T(b, b) = (b + b, 0) = (2b, 0)$$

We did.

Now, according to the theorem on composition of linear transformations, if A denotes the matrix of U and B denotes the matrix of T (all with respect to the standard basis of F^2 , say), then the matrix product AB should equal to the zero matrix O :

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

This is verified by direct calculation of the matrix product.

11. Let T be a linear transformation. Prove that $T^2 = 0$ if and only if $R(T) \subset N(T)$.

Suppose that the image of T is contained in the null space of T . By definition of the image, each $T(v)$ is in the image of T . By the assumption, $T(v)$ is also in the null space of T . Then by definition of the null space, $T(T(v)) = 0$. Since this is true for all v in the domain of T , it follows that $T^2 = 0$.

Now suppose that $T^2 = 0$. Each element of the image of T is of the form $T(v)$ for some v in the domain of T . By the assumption, $T(T(v)) = 0$. So $T(v)$ also belongs to the null space of T . Therefore the image of T is contained in the null space of T .

12. Let T and U be linear transformations which are composable, i.e. so that the composition UT is defined.

- (a) Prove that if UT is one-to-one, then T is one-to-one. Must U also be one-to-one in this case?
- (b) Prove that if UT is onto, then U is onto. Must T also be onto in this case?
- (c) Prove that if U and T are one-to-one and onto, then UT is also.

(a) Suppose that UT is one-to-one. According to a theorem in your textbook, this condition is equivalent to the statement that for each non-zero x in the domain of T , $UT(x)$ does not equal to 0. For each such x , $T(x)$ is also not equal to zero (otherwise $U(T(x))$ would equal $U(0) = 0$!). Therefore T is also one-to-one in this case.

However, in this case U may not be one-to-one. It is not hard to think of examples, but the idea is this: What can happen is that U is one-to-one when restricted to the image of T , but has non-trivial null space elsewhere in its domain.

(b) Suppose that UT is onto. This means that, given a vector x in the target space of U , there is a vector y in the domain of T such that $U(T(y)) = x$. In this case, there is also a vector z such that $U(z) = x$, namely $z = T(y)$. Therefore U is also onto.

However, in this case T may not be onto. Again, it is not hard to think of examples, but the idea is: What can happen is that, even if the image of T is not the entire domain of U , the restriction of U to this image is already onto.

(c) Suppose that U and T are both one-to-one and onto. Then for each non-zero x in the domain of T , $T(x)$ is not equal to 0, and further $U(T(x))$ is not equal to 0. Therefore UT is one-to-one. Also, for each y in the target space of U , there is a vector z such that $U(z) = y$. Moreover, there is a vector w such that $T(w) = z$. For this w , $U(T(w)) = U(z) = y$. Therefore UT is also onto.

(13 omitted)