



jim rothstein <jimrothstein@gmail.com>

lawyer ?

3 messages

jim rothstein <jimrothstein@gmail.com>

Fri, Apr 21, 2023 at 12:43 PM

To: Philip Faulconer <philip@propellerdevelopment.com>

My case in Salem (the landlord convicted of multiple felonies, and now probation violation - alleged) appears to have started to move.

4 1/2 years - since original Grand Jury indictments, arrest etc.
`Treatment`, but no jail.

2 years - since prosecutors filed `probation violation` allegation, DUI.
delay, delay, delay.... (DUI is Salem Municipal Court, Covid has pushed jury trials back - by YEARS)

yesterday - parties agreed to move forward with "hearing" on the probation violation motion, even though the DUI case is unresolved (these are 2 separate cases, in different Courts)

I made statement yesterday: does record show "behavior change?"

May is date for "hearing". Given the track record, this too will be delay, delay, delay. And given the prosecutors complete lack of interest in the case ... I think odds favor Defendant walking free (no probation violation, no more probation ... you are free to party now)

Never had lawyer in this case. Because I am better advocate. HOWEVER, record ALSO shows my efforts have resulted in only the most lenient sentencing possible. (despite Defendant's prior felonies, criminal history and continued abuse of legal system - says that judge, not me - in unrelated, ongoing civil case.)

I can not make a prosecutor enforce their own written, "stipulated agreement". But maybe judge & prosecutors will listen to own of their own - member of the bar. Maybe.

I am looking for one of these "members of the bar".

Before you answer, think just a bit about what I think is going on.

A criminal, ie professional criminal, has long outsmarted the legal

system. Def has also intimidated others from coming forward. Like a fool, I don't take things so lightly.

I did the leg work to show fraud, perjury etc. Only then did police, DA take interest. The first DA was a good one - he understood the writing on the wall re: Defendant - and he is the one who set the standard as "behavior change", backed by 3 years in prison.

He disappeared and the route certainly did not include jail. Last August, Judge in another civil case ruled, in writing, wrote that Def is continuing abusing the legal system to do what she can not do legally.

So I think a disinterested, `rational` person, reading the court files, with no other background in the case, would be very skeptical that "behavior change" has taken place.

(I doubt prosecutor has read the files, they zero in on criminal only, or is that an excuse.)

So I suspect, with a bit more "push", but in the right way, the DA would conclude they need to speak up. (Literally, in all the hearings it is Defense atty doing most of talking; the prosecutor just goes along and says silent.)

So the `trick` needed: to convince PROSECUTOR he/she to stop saying "see no evil" (well, silently he/she says that)

Now, I need to return to the many CRIMES of Trump's lawyer, including Cleta --- , who is now on campaign to stop GEN Z from voting. Don't forget EASTMAN....

Thx.
jim