Final Project Guidelines

- 1. Ambition: how ambitious would project seem by describing the main idea?
 - H: Very ambitious, very difficult goal
 - M: Somewhat ambitious
 - L: Not ambitious at all

2. Significance.

- H: Would be seriously considered as paper for major conference
- M: Would be seriously considered for workshop at major conference
- L: Wouldn't be useful out of class
- 3. Technical rigor (execution of project).
 - H: Requires significant preprocessing and coding and/or significant novel mathematics
 - M: Requires some preprocessing and some coding, uses Stan or Tensorflow
 - L: Uses only existing packages and/or trivial models like regression

4. Originality.

- H: New problem space; no more than a few papers trying to achieve the same goal
- M: Problem/method is not novel, but there are added wrinkles that make it interesting
- L: Does not invent anything new for problem, or reimplements existing method

5. Results.

- H: Extensive experiments; tuned hyperparameters; evaluated results; insightful analysis
- M: Some experiments; didn't sufficiently evaluate results or give qualitative analysis
- L: Few results or analysis
- 6. Writing quality/clarity.
 - H: Very clear, understood all background and experiments
 - M: Generally clear, but perhaps didn't explain much background or experiments
 - L: So unclear it's not sure what project is about, many typos

7. Relevance to class.

- Y: Related: There's a clear graphical model/inference procedure/evaluation
- N: No/minimal probability