# PW-pipeline

PathWay pipeline using GWAS summary statistics, named in analogy with FM-pipepline I have implemented.



*diagram from Cytoscape*

## Introduction

Pathway analysis becomes an important element in GWAS. Broadly, it involves SNP annotation, such as Variant Effect Predictor (VEP), gene analysis such as VEGAS2, and gene set analysis. Visualisation of a particular region has been facilitated with LocusZoom, while network(s) from pathway analysis via gephi or Cytoscape, which accepts a collection of edges, directed or undirected to build a network. Aspects to consider include part or all databases, individual vs summary statistics, computing speed, with and without tissue enrichment.

## Methods

This pipeline inovles several software for pathway analysis using GWAS summary statistics, as shown below,

| Full name | Abbreviation | Reference |
|---|---|---|
| Meta-Analysis Gene-set Enrichment of variaNT Associations | MAGENTA | Segre, et al. (2010) |
| Generalized Gene-Set | MAGMA | de Leeuw, et al. |

| Analysis of GWAS Data | | (2015) |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Pathway scoring algorithm | PASCAL | Lamparter, et al. (2016) |
| Data-Driven Expression Prioritized Integration for Complex Traits | DEPICT | Pers, et al.(2015) |

Their features are briefly described as follows.

1. **MAGENTA**. Segre, et al. (2010) describes how it works: `First, DNA variants, e.g. single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), are mapped onto genes. Second, each gene is assigned a gene association score that is a function of its regional SNP association p-values. Third, confounding effects on gene association scores are identified and corrected for, without requiring genotype data (enabling use of meta-analyses or other types of GWA studies where only variant association statistics are available). Fourth, a Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA)-like statistical test is applied to predefined biologically relevant gene sets to determine whether any of the gene sets are enriched for highly ranked gene association scores compared to randomly sampled gene sets of identical size from the genome.` It maps SNPs to genes taking 110 Kb upstream and 40 Kb downstream of each gene as extended boundaries to include regulatory regions. Each gene is then assigned a genetic set (GS) score, which is the P-value of the most significant SNP within the gene's extended boundaries, corrected for potential confounding factors of physical and genetic properties of genes through a step-wise multiple linear regression:

- the physical size of the gene
- number of SNPs per kilobase for each gene
- estimated number of independent SNPs per gene
- number of recombination hotspots spanning each gene
- genetic distance of the gene
- linkage disequilibrium (LD) unit distance per gene

2. **MAGMA**. The gene-set analysis is divided into two parts.

- a gene analysis is performed to quantify the degree of association each gene has with the phenotype. In addition the correlations between genes are estimated. These correlations reflect the LD between genes, and are needed in order to compensate for the dependencies between genes during the gene-set analysis.

- the gene p-values and gene correlation matrix are then used to perform the actual gene-set analysis.

3. **PASCAL**. Gene scores are obtained by aggregating SNP p-values from a GWAS meta-analysis while correcting for LD using a reference population via the max and sum of

chi-squared statistics based on the most significant SNP and the average association signal across the region, respectively. Gene sets are based on external databases for reported pathways by combining the scores of genes that belong to the same pathways. Pathway enrichment of high-scoring (potentially fused) genes is evaluated using parameter-free procedures (chi-square or empirical score), avoiding any p-value cut-off inherent to standard binary enrichment tests.

4. **DEPICT**. It performs gene set enrichment analyses by testing whether genes in GWAS-associated loci are enriched for reconstituted versions of known molecular pathways (jointly referred to as reconstituted gene sets). The reconstitution is accomplished by identifying genes that are co-regulated with other genes in a given gene set based on a panel of 77,840 gene expression microarrays. Genes that are found to be transcriptionally co-regulated with genes from the original gene set are added to the gene set, which results in the reconstitution. DEPICT also facilitates tissue and cell type enrichment analyses by testing whether the genes in associated regions are highly expressed in any of the 209 MeSH annotations for 37,427 microarrays on the Affymetrix U133 Plus 2.0 Array platform.

The `p.adjust` function in R/`stats` can bee used to obtain FDRs and count the number of pathways reaching FDR<=0.05. It implements the so-called Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) procedure, which attempts to control for expected proportion of false discoveries among the rejected hypotheses (i.e., those with p values below 0.05) and most powerful for independent tests. The BH procedure for an m number of tests (pathways) achieves false discovery rate at level α by finding the largest number k such that p values is no greater than $(k/m)\alpha$, and declares only those below this threshold as being significant, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/False_discovery_rate.

## Databases

Several databases can be supplied to MAGENTA, MAGMA and PASCAL. By default DEPICT uses its own database, a companion database from DEPICT website has also been converted to supply to all software.

1. **MAGENTA**. The six databases (_db) contain a total of 10,327 entries were distributed with the MATLAB implementation:

| Name | Entries |
| --- | --- |
| GO_terms_BioProc_MolFunc | 9,433 |
| Ingenuity_pathways | 92 |
| KEGG_pathways | 168 |
| PANTHER_BioProc | 241 |
| PANTHER_MolFunc | 252 |
| PANTHER_pathways | 141 |

Only 2,529 contain 10 or more genes were used by MAGENTA by default, leading to Bonferroni threshold 0.05/2529=1.977066e-05.

2. **MSigDB** (http://software.broadinstitute.org/gsea/msigdb/collections.jsp). The MSigDB v6.0 is divided into 8 major collections and several sub-collections on 17,779 gene sets, c2 containing 4,731 curated gene sets (from various sources such as online pathway databases, the biomedical literature, and knowledge of domain experts. MSigDB/BIOCARTA_KEGG_REACTOME came as default to PASCAL and MSigDB v4.0 is distributed with PASCAL.

| Gene database | Entries | Bonferroni threshold |
| --- | --- | --- |
| c2.all.v6.0.entrez.gmt | 4,731 | 1.056859015007398e-05 |
| msigBIOCARTA_KEGG_REACTOME.gmt | 1,077 | 4.642525533890436e-05 |
| msigdb.v4.0.entrez.gmt | 10,295 | 4.85672656629431e-06 |
| msigdb.v6.0.entrez.gmt | 17,779 | 2.81230665391754e--06 |

3. **DEPICT**. Some of the entries are described in the following table,

| Gene database | Entries |
| --- | --- |
| Protein molecular pathways derived from 169,810 high-confidence experimentally derived protein-protein interactions | 5,984 |
| Phenotypic gene sets derived from 211,882 gene-phenotype pairs from the Mouse Genetics Initiative | 2,473 |
| Reactome database pathways | 737 |
| Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database pathways and 5,083 Gene Ontology database terms | 184 |

An entry in the MAGENTA pathway database contains a pathway ID, followed by a list of Entrez gene IDs. Although MSigDB has an additional column after the pathway ID indicating URLs of the pathway, it would be ignored by MAGMA for instance since these URLs do not match any Entrez gene IDs thus has no effect on the results. This feature facilitates comparison of software considerably. Comparative as well as individual results including figures are kept in two excel workbooks called mmp.xlsx and xlsx.xlsx, respectively. Except

DEPICT, category 2 (c2) or all of pathways in Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) v6 is used.

An additional note relates to the DEPICT database: while it is appropriate for comparison, many entries are named after the ENSEMBL GENEID, e.g., ENSG00000000419, linking a reconstituted geneset containing C20orf11 (3.2), TOMM22 (3.1), CSDA (2.3), C5orf47 (2.2), EIF4EBP1 (2.2), NCK1 (2.2), ZNF337 (2.2), GORASP2 (2.1), KDELR3 (2.1), SNX17 (2.1), FAM208B (2.0), ENSG00000243155 (1.9), ENSG00000227195 (1.9), GDI2 (1.9), INHBB (1.8), OCIAD1 (1.7), SMU1 (1.7), ENTPD6 (1.6), LRRC41 (1.6), EIF2B4 (1.6), IRAK3 (1.5), SUMF2 (1.4), CCDC117 (1.4), POMGNT1 (1.3), NANP (1.3), SLC17A9 (1.3), TMEM14B (1.3), CRLS1 (1.2), APTX (1.2), SBNO1 (1.2), MPV17 (1.2), EXD1 (1.2), DNAJB14 (1.2), CALCR (1.2), GSPT1 (1.1), ENSG00000228389 (1.1), METAP1D (1.0), MYCBP (1.0), RSL1D1 (0.9), IFT172 (0.9), SLC25A12 (0.9), C11orf46 (0.9), PIWIL1 (0.9), ABHD12 (0.9), EIF3M (0.8), CBY1 (0.8), SLCO4A1 (0.8), ODF1(0.8), SUV420H2 (0.8), TNFRSF17 (0.7) with value in the bracket being the z-score in the original DEPICT database, so extra work is required to work out the gene SYMBOL in pathways. This can be done via R/ensembldb.

The following code helps to obtain gene symbols,

```
library(EnsDb.Hsapiens.v86)
chrall <- select(EnsDb.Hsapiens.v86, keys=paste(1:22), keytype="SEQNAME")
chrall_table <- subset(chr22[selcol],!duplicated(chr22[selcol]))
write.table(chrall_table,file="GS.txt",quote=FALSE,row.names=FALSE,col.names=
FALSE)
```

So ENSG00000000419 corresponds to DPM1, ENSG00000243155 to RP11-46A10.5 but ENSG00000228389 does not correspond to any symbol. In general, a gene symbol may be mapped to more than one GENEID.

## Acknowledgements

The work drives from comparison of their performance using our own GWAS data. The practicality of a common DEPICT database to all software here was due to PASCAL developer(s).

## Software and references

DEPICT (GitHub)

Pers TH et al.(2015) Biological interpretation of genome-wide association studies using predicted gene functions. Nat Commun. 6:5890. doi: 10.1038/ncomms6890.

MAGENTA

Segre AV, et al (2010). Common Inherited Variation in Mitochondrial Genes Is Not Enriched for Associations with Type 2 Diabetes or Related Glycemic Traits. PLoS Genet. 12;6(8). pii: e1001058. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1001058

MAGMA

de Leeuw C, et al. (2015) MAGMA: Generalized Gene-Set Analysis of GWAS Data. PLoS Comput Biol. 11(4): e1004219. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004219

PASCAL (GitHub)

Lamparter D, et al. (2016) Fast and Rigorous Computation of Gene and Pathway Scores from SNP-Based Summary Statistics. PLoS Comput Biol. 2016 Jan 25;12(1):e1004714. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004714