```
rev
Thursday, July 22, 2021
                                 8:56 AM
 Revision
 lecture...
      STATS5099: Data Mining
      Revision lecture
      Xiaochen Yang
      xiaochen.yang@glasgow.ac.uk
   University
of Glasgow
                                              Data mining methods
      Dimension reduction
      ■ Principal component analysis (weeks 1-2)
      ■ Multidimensional scaling (week 2)
      Classification
      ■ k-nearest neighbours (week 3)
      ■ Linear discriminant analysis (week 3)
      Classification trees, bagging, random forest (week 4)
      ■ Support vector machines (week 5)
      ■ Neural networks (week 6)
      Clustering

    Agglomerative hierarchical clustering (week 8)

      ■ K-means clustering (week 9)
      ■ K-medoids clustering (week 9)
      Recommendation systems
      ■ Content-based filtering (week 10)
      ■ Collaborative filtering (week 10)
                                              Revision suggestions
      For each method,
           describe the method
           explain the procedure of the method, e.g. by using a simple
           understand how to apply it in practice, e.g. any parameters

    understand its applicability, strength and/or limitation

           ■ implement in R and interpret the results
      Across variants of one method / methods in the same category,
           understand when to use one or the other
  University
of Glasgow
                                   Principal component analysis
      describe the method
           PCA is a linear dimensionality reduction method.
           It finds a small number of uncorrelated linear combinations
              of the original variables which explain most of the variation
             in the data.
  University
of Glasgow
                                   Principal component analysis
      explain the procedure of the method
           ■ The first principal component is the linear combination of
              original variables with the maximum variance, subject to the
             normalising constraint:
                first PC = linear combination Y_1 that maximises Var(Y_1)
                           subject to \boldsymbol{a}_1^T \boldsymbol{a}_1 = 1
           ■ The j<sup>th</sup> PC is calculated in the same way (i.e. with the
              objective of maximising variance), with the condition that it
             is uncorrelated with previous PCs
               jth PC = linear combination Y_i that maximises Var(Y_i)
                         subject to \boldsymbol{a}_i^T \boldsymbol{a}_j = 1 and Cov(Y_j, Y_k) = 0 for k < j
  University
of Glasgow
                                   Principal component analysis
      explain the procedure of the method
           ■ The first PC is the eigenvector associated with the largest
              eigenvalue of the covariance matrix \Sigma.
           ■ The j<sup>th</sup> PC is the eigenvector associated with the j<sup>th</sup> largest
             eigenvalue.
  University
of Glasgow
                                   Principal component analysis
      understand how to apply it in practice
           sample covariance matrix or sample correlation matrix?
           how many PCs?
               proportion of Variation

    Cattell's method

    Kaiser's method

  University
of Glasgow
                                   Principal component analysis
      understand its applicability, strength and limitation

    linear dimension reduction for continuous data

           particularly useful when the original variables are highly
             correlated
           no need to make assumptions on model or data distribution
           has an analytical solution
           = can be severely distorted by outliers (first PC determined by
                                                    ontliers)
  University
of Glasgow
                                   Principal component analysis
      implement in R and interpret the results
           princomp(data, cor=T)
           summary(pca.result)
        Importance of components:
        Comp.1 Comp.2 Comp.3 Comp.4 Comp.5
Standard deviation 2.1861050 1.5899422 1.1601299 0.96170782 0.92825842
        Proportion of Variance 0.3676196 0.1944551 0.1035309 0.07114476 0.06628182
        Cumulative Proportion 0.3676196 0.5620747 0.6656056 0.73675038 0.80303220
                             Comp.6 Comp.7 Comp.8 Comp.9 Comp.10
.8004340 0.74398164 0.57942382 0.53978997 0.50243903
                                                                 Comp.10
        Proportion of Variance 0.0492842 0.04257759 0.02582554 0.02241332 0.01941884
        Cumulative Proportion 0.8523164 0.89489400 0.92071953 0.94313286 0.96255170
                           Comp.11 Comp.12 Comp.13
0.48133977 0.40737507 0.298639313
                                                Comp.13
        Standard deviation
        Proportion of Variance 0.01782215 0.01276573 0.006860418
        Cumulative Proportion 0.98037386 0.99313958 1.000000000
  University
of Glasgow
                                   Principal component analysis
      implement in R and interpret the results
           princomp(data, cor=T)
           summary(pca.result)
           pca.result$loadings
       wine.pca$loadings[,1:8]
                       Comp.1 Comp.2 Comp.3 Comp.4 Comp.5 Comp.6 Comp.7
       Alcohol
                       0.1491 0.4856 0.174 0.0093 0.266 0.2068 0.061 0.4613
       Malic.acid
                      -0.2425 0.2234 -0.088 -0.5407 -0.056 0.5162 -0.438 0.0905
                      -0.0096 0.3283 -0.640 0.1946 0.139 0.1418 0.143 -0.2809
       Ash
       Alcalinity.of.ash -0.2503 -0.0081 -0.612 -0.0838 -0.084 -0.1255 0.310 0.4339
                       Magnesium
       Total.phenols
                       0.3918 0.0639 -0.137 -0.2055 0.139 -0.0855 0.020 -0.3900
                       0.4267 -0.0054 -0.112 -0.1577
       Flavanoids
                                                 0.107 -0.0064 0.046 -0.1290
       Nonfl.phenols
                      -0.2989 0.0304 -0.157 0.1833 0.500 -0.2771 -0.600 -0.1735
                     0.3100 0.0373 -0.153 -0.3998 -0.158 -0.5666 -0.331 0.2865
       Proanthocyanins
       Colour.intensity -0.0876 0.5247 0.179 -0.0664 0.079 -0.3992 0.233 -0.0033
                       0.2939 -0.2753 -0.130 0.4192 0.178 0.0810 -0.221 0.4653
       OD280.OD315
                       0.3731 -0.1650 -0.167 -0.1886 0.092 0.2671 0.030 -0.0400
       Proline
                       University
of Glasgow
                                   Principal component analysis
      ■ implement in R and interpret the results
           princomp(data, cor=T)
           summary(pca.result)
           pca.result$loadings
           biplot(pca.result)
                                                      9
                            0.2
                                                      400
                            0.1
                            0.0
                           Score of each
  University
of Glasgow
                                                         PCA vs MDS
                         PCA
                                        metric MDS
                                                         nonmetric MDS
                                         proximity data (similarity or
                      continuous
    data type
                       variables
                                             dissimilarity matrix)
                     data lies in a
    data structure
                    linear subspace
                       maximise
    objective
                                          preserve pairwise distance
                       variance
                                        gradiant descent
                                                         iterative (longer
                      analytical
                   eie veters
solution
    computation
                                          iterative
                                                        time to converge)
                     unique (up to
                                       sensitive to initial configurations
    solution
                       sign flip)
                                      different pts, differt solution
  University
of Glasgow
                                                     Demo exam Q2
     (a) To reduce the dimension of this data set, a researcher has applied principal com-
        ponent analysis (PCA) based on the correlation matrix. Suggest why PCA might
        have been run on the correlation matrix instead of the covariance matrix?
                                                                [2 MARKS]
  University
of Glasgow
                                                     Demo exam Q2
     (b) Partial output from the principal component analysis is given below.
        > glass.pca <- princomp(Glass,cor=TRUE)</pre>
        > glass.pca
        Standard deviations:
        Comp.1 Comp.2 Comp.3 Comp.4 Comp.5 Comp.6 Comp.7 Comp.8 Comp.9
          1.58 1.43 1.19 1.08 0.96 0.73 0.61 0.25 0.04
        > glass.pca$loadings
        Loadings:
           Comp.1 Comp.2 Comp.3 Comp.4 Comp.5 Comp.6 Comp.7 Comp.8 Comp.9
        RI 0.545 0.286 0.147 0.115 0.752
        Na -0.258 0.270 -0.385 0.491 -0.154 -0.558 0.149 0.128 -0.312
                          0.379 -0.124 0.308 -0.206
        Mg 0.111 -0.594
                                                                  -0.577
        Al -0.429 0.295 0.329 -0.138
                                                    -0.699 0.274 -0.192
        Si -0.229 -0.155 -0.459 -0.653
                                                    0.216 0.380 -0.298
        K -0.219 -0.154 0.663 0.307 -0.244 0.504 0.110 -0.261
        Ca 0.492 0.345 -0.276 0.188 -0.149 -0.399 -0.579
                              0.133 -0.251 0.657 0.352 -0.145 -0.198
        Ba -0.250 0.485
                          0.284 -0.230 -0.873 -0.243
        Fe 0.186
        Comment on the loadings of the first principal component. Comment on the role
        of the variable Fe in the principal component analysis.
                                                                [4 MARKS]
  University
of Glasgow
                                                     Demo exam Q2
     (c) The researcher chose to use the Proportion of Variation approach to determine
        the number of principal components to be retained. Based on the previous R
        output, decide how many components should be kept in order to explain 85\% of
        the variability of the data set.
                                                                [4 MARKS]
  University
of Glasgow
                                         Support vector machines
         describe the method

    A classification method

              The method directly tries to fit decision boundaries in
                such a way as to maximise the margin or separation
                between the two classes.
              ■ Three types: hard-margin linear SVM, soft-margin
               SVM, nonlinear SVM
  University
of Glasgow
                                         Support vector machines
         explain the procedure of the method (hard-margin SVM)
              choose the hyperplane parameters to maximise the
                margin
              solve the optimisation problem:
                                 \min_{b, w} \|w\|
                       subject to g_i(\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x} + b) \ge 1 \ \forall i = 1, \dots, n
  University
of Glasgow
                                         Support vector machines
         understand how to apply it in practice
              scale the data before applying SVM
           soft-margin SVM
              ■ cost parameter increme / decrease , result ...
           nonlinear SVM
              * kernel function parevers in bench, evaluate the effect
  University
of Glasgow
                                         Support vector machines
         understand its applicability, strength and/or limitation
              = designed for binary classification (one vs ally one vs one)
              no model or data assumption
              very flexible and suitable for nonlinear non-separable
             ■ fast inference (knn quite long)
              sensitive to hyperparameters Cost para in benefit
              no means of assessing uncertainty
              low interpretability
  University
of Glasgow
                                         Support vector machines
      implement in R and interpret the results
           svm(Y~., data, type="C-classification",
                     cost = C, kernel="radial", ...)
               Call: Soft nagin
               svm(formula = sp - ., data = train.data, kernel = "linear",
                   cost = C.sel, type = "C-classification")
               Parameters:
                 SVM-Type: C-classification
                SVM-Kernel: linear kerrel
cost: 0.5
PARMetr
              Number of Support Vectors: 55
Class 1 Class 1
                (28 27)
                Number of Classes: 2
                                                                          15/20
                                         Support vector machines
      implement in R and interpret the results
           svm(Y~., data, type="C-classification",
                     cost = C, kernel="radial", ...)
           ■ tune.svm or tune fine the pares h SWh
           tune.svm(sp-.,data=train.data,type="C-classification",kernel="linear",
          Parameter tuning of 'svm':
           - sampling method: 10-fold cross validation
           - best parameters:
           cost
           - best performance: 0
  University
of Glasgow
                                                     Demo exam Q2
      See the demo exam.
  University
of Glasgow
                                                                   Q&As
         weekly material tasks and tutorial question, which is
           similar to exam style question
         If we wanted to practice some more exam style questions,
           would there be another module (past or present) that we
           can search the past papers portal for, which would provide
           us with these?
           A: multivariate methods (NB: Topics and lecture materials
           are different)
         an additional drop-in Q&A session at 12pm next
           Wednesday (28th July)
                                                                          17/20
  University
of Glasgow
                                                                   Q&As
         ■ LDA
         ■ biplot
         Tutorial sheet 1 Q2
         Tutorial sheet 1 Q3
  University
                                                           Q&As: LDA
      Q: The notes say we have to evaluate each group to see which one
        has the largest LDF, but there is only one LDF for two groups, so
        what are we comparing the result of the equation with in order to
        choose which group to assign the data to?
      \blacksquare A: We will assign the object x to the class with the largest linear
         discriminant function (LDF)
                   LDF_g(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_g - \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}_g^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_g + \log \pi_g
                                                                          19/20
  University
of Glasgow
                                                           Q&As: LDA
```

Coefficients of linear discriminants:

-2

-2

Coefficients of linear discriminants:

-2

-2

0

group No

2

2

create a classification rule, e.g.

calculate the value of LD1 for

a new sample x

0

group No

0

■ Show that principal components for standardised variables can be obtained from the eigenvectors of the correlation matrix  $\mathbf{R}$  of the

Q: The model answer proves that Cov(Z) = R, but I don't understand how that answers the question, which is about the PCs coming from

A: PCs for standard variables are eigenvectors of the covariance matrix of the standard variables, which equals to the correlation

■ Show that principal components for standardised variables can be obtained from the eigenvectors of the correlation matrix  $\mathbf{R}$  of the

 $Z_i = \frac{X_i - \mu_i}{\sqrt{\sigma_{ii}}}$ 

Using a diagonal matrix  $V^{1/2}$  for standard deviations  $\sqrt{\sigma_{ii}}$ , we can write the standardisation in matrix

 $Z = (V^{1/2})^{-1}(X - \mu)$ 

 $\mathrm{Cov}(\boldsymbol{Z}) = (\boldsymbol{V}^{1/2})^{-1}\mathrm{Cov}(\boldsymbol{X})(\boldsymbol{V}^{1/2})^{-1} = (\boldsymbol{V}^{1/2})^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{V}^{1/2})^{-1} = \boldsymbol{R}$ 

Let's standardise original variables  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_p)^T$  to  $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, \dots, Z_p)^T$ , where

 $LD1 < 0 \Rightarrow group No$ 

2

Q&As: Tutorial 1 Q3

Q&As: Tutorial 1 Q3

Q&As: LDA

19/20

balance 2.218221e-03

1.056657e-05

-3

-3

balance 2.218221e-03

income 1.056657e-05

-3

-3

random vector X.

the eigenvalues

matrix R of the original variables X

income

University of Glasgow

University of Glasgow

University of Glasgow

Clearly,  $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{Z}) = 0$ .

random vector X.