## TMA4315: Project 1

Jim Totland, Martin Gudahl Tufte

## Problem 1

**a**)

Since the response variables  $y_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\pi_i)$ , where  $\pi_i = \Pr(y_i = 1 \mid \boldsymbol{x}_i)$ . The conditional mean is given by  $Ey_i = \pi_i$ , which is connected to the covariates via the following relationship:

$$\boldsymbol{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta} =: \eta_i = \Phi^{-1}(\pi_i),$$

or equivalently:  $\pi_i = \Phi(\eta_i)$ . This results in the likelihood function

$$L(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \pi_i^{y_i} (1 - \pi_i)^{1 - y_i}$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \Phi(\eta_i)^{y_i} (1 - \Phi(\eta_i))^{1 - y_i}.$$

Thus, the log-likelihood becomes

$$l(\beta) := \ln(L(\beta)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underbrace{y_i \ln(\Phi(\eta_i)) + (1 - y_i) \ln(1 - \Phi(\eta_i))}_{=l_i(\beta)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_i(\beta).$$

To find the score function, we calculate

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial l_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} &= \frac{y_i}{\Phi(\eta_i)} \frac{\partial \Phi(\eta_i)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} - \frac{1 - y_i}{1 - \Phi(\eta_i)} \frac{\partial \Phi(\eta_i)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} \\ &= \frac{y_i}{\Phi(\eta_i)} \phi(\eta_i) \boldsymbol{x}_i - \frac{1 - y_i}{1 - \Phi(\eta_i)} \phi(\eta_i) \boldsymbol{x}_i \\ &= \frac{y_i(1 - \Phi(\eta_i)) - (1 - y_i) \Phi(\eta_i)}{\Phi(\eta_i)(1 - \Phi(\eta_i))} \phi(\eta_i) \boldsymbol{x}_i \\ &= \frac{y_i - \Phi(\eta_i)}{\Phi(\eta_i)(1 - \Phi(\eta_i))} \phi(\eta_i) \boldsymbol{x}_i. \end{split}$$

Consequently, the score function is given by

$$s(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{y_i - \Phi(\eta_i)}{\Phi(\eta_i)(1 - \Phi(\eta_i))} \phi(\eta_i) \boldsymbol{x}_i = X^T D \Sigma^{-1} (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}),$$

where  $D = \operatorname{diag}(\phi(\eta_i))$  and  $\Sigma = \operatorname{diag}(\operatorname{Var}(y_i)) = \operatorname{diag}(\Phi(\eta_i)(1 - \Phi(\eta_i)))$ . Next, we find the expected Fisher information,  $F(\beta)$ . We find it by using the result

$$F(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{\beta})) = \operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{y_{i} - \Phi(\eta_{i})}{\Phi(\eta_{i})(1 - \Phi(\eta_{i}))} \phi(\eta_{i}) \boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\frac{\phi(\eta_{i})}{\Phi(\eta_{i})(1 - \Phi(\eta_{i}))}\right]^{2} \operatorname{Var}(y_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\frac{\phi(\eta_{i})}{\Phi(\eta_{i})(1 - \Phi(\eta_{i}))}\right]^{2} \boldsymbol{x}_{i} \operatorname{Var}(y_{i}) \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{T}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\frac{\phi(\eta_{i})}{\Phi(\eta_{i})(1 - \Phi(\eta_{i}))}\right]^{2} \pi_{i}(1 - \pi_{i}) \boldsymbol{x}_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{T} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\phi(\eta_{i})^{2}}{\Phi(\eta_{i})(1 - \Phi(\eta_{i}))} \boldsymbol{x}_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{T},$$

Where in the third equality we have used that the  $y_i$ 's are independent. The expected Fisher information can also be verified to have this expression by the general relationship

$$F(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{h'(\eta_i)^2}{\operatorname{Var}(y_i)} \boldsymbol{x}_i \boldsymbol{x}_i^T,$$

where  $h'(\eta_i) = \Phi'(\eta_i) = \phi(\eta_i)$  and  $\operatorname{Var}(y_i) = \pi_i(1 - \pi_i) = \Phi(\eta_i)(1 - \Phi(\eta_i))$ .

b)

The expected Fisher information is given by

$$F(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\phi(\eta_i)^2}{\Phi(\eta_i)(1 - \Phi(\eta_i))} \boldsymbol{x}_i \boldsymbol{x}_i^T = X^T W X,$$

where  $W = \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{\phi(\eta_i)^2}{\Phi(\eta_i)(1-\Phi(\eta_i))}\right)$ .

The Fisher scoring algorithm states that the next iterate is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(t+1)} = \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(t)} + F(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(t)})^{-1} \boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(t)}).$$

We also need the deviance, which is defined as

$$D = 2(l_{\text{saturated}} - l(\hat{\beta})).$$

When we fit a parameter for each data point (which is the case for the saturated model), the result for the Bernoulli distribution is that  $\hat{\pi}_i = y_i$ . This means that the likelihood function of the saturated model is given by

$$L_{\text{saturated}} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\pi}_{i}^{y_{i}} (1 - \hat{\pi}_{i})^{1-y_{i}} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{y_{i}} (1 + y_{i})^{1-y_{i}} = 1,$$

Where we have used  $0^0 = 1$ . Consequently, the log-likelihood  $l_{\text{saturated}} = \ln(1) = 0$  and the deviance becomes  $-2l(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$ . Next follows the Implementation of myglm in R:

```
Phi <- function(x) return (pnorm(x))
phi <- function(x) return (dnorm(x))

myglm <- function(formula, data, start = NULL){</pre>
```

```
# response variable
resp <- all.vars(formula)[1]</pre>
y <- as.matrix( data[resp] )</pre>
# model matrix
X <- model.matrix(formula, data)</pre>
n \leftarrow dim(X)[1]
p <- dim(X)[2]
# starting beta
if (is.null(start)){
  beta = rep(0, p)
else {
  beta = start
# Fisher scoring algorithm
max_iter <- 50</pre>
tol <- 1e-10
iter <- 0
rel.err <- Inf
F.inv = NULL
eta = NULL
while (rel.err > tol & iter < max_iter){</pre>
  # Calculate eta.
  eta <- X %*% beta
  # Calculate score.
  D <- diag(as.vector(phi(eta)), n, n)</pre>
  Sigma <- diag(as.vector(Phi(eta)*(1 - Phi(eta))), n, n)
  mu.vec <- as.vector(Phi(eta))</pre>
  score = t(X) %*% D %*% solve(Sigma) %*% (y - mu.vec)
  # Calculate Fisher information and its inverse.
  W <- diag(as.vector(phi(eta)^2 / (Phi(eta)*(1-Phi(eta)))), n, n)
  F <- t(X) %*% W %*% X
  F.inv <- solve(F)
  # Update beta.
  beta.new <- beta + F.inv %*% score
  iter <- iter + 1
  rel.err <- max(abs(beta.new - beta) / abs(beta.new))</pre>
  beta <- beta.new
}
# Calculating std.errors and deviance.
```

```
std.Error <- sqrt(diag(F.inv))</pre>
  deviance = -2 * sum(y*log(pnorm(eta)) + (1 - y)*log(1 -pnorm(eta)))
 return (list("coefficients" = data.frame(beta, std.Error),
            "deviance" = deviance,
            "vcov" = F.inv))
}
```

## $\mathbf{c}$

```
Simulation of 1000 Bernoulli draws with a random probability.
# probability
x = runif(1000, 0, 1)
# draw n bernoulli with prob x
y \leftarrow rbinom(1000, 1, x)
df <- data.frame(y, x)</pre>
### fit using glm
model <- glm(y ~ x, family = binomial(link = "probit"), data = df)</pre>
# beta
model$coefficients
## (Intercept)
                  2.723233
    -1.388441
# se for beta
summary(model)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = y ~ x, family = binomial(link = "probit"), data = df)
## Deviance Residuals:
##
       Min
                 1Q
                      Median
                                    3Q
                                            Max
## -2.0794 -0.8456 -0.4285 0.8347
                                         2.1520
##
## Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) -1.38844
                           0.09533 -14.56 <2e-16 ***
## x
               2.72323
                           0.16842
                                    16.17
                                              <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
       Null deviance: 1385.9 on 999 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 1080.0 on 998 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 1084
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
# υςου
vcov(model)
##
                (Intercept)
## (Intercept) 0.009087329 -0.01424267
```

```
-0.014242665 0.02836526
## x
# deviance
model$deviance
## [1] 1080.042
### fit using myglm
mymodel <- myglm(y ~ x, data = df)</pre>
# beta
mymodel$coefficients
                       y std.Error
## (Intercept) -1.388441 0.09532785
## x
                2.723234 0.16842073
# υςου
mymodel$vcov
##
                (Intercept)
## (Intercept) 0.009087398 -0.01424280
               -0.014242796 0.02836554
## x
# deviance
mymodel$deviance
## [1] 1080.042
Problem 2
a)
#install.packages("ISwR")
library(ISwR) # Install the package if needed
data(juul)
juul$menarche <- juul$menarche - 1</pre>
juul.girl <- subset(juul, age>8 & age<20 & complete.cases(menarche))</pre>
mod.probit <- glm(menarche ~ age, family=binomial(link="probit"), data= juul.girl)</pre>
anova(mod.probit, test = "Chisq")
## Analysis of Deviance Table
##
## Model: binomial, link: probit
##
## Response: menarche
## Terms added sequentially (first to last)
##
##
        Df Deviance Resid. Df Resid. Dev Pr(>Chi)
##
## NULL
                           518
                                   719.39
                                   197.39 < 2.2e-16 ***
## age
         1
                522
                           517
```

The low p-value suggests that age has an effect on the response variable.

## Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

b)

Relating to the juul data set, we define for each observation/individual

$$y_i = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if menarche has occured.} \\ 1, & \text{if menarche has not occured.} \end{cases}$$

and  $t_i$  as the age at the time of examination, which corresponds to age in the data set. Let  $T_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $T_i$  is the time until menarche occurs for the *i*'th individual. Furthermore, let

$$\pi_i := P(y_i = 1) = P(T_i \le t_i)$$

$$= P\left(\frac{T_i - \mu}{\sigma} \le \frac{t_i - \mu}{\sigma}\right) = \Phi\left(\frac{t_i - \mu}{\sigma}\right)$$

This, in turn, gives

$$\Phi^{-1}(\pi_i) = -\frac{\mu}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sigma}t_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t_i,$$

where  $\beta_0 = -\mu/\sigma$  and  $\beta_1 = 1/\sigma$ . Or equivalently:

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{\beta_1}, \quad \mu = -\frac{\beta_0}{\beta_1}.$$

Due to the functional invariance of the maximum likelihood estimator, we can write the MLEs of  $\sigma$  and  $\mu$  as

$$\hat{\sigma}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{1}{\hat{\beta}_1}, \quad \hat{\mu}(\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1) = -\frac{\hat{\beta}_0}{\hat{\beta}_1},$$

where  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$  denote the MLEs of  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ , respectively. Thus, the maximum likelihood estimates for this data set can be computed as

```
mod.probit <- glm(menarche ~ age, family = binomial(link = 'probit'), data = juul.girl)
b <- mod.probit$coefficients
mle.mu <- -b[1]/b[2]
mle.sigma <- 1/b[2]
mle.mu</pre>
```

## (Intercept) ## 13.18563

mle.sigma

## age

The standard errors of  $\hat{\mu}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}$  can then be estimated using the delta method. A first order Taylor expansion of  $\hat{\mu}$  gives

$$\hat{\mu} \approx \hat{\mu}(\boldsymbol{b}) + \nabla \hat{\mu}(\boldsymbol{b})^T (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{b}),$$

where we have used the notation  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1)^T$  and expanded around  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \boldsymbol{b} = (b_0, b_1)^T$ . Next, we take the variance of this linear approximation, such that

$$Var(\hat{\mu}) \approx Var\left(\nabla \hat{\mu}(\boldsymbol{b})^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)$$
$$= \nabla \hat{\mu}(\boldsymbol{b})^T Var(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \nabla \hat{\mu}(\boldsymbol{b}).$$

Using  $\nabla \hat{\mu} = (-1/\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_0/\hat{\beta}_1^2)^T$ , we can calculate the standard error as in the code below:

```
mod.probit <- glm(menarche ~ age, family = binomial(link = 'probit'), data = juul.girl)
b <- mod.probit$coefficients
grad.mu <- c(-1/b[2], b[1]/b[2]^2)
se.mu <- t(grad.mu) %*% vcov(mod.probit) %*% grad.mu
se.mu</pre>
```

```
## [,1]
## [1,] 0.01404909
```

That is,  $SE(\hat{\mu}) = 0.0140491$ . We follow the same procedure to estimate the standard error of  $\hat{\sigma}$ . First, we approximate it by a first order Taylor series expansion:

$$\hat{\sigma} \approx \hat{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{b}) + \nabla \hat{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{b})^T (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{b}),$$

which implies that the variance can be approximated as

$$Var(\hat{\sigma}) \approx Var\left(\nabla \hat{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{b})^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)$$
$$= \nabla \hat{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{b})^T Var(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \nabla \hat{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{b}).$$

Using that  $\nabla \hat{\sigma} = (0, -1/\beta_1^2)^T$ , we calculate the standard error as in the code below.

```
grad.sigma <- c(0, -1/b[2]^2)
se.sigma <- t(grad.sigma) %*% vcov(mod.probit) %*% grad.sigma
se.sigma</pre>
```

```
## [,1]
## [1,] 0.01188363
```

That is,  $\widehat{SE}(\hat{\sigma}) = 0.0118836$ .

Q: are we estimating the standard error (SE) or is the SE an estimate in itself? i.e. we dont need the hat?

**c**)

```
mod.logit <- glm(menarche ~ age, family = binomial(link = 'logit'), data = juul.girl)
mod.logit$coefficients[2]</pre>
```

```
## age
## 1.517289
```

To show find the distribution of the  $T_i$ 's, we start with the cumulative distribution:

$$\Pr(T_i \le t_i) = \Pr(y_i = 1 \mid t_i) = \pi_i = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\eta_i}}.$$

The pdf of  $T_i$  is then given as

$$\begin{split} f_{T_i}(t_i) &= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t_i} \left( \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\eta_i}} \right) = \frac{\beta_1 e^{-\beta_0 - \beta_1 t_i}}{(1 + e^{-\beta_0 - \beta_1 t_i})^2} \\ &= \frac{e^{-(t_i - (-\beta_0/\beta_1))/(1/\beta_1)}}{1/\beta_1 (1 + e^{-(t_i - (-\beta_0/\beta_1))/(1/\beta_1)})^2} = \frac{e^{-(t_i - \mu)/s}}{s(1 + e^{-(t_i - \mu)/s})^2}. \end{split}$$

This is the logistic distribution, with parameters  $\mu = -\beta_0/\beta_1$  and  $s = 1/\beta_1$ , where we have used the parametrization from Wikipedia. We compute estimates of the mean and variance from the estimates of  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  in the output above. An estimate of the mean is then given by  $E(T_i) = -\beta_0/\beta_1 \approx 13.1901147$  and an estimate of the variance is given by  $Var(T_i) = s^2\pi^2/3 = \pi^2/(3\beta_1^2) \approx 1.4290323$ .

d)

We now assume that the latent ages follow a log-normal distribution, i.e.

$$T_i \sim \text{Lognormal}(\mu, \sigma^2).$$

This is equivalent to stating that  $\ln T_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Now we can follow the same approach as in 2b):

$$\pi_i := \Pr(y_i = 1) = \Pr(T_i \le t_i) = \Pr(\ln T_i \le \ln t_i)$$
$$= \Pr\left(\frac{\ln T_i - \mu}{\sigma} \le \frac{\ln t_i - \mu}{\sigma}\right) = \Phi\left(\frac{\ln t_i - \mu}{\sigma}\right)$$

This, in turn, gives

$$\Phi^{-1}(\pi_i) = -\frac{\mu}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \ln t_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln t_i,$$

where  $\beta_0 = -\mu/\sigma$  and  $\beta_1 = 1/\sigma$ . Consequently, we fit GLM with a probit link-function on  $\ln t_i$ :

```
mod.lognorm <- glm(menarche ~log(age), family = binomial(link = "probit"), data = juul.girl)
mu.hat <- -mod.lognorm$coefficients[1]/mod.lognorm$coefficients[2]
sigma.hat <- 1/mod.lognorm$coefficients[2]
summary(mod.lognorm)</pre>
```

```
##
   glm(formula = menarche ~ log(age), family = binomial(link = "probit"),
##
       data = juul.girl)
##
##
  Deviance Residuals:
##
                   1Q
                         Median
                                        3Q
                                                 Max
  -2.28617
             -0.11683
                        0.00315
                                  0.10715
##
                                             2.54738
##
## Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
##
                -29.060
                             2.704
                                    -10.75
                                              <2e-16 ***
## (Intercept)
## log(age)
                 11.287
                             1.049
                                     10.76
                                              <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
##
   (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
       Null deviance: 719.39 on 518 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 198.05 on 517 degrees of freedom
  AIC: 202.05
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 8
```

Exactly as in 2b), due to the functional invariance of MLEs, we can estimate the mean of  $T_i$  as

$$\exp\left(\hat{\mu} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{2}\right) = 13.1797035,$$

and we can estimate the standard deviation as

$$\sqrt{\left[\exp(\hat{\sigma}^2) - 1\right] \exp(2\hat{\mu} + \hat{\sigma}^2)} = 0.201604.$$

The formulas for mean and standard deviation of the log-normal distribution are gathered from Wikipedia.