TMA4315: Project 1

Jim Totland, Martin Gudahl Tufte

9/8/2021

Problem 1

a)

Since the response variables $y_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\pi_i)$, where $\pi_i = \Pr(y_i = 1 \mid \boldsymbol{x}_i)$. The conditional mean is given by $\text{E}y_i = \pi_i$, which is connected to the covariates via the following relationship:

$$\boldsymbol{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta} =: \eta_i = \Phi^{-1}(\pi_i),$$

or equivalently: $\pi_i = \Phi(\eta_i)$. This results in the likelihood function

$$\begin{aligned} L(\boldsymbol{\beta}) &= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \pi_i^{y_i} (1-\pi_i)^{1-y_i} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \Phi(\eta_i)^{y_i} (1-\Phi(\eta_i))^{1-y_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the log-likelihood becomes

$$l(\boldsymbol{\beta}) := \ln(L(\boldsymbol{\beta})) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underbrace{y_i \ln(\Phi(\eta_i)) + (1-y_i)\ln(1-\Phi(\eta_i))}_{=l_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}).$$

To find the score function, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial l_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} &= \frac{y_i}{\Phi(\eta_i)} \frac{\partial \Phi(\eta_i)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} - \frac{1-y_i}{1-\Phi(\eta_i)} \frac{\partial \Phi(\eta_i)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} \\ &= \frac{y_i}{\Phi(\eta_i)} \phi(\eta_i) \boldsymbol{x}_i - \frac{1-y_i}{1-\Phi(\eta_i)} \phi(\eta_i) \boldsymbol{x}_i \\ &= \frac{y_i(1-\Phi(\eta_i)) - (1-y_i)\Phi(\eta_i)}{\Phi(\eta_i)(1-\Phi(\eta_i))} \phi(\eta_i) \boldsymbol{x}_i \\ &= \frac{y_i - \Phi(\eta_i)}{\Phi(\eta_i)(1-\Phi(\eta_i))} \phi(\eta_i) \boldsymbol{x}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the score function is given by

$$\boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{y_i - \Phi(\eta_i)}{\Phi(\eta_i)(1-\Phi(\eta_i))} \phi(\eta_i) \boldsymbol{x}_i = X^T D \Sigma^{-1} (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}),$$

where $D = \text{diag}(\phi(\eta_i))$ and $\Sigma = \text{diag}(\text{Var}(y_i)) = \text{diag}(\Phi(\eta_i)(1-\Phi(\eta_i)))$. Next, we find the expected Fisher information, $F(\boldsymbol{\beta})$. We find it by using the result

$$\begin{aligned} F(\boldsymbol{\beta}) &= \text{Var}(\boldsymbol{s}(\beta)) = \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{y_i - \Phi(\eta_i)}{\Phi(\eta_i)(1-\Phi(\eta_i))}\phi(\eta_i)\boldsymbol{x}_i\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{\phi(\eta_i)}{\Phi(\eta_i)(1-\Phi(\eta_i))}\right]^2 \text{Var}(y_i\boldsymbol{x}_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{\phi(\eta_i)}{\Phi(\eta_i)(1-\Phi(\eta_i))}\right]^2 \boldsymbol{x}_i \text{Var}(y_i)\boldsymbol{x}_i^T \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{\phi(\eta_i)}{\Phi(\eta_i)(1-\Phi(\eta_i))}\right]^2 \pi_i(1-\pi_i)\boldsymbol{x}_i\boldsymbol{x}_i^T = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\phi(\eta_i)^2}{\Phi(\eta_i)(1-\Phi(\eta_i))}\boldsymbol{x}_i\boldsymbol{x}_i^T, \end{aligned}$$

Where in the third equality we have used that the y_i's are independent. The expected Fisher information can also be verified to have this expression by the general relationship

$$F(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{h'(\eta_i)^2}{\text{Var}(y_i)}\boldsymbol{x}_i\boldsymbol{x}_i^T,$$

where $h'(\eta_i) = \Phi'(\eta_i) = \phi(\eta_i)$ and $\text{Var}(y_i) = \pi_i(1-\pi_i) = \Phi(\eta_i)(1-\Phi(\eta_i))$.

b)

The expected Fisher information is given by

$$F(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\phi(\eta_i)^2}{\Phi(\eta_i)(1-\Phi(\eta_i))}\boldsymbol{x}_i\boldsymbol{x}_i^T = X^T W X,$$

where $W = \text{diag}\left(\frac{\phi(\eta_i)^2}{\Phi(\eta_i)(1-\Phi(\eta_i))}\right)$.

The Fisher scoring algorithm states that the next iterate is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(t+1)} = \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(t)} + F(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(t)})^{-1}\boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(t)}).$$

We also need the deviance, which is defined as

$$D = 2(l_{\text{saturated}} - l(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})).$$

When we fit a parameter for each data point (which is the case for the saturated model), the result for the Bernoulli distribution is that $\hat{\pi}_i = y_i$. This means that the likelihood function of the saturated model is given by

$$L_{\text{saturated}} = \prod_{i=1}^{n}\hat{\pi}_i^{y_i}(1-\hat{\pi}_i)^{1-y_i} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} y_i^{y_i}(1+y_i)^{1-y_i} = 1,$$

Where we have used $0^0 = 1$. Consequently, the log-likelihood $l_{\text{saturated}} = \ln(1) = 0$ and the deviance becomes $-2l(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$. Next follows the Implementation of `myglm` in R:

```
Phi <- function(x) return (pnorm(x))
phi <- function(x) return (dnorm(x))


myglm <- function(formula, data, start = NULL){
```

```r
# response variable
resp <- all.vars(formula)[1]
y <- as.matrix( data[resp] )


# model matrix
X <- model.matrix(formula, data)
n <- dim(X)[1]
p <- dim(X)[2]


# starting beta
if (is.null(start)){
  beta = rep(0, p)
}
else {
  beta = start
}


# Fisher scoring algorithm
max_iter <- 50
tol <- 1e-10
iter <- 0
rel.err <- Inf

F.inv = NULL
eta = NULL

while (rel.err > tol & iter < max_iter){
  # Calculate eta.
  eta <- X %*% beta

  # Calculate score.
  D <- diag(as.vector(phi(eta)), n, n)
  Sigma <- diag(as.vector(Phi(eta)*(1 - Phi(eta))), n, n)
  mu.vec <- as.vector(Phi(eta))
  score = t(X) %*% D %*% solve(Sigma) %*% (y - mu.vec)

  # Calculate Fisher information and its inverse.
  W <- diag(as.vector(phi(eta)^2 / (Phi(eta)*(1-Phi(eta)))), n, n)
  F <- t(X) %*% W %*% X
  F.inv <- solve(F)

  # Update beta.
  beta.new <- beta + F.inv %*% score

  iter <- iter + 1
  rel.err <- max(abs(beta.new - beta) / abs(beta.new))
  beta <- beta.new
}

# Calculating std.errors and deviance.
```

```
  std.Error <- sqrt(diag(F.inv))
  deviance = -2 * sum(y*log(pnorm(eta)) + (1 - y)*log(1 -pnorm(eta)))

  return (list("coefficients" = data.frame(beta, std.Error),
               "deviance" = deviance,
               "vcov" = F.inv))
}
```

c)

Simulation of 1000 Bernoulli draws with a random probability.

```
# probability
x = runif(1000, 0, 1)
# draw n bernoulli with prob x
y <- rbinom(1000, 1, x)
df <- data.frame(y, x)
### fit using glm
model <- glm(y ~ x, family = binomial(link = "probit"), data = df)
# beta
model$coefficients
```

```
## (Intercept)           x
##   -1.532009    3.013889
```

```
# se for beta
summary(model)
```

```
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = y ~ x, family = binomial(link = "probit"), data = df)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##     Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -2.1647  -0.7918  -0.3759   0.7560   2.2693
##
## Coefficients:
##             Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) -1.53201    0.09677  -15.83   <2e-16 ***
## x            3.01389    0.17456   17.27   <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##     Null deviance: 1384.0  on 999  degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 1021.4  on 998  degrees of freedom
## AIC: 1025.4
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

```
# vcov
vcov(model)
```

```
##              (Intercept)           x
## (Intercept)  0.009365089 -0.01494620
```

```
## x           -0.014946200  0.03047089
```

```
# deviance
model$deviance
```

```
## [1] 1021.389
```

```
### fit using myglm
mymodel <- myglm(y ~ x, data = df)
# beta
mymodel$coefficients
```

```
##                      y  std.Error
## (Intercept) -1.532011 0.09677619
## x            3.013893 0.17456467
```

```
# vcov
mymodel$vcov
```

```
##             (Intercept)           x
## (Intercept)  0.00936563 -0.01494716
## x           -0.01494716  0.03047283
```

```
# deviance
mymodel$deviance
```

```
## [1] 1021.389
```

Problem 2

a)

```
#install.packages("ISwR")
library(ISwR) # Install the package if needed
data(juul)
juul$menarche <- juul$menarche - 1
juul.girl <- subset(juul, age>8 & age<20 & complete.cases(menarche))
```

```
mod.probit <- glm(menarche ~ age, family=binomial(link="probit"), data= juul.girl)
anova(mod.probit, test = "Chisq")
```

```
## Analysis of Deviance Table
##
## Model: binomial, link: probit
##
## Response: menarche
##
## Terms added sequentially (first to last)
##
##
##      Df Deviance Resid. Df Resid. Dev  Pr(>Chi)
## NULL                  518     719.39
## age   1      522       517     197.39 < 2.2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

The low p-value suggests that age has an effect on the response variable.

b)

Relating to the `juul` data set, we define for each observation/individual

$$y_i = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if menarche has occured.} \\ 1, & \text{if menarche has not occured.} \end{cases}$$

and t_i as the age at the time of examination, which corresponds to `age` in the data set. Let $T_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, where T_i is the time until menarche occurs for the i'th individual. Furthermore, let

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_i &:= P(y_i = 1) = P(T_i \leq t_i) \\ &= P\left(\frac{T_i - \mu}{\sigma} \leq \frac{t_i - \mu}{\sigma}\right) = \Phi\left(\frac{t_i - \mu}{\sigma}\right) \end{aligned}$$

This, in turn, gives

$$\Phi^{-1}(\pi_i) = -\frac{\mu}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sigma} t_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t_i,$$

where $\beta_0 = -\mu/\sigma$ and $\beta_1 = 1/\sigma$.

c)

```
mod.logit <- glm(menarche ~ age, family = binomial(link = 'logit'), data = juul.girl)
mod.logit$coefficients[2]
```

```
##      age
## 1.517289
```

To show find the distribution of the T_i's, we start with the cumulative distribution:

$$\Pr(T_i \leq t_i) = \Pr(y_i = 1 \mid t_i) = \pi_i = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\eta_i}}.$$

The pdf of T_i is then given as

$$\begin{aligned} f_{T_i}(t_i) &= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t_i}\left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{-\eta_i}}\right) = \frac{\beta_1 e^{-\beta_0 - \beta_1 t_i}}{(1 + e^{-\beta_0 - \beta_1 t_i})^2} \\ &= \frac{e^{-(t_i - (-\beta_0/\beta_1))/(1/\beta_1)}}{1/\beta_1 (1 + e^{-(t_i - (-\beta_0/\beta_1))/(1/\beta_1)})^2} = \frac{e^{-(t_i - \mu)/s}}{s(1 + e^{-(t_i - \mu)/s})^2}. \end{aligned}$$

This is the logistic distribution, with parameters $\mu = -\beta_0/\beta_1$ and $s = 1/\beta_1$, where we have used the parametrization from Wikipedia. We compute estimates of the mean and variance from the estimates of β_0 and β_1 in the output above. An estimate of the mean is then given by $\mathrm{E}(T_i) = -\beta_0/\beta 1 \approx 13.1901147$ and an estimate of the variance is given by $\mathrm{Var}(T_i) = s^2\pi^2/3 = \pi^2/(3\beta_1^2) \approx 1.4290323$.

d)

We now assume that the latent ages follow a log-normal distribution, i.e.

$$T_i \sim \text{Lognormal}(\mu, \sigma^2).$$

This is equivalent to stating that $\ln T_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Now we can follow the same approach as in 2b):

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_i &:= \Pr(y_i = 1) = \Pr(T_i \leq t_i) = \Pr(\ln T_i \leq \ln t_i) \\ &= \Pr\left(\frac{\ln T_i - \mu}{\sigma} \leq \frac{\ln t_i - \mu}{\sigma}\right) = \Phi\left(\frac{\ln t_i - \mu}{\sigma}\right)\end{aligned}$$

This, in turn, gives

$$\Phi^{-1}(\pi_i) = -\frac{\mu}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sigma} t_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln t_i,$$

where $\beta_0 = -\mu/\sigma$ and $\beta_1 = 1/\sigma$. Consequently, we fit GLM with a probit link-function and...