## TMA4315: Project 1

Jim Totland, Martin Gudahl Tufte

## Problem 1

**a**)

Since the response variables  $y_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\pi_i)$ , where  $\pi_i = \Pr(y_i = 1 \mid \boldsymbol{x}_i)$ . The conditional mean is given by  $Ey_i = \pi_i$ , which is connected to the covariates via the following relationship:

$$\boldsymbol{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta} =: \eta_i = \Phi^{-1}(\pi_i),$$

or equivalently:  $\pi_i = \Phi(\eta_i)$ . This results in the likelihood function

$$L(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \pi_i^{y_i} (1 - \pi_i)^{1 - y_i}$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \Phi(\eta_i)^{y_i} (1 - \Phi(\eta_i))^{1 - y_i}.$$

Thus, the log-likelihood becomes

$$l(\beta) := \ln(L(\beta)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underbrace{y_i \ln(\Phi(\eta_i)) + (1 - y_i) \ln(1 - \Phi(\eta_i))}_{=l_i(\beta)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_i(\beta).$$

To find the score function, we calculate

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial l_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} &= \frac{y_i}{\Phi(\eta_i)} \frac{\partial \Phi(\eta_i)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} - \frac{1 - y_i}{1 - \Phi(\eta_i)} \frac{\partial \Phi(\eta_i)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} \\ &= \frac{y_i}{\Phi(\eta_i)} \phi(\eta_i) \boldsymbol{x}_i - \frac{1 - y_i}{1 - \Phi(\eta_i)} \phi(\eta_i) \boldsymbol{x}_i \\ &= \frac{y_i(1 - \Phi(\eta_i)) - (1 - y_i) \Phi(\eta_i)}{\Phi(\eta_i)(1 - \Phi(\eta_i))} \phi(\eta_i) \boldsymbol{x}_i \\ &= \frac{y_i - \Phi(\eta_i)}{\Phi(\eta_i)(1 - \Phi(\eta_i))} \phi(\eta_i) \boldsymbol{x}_i. \end{split}$$

Consequently, the score function is given by

$$s(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{y_i - \Phi(\eta_i)}{\Phi(\eta_i)(1 - \Phi(\eta_i))} \phi(\eta_i) \boldsymbol{x}_i.$$

Next, we find the expected Fisher information,  $F(\beta)$ . We find it by using the result

$$F(\beta) = \operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{s}(\beta)) = \operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{y_{i} - \Phi(\eta_{i})}{\Phi(\eta_{i})(1 - \Phi(\eta_{i}))} \phi(\eta_{i}) \boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\frac{\phi(\eta_{i})}{\Phi(\eta_{i})(1 - \Phi(\eta_{i}))}\right]^{2} \operatorname{Var}(y_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\frac{\phi(\eta_{i})}{\Phi(\eta_{i})(1 - \Phi(\eta_{i}))}\right]^{2} \boldsymbol{x}_{i} \operatorname{Var}(y_{i}) \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{T}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\frac{\phi(\eta_{i})}{\Phi(\eta_{i})(1 - \Phi(\eta_{i}))}\right]^{2} \pi_{i}(1 - \pi_{i}) \boldsymbol{x}_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{T} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\phi(\eta_{i})^{2}}{\Phi(\eta_{i})(1 - \Phi(\eta_{i}))} \boldsymbol{x}_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{T},$$

Where in the third equality we have used that the  $y_i$ 's are independent. The expected Fisher information can also be verified to have this expression by the general relationship

$$F(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{h'(\eta_i)^2}{\operatorname{Var}(y_i)} \boldsymbol{x}_i \boldsymbol{x}_i^T,$$

where  $h'(\eta_i) = \Phi'(\eta_i) = \phi(\eta_i)$  and  $\operatorname{Var}(y_i) = \pi_i(1 - \pi_i) = \Phi(\eta_i)(1 - \Phi(\eta_i))$ .

b)

The expected Fisher information is given by

$$F(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\phi(\eta_i)^2}{\Phi(\eta_i)(1 - \Phi(\eta_i))} \boldsymbol{x}_i \boldsymbol{x}_i^T = \boldsymbol{x}^T W \boldsymbol{x},$$

where  $W = \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{\phi(\eta_i)^2}{\Phi(\eta_i)(1-\Phi(\eta_i))}\right)$ 

The Fisher scoring algorithm states that the next iterate is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(t+1)} = \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(t)} + F(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(t)})^{-1} s(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(t)}).$$

Inserting the expected Fisher information and the score function we get Jeg tror det du beskriver under er Iterated Reweighted Least Squares, som er en variant av Fisher Scoring algo. Tror bi kun trenger formelen over for å implementere myglm.

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(t+1)} = (\boldsymbol{x}^T W^{(t)} \boldsymbol{x})^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}^T W^{(t)} \tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}^{(t)}$$

where the working response vector  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}^{(t)}$  has element i given by

$$\tilde{y}_i^{(t)} = \boldsymbol{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(t)} + \frac{y_i - h(\boldsymbol{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(t)})}{h'(\boldsymbol{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(t)})} = \eta_i^{(t)} + \frac{y_i - \Phi(\eta_i^{(t)})}{\phi(\eta_i^{(t)})}.$$

litt om deviance her. Litt ullent for meg hva en saturated model er, men dette gir riktig svar i c). We also need the deviance, which is defined as

$$D = 2(l_{\text{saturated}} - l(\hat{\beta})).$$

When we fit a parameter for each data point (which is the case for the saturated model), the result for the Bernoulli distribution is that  $\hat{\pi}_i = y_i$ . This means that the likelihood function of the saturated model is given by

$$L_{\text{saturated}} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\pi}_{i}^{y_{i}} (1 - \hat{\pi}_{i})^{1 - y_{i}} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{y_{i}} (1 + y_{i})^{1 - y_{i}} = 1,$$

Where we have used  $0^0 = 1$ . Consequently, the log-likelihood  $l_{\text{saturated}} = \ln(1) = 0$  and the deviance becomes  $-2l(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$ . Next follows the Implementation of myglm in R:

```
Phi <- function(x) return (pnorm(x))</pre>
phi <- function(x) return (dnorm(x))</pre>
myglm <- function(formula, data, start = NULL){</pre>
  # response variable
  resp <- all.vars(formula)[1]</pre>
  y <- as.matrix( data[resp] )</pre>
  # model matrix
  X <- model.matrix(formula, data)</pre>
  n \leftarrow dim(X)[1]
  p \leftarrow dim(X)[2]
  # starting beta
  if (is.null(start)){
    beta = rep(0, p)
  }
  else {
    beta = start
  # Fisher scoring algorithm
  max iter <- 50
  tol <- 1e-10
  iter <- 0
  rel.err <- Inf
  while (rel.err > tol & iter < max iter){
    # calculate eta, y tilde, W
    eta <- X %*% beta
    y.tilde <- eta + (y - Phi(eta)) / (phi(eta))</pre>
    W <- diag( as.vector(phi(eta)^2 / (Phi(eta)*(1-Phi(eta)))), n, n)
    # update beta
    A \leftarrow t(X) \% \% W \% X
    b <- t(X) %*% W %*% y.tilde
    beta.new <- solve(A, b)</pre>
    iter <- iter + 1</pre>
    rel.err <- max(abs(beta.new - beta) / abs(beta.new))
    beta <- beta.new
  # Calculating vcov and deviance.
  F.inv <- solve(A)
```

## $\mathbf{c})$

Simulation of 1000 Bernoulli draws with a random probability.

```
# probability
x = runif(1000, 0, 1)
\# draw n bernoulli with prob x
y \leftarrow rbinom(1000, 1, x)
df <- data.frame(y, x)</pre>
### fit using qlm
model <- glm(y ~ x, family = binomial(link = "probit"), data = df)</pre>
model $ coefficients
## (Intercept)
##
   -1.492948
                  2.992932
# se for beta
summary(model)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = y ~ x, family = binomial(link = "probit"), data = df)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##
       Min
                 1Q
                     Median
                                   3Q
                                           Max
## -2.2229 -0.7936 -0.3981
                               0.8152
                                        2.2736
##
## Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) -1.49295
                          0.09524 -15.68
                                             <2e-16 ***
## x
                2.99293
                           0.17543
                                     17.06
                                             <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
       Null deviance: 1383.8 on 999 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 1029.2 on 998 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 1033.2
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
vcov(model)
```

```
##
                (Intercept)
## (Intercept) 0.009070212 -0.01473482
               -0.014734823 0.03077485
# deviance
model$deviance
## [1] 1029.161
### fit using myglm
mymodel \leftarrow myglm(y \sim x, data = df)
# beta
mymodel$coefficients
                    beta std.Error
## (Intercept) -1.492948 0.09523798
                2.992932 0.17542858
## x
# υςου
mymodel$vcov
##
                (Intercept)
## (Intercept) 0.009070273 -0.01473496
## x
               -0.014734955 0.03077519
# deviance
mymodel$deviance
## [1] 1029.161
```

## Problem 2

**a**)

```
#install.packages("ISwR")
library(ISwR) # Install the package if needed
data(juul)
juul$menarche <- juul$menarche - 1</pre>
juul.girl <- subset(juul, age>8 & age<20 & complete.cases(menarche))</pre>
mod.probit <- glm(menarche ~ age, family=binomial(link="probit"), data= juul.girl)</pre>
anova(mod.probit, test = "Chisq")
## Analysis of Deviance Table
## Model: binomial, link: probit
##
## Response: menarche
##
## Terms added sequentially (first to last)
##
##
        Df Deviance Resid. Df Resid. Dev Pr(>Chi)
##
## NULL
                          518
                                  719.39
                                  197.39 < 2.2e-16 ***
                522
                          517
## age
        1
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

The low p-value suggests that age has an effect on the response variable.

b)

Relating to the juul data set, we define for each observation/individual

$$y_i = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if menarche has occured.} \\ 1, & \text{if menarche has not occured.} \end{cases}$$

and  $t_i$  as the age at the time of examination, which corresponds to age in the data set. Let  $T_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $T_i$  is the time until menarche occurs for the *i*'th individual. Furthermore, let

$$\pi_i := P(y_i = 1) = P(T_i \le t_i)$$

$$= P\left(\frac{T_i - \mu}{\sigma} \le \frac{t_i - \mu}{\sigma}\right) = \Phi\left(\frac{t_i - \mu}{\sigma}\right)$$

This, in turn, gives

$$\Phi^{-1}(\pi_i) = -\frac{\mu}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sigma}t_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t_i,$$

where  $\beta_0 = -\mu/\sigma$  and  $\beta_1 = 1/\sigma$ .

Let  $\mu = \mu(\beta_0, \beta_1)$  and  $\sigma = \sigma(\beta_0, \beta_1)$ . If  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$  denotes the MLEs of  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ , respectively, then  $\hat{\mu} = \mu(\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1)$  and  $\hat{\sigma} = \sigma(\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1)$  is the MLEs of  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$ . It follows that

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{\beta_1}, \quad \mu = -\frac{\beta_0}{\beta_1},$$

so the MLEs are

$$\hat{\sigma} = \frac{1}{\hat{\beta}_1}, \quad \hat{\mu} = -\frac{\hat{\beta}_0}{\hat{\beta}_1}.$$

The corresponding standard errors of these estimators can then be calculated using the delta method. A first order Taylor expansion of  $\mu(\beta_0, \beta_1)$  gives

$$\mu(\beta_0, \beta_1) \approx \hat{\mu} + \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \beta_0} \left( \beta_0 - \hat{\beta}_0 \right) + \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \beta_1} \left( \beta_1 - \hat{\beta}_1 \right),$$

so

$$\operatorname{Var}(\mu) \approx \left(\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \beta_0}\right)^2 \operatorname{Var}(\beta_0) + \left(\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \beta_1}\right)^2 \operatorname{Var}(\beta_1) + 2\left(\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \beta_0}\right) \left(\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \beta_1}\right) \operatorname{Cov}(\beta_0, \beta_1)$$
$$= \left(-\frac{1}{\beta_1}\right)^2 \operatorname{Var}(\beta_0) + \left(\frac{\beta_0}{\beta_1^2}\right)^2 \operatorname{Var}(\beta_1) - \frac{2\beta_0}{\beta_1^3} \operatorname{Cov}(\beta_0, \beta_1).$$

A similar derivation for  $\sigma$  yields

$$\operatorname{Var}(\sigma) \approx \left(\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \beta_0}\right)^2 \operatorname{Var}(\beta_0) + \left(\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \beta_1}\right)^2 \operatorname{Var}(\beta_1) + 2\left(\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \beta_0}\right) \left(\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \beta_1}\right) \operatorname{Cov}(\beta_0, \beta_1)$$
$$= \left(-\frac{1}{\beta_1^2}\right)^2 \operatorname{Var}(\beta_1).$$

Thus, the standard error of the MLE estimators are

$$\widehat{\mathrm{SE}\left(\hat{\sigma}\right)} = \frac{1}{\hat{\beta}_{1}^{2}} \, \mathrm{SE}(\hat{\beta}_{1}), \quad \widehat{\mathrm{SE}(\hat{\mu})} = \frac{1}{\hat{\beta}_{1}} \sqrt{\mathrm{Var}(\hat{\beta}_{0}) + \left(\frac{\hat{\beta}_{0}}{\hat{\beta}_{1}}\right) \mathrm{Var}(\hat{\beta}_{1})},$$

where it was used (assumed?) that  $Cov(\beta_0, \beta_1) = 0$ . In R we find the  $\beta$ 's and their standard error using the summary-function.

From the readout we get  $\hat{\beta}_0 = -11.3703291$  with a standard error of 0.8623271 and  $\hat{\beta}_1 = 1.0634596$  with a standard error of 0.0810622. Thus  $\hat{\mu} = 10.6918296$  and  $\hat{\sigma} = 0.9403272$ . Their standard errors are  $\widehat{SE}(\hat{\sigma}) = 0.0716765$  and  $\widehat{SE}(\hat{\mu}) = 0.7716134$ .

**c**)

```
mod.logit <- glm(menarche ~ age, family = binomial(link = 'logit'), data = juul.girl)
mod.logit$coefficients[2]

## age
## 1.517289</pre>
```

To show find the distribution of the  $T_i$ 's, we start with the cumulative distribution:

$$\Pr(T_i \le t_i) = \Pr(y_i = 1 \mid t_i) = \pi_i = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\eta_i}}.$$

The pdf of  $T_i$  is then given as

$$\begin{split} f_{T_i}(t_i) &= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t_i} \left( \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\eta_i}} \right) = \frac{\beta_1 e^{-\beta_0 - \beta_1 t_i}}{(1 + e^{-\beta_0 - \beta_1 t_i})^2} \\ &= \frac{e^{-(t_i - (-\beta_0/\beta_1))/(1/\beta_1)}}{1/\beta_1 (1 + e^{-(t_i - (-\beta_0/\beta_1))/(1/\beta_1)})^2} = \frac{e^{-(t_i - \mu)/s}}{s(1 + e^{-(t_i - \mu)/s})^2}. \end{split}$$

This is the logistic distribution, with parameters  $\mu = -\beta_0/\beta_1$  and  $s = 1/\beta_1$ , where we have used the parametrization from Wikipedia. We compute estimates of the mean and variance from the estimates of  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  in the output above. An estimate of the mean is then given by  $E(T_i) = -\beta_0/\beta_1 \approx 13.1901147$  and an estimate of the variance is given by  $Var(T_i) = s^2\pi^2/3 = \pi^2/(3\beta_1^2) \approx 1.4290323$ .

d)

We now assume that the latent ages follow a log-normal distribution, i.e.

$$T_i \sim \text{Lognormal}(\mu, \sigma^2).$$

This is equivalent to stating that  $\ln T_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Now we can follow the same approach as in 2b):

$$\pi_i := \Pr(y_i = 1) = \Pr(T_i \le t_i) = \Pr(\ln T_i \le \ln t_i)$$
$$= \Pr\left(\frac{\ln T_i - \mu}{\sigma} \le \frac{\ln t_i - \mu}{\sigma}\right) = \Phi\left(\frac{\ln t_i - \mu}{\sigma}\right)$$

This, in turn, gives

$$\Phi^{-1}(\pi_i) = -\frac{\mu}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sigma}t_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln t_i,$$

where  $\beta_0 = -\mu/\sigma$  and  $\beta_1 = 1/\sigma$ . Consequently, we fit GLM with a probit link-function and...