

# Verification and Validation Report: Room8

Team 19

Mohammed Abed

Maged Armanios

Jinal Kasturiarachchi

Jane Klavir

Harshil Patel

March 10, 2025

# 1 Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
Date 1	1.0	Notes
Date 2	1.1	Notes

## 2 Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms

Acronym	description
SRS	Software Requirements Specification
VnV	Verification and Validation
CI/CD	Continuous Integration and Continuous deployment
API	Application Programming Interface
Exp.	Expected
Act.	Actual

[symbols, abbreviations or acronyms – you can reference the SRS tables if needed —SS]

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Revision History</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Functional Requirements Evaluation</b>	<b>1</b>
3.1	FR211 . . . . .	1
3.2	FR212 . . . . .	1
3.3	FR213 . . . . .	2
3.4	FR214 . . . . .	2
3.5	FR215 . . . . .	3
3.6	FR216 . . . . .	3
3.7	FR217 . . . . .	4
3.8	FR218 . . . . .	4
3.9	FR221 . . . . .	5
3.10	FR222 . . . . .	6
3.11	FR223 . . . . .	6
3.12	FR224 . . . . .	7
3.13	FR231 . . . . .	7
3.14	FR233 . . . . .	8
3.15	FR234 . . . . .	8
3.16	FR235 . . . . .	9
3.17	FR241 . . . . .	9
3.18	FR242 . . . . .	10
3.19	FR243 . . . . .	10
3.20	FR244 . . . . .	11
3.21	FR245 . . . . .	12
3.22	FR251 . . . . .	13
3.23	FR252 . . . . .	13
3.24	FR253 . . . . .	14
3.25	FR254 . . . . .	15
3.26	FR255 . . . . .	15
<b>4</b>	<b>Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation</b>	<b>16</b>
4.1	Usability . . . . .	16
4.1.1	NFR237 . . . . .	16
4.1.2	NFR242 . . . . .	16
4.2	Performance . . . . .	17
4.2.1	NFR214 . . . . .	17
4.2.2	NFR234 . . . . .	18

4.2.3	NFR235	18
4.3	Privacy and Security	19
4.3.1	NFR211	19
4.3.2	NFR212	19
4.3.3	NFR213	20
4.3.4	NFR221	20
4.3.5	NFR231	20
4.3.6	NFR232	20
4.3.7	NFR233	20
4.3.8	NFR244	20
4.3.9	NFR251	21
4.4	etc.(MAYBE RENAME TO "OTHER")	21
4.4.1	NFR222	21
4.4.2	NFR236	21
4.4.3	NFR241	21
4.4.4	NFR243	21
4.4.5	NFR252	21
<b>5</b>	<b>Comparison to Existing Implementation</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Unit Testing</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Changes Due to Testing</b>	<b>27</b>
7.1	Changes Due to Unit Testing	27
<b>8</b>	<b>Automated Testing</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Trace to Requirements</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Trace to Modules</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>Code Coverage Metrics</b>	<b>28</b>

## List of Tables

1	Front-end Code Coverage Report	23
---	--------------------------------	----

## List of Figures

1	Component Diagram	18
---	-------------------	----

This document ...

## 3 Functional Requirements Evaluation

### 3.1 FR211

The system shall allow users to create an account using their Google account.

FST-UAHM-1 Profile Creation	
<b>Description</b>	Tests if the system is able to handle creating user profiles in the system from frontend inputs
<b>Input</b>	Valid Google account sign in
<b>Exp. Output</b>	Room8 account created and Frontend redirects user to the dashboard
<b>Act. Output</b>	Room8 account created and Frontend redirects user to the dashboard
<b>Evaluation</b>	Acceptance testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

### 3.2 FR212

The system shall allows users to log in to their account.

FST-UAHM-2 System Login	
<b>Description</b>	Tests if the system is able to recognize a user profile and to authenticate them to the system
<b>Input</b>	Valid Google account matching the profile in the system
<b>Exp. Output</b>	User profile is authenticated with a new session entry. Frontend redirects user to the dashboard
<b>Act. Output</b>	User profile is authenticated with a new session entry. Frontend redirects user to the dashboard
<b>Evaluation</b>	Acceptance testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

### 3.3 FR213

The system shall allows users to log out of their account.

<b>FST-UAHM-3 System Logout</b>	
<b>Description</b>	Tests if the system logs the user out, invalidating their session and returning them to the homepage
<b>Input</b>	User clicks logout button
<b>Exp. Output</b>	The system invalidates the session and redirects the user to the homepage
<b>Act. Output</b>	The system invalidates the session and redirects the user to the homepage
<b>Evaluation</b>	Acceptance testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

### 3.4 FR214

The system shall allow users to create a home group using the home name, address, and number of roommates.

<b>FST-UAHM-4 Create Home Instance</b>	
<b>Description</b>	Tests if the system allows users to create a new home group with specified details
<b>Input</b>	Home name, address, and number of roommates to their respective fields
<b>Exp. Output</b>	A new home group instance is created with the specified details, and the user is added as a member
<b>Act. Output</b>	A new home group instance is created with the specified details, and the user is added as a member
<b>Evaluation</b>	Acceptance testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

### 3.5 FR215

The system shall allow users to invite other users to join their home group.

<b>FST-UAHM-5 Invite and Remove Users From Home Instance</b>	
<b>Description</b>	Tests if the system allows users to invite others to join a home group and remove them as needed
<b>Input</b>	Valid email address of another user to invite; selection of a user to remove
<b>Exp. Output</b>	The invited user receives an invitation, joins the home group upon acceptance, and can be removed by the home admin
<b>Act. Output</b>	The invited user receives an invitation, joins the home group upon acceptance, and can be removed by the home admin
<b>Evaluation</b>	End-to-end testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

### 3.6 FR216

The system shall allow users to view the list of users in their home group.

<b>FST-UAHM-4 Create Home Instance</b>	
<b>Description</b>	Tests if the system allows users to create a new home group with specified details
<b>Input</b>	Home name, address, and number of roommates to their respective fields
<b>Exp. Output</b>	A new home group instance is created with the specified details, and the user is added as a member
<b>Act. Output</b>	A new home group instance is created with the specified details, and the user is added as a member
<b>Evaluation</b>	Acceptance testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass



<b>FST-UAHM-6    Leave Home Instance</b>	
<b>Description</b>	Tests if the system allows users to leave a home group as needed
<b>Input</b>	Leave home button press
<b>Exp. Output</b>	User receives feedback on their action to leave the home group
<b>Act. Output</b>	User receives feedback on their action to leave the home group
<b>Evaluation</b>	Functional testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

### 3.7    FR217

The system shall allow users to remove users from their home group.

<b>FST-UAHM-5    Invite and Remove Users From Home Instance</b>	
<b>Description</b>	Tests if the system allows users to invite others to join a home group and remove them as needed
<b>Input</b>	Valid email address of another user to invite; selection of a user to remove
<b>Exp. Output</b>	The invited user receives an invitation, joins the home group upon acceptance, and can be removed by the home admin
<b>Act. Output</b>	The invited user receives an invitation, joins the home group upon acceptance, and can be removed by the home admin
<b>Evaluation</b>	End-to-end testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

### 3.8    FR218

The system shall allow users to leave their home group.

<b>FST-UAHM-5 Invite and Remove Users From Home Instance</b>	
<b>Description</b>	Tests if the system allows users to invite others to join a home group and remove them as needed
<b>Input</b>	Valid email address of another user to invite; selection of a user to remove
<b>Exp. Output</b>	The invited user receives an invitation, joins the home group upon acceptance, and can be removed by the home admin
<b>Act. Output</b>	The invited user receives an invitation, joins the home group upon acceptance, and can be removed by the home admin
<b>Evaluation</b>	End-to-end testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

<b>FST-UAHM-6 Leave Home Instance</b>	
<b>Description</b>	Tests if the system allows users to leave a home group as needed
<b>Input</b>	Leave home button press
<b>Exp. Output</b>	User receives feedback on their action to leave the home group
<b>Act. Output</b>	User receives feedback on their action to leave the home group
<b>Evaluation</b>	Functional testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

### 3.9 FR221

The system shall allow users to configure the ChatBot settings to include or exclude messages corresponding to chore schedule, cleanliness manager, and bill splitter.

<b>FST-CC-1</b>	<b>Update Chatbot Settings</b>
<b>Description</b>	Tests if the chatbot settings can be updated successfully by an authorized user
<b>Input</b>	Randomized array of include and exclude inputs
<b>Exp. Output</b>	ChatBot settings entry in database reflect the array of inputs
<b>Act. Output</b>	ChatBot settings entry in database reflect the array of inputs
<b>Evaluation</b>	Acceptance testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

### 3.10 FR222

The ChatBot shall send reminders to the group chat about upcoming chores and events in the schedule 2 days in advance.

<b>FST-CC-3</b>	<b>ChatBot Sends Messages to Groupchats</b>
<b>Description</b>	Tests if the ChatBot is able to send messages to a group chat as per configuration
<b>Input</b>	Newly created chore, cleanliness score, and a shared expense
<b>Exp. Output</b>	Chatbot sends the appropriate configured messages to the group chat
<b>Act. Output</b>	Chatbot sends the appropriate configured messages to the group chat
<b>Evaluation</b>	End-to-end testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

### 3.11 FR223

The ChatBot shall send notifications to the group chat about new shared living space cleanliness scores immediately after an event is added to the cleanliness manager page.

<b>FST-CC-3</b>	<b>ChatBot Sends Messages to Groupchats</b>
<b>Description</b>	Tests if the ChatBot is able to send messages to a group chat as per configuration
<b>Input</b>	Newly created chore, cleanliness score, and a shared expense
<b>Exp. Output</b>	Chatbot sends the appropriate configured messages to the group chat
<b>Act. Output</b>	Chatbot sends the appropriate configured messages to the group chat
<b>Evaluation</b>	End-to-end testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

### 3.12 FR224

The ChatBot shall send notifications to the group chat about new shared expenses added to the bill splitter page immediately after its addition.

<b>FST-CC-3</b>	<b>ChatBot Sends Messages to Groupchats</b>
<b>Description</b>	Tests if the ChatBot is able to send messages to a group chat as per configuration
<b>Input</b>	Newly created chore, cleanliness score, and a shared expense
<b>Exp. Output</b>	Chatbot sends the appropriate configured messages to the group chat
<b>Act. Output</b>	Chatbot sends the appropriate configured messages to the group chat
<b>Evaluation</b>	End-to-end testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

### 3.13 FR231

The system shall list the changes in objects of the shared living space before and after a user enters and exits the space.

<b>FST-CM-1</b>	<b>System Evaluates Cleanliness</b>
<b>Description</b>	Tests if the system can evaluate and record changed objects based on captured images
<b>Input</b>	N/A
<b>Exp. Output</b>	List of objects changed in the shared space
<b>Act. Output</b>	List of objects changed in the shared space
<b>Evaluation</b>	Acceptance testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

### 3.14 FR233

The system shall display the picture of the detected mess in the shared living space.

<b>FST-CM-2</b>	<b>Display After Use Image</b>
<b>Description</b>	Tests if the system can evaluate and record cleanliness scores based on captured images
<b>Input</b>	N/A
<b>Exp. Output</b>	Prints user's changes to environment if any were made
<b>Act. Output</b>	Prints user's changes to environment if any were made
<b>Evaluation</b>	Unit testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

### 3.15 FR234

The system shall allow users to view the cleanliness score of other users.

<b>FST-CM-2</b>	<b>Display After Use Image</b>
<b>Description</b>	Tests if the system can evaluate and record cleanliness scores based on captured images
<b>Input</b>	N/A
<b>Exp. Output</b>	Prints user's changes to environment if any were made
<b>Act. Output</b>	Prints user's changes to environment if any were made
<b>Evaluation</b>	Unit testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

### 3.16 FR235

The system shall allow users to view the history of cleanliness scores and detected messes.

<b>FST-CM-2</b>	<b>Display After Use Image</b>
<b>Description</b>	Tests if the system can evaluate and record cleanliness scores based on captured images
<b>Input</b>	N/A
<b>Exp. Output</b>	Prints user's changes to environment if any were made
<b>Act. Output</b>	Prints user's changes to environment if any were made
<b>Evaluation</b>	Unit testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

### 3.17 FR241

The system shall allow users to add a new chore to the schedule.

<b>FST-SC-1</b>	<b>Adding Event to Schedule</b>
<b>Description</b>	Tests that new event appears in calendar after it is inputted.
<b>Input</b>	Title, date, time, and duration
<b>Exp. Output</b>	Calendar displaying new chore with input parameters
<b>Act. Output</b>	Calendar displaying new chore with input parameters
<b>Evaluation</b>	Functional testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

### 3.18 FR242

The system shall allow users to add a new event to the schedule.

<b>FST-SC-1</b>	<b>Adding Event to Schedule</b>
<b>Description</b>	Tests that new event appears in calendar after it is inputted.
<b>Input</b>	Title, date, time, and duration
<b>Exp. Output</b>	Calendar displaying new event with input parameters
<b>Act. Output</b>	Calendar displaying new event with input parameters
<b>Evaluation</b>	Functional testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

### 3.19 FR243

The system shall allow users to input chore and event details (name, description, time, frequency, assigned users, etc.).

<b>FST-SC-1</b>	<b>Adding Event to Schedule</b>
<b>Description</b>	Tests that new event appears in calendar after it is inputted.
<b>Input</b>	Title, date, time, and duration
<b>Exp. Output</b>	Calendar displaying new chore/event with input parameters
<b>Act. Output</b>	Calendar displaying new chore/event with input parameters
<b>Evaluation</b>	Functional testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

### 3.20 FR244

The system shall allow users to edit and delete chores and events.

<b>FST-SC-2</b>	<b>Removing Event from Schedule</b>
<b>Description</b>	Tests that event disappears from calendar after existing event is removed.
<b>Input</b>	Chore/event from calendar represented by name, date, time, and duration.
<b>Exp. Output</b>	Chore/event that was removed is no longer displayed in its previous timeblock in the calendar
<b>Act. Output</b>	Chore/event that was removed is no longer displayed in its previous timeblock in the calendar
<b>Evaluation</b>	Functional testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass



<b>FST-SC-3</b>	<b>Editing Event in Schedule</b>
<b>Description</b>	Tests that changes can be made to an already-existing event.
<b>Input</b>	Chore/event from calendar represented by name, date, time, and duration.
<b>Exp. Output</b>	Chore/event displayed in calendar after edits contains updated information (i.e. name, date, time, and/or duration)
<b>Act. Output</b>	Chore/event displayed in calendar after edits contains updated information (i.e. name, date, time, and/or duration)
<b>Evaluation</b>	Functional testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

### 3.21 FR245

The system shall allow users to view the schedule and mark chores as complete.

<b>FST-SC-1</b>	<b>Adding Event to Schedule</b>
<b>Description</b>	Tests that new event appears in calendar after it is inputted.
<b>Input</b>	Title, date, time, and duration
<b>Exp. Output</b>	Calendar displaying new chore/event with input parameters
<b>Act. Output</b>	Calendar displaying new chore/event with input parameters
<b>Evaluation</b>	Functional testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

<b>FST-SC-4</b>	<b>Display Event Schedule</b>
<b>Description</b>	Tests that all events displayed in calendar view.
<b>Input</b>	User goes to calendar page
<b>Exp. Output</b>	Calendar displaying chores and events pertaining to the home group
<b>Act. Output</b>	Calendar displaying chores and events pertaining to the home group
<b>Evaluation</b>	Unit testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

### 3.22 FR251

The system shall allow users to add a new expense to the bill splitter and notify the involved users.

<b>FST-BSC-1</b>	<b>Add and Split Expense</b>
<b>Description</b>	Tests if the system allows users to add a new expense and splits it among group members
<b>Input</b>	New expense with an amount and shared expense room-mates
<b>Exp. Output</b>	Expense is split equally among group members and recorded
<b>Act. Output</b>	Expense is split equally among group members and recorded
<b>Evaluation</b>	Acceptance testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

### 3.23 FR252

The system shall allow users to view what they owe other housemates.

<b>FST-BSC-2</b>	<b>Display User Expenses</b>
<b>Description</b>	Tests if the system displays all expenses associated with a user
<b>Input</b>	User navigates to their expenses page
<b>Exp. Output</b>	System displays all expenses associated with the user
<b>Act. Output</b>	System displays all expenses associated with the user
<b>Evaluation</b>	Unit testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

### 3.24 FR253

The system shall allow users to view what they owe others.

<b>FST-BSC-2</b>	<b>Display User Expenses</b>
<b>Description</b>	Tests if the system displays all expenses associated with a user
<b>Input</b>	User navigates to their expenses page
<b>Exp. Output</b>	System displays all expenses associated with the user
<b>Act. Output</b>	System displays all expenses associated with the user
<b>Evaluation</b>	Unit testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

<b>FST-BSC-3</b>	<b>Mark Expense as Paid</b>
<b>Description</b>	Tests if the system allows users to mark an expense as paid
<b>Input</b>	User navigated to an expenses page and marks an expense as paid
<b>Exp. Output</b>	System updates the UI state and displays all expenses associated with the user
<b>Act. Output</b>	System updates the UI state and displays all expenses associated with the user
<b>Evaluation</b>	Unit testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

### 3.25 FR254

The system shall allow users to mark expenses as paid.

<b>FST-BSC-3</b>	<b>Mark Expense as Paid</b>
<b>Description</b>	Tests if the system allows users to mark an expense as paid
<b>Input</b>	User navigated to an expenses page and marks an expense as paid
<b>Exp. Output</b>	System updates the UI state and displays all expenses associated with the user
<b>Act. Output</b>	System updates the UI state and displays all expenses associated with the user
<b>Evaluation</b>	Unit testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

### 3.26 FR255

The system shall calculate debts in order to minimize the amount of transactions required between housemates.

<b>FST-BSC-1</b>	<b>Add and Split Expense</b>
<b>Description</b>	Tests if the system allows users to add a new expense and splits it among group members
<b>Input</b>	New expense with an amount and shared expense room-mates
<b>Exp. Output</b>	Expense is split equally among group members and recorded
<b>Act. Output</b>	Expense is split equally among group members and recorded
<b>Evaluation</b>	Acceptance testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

## 4 Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation

### 4.1 Usability

#### 4.1.1 NFR237

The system shall declare an instances of someone altering a room finished one there has been no activity in the room for a designated period of time.

<b>NFST-CM-6</b>	<b>End of Use</b>
<b>Description</b>	Test to check if system detects that the user is no longer using the space.
<b>Input</b>	User uses shared space and leaves after use
<b>Exp. Output</b>	After picture
<b>Act. Output</b>	After picture
<b>Evaluation</b>	Functional testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

#### 4.1.2 NFR242

The calendar system shall display all calendar events to users in their time zone.

<b>NFIT-SC-1</b>	<b>Calendar Event Time Storage and Display</b>
<b>Description</b>	Tests calendar to ensure event time in database is in UTC but on user's application is displayed in user's local time
<b>Input</b>	Event scheduled with specific time and date
<b>Exp. Output</b>	Event in database in UTC and on user's calendar in their local time
<b>Act. Output</b>	Event in database in UTC and on user's calendar in their local time
<b>Evaluation</b>	Functional/Unit testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

## 4.2 Performance

### 4.2.1 NFR214

The system should be able to authenticate a user with a median response time of under 1 second.

Using Jest (A front-end JavaScript testing library) we are able to rapidly execute sign-in attempts as if we were a user. Executing the sign-in attempt 50 times and averaging the result gives us the following execution times.




Figure 1: Component Diagram

As you can see it's clear that we satisfy the non-functional requirement.

#### 4.2.2 NFR234

Photos captured with the system will be in a quality high enough to differentiate objects within frame.

NFST-CM-3	Image Quality Validation
<b>Description</b>	Test to check if captured image have sufficient quality
<b>Input</b>	Captured image of shared space
<b>Exp. Output</b>	Image of shared space
<b>Act. Output</b>	Image of shared space
<b>Evaluation</b>	Acceptance testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

#### 4.2.3 NFR221

The chatbot shall not disclose any sensitive information in its messages such as addresses or full names.

<b>NFST-UAHM-4</b>	<b>Authentication Response Time</b>
<b>Description</b>	Tests if system authenticates a user within a median response time of under 1 second
<b>Input</b>	Correct user login credentials filled in login elements
<b>Exp. Output</b>	Authentication process completed within one second
<b>Act. Output</b>	Authentication process completed within one second
<b>Evaluation</b>	Acceptance testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

#### 4.2.4 NFR235

The system shall process image data in under 30 minutes.

### 4.3 Privacy and Security

#### 4.3.1 NFR211

All data related to authentication must be encrypted in both transit and in storage.

<b>NFST-UAHM-1</b>	<b>Encryption of Authentication Data</b>
<b>Description</b>	Tests if system encrypts data in transit and when stored
<b>Input</b>	User inputted data in login elements
<b>Exp. Output</b>	Stored and data in transit are encrypted
<b>Act. Output</b>	Stored and data in transit are encrypted
<b>Evaluation</b>	Functional testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

#### 4.3.2 NFR212

Error messages related to authentication should not disclose sensitive details such as "Incorrect Password".



<b>NFST-UAHM-2</b>	<b>Sensitive Information Error Management</b>
<b>Description</b>	Tests if the system does not reveal sensitive user information during login attempts
<b>Input</b>	Valid email and incorrect password
<b>Exp. Output</b>	Error message that avoids revealing sensitive information
<b>Act. Output</b>	Error message that avoids revealing sensitive information
<b>Evaluation</b>	Acceptance testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

#### 4.3.3 NFR213

All data related to houses such as addresses and residents should be encrypted in transit and in rest.

<b>NFST-UAHM-3</b>	<b>Encryption of House Data</b>
<b>Description</b>	Tests if system encrypts all data related to houses in transit and at rest
<b>Input</b>	View or edit house data
<b>Exp. Output</b>	Verification that data in transit and stored data are encrypted
<b>Act. Output</b>	Verification that data in transit and stored data are encrypted
<b>Evaluation</b>	Functional testing
<b>Result</b>	Pass

#### 4.3.4 NFR231

The system shall not record users.

#### **4.3.5 NFR232**

The system shall not capture images of users.

#### **4.3.6 NFR233**

The system shall encrypt and securely store all images of homes.

#### **4.3.7 NFR244**

The calendar system shall encrypt all events stored.

#### **4.3.8 NFR251**

The Bill Splitter system shall encrypt all events stored.

### **4.4 etc.(MAYBE RENAME TO "OTHER")**

#### **4.4.1 NFR222**

The chatbot shall not send users too frequently to prevent annoying users.

#### **4.4.2 NFR236**

The system shall not report false events which accuse someone of reducing the cleanliness score of an environment.

#### **4.4.3 NFR241**

The calendar system shall store all calendar events in UTC.

#### **4.4.4 NFR243**

The calendar system shall have a granularity of 5 minutes.

#### **4.4.5 NFR252**

The Bill Splitter shall allow users to record numerical values of prices with a granularity of two decimal places.

## **5 Comparison to Existing Implementation**

This section will not be appropriate for every project.

## 6 Unit Testing

Unit testing for this project is defined as a way of testing the smallest piece of code that can be logically isolated. Logical isolation means to isolate a piece of code based on a particular function. In this project, unit testing was performed on the user-facing application of the project while the other codebases of the project will be tested with other methods.

For context on the this section of the report, the client-facing application was built using the following tools:

- **Next.js** as the JavaScript framework for both front-end and back-end
- **ReactQuery** for data synchronization and caching

and is broken up into different pages based on application features such as:

- Cleanliness management system
- Chore scheduling system
- Bill splitting system
- ...

While it is recommended to test as much of your code as possible. Due to time constraints and generally better use of our time, the team developed unit tests only for the critical components (Referring to React components) of our application, while other components that exist for purposes such as reusable styling or wrappers were ignoring. In general, unit tests were written with the objective of:

- Ensure key UI components were rendering
- Ensuring the UI displayed information fetched from the back-end
- Ensuring inputs and buttons on the UI are able to be interacted with

In the front-end, 105 different tests were written for over 20+ components resulting in the following code-coverage report.

Table 1: Front-end Code Coverage Report

File	% Stmts	% Branch	% Funcs	% Lines	Uncovered Line #s
All files	82.9	93.24	58.87	82.9	
app	100	100	100	100	
page.tsx	100	100	100	100	
app/(main)/bill-splitter/components	83.37	100	60	83.37	
debtsTable.tsx	83.72	100	25	83.72	26–32, 34–38, 76–77
historyTable.tsx	100	100	100	100	
loansTable.tsx	63.82	100	57.14	63.82	22–67, 91–93, 95–96
summaryCard.tsx	100	100	100	100	
summaryCardStub.tsx	100	100	100	100	
app/(main)/bill-splitter/hooks	33.33	100	12.5	33.33	
patchOwe.ts	55.26	100	50	55.26	5–21
useBillHistory.ts	19.44	100	0	19.44	6–29, 32–36
useBills.ts	26.08	100	0	26.08	5–16, 19–23
useOwes.ts	26.08	100	0	26.08	5–16, 19–23
app/(main)/chatbot	95.62	80	50	95.62	
page.tsx	95.62	80	50	95.62	81–85, 101
app/(main)/chatbot/components	95.74	33.33	50	95.74	
chatbot-setting-stub.tsx	95.74	33.33	50	95.74	21–22
app/(main)/chatbot/hooks	24.39	100	0	24.39	

File	% Stmts	% Branch	% Funcs	% Lines	Uncovered Line #s
useActivateChatbot.ts	24.39	100	0	24.39	9–24, 27–41
app/(main)/dashboard/components	100	100	100	100	
dashboard-cards.tsx	100	100	100	100	
app/(main)/house-settings/components	100	100	100	100	
create-note-modal.tsx	100	100	100	100	
edit-house-modal.tsx	100	100	100	100	
house-invites.tsx	100	100	100	100	
house-notes.tsx	100	100	100	100	
invite-user-modal.tsx	100	100	100	100	
app/(main)/house-settings/hooks	35	100	20	35	
useRemoveRoommate.ts	35	100	20	35	5–15, 22–23, 25–32, 34–38
app/(main)/profile	87.22	80	60	87.22	
page.tsx	87.22	80	60	87.22	25–31, 39–43, 94–101, 159–161
app/(main)/schedule	23.07	100	0	23.07	
adapters.ts	23.07	100	0	23.07	4–13
app/(main)/schedule/components	98.76	95.74	100	98.76	
chore-history.tsx	100	100	100	100	
create-chore-modal.tsx	99.41	85.71	100	99.41	143
pending-chores.tsx	100	100	100	100	

File	% Stmts	% Branch	% Funcs	% Lines	Uncovered Line #s
pending-item.tsx	100	100	100	100	
schedule-item.tsx	95.58	92	100	95.58	64–69, 76–77
schedule.tsx	100	100	100	100	
app/(main)/schedule/hooks	33.48	100	0	33.48	
useCreateChore.ts	14.28	100	0	14.28	5–17, 20–42
useDeleteChore.ts	14.63	100	0	14.63	5–16, 19–41
useGetAllActivities.ts	36.36	100	0	36.36	7–15, 18–22
useGetAllCompletedChores.ts	69.76	100	0	69.76	29–36, 39–43
useGetCompletedChores.ts	50	100	0	50	12–19, 22–26
useUpdateCompletedChore.ts	24	100	0	24	11–23, 26–50
app/auth/hooks	47.36	100	0	47.36	
useUser.tsx	47.36	100	0	47.36	19–38
components	100	100	85.71	100	
loading.tsx	100	100	100	100	
modal.tsx	100	100	100	100	
mutate-loading.tsx	100	100	100	100	
query-provider.tsx	100	100	100	100	
roommates-table.tsx	100	100	50	100	
components/ui	97.69	88.23	100	97.69	
button.tsx	100	50	100	100	42
card.tsx	100	100	100	100	

File	% Stmts	% Branch	% Funcs	% Lines	Uncovered Line #s
checkbox.tsx	100	100	100	100	
dialog.tsx	100	100	100	100	
form.tsx	92.26	92.85	100	92.26	50–51, 123–133
input.tsx	100	100	100	100	
label.tsx	100	100	100	100	
table.tsx	100	100	100	100	
hooks	45.16	75	33.33	45.16	
useGetHouse.ts	24.13	100	0	24.13	6–22, 25–29
useRoommates.ts	63.33	60	100	63.33	9–11, 13–20
useToast.ts	45.5	100	14.28	45.5	27–30, 59–72, 75–125, 131–136, 140–167
lib	61.53	100	50	61.53	
utils.ts	61.53	100	50	61.53	9–13
lib/constants	100	100	100	100	
index.ts	100	100	100	100	
lib/supabase	44.44	100	0	44.44	
browser.ts	44.44	100	0	44.44	5–9

## 7 Changes Due to Testing

[This section should highlight how feedback from the users and from the supervisor (when one exists) shaped the final product. In particular the feedback from the Rev 0 demo to the supervisor (or to potential users) should be highlighted. —SS]

**FR211** - Change description to use Google account instead of using name, email and password.

**FST-UAHM-1** - See above description.

**FST-UAHM-2** - Change input field of test case to using Google account instead of email and password.

**FST-CM-1** - Changed description to remove cleanliness score and add listing objects chaged.

**FR232** - Removing because of it is no longer part of the implementation scope.

**FST-CM-2** - Editing test case to remove cleanliness score mentions and update to showing after images.

### 7.1 Changes Due to Unit Testing

Unit testing discovered several bugs and anti-patterns in the front-end codebase that were resolved. Some examples of the discovered flaws include:

- Invalid references for form inputs and form labels. Leading to input labels not focusing their corresponding form input.
- Unneccessary props on React components.
- Missing semantic HTML attributes such as "role" on various items. Making the app less accessible and more difficult for the testing library to find components.
- Checking for lists to be empty with `!LIST VARIABLE NAME`. This resulted in empty lists still being evaluated to "True" because in JS empty lists are truthy and resulted in code logic that was supposed to trigger when the list was empty to not work as expected.
- Displaying times without the timezone. This was detected because the testing suite failed on various environments due to the timezone printing differently on machines with different timezones.

The code coverage reports shows some trends, mainly that all most if not all of our hooks have poor code coverage rates. This is expected and acceptable because the purpose of the hooks is to fetch data from the back-end and display it on



the front-end and since we were mocking the back-end data, most of the hooks' functionality were not used.

## **8 Automated Testing**

Automated tests include the unit tests and the cleanliness detection system's test samples. The front-end tests were additionally automated to run on push and on merge requests to main and dev. These automated tests on GitHub in conjunction with branch rules that prevent merging branches which fail tests ensure that no changes the fail tests will make it to the production environment.

## **9 Trace to Requirements**

## **10 Trace to Modules**

## **11 Code Coverage Metrics**

## Appendix — Reflection

The information in this section will be used to evaluate the team members on the graduate attribute of Reflection.

The purpose of reflection questions is to give you a chance to assess your own learning and that of your group as a whole, and to find ways to improve in the future. Reflection is an important part of the learning process. Reflection is also an essential component of a successful software development process.

Reflections are most interesting and useful when they're honest, even if the stories they tell are imperfect. You will be marked based on your depth of thought and analysis, and not based on the content of the reflections themselves. Thus, for full marks we encourage you to answer openly and honestly and to avoid simply writing "what you think the evaluator wants to hear."

Please answer the following questions. Some questions can be answered on the team level, but where appropriate, each team member should write their own response:

1. What went well while writing this deliverable?
2. What pain points did you experience during this deliverable, and how did you resolve them?
3. Which parts of this document stemmed from speaking to your client(s) or a proxy (e.g. your peers)? Which ones were not, and why?
4. In what ways was the Verification and Validation (VnV) Plan different from the activities that were actually conducted for VnV? If there were differences, what changes required the modification in the plan? Why did these changes occur? Would you be able to anticipate these changes in future projects? If there weren't any differences, how was your team able to clearly predict a feasible amount of effort and the right tasks needed to build the evidence that demonstrates the required quality? (It is expected that most teams will have had to deviate from their original VnV Plan.)