Tutorial 2

Instead of asking, 'Can machines think?', Alan Turing said we should ask, 'Can machines pass a behavior test for intelligence?'. Turing predicted that by the year 2000, a computer could be programmed to have a conversation with a human interrogator for five minutes and would have a 30% chance of deceiving the interrogator that it was a human. (Negnevitsky, 2002).

- 1. Explain Turing Test.
- 2. Criticize Turing's criteria for judging a computer's intelligence.
- 3. Suggest how could this test be used (or modified) to assess other kind of artificial intelligence besides a chatbot. Provide an example to elaborate your answer.
- 4. The Loebner Prize is an annual competition in artificial intelligence that awards those computer programs considered by the judges to be the most human-like, using format of a standard Turing Test. The conversation scope between the programs and the judges has been unrestricted since 1995, and the duration of the conversation has been increased from 5 minutes to 25 minutes since 2010 (http://www.loebner.net/).
 - (i) Discuss **TWO** (2) reasons why Turing Test is considered **not effective enough** in assessing machine intelligence.
 - (ii) Discuss **TWO** (2) challenges to build a computer program that can win the Grand Loebner Prize, in which judges totally cannot distinguish it from a real human.
- 5. The Chinese room argument by John Searle is one of the best known and widely credited criticism of Turing Test. Briefly explain John Searle's Chinese room concept.
- 6. Try to chat with the following chatbots within a few minutes. Then discuss what are the characteristics / behaviors of a chatbot should have in order to deceive any human.

 Mitsuku, the 5-time Loebner Prize winner https://www.pandorabots.com/mitsuku/
 Eliza, the first chatbot https://web.njit.edu/~ronkowit/eliza.html (not the original Eliza website)