

STAT347: Generalized Linear Models

Lecture 3

Winter, 2023
Jingshu Wang

Today's topics:

- Asymptotic distribution of the MLE estimates
- Hypothesis testing for β (Chapter 4.3)

Statistical inference for GLM

```
## Call:  
## glm(formula = y ~ weight + factor(color), family = poisson(),  
##       data = Crabs)  
##  
## Deviance Residuals:  
##      Min        1Q    Median        3Q       Max  
## -2.9833  -1.9272  -0.5553   0.8646   4.8270  
##  
## Coefficients:  
##              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)  
## (Intercept) -0.04978  0.23315 -0.214   0.8309  
## weight       0.54618  0.06811  8.019 1.07e-15 ***  
## factor(color)2 -0.20511  0.15371 -1.334   0.1821  
## factor(color)3 -0.44980  0.17574 -2.560   0.0105 *  
## factor(color)4 -0.45205  0.20844 -2.169   0.0301 *  
## ---  
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1  
##  
## (Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1)  
##  
## Null deviance: 632.79 on 172 degrees of freedom  
## Residual deviance: 551.80 on 168 degrees of freedom  
## AIC: 917.1  
##  
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 6
```

- How do we get the standard error, z value and p-value of the GLM estimates?
- What does the deviance mean in this table?

Asymptotic distribution of $\hat{\beta}$

- The MLE $\hat{\beta}$ is consistent for the true value β_0 when $n \rightarrow \infty$ and p is fixed
- Asymptotic normality: when n is large

$$\hat{\beta} - \beta_0 \stackrel{d}{\sim} N(0, V_{\beta_0})$$

where β_0 is the true value of the parameter. $(nV_{\beta_0}) = O(1))$

- As an applied course, we ignore the discussions of the conditions of the above consistency and CLT results, and skip the proofs.

Calculation of V_{β_0}

- Taylor expansion:

$$0 = \dot{L}(\hat{\beta}) \approx \dot{L}(\beta_0) + \ddot{L}(\beta_0)(\hat{\beta} - \beta_0)$$

- Then

$$\hat{\beta} - \beta_0 \approx - \left(\ddot{L}(\beta_0) \right)^{-1} \dot{L}(\beta_0) = - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{\ddot{L}(\beta_0)}{n} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\dot{L}(\beta_0)}{\sqrt{n}} \right)$$

Calculation of V_{β_0}

Under appropriate conditions, we have

$$\ddot{L}(\beta_0)/n = \sum_i \ddot{L}_i(\beta_0)/n \rightarrow \text{Const.} \quad (\text{law of large numbers})$$

$$\frac{\dot{L}(\beta_0)}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{\sum_i \dot{L}_i(\beta_0)}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, V) \quad (\text{central limit theorem})$$

Thus we have

$$V_{\beta_0} = \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\ddot{L}(\beta_0) \right) \right)^{-1} \text{Var} \left(\dot{L}(\beta_0) \right) \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\ddot{L}(\beta_0) \right) \right)^{-1}$$

Calculation of V_{β_0}

- The above calculation also can also be used to find the variance of $\hat{\beta}$ from a general estimating equation $\varphi(\hat{\beta}) = 0$ (will discuss more in later lectures)
- Property of the likelihood score equation:

Thus

$$\text{Var}(\dot{L}(\beta_0)) = \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \beta} \Big|_{\beta=\beta_0} \right)^2 \right) = -\mathbb{E}(\ddot{L}(\beta_0))$$

- We also have

$$V_{\beta_0} = -\mathbb{E}(\ddot{L}(\beta_0))^{-1}$$

$$V_{\beta_0} = (X^T W X)^{-1} \text{ where } W = D^2 V^{-1}$$

- If we use a canonical link, then $W = \frac{D}{a(\phi)} = V/a^2(\phi)$ (last lecture)

Asymptotic distribution of any function $h(\hat{\beta})$

- $h(\hat{\beta})$ is a consistent estimator of $h(\beta_0)$
- We use Delta method to understand its uncertainty:

$$h(\hat{\beta}) \approx h(\beta_0) + \dot{h}(\beta_0)^T(\hat{\beta} - \beta_0)$$

$$\sqrt{n} \left(h(\hat{\beta}) - h(\beta_0) \right) \rightarrow N \left(0, n \dot{h}(\beta_0)^T V_{\beta_0} \dot{h}(\beta_0) \right)$$

- Example: use Delta method to obtain a CI for $\mu_i = g^{-1}(X_i^T \beta_0)$ of any individual i

Hypothesis testing

- How to test

$$H_0 : A\beta_0 = a_0 \quad V.S. \quad H_1 : A\beta_0 \neq a_0$$

- Example: $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$ V.S. $H_1: \beta_1 \neq 0$
- We will introduce three types of tests:
 - Wald test
 - Score test
 - Likelihood-ratio test

Wald test

- Test statistic

$$T = (A\hat{\beta} - a_0)^T \left[\widehat{\text{Var}}(A\hat{\beta}) \right]^{-1} (A\hat{\beta} - a_0)$$

- $\widehat{\text{Var}}(A\hat{\beta}) = A V_{\hat{\beta}} A^T$

- If a_0 is a scalar, then we can rewrite the test statistic as the Wald statistic

$$z = \frac{A\hat{\beta} - a_0}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(A\hat{\beta})}}$$

- Under H_0 , when n is large Wald statistic $z \stackrel{d}{\sim} N(0, 1)$
- We can also obtain a 95% CI for $A\hat{\beta}$: $[A\hat{\beta} - 1.96\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(A\hat{\beta})}, A\hat{\beta} + 1.96\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(A\hat{\beta})}]$

Wald test

- Test statistic

$$T = (A\hat{\beta} - a_0)^T \left[\widehat{\text{Var}}(A\hat{\beta}) \right]^{-1} (A\hat{\beta} - a_0)$$

- $\widehat{\text{Var}}(A\hat{\beta}) = A V_{\hat{\beta}} A^T$

- If a_0 is in general d -dimensional, then under H_0 , $T \stackrel{d}{\sim} \mathcal{X}_d^2$
- The Wald statistic is the “z-value” in the R GLM output for each coefficient β_j

A potential issue with Wald test

Let's look at an example of using Wald test for Binomial data $y_i \sim \text{Binomial}(n_i, p_i)$ where we work on the null model:

$$\log \frac{p_i}{1 - p_i} = \log \frac{\mu_i}{n_i - \mu_i} = \beta_0$$

- We can treat the above model as using a canonical link with X being 1, then the asymptotic variance of β_0 is

$$V_{\beta_0} = (\sum_i V_i)^{-1} = (\sum_i n_i p(1 - p))^{-1}$$

- An estimate $\hat{V}_{\beta_0} = V_{\hat{\beta}} = [(\sum_i n_i) \hat{p}(1 - \hat{p})]^{-1}$ where $\hat{p}_i = \hat{p} = e^{\hat{\beta}} / (1 + e^{\hat{\beta}})$
- If we are interested in testing $H_0 : p_i \equiv 0.5$ or equivalently $H_0 : \beta_0 = 0$, the Wald statistics is

$$z = \hat{\beta} \sqrt{(\sum_i n_i) \hat{p}(1 - \hat{p})}$$

A potential issue with Wald test

- An estimate $\hat{V}_{\beta_0} = V_{\hat{\beta}} = [(\sum_i n_i)\hat{p}(1 - \hat{p})]^{-1}$ where $\hat{p}_i = \hat{p} = e^{\hat{\beta}} / (1 + e^{\hat{\beta}})$
- If we are interested in testing $H_0 : p_i \equiv 0.5$ or equivalently $H_0 : \beta_0 = 0$, the Wald statistics is

$$z = \hat{\beta} \sqrt{(\sum_i n_i)\hat{p}(1 - \hat{p})}$$

- Let's assume we only have one sample
 - Score equation: $y - np = 0$, so $\hat{p} = y/n$
 - If $y = 23$ and $n = 25$, then $z = 3.31$
 - If $y = 24$ and $n = 25$, then $z = 3.11$.
 - **We have a smaller z value when we have stronger evidence against the null?**

A potential issue with Wald test

- On the other hand, we use the Wald test to directly test for $H_0: p_i \equiv 0.5$
- In the example with only one sample, we can obtain the asymptotic distribution of \hat{p} directly, which results in another Wald statistic

$$z = \frac{\hat{p} - 0.5}{\sqrt{\hat{p}(1 - \hat{p})/n}}.$$

- If $y = 23$ and $n = 25$, then $z = 7.74$
- If $y = 24$ and $n = 25$, then $z = 11.74$.
- So the Wald statistics is not unique and depends on parameterization
- We will discuss this more when we learn binary GLM (Chapter 5.3.3)

Score test

- We only discuss the simple case

$$H_0 : \beta = \beta_0 \in \mathbb{R}^p \quad V.S. \quad H_1 : \beta \neq \beta_0$$

- Last time we used the property of the likelihood that:

$$\text{Var} \left(\dot{L}(\beta_0) \right) = \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \beta} \Big|_{\beta=\beta_0} \right)^2 \right) = -\mathbb{E} \left(\ddot{L}(\beta_0) \right)$$

- The score test uses the test statistic

$$T = -\dot{L}(\beta_0)^T \left(\ddot{L}(\beta_0) \right)^{-1} \dot{L}(\beta_0)$$

and makes use of the asymptotic normal distribution of $\dot{L}(\beta_0)$

- Under the null, we have $T \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_p^2$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Likelihood ratio test

- We test for the null

$$H_0 : A\beta_0 = a_0 \quad V.S. \quad H_1 : A\beta_0 \neq a_0$$

- The likelihood ratio test statistic is

$$-2 \log \Lambda = -2 \left(L(\tilde{\beta}) - L(\hat{\beta}) \right)$$


- $\tilde{\beta}$ is the MLE of under the constraint $A\beta = a_0$, and $\hat{\beta}$ is our original MLE without any constraints (under the alternative). As $n \rightarrow \infty$, under the null

$$-2 \log \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_d^2$$

Comparison of the three tests

- We test for the null

$$H_0 : A\beta_0 = a_0 \quad V.S. \quad H_1 : A\beta_0 \neq a_0$$



- Three tests are asymptotically equivalent under the null
- We can also construct CI from score and likelihood ratio tests by inverting the tests