TMA4315: Project 1

jototlan@stud.ntnu.no (10018), martigtu@stud.ntnu.no (10037)

Problem 1

a)

Since the response variables $y_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\pi_i)$, where $\pi_i = \Pr(y_i = 1 \mid \boldsymbol{x}_i)$. The conditional mean is given by $E(y_i) = \pi_i$, which is connected to the covariates via the relationship

$$\boldsymbol{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta} = \eta_i = \Phi^{-1}(\pi_i),$$

or equivalently $\pi_i = \Phi(\eta_i)$. This results in the likelihood function

$$L(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \pi_i^{y_i} (1 - \pi_i)^{1 - y_i}$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \Phi(\eta_i)^{y_i} (1 - \Phi(\eta_i))^{1 - y_i}.$$

Thus, the log-likelihood becomes

$$l(\beta) = \ln(L(\beta)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underbrace{y_i \ln(\Phi(\eta_i)) + (1 - y_i) \ln(1 - \Phi(\eta_i))}_{=l_i(\beta)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_i(\beta).$$

To find the score function, we calculate

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial l_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} &= \frac{y_i}{\Phi(\eta_i)} \frac{\partial \Phi(\eta_i)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} - \frac{1 - y_i}{1 - \Phi(\eta_i)} \frac{\partial \Phi(\eta_i)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} \\ &= \frac{y_i}{\Phi(\eta_i)} \phi(\eta_i) \boldsymbol{x}_i - \frac{1 - y_i}{1 - \Phi(\eta_i)} \phi(\eta_i) \boldsymbol{x}_i \\ &= \frac{y_i(1 - \Phi(\eta_i)) - (1 - y_i) \Phi(\eta_i)}{\Phi(\eta_i)(1 - \Phi(\eta_i))} \phi(\eta_i) \boldsymbol{x}_i \\ &= \frac{y_i - \Phi(\eta_i)}{\Phi(\eta_i)(1 - \Phi(\eta_i))} \phi(\eta_i) \boldsymbol{x}_i. \end{split}$$

Consequently, the score function is given by

$$s(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \frac{\partial l(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial l_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{y_i - \Phi(\eta_i)}{\Phi(\eta_i)(1 - \Phi(\eta_i))} \phi(\eta_i) \boldsymbol{x}_i.$$

On matrix notation, the score function can be written as

$$s(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = X^T D \Sigma^{-1} (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}),$$

where X is the design matrix, $D = \operatorname{diag}(\phi(\eta_i))$, $\Sigma = \operatorname{diag}(\operatorname{Var}(y_i)) = \operatorname{diag}(\Phi(\eta_i)(1 - \Phi(\eta_i)))$ and $\mu = [\Phi(\eta_1) \cdots \Phi(\eta_n)]^T$. Next, we find the expected Fisher information, $F(\beta)$. We find it by using the result

$$F(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{\beta})) = \operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{y_{i} - \Phi(\eta_{i})}{\Phi(\eta_{i})(1 - \Phi(\eta_{i}))} \phi(\eta_{i}) \boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\frac{\phi(\eta_{i})}{\Phi(\eta_{i})(1 - \Phi(\eta_{i}))}\right]^{2} \operatorname{Var}(y_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\frac{\phi(\eta_{i})}{\Phi(\eta_{i})(1 - \Phi(\eta_{i}))}\right]^{2} \boldsymbol{x}_{i} \operatorname{Var}(y_{i}) \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{T}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\frac{\phi(\eta_{i})}{\Phi(\eta_{i})(1 - \Phi(\eta_{i}))}\right]^{2} \pi_{i}(1 - \pi_{i}) \boldsymbol{x}_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{T} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\phi(\eta_{i})^{2}}{\Phi(\eta_{i})(1 - \Phi(\eta_{i}))} \boldsymbol{x}_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{T},$$

where in the third equality we have used that the y_i 's are independent. The expected Fisher information can also be verified to have this form by the general relationship

$$F(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{h'(\eta_i)^2}{\operatorname{Var}(y_i)} \boldsymbol{x}_i \boldsymbol{x}_i^T,$$

where $h'(\eta_i) = \Phi'(\eta_i) = \phi(\eta_i)$ and $Var(y_i) = \pi_i(1 - \pi_i) = \Phi(\eta_i)(1 - \Phi(\eta_i))$. We also note that the expected Fisher information can be written on matrix form as

$$F(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = X^T W X,$$

where $W = \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{\phi(\eta_i)^2}{\Phi(\eta_i)(1-\Phi(\eta_i))}\right)$.

b)

The Fisher scoring algorithm is given by

$$\beta^{(t+1)} = \beta^{(t)} + F(\beta^{(t)})^{-1} s(\beta^{(t)}).$$

The deviance is defined as

$$D = 2(l_{\text{saturated}} - l(\hat{\beta})),$$

where the saturated model is a model with as many explanatory variables as there are observations. This implies that we can fit a parameter for each data point. For the Bernoulli distribution this parameter is $\hat{\pi}_i = y_i$. This means that the likelihood function of the saturated model is given by

$$L_{\text{saturated}} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\pi}_{i}^{y_{i}} (1 - \hat{\pi}_{i})^{1 - y_{i}} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{y_{i}} (1 + y_{i})^{1 - y_{i}} = 1,$$

Where we have used $0^0 = 1$. Consequently, the log-likelihood $l_{\text{saturated}} = \ln(1) = 0$ and the deviance becomes $D = -2l(\hat{\beta})$. Next follows the implementation of myglm in R:

```
Phi <- function(x) return (pnorm(x))
phi <- function(x) return (dnorm(x))</pre>
myglm <- function(formula, data, start = NULL){</pre>
  # response variable
  resp <- all.vars(formula)[1]</pre>
  y <- as.matrix( data[resp] )</pre>
  # model matrix
  X <- model.matrix(formula, data)</pre>
  n \leftarrow dim(X)[1]
  p \leftarrow dim(X)[2]
  # starting beta
  if (is.null(start)){
    beta = rep(0, p)
  else {
    beta = start
  # Fisher scoring algorithm
  max_iter <- 50</pre>
  tol <- 1e-10
  iter <- 0
  rel.err <- Inf
  F.inv = NULL
  eta = NULL
  while (rel.err > tol & iter < max_iter){</pre>
    # Calculate eta.
    eta <- X %*% beta
    # Calculate score.
    D <- diag(as.vector(phi(eta)), n, n)</pre>
    Sigma <- diag(as.vector(Phi(eta)*(1 - Phi(eta))), n, n)
    mu.vec <- as.vector(Phi(eta))</pre>
    score = t(X) %*% D %*% solve(Sigma) %*% (y - mu.vec)
    # Calculate Fisher information and its inverse.
    W <- diag(as.vector(phi(eta)^2 / (Phi(eta)*(1-Phi(eta)))), n, n)
    F \leftarrow t(X) \% \% W \% X
    F.inv <- solve(F)
    # Update beta.
    beta.new <- beta + F.inv %*% score
    iter <- iter + 1
    rel.err <- max(abs(beta.new - beta) / abs(beta.new))</pre>
    beta <- beta.new
  }
```

c)

To test that our implementation of myglm works, we will simulate 1000 Bernoulli draws, each with a random probability $x_i \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$. Then the response can be written as $y_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(x_i)$. First we create the data set in R:

```
# probability
x = runif(1000, 0, 1)

# draw n bernoulli with prob x
y <- rbinom(1000, 1, x)
df <- data.frame(y, x)</pre>
```

Next, we fit a model using myglm and print the betas, standard error, variance covariance matix and the deviance:

```
### fit using myglm
mymodel \leftarrow myglm(y \sim x, data = df)
# beta and SE
mymodel$coefficients
                Estimate Std.Error
## (Intercept) -1.520015 0.1008142
## x
                 2.985181 0.1765521
# υςου
mymodel$vcov
##
                (Intercept)
## (Intercept) 0.01016349 -0.01595446
                -0.01595446 0.03117065
## x
# deviance
mymodel$deviance
```

[1] 1041.469

Now we can compare these results to the inbuilt glm-function:

```
### fit using glm
model <- glm(y ~ x, family = binomial(link = "probit"), data = df)

# beta and SE
s <- summary(model)
s$coefficients[1:2,1:2]</pre>
```

```
## Estimate Std. Error
## (Intercept) -1.520014 0.1008132
```

```
## x 2.985178 0.1765493

# vcov
vcov(model)

## (Intercept) x
## (Intercept) 0.01016329 -0.01595403
## x -0.01595403 0.03116966

# deviance
model$deviance
```

[1] 1041.469

We see that our implementation gives the same numerical values.

Problem 2

a)

```
#install.packages("ISwR")
library(ISwR) # Install the package if needed
data(juul)
juul$menarche <- juul$menarche - 1</pre>
juul.girl <- subset(juul, age>8 & age<20 & complete.cases(menarche))</pre>
mod.probit <- glm(menarche ~ age, family=binomial(link="probit"), data= juul.girl)</pre>
anova(mod.probit, test = "Chisq")
## Analysis of Deviance Table
##
## Model: binomial, link: probit
## Response: menarche
##
## Terms added sequentially (first to last)
##
##
##
        Df Deviance Resid. Df Resid. Dev Pr(>Chi)
                           518
## NULL
                                   197.39 < 2.2e-16 ***
## age
         1
                522
                           517
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

The low p-value suggests that age has an effect on the response variable.

b)

Relating to the juul data set, we define for each observation/individual

$$y_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if menarche has occured.} \\ 0, & \text{if menarche has not occured.} \end{cases}$$

and t_i as the age at the time of examination, which corresponds to age in the data set. Let $T_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, where T_i is the time until menarche occurs for the *i*'th individual. Furthermore, let

$$\pi_i := P(y_i = 1) = P(T_i \le t_i)$$

$$= P\left(\frac{T_i - \mu}{\sigma} \le \frac{t_i - \mu}{\sigma}\right) = \Phi\left(\frac{t_i - \mu}{\sigma}\right)$$

This, in turn, gives

$$\Phi^{-1}(\pi_i) = -\frac{\mu}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sigma}t_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t_i,$$

where $\beta_0 = -\mu/\sigma$ and $\beta_1 = 1/\sigma$. Or equivalently:

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{\beta_1}, \quad \mu = -\frac{\beta_0}{\beta_1}.$$

Due to the functional invariance of the maximum likelihood estimator, we can write the MLEs of σ and μ as

$$\hat{\sigma}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{1}{\hat{\beta}_1}, \quad \hat{\mu}(\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1) = -\frac{\hat{\beta}_0}{\hat{\beta}_1},$$

where $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ denote the MLEs of β_0 and β_1 , respectively. Thus, the maximum likelihood estimates for this data set can be computed as in the code below.

```
mod.probit <- glm(menarche ~ age, family = binomial(link = 'probit'), data = juul.girl)
b <- mod.probit$coefficients
mle.mu <- -b[1]/b[2]
mle.sigma <- 1/b[2]</pre>
```

That is, $\hat{\mu} = 13.1856339$ and $\hat{\sigma} = 1.1596528$. The standard errors (estimates of the standard deviation) of $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\sigma}$ can then be computed using the delta method. A first order Taylor expansion of $\hat{\mu}$ gives

$$\hat{\mu} \approx \hat{\mu}(\boldsymbol{b}) + \nabla \hat{\mu}(\boldsymbol{b})^T (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{b}),$$

where we have used the notation $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1)^T$ and expanded around $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \boldsymbol{b} = (b_0, b_1)^T$. Next, we take the variance of this linear approximation, such that

$$Var(\hat{\mu}) \approx Var\left(\nabla \hat{\mu}(\boldsymbol{b})^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)$$
$$= \nabla \hat{\mu}(\boldsymbol{b})^T Var(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \nabla \hat{\mu}(\boldsymbol{b}).$$

Using $\nabla \hat{\mu} = (-1/\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_0/\hat{\beta}_1^2)^T$, we can calculate the standard error as in the code below:

```
mod.probit <- glm(menarche ~ age, family = binomial(link = 'probit'), data = juul.girl)
b <- mod.probit$coefficients
grad.mu <- c(-1/b[2], b[1]/b[2]^2)
se.mu <- t(grad.mu) %*% vcov(mod.probit) %*% grad.mu
se.mu</pre>
```

```
## [,1]
## [1,] 0.01404909
```

That is, $SE(\hat{\mu}) = 0.0140491$. We follow the same procedure to estimate the standard error of $\hat{\sigma}$. First, we approximate it by a first order Taylor series expansion:

$$\hat{\sigma} \approx \hat{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{b}) + \nabla \hat{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{b})^T (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{b}),$$

which implies that the variance can be approximated as

$$Var(\hat{\sigma}) \approx Var\left(\nabla \hat{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{b})^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)$$
$$= \nabla \hat{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{b})^T Var(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \nabla \hat{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{b}).$$

Using that $\nabla \hat{\sigma} = (0, -1/\beta_1^2)^T$, we calculate the standard error as in the code below.

```
grad.sigma <- c(0, -1/b[2]^2)
se.sigma <- t(grad.sigma) %*% vcov(mod.probit) %*% grad.sigma
se.sigma</pre>
```

```
## [,1]
## [1,] 0.01188363
```

That is, $SE(\hat{\sigma}) = 0.0118836$.

c)

We fit the desired model in R:

```
mod.logit <- glm(menarche ~ age, family = binomial(link = 'logit'), data = juul.girl)
mod.logit$coefficients</pre>
```

```
## (Intercept) age
## -20.013212 1.517289
```

To find the distribution of the T_i 's, we start with the cumulative distribution:

$$\Pr(T_i \le t_i) = \Pr(y_i = 1 \mid t_i) = \pi_i = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\eta_i}}.$$

The pdf of T_i is then given as

$$f_{T_i}(t_i) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t_i} \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{-\eta_i}} \right) = \frac{\beta_1 e^{-\beta_0 - \beta_1 t_i}}{(1 + e^{-\beta_0 - \beta_1 t_i})^2}$$

$$= \frac{e^{-(t_i - (-\beta_0/\beta_1))/(1/\beta_1)}}{1/\beta_1 (1 + e^{-(t_i - (-\beta_0/\beta_1))/(1/\beta_1)})^2} = \frac{e^{-(t_i - \mu)/s}}{s(1 + e^{-(t_i - \mu)/s})^2}.$$

This is the logistic distribution, with parameters $\mu = -\beta_0/\beta_1$ and $s = 1/\beta_1$, where we have used the parametrization from Wikipedia. We compute estimates of the mean and variance from the estimates of β_0 and β_1 , which are given in the code output above. We then estimate the mean, given by $E(T_i) = -\beta_0/\beta_1 \approx 13.1901147$. Next, we estimate the standard deviation, given by $\sqrt{\text{Var}(T_i)} = s\pi/\sqrt{3} = \pi/(\sqrt{3}\beta_1) \approx 1.1954214$.

 \mathbf{d}

We now assume that the latent ages follow a log-normal distribution, i.e.

$$T_i \sim \text{Lognormal}(\mu, \sigma^2).$$

This is equivalent to stating that $\ln T_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Now we can follow the same approach as in 2b):

$$\pi_i := \Pr(y_i = 1) = \Pr(T_i \le t_i) = \Pr(\ln T_i \le \ln t_i)$$
$$= \Pr\left(\frac{\ln T_i - \mu}{\sigma} \le \frac{\ln t_i - \mu}{\sigma}\right) = \Phi\left(\frac{\ln t_i - \mu}{\sigma}\right)$$

This, in turn, gives

$$\Phi^{-1}(\pi_i) = -\frac{\mu}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \ln t_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln t_i,$$

where $\beta_0 = -\mu/\sigma$ and $\beta_1 = 1/\sigma$. Consequently, we fit GLM with a probit link-function on $\ln t_i$:

```
mod.lognorm <- glm(menarche ~log(age), family = binomial(link = "probit"), data = juul.girl)
mu.hat <- -mod.lognorm$coefficients[1]/mod.lognorm$coefficients[2]
sigma.hat <- 1/mod.lognorm$coefficients[2]
summary(mod.lognorm)</pre>
```

```
##
## Call:
  glm(formula = menarche ~ log(age), family = binomial(link = "probit"),
       data = juul.girl)
##
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##
                   10
                         Median
                                       30
                                                Max
  -2.28617 -0.11683
                        0.00315
##
                                  0.10715
                                            2.54738
##
##
  Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) -29.060
                             2.704 -10.75
## log(age)
                 11.287
                             1.049
                                     10.76
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
  (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
##
       Null deviance: 719.39 on 518 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 198.05 on 517 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 202.05
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 8
```

Exactly as in 2b), due to the functional invariance of MLEs, we can estimate the mean of T_i as

$$\exp\left(\hat{\mu} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{2}\right) = 13.1797035,$$

and we can estimate the standard deviation as

$$\sqrt{\left[\exp(\hat{\sigma}^2) - 1\right] \exp(2\hat{\mu} + \hat{\sigma}^2)} = 1.1700147.$$

The formulas for mean and standard deviation of the log-normal distribution are gathered from Wikipedia.

 $\mathbf{e})$

The cloglog link function is given by

$$g(\pi) = \operatorname{cloglog}(\pi) = \ln(-\ln(1-\pi)).$$

Since our model is assumed to have the form menarche ~ log(age) with the cloglog link, η becomes

$$\eta = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln(t)$$

where t is age. Thus the probability that menarche has occurred is given as

$$\pi = g^{-1}(\eta) = 1 - e^{-e^{\eta}} = 1 - e^{-e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln t}} = 1 - e^{-(e^{\beta_0} t^{\beta_1})},$$

where it was used that $e^{\beta_1 \ln t} = t^{\beta_1}$. Using that π is the cumulative distribution function of T, i.e. $\Pr(T \le t) = \pi$, it follows that the distribution of T is given by

$$T \sim \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \pi = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(1 - e^{-(e^{\beta_0} t^{\beta_1})} \right) = \beta_1 e^{\beta_0} t^{\beta_1 - 1} e^{-(e^{\beta_0} t^{\beta_1})}.$$

Recall that the probability density function of a Weibull distribution is given by

Weibull
$$(x; \lambda, k) = \frac{k}{\lambda} \left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^{k-1} e^{-(x/\lambda)^k}$$

where k is the shape parameter and λ is the scale parameter. If $k = \beta_1$ and $\lambda = e^{-\frac{\beta_0}{\beta_1}}$, then

Weibull
$$\left(t; e^{-\frac{\beta_0}{\beta_1}}, \beta_1\right) = \frac{\beta_1}{e^{-\frac{\beta_0}{\beta_1}}} \left(\frac{x}{e^{-\frac{\beta_0}{\beta_1}}}\right)^{\beta_1 - 1} e^{-\left(t e^{\frac{\beta_0}{\beta_1}}\right)^{\beta_1}}$$

= $\beta_1 e^{\beta_0} t^{\beta_1 - 1} e^{-(e^{\beta_0} t^{\beta_1})},$

which shows that $T \sim \text{Weibull}\left(t; e^{-\frac{\beta_0}{\beta_1}}, \beta_1\right)$. The shape and scale parameters are given as

$$k = \beta_1, \qquad \lambda = e^{-\beta_0/\beta_1}.$$

Due to the functional invariance of the maximum likelihood estimator, we can write the MLEs of k and λ as

$$\hat{k} = \hat{\beta_1}, \qquad \hat{\lambda} = e^{-\hat{\beta_0}/\hat{\beta_1}}.$$

Fitting the appropriate model in R and finding the estimate for the shape and scale parameter:

```
mod.cloglog <- glm(menarche ~ log(age), family = binomial(link = 'cloglog'), data = juul.girl)</pre>
```

```
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
```

```
beta0 <- mod.cloglog$coefficients[1]
beta1 <- mod.cloglog$coefficients[2]

k <- beta1
lam <- exp(-beta0/beta1)
k</pre>
```

log(age) ## 13.57193

lam

(Intercept) ## 13.71689

The estimated values for the shape parameter is k = 13.572 and the scale parameter is $\lambda = 13.717$.

If $W \sim \text{Weibull}(x; \lambda, k)$, the mean and variance of W are given as

$$E[W] = \lambda \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right), \quad Var[W] = \lambda^2 \left(\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{2}{k}\right) - \Gamma^2\left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right)\right),$$

where Γ is the gamma function. In R, we get

```
mu <- lam * gamma(1+1/k)
SE <- lam * sqrt( gamma(1+2/k) - gamma(1+1/k)^2 )
mu
```

(Intercept) ## 13.20256 SF

```
## (Intercept)
## 1.188294
```

The mean is 13.20 and the standard error is 1.19.

f)

Dette må vel også skrives en gang. . .