STAT347: Generalized Linear Models
Lecture 5

Today's topics: Chapter 4.5, 5.1

- GLM computation

- Binary data model: data input, link function

- R data example

1 Computation

Let us discuss the case of $a(\phi) = 1$ to simplify notation. As ϕ does not affect the point estimate of β, when $a(\phi)$ is not a constant, one can get $\hat{\beta}$ from the score equations first. Then one can estimate ϕ from MLE with $\hat{\beta}$ plugged in.

Score equation:
$$\dot{L}(\beta) = X^T D V^{-1}(y - \mu) = 0$$

where
$$L(\beta) = \sum_i [y_i \theta_i - b(\theta_i)]$$

(This is the log-likelihood ignoring the term involving ϕ that does not affect the estimation of β)

1.1 Newton's method

Second-order approximation of $L(\beta)$

$$L(\beta) \approx L(\beta^{(t)}) + \dot{L}(\beta^{(t)})^T (\beta - \beta^{(t)}) + \frac{1}{2}(\beta - \beta^{(t)})^T \ddot{L}(\beta^{(t)})(\beta - \beta^{(t)})$$

at tth iteration. If $\ddot{L}(\beta^{(t)}) \preceq 0$, then maximizing the second-order approximation is equivalent to solving

$$\dot{L}(\beta) \approx \dot{L}(\beta^{(t)}) + \ddot{L}(\beta^{(t)})(\beta - \beta^{(t)}) = 0$$

We have
$$\beta^{(t+1)} = \beta^{(t)} - \ddot{L}(\beta^{(t)})^{-1}\dot{L}(\beta^{(t)})$$

- Newton's method is a general algorithm for optimizing twice-differentiable functions.

- Generally converge to the global maximum if $L(\beta)$ is strongly concave

  - If $g(\cdot)$ is the canonical link, then $L(\beta)$ is concave in β

  $$-\ddot{L}(\beta^{(t)}) = X^T W^{(t)} X = \frac{1}{a(\phi)} X^T V^{(t)} X = -\mathbb{E}\left(\ddot{L}(\beta^{(t)})\right) \succeq 0$$

We showed the first equality in section 2.1 of lecture 2. This shows that the though observed log-likelihood function $L(\beta)$ is random, its hessian is a constant.

– If $g(\cdot)$ is a general link, then $L(\beta)$ is NOT guaranteed to be concave in β

– If $-\ddot{L}(\beta^{(t)})$ is not non-negative, then step t does not maximize the quadratic approximation and Newton's method may not converge.

– We can use another quadratic approximation that works better in practice: Fisher scoring method

1.2   Fisher scoring method

In lecture 2, we showed that $-\mathbb{E}\left(\ddot{L}(\beta)\right) \succeq 0$ for any β.

Instead of using the Hessian $\ddot{L}(\beta^{(t)})$, use its expectation

$$J^{(t)} = \mathbb{E}\left(\ddot{L}(\beta^{(t)})\right) = -X^T W^{(t)} X$$

instead of $\ddot{L}(\beta^{(t)})$ itself in the second-order approximation. Each iteration becomes:

$$\beta^{(t+1)} = \beta^{(t)} - \left(J^{(t)}\right)^{-1} \dot{L}(\beta^{(t)})$$

1.3   Iteratively reweighted least squares (IRLS)

We can make a connection between the optimization for GLM and weighted least squares estimation.

Recall the score equation:

$$\dot{L}(\beta) = X^T D V^{-1}(y - \mu) = 0$$

where $V = \mathrm{diag}(\mathrm{Var}(y_1), \cdots, \mathrm{Var}(y_n))$ and $D = \mathrm{diag}\left(g'(\mu_1), \cdots, g'(\mu_n)\right)^{-1}$, $y = (y_1, \cdots, y_n)$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n)$.

Also in lecture 2, we used the notation $\eta_i = X_i^T \beta = g(\mu_i)$. Thus, $D = \mathrm{diag}\left(\frac{\partial \mu_1}{\partial \eta_1}, \cdots, \frac{\partial \mu_n}{\partial \eta_n}\right)$. We also defined the diagnoal matrix $W = D^2 V^{-1}$. Thus,

$$\dot{L}(\beta) = X^T D V^{-1}(y - \mu) = X^T W D^{-1}(y - \mu)$$

We can make a first order approximation of μ

$$\mu = \mu^{(t)} + D^{(t)}(\eta - \eta^{(t)})$$

then

$$\dot{L}(\beta) \approx X^T W^{(t)}(z^{(t)} - X\beta)$$

where

$$z^{(t)} = X\beta^{(t)} + \left(D^{(t)}\right)^{-1}(y - \mu^{(t)})$$

is a linear approximation of η at the tth iteration.

Thus, at the $t + 1$th iteration, we solve

$$X^T W^{(t)}(z^{(t)} - X\beta) = 0$$

which can be considered as a weighted linear regression with observations $z_i^{(t)}$ and weight w_i for each sample i.

- IRLS is equivalent to Fisher scoring. The tth step of Fisher scoring satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
(X^T W^{(t)} X)\beta^{(t+1)} &= X^T W^{(t)} X \beta^{(t)} + X^T D^{(t)} (V^{(t)})^{-1}(y - \mu^{(t)}) \\
&= X^T W^{(t)} \left[X\beta^{(t)} + (D^{(t)})^{-1}(y - \mu^{(t)}) \right] \\
&= X^T W^{(t)} z^{(t)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- weight matrix $W^{(t)} \approx \operatorname{Var}\left(z^{(t)}\right)^{-1}$

2   Binary/binomial data model

If the observation y_i is binomial

$$
y_i \sim \operatorname{Binomial}(n_i, p_i)
$$

and probability function:

$$
f(y_i) = \binom{n_i}{y_i} p_i^{y_i} (1 - p_i)^{n_i - y_i} = \binom{n_i}{y_i} \left(\frac{p_i}{1 - p_i} \right)^{y_i} (1 - p_i)^{n_i}
$$

If $n_i = 1$, then y_i is a 0/1 binary data point (follows a Bernoulli distribution).

2.1   Data input

If X_i are categorical variables, then we may have samples with the same X_i and we can group them together.

- ungrouped data: each $n_i = 1$ and some samples have the same X_i, thus they share the same p_i

- a grouped sample $\tilde{y}_k$ for group k contains n_k ungrouped samples whose X_i are the same we only have group level covariates). As $p_i = g^{-1}(X_i^T \beta)$, samples within the same group share the same mean. Let $I_k = \{i : i \text{ in group } k\}$ be the set of individual binary samples and let $n_k = |I_k|$. Then the response for the group samples is:

$$
\tilde{y}_k = \sum_{i \in I_k} y_i \sim \operatorname{Binomial}(n_k, p_k)
$$

- The grouped data follows the Binomial distribution because we assume that the samples are independent within each group.

- If there are some unmeasured group-level covariates that affect all samples in the group, it can bring in extra dependency and an inflated variance of $\tilde{y}_k$. (we will discuss this issue later in detail in Chapter 8 and 9.)

- Let $N = \sum_k n_k$ The likelihood for the ungrouped data is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_N) &= \prod_i p_i^{y_i}(1 - p_i)^{1 - y_i} \\
&= \prod_k \prod_{i \in I_k} p_k^{\tilde{y}_k}(1 - p_k)^{n_k - \tilde{y}_k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The likelihood for the corresponding grouped data is:

$$f(\tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2, \cdots, \tilde{y}_K) = \prod_k \binom{n_k}{y_k} \prod_{i \in I_k} p_k^{\tilde{y}_k} (1 - p_k)^{n_k - \tilde{y}_k}$$

The likelihood is not the same between the grouped data and un-grouped data. However, the log-likelihood function only differs by a constant, thus the GLM solution does not change.

2.2   Link function

The expectation of each sample is $\mathbb{E}(y_i) = n_i p_i$ where n_i is a known constant. Thus we define the link function as a function of p_i

$$g(p_i) = X_i^T \beta$$

Equivalently,

$$p_i = g^{-1}(X_i^T \beta) \in [0, 1]$$

If g is a one-to-one mapping (otherwise there can be identifiability issues) and continuous function, then g^{-1} should be monotone. In that case, one natural choice of g^{-1} is to make it as a cdf of some distribution. We then can denote $F(z) = g^{-1}(z)$ as some cdf function. Let $\epsilon_i \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} F(\cdot)$

$$p_i = F(X_i^T \beta) = \mathbb{P}(\epsilon_i \leq X_i^T \beta) = \mathbb{P}\left(X_i^T \beta - \epsilon_i >= 0\right)$$

If y_i is binary, this indicates that y_i follows the distribution

$$Y_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X_i^T \beta - \epsilon_i >= 0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

This is also called a latent variable threshold model.

Popular latent variable threshold models:

- The probit link: $F(z)$ is the cdf of a standard Gaussian distribution

$$p_i = \mathbb{P}\left(X_i^T \beta - \epsilon_i >= 0\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(X_i^T \beta + \epsilon_i >= 0\right)$$

  where $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, 1)$. Let the hidden variable be $y_i^\star = X_i^T \beta + \epsilon_i$, then it goes to the definition of the probit link that some of you may be more familiar with:

$$Y_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y_i^\star >= 0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

- The logit link: $F(z)$ is the cdf of a standard logistic distribution

$$F(z) = \frac{e^z}{1 + e^z}$$

  - The link function is called the logit link: $g(p_i) = \text{logit}(p_i) = \log\left(\frac{p_i}{1 - p_i}\right)$
  - The logit link is the canonical link of the Binomial distribution

- The identity link: $F(z)$ is the cdf of a uniform $[0, 1]$ distribution and $p_i = X_i^T \beta$

- – The identity link corresponds to a uniform cdf only when $X_i^T \beta \in [0,1]$ for all samples.

- – Because of the range issue, when using R to solve a binomial GLM with identity link, there can often be numerical problems (such as the error we saw in the earlier data example in Section 1.4, Data Example 1).

- The log-log link: $F(z)$ is the cdf of a standard double-exponential distribution (Gumbel distribution)

$$F(z) = e^{-e^{-z}}$$

- – The link function is called the log-log link:

$$g(p_i) = -\log[-\log(p_i)] = X_i^T \beta$$

- – Both the probit and logit link assumes a symmetric ϵ_i (around 0). So we implicitly assumed that the response curve is symmetric at 0.5

$$g(p_i) = -g(1 - p_i)$$

One can use the log-log link if such assumption is severely violated (or use a complementary log-log link depending on the shape of the response curve). Read Chapter 5.6.3 for more details (also discussed how one may choose an appropriate link function in practice).

Next time: Chapter 5.3 - 5.5, 5.7, binary GLM: some applications, inference and model fitting