

Probability

Jingxuan Yang

October 2, 2024

Contents

1	Axioms of Probability	1
1.1	A brief history of probability theory	1
1.2	Probability spaces: sample spaces, σ -algebras, and probability measures . . .	2
2	Combinational Methods	8
3	Conditional Probability and Independence	10
4	Distribution Functions and Discrete Random Variables	14
4.1	Random Variables	14
4.2	Distribution Functions	17
4.3	Discrete Random Variables	18
4.4	Expectations of Discrete Random Variables	19
4.5	Variances and Moments of Discrete Random Variables	20
4.6	Standardized Random Variables	21
5	Special Discrete Distributions	22
5.1	Bernoulli R.V.'s and Binomial R.V.'s	22
5.2	Poisson R.V.'s	24
5.3	Geometric R.V.'s, Negative Binomial R.V.'s and Hypergeometric R.V.'s . . .	25
6	Continuous Random Variables	30
6.1	Probability Density Function	30
6.2	The Probability Density Function of A Function of A R.V.	31
6.3	Expectations and Variances	32
7	Special Continuous Distributions	35
7.1	Uniform R.V.'s	35
7.2	Normal (Gaussian) R.V.'s	36
7.3	Gamma R.V.'s, Erlang R.V.'s and Exponential R.V.'s	39
7.4	Beta R.V.'s	43

8	Bivariate and Multivariate Distributions	46
8.1	Joint Distributions of Two or More R.V.'s	46
8.2	Independent R.V.'s	50
8.3	Conditional Distributions	51
8.4	Transformations of Two R.V.'s	56
8.5	Order Statistics	57
8.6	Multinomial Distributions	59
9	More Expectations and Variance	61
9.1	Expected Values of Sums of R.V.'s	61
9.2	Covariance and Correlation Coefficients	62
9.3	Conditioning on R.V.'s	64
9.4	Bivariate Normal (Gaussian) Distribution	66
10	Sums of Independent R.V.'s and Limit Theorems	68
10.1	Moment Generating Functions	68
10.2	Sums of Independent R.V.'s	68
10.3	Markov and Chebyshev Inequalities	69
10.4	Laws of Large Numbers (LLN's)	70
10.5	Central Limit Theorem (CLT)	72

Preface

Currently, most of the material in this book comes from the lecture notes of **Professor Jay Cheng**. The proofs of theorems are not included yet, which warrants further efforts in the future.

Chapter 1

Axioms of Probability

1.1 A brief history of probability theory

- ~ 3500 B.C.: ancient Egyptians, astragali (a four-sided dice-shaped bone), “Hounds and Jackals” - a game of chance.
- ~ 1600 B.C.: ordinary six-sided dice.
- 15th century: study of the chances of events began. Italy: Luca Paccioli (1445-1514), Niccolò Tartaglia (1499-1557), Girolamo Cardano (1501-1576), Galileo Galilei (1564-1642).
- 1654: real progress of theoretical study. France: Blaise Pascal (1623-1662), Pierre de Fermat (1601-1665).
- 1657: Huygens (1629-1695, Dutch scholar) published the first book on probability “On Calculations in Games of Chance”, the birth of probability.
- 17th and 18th century: James Bernoulli (1654-1705), Abraham de Moivre (1667-1754), Pierre-Simon Laplace (1749-1827), Siméon Denis Poisson (1781-1840), Karl Friedrich Gauss (1777- 1855).
- 19th century (Russian): Pafnuty Chebyshev (1821-1894), Andrei Markov (1856-1922), Aleksandr Lyapunov (1857-1918).
- 1900: David Hilbert (1862-1943) proposed 23 problems at the International Congress of Mathematicians in Paris. Axiomatic treatment of the theory of probability is needed to serve as the foundation of the theory of probability.
- 20th century: Émile Borel (1871-1956), Sergei Bernstein (1880-1968), Richard von Mises (1883-1953).
- 1933: Andrei Kolmogorov (1903-1987) axiomatized the theory of probability.

1.2 Probability spaces: sample spaces, σ -algebras, and probability measures

In general, the outcome of an experiment is uncertain to an observer before the experiment is actually performed no matter how many times the same experiment has been performed in the past or how knowledgeable the observer is. As such, there is an uncertainty as to whether an event will occur or not in any experiment, and it is highly desirable to have a quantitative measure of the chance of occurrence of an event. We will treat probability theory in an axiomatic way as a branch of applied mathematics and develop the theory purely through logical reasoning and inference. “No uncertainty or randomness” is involved in such development.

Definition 1.1 (Sample Space). *The sample space Ω of an experiment is the set of all possible outcomes of the experiment.*

Example 1.1. *In the game of throwing a dice, $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$.*

Definition 1.2 (Event). *An event of an experiment is a subset of the sample space Ω of the experiment. We call Ω the certain event and \emptyset the impossible event of the experiment. We say that an event A occurs if the outcome of the experiment belongs to A .*

Example 1.2. *Let $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. Then $\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \dots, \{6\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \dots, \{5, 6\}, \dots, \Omega$ are events.*

Definition 1.3 (σ -algebra). *A σ -algebra \mathcal{A} of subsets of a sample space Ω is a collection of subsets of Ω such that*

- (i) $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}$,
- (ii) \mathcal{A} is closed under complementation, i.e., if $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\Omega \setminus A \in \mathcal{A}$,
- (iii) \mathcal{A} is closed under countable union, i.e., if $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$.

Example 1.3. *Let $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. Then $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$, $\mathcal{A}_2 = \{\emptyset, \{1, 3, 5\}, \{2, 4, 6\}, \Omega\}$, $\mathcal{A}_3 = \{\emptyset, \{1, 2\}, \{3, 4, 5, 6\}, \Omega\}$, $\mathcal{A}_4 = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \dots, \{6\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \dots, \{5, 6\}, \dots, \Omega\}$ are σ -algebras of subsets of Ω .*

Example 1.4. *The power set $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ of a sample space Ω is the set of all subsets of Ω , i.e., $\mathcal{P}(\Omega) = \{A : A \subseteq \Omega\}$.*

Theorem 1.1 (Properties of σ -algebra). *Suppose \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra of subsets of a sample space Ω .*

- (i) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$,
- (ii) \mathcal{A} is closed under finite union,

1.2. PROBABILITY SPACES: SAMPLE SPACES, σ -ALGEBRAS, AND PROBABILITY MEASURES 3

(iii) \mathcal{A} is closed under countable and finite intersection.

Proof. abc □

Theorem 1.2 (Intersection of σ -algebras). *Suppose Γ is a nonempty collection of σ -algebras of subsets of a sample space Ω . Then the intersection $\mathcal{B} = \bigcap_{\mathcal{A} \in \Gamma} \mathcal{A}$ of the σ -algebras in Γ is also a σ -algebra of subsets of Ω .*

Proof. abc □

Corollary 1.1 (Existence of Smallest σ -algebra). *Suppose \mathcal{C} is a collection of subsets of a sample space Ω . Then there exists a smallest σ -algebra of subsets of Ω including \mathcal{C} .*

Proof. abc □

Definition 1.4 (Generated σ -algebra). *Let \mathcal{C} be a collection of subsets of a sample space Ω , we define the σ -algebra of subsets of Ω generated by \mathcal{C} as the smallest σ -algebra of subsets of Ω including \mathcal{C} and denote it as $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$.*

Definition 1.5 (Probability Measure). *Let \mathcal{A} be a σ -algebra of subsets of a sample space Ω , a probability measure $\mathbb{P} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on \mathcal{A} is a real-valued function on \mathcal{A} such that*

(i) *Nonnegativity:* $\mathbb{P}(A) \geq 0, \forall A \in \mathcal{A}$,

(ii) *Normalization:* $\mathbb{P}(\Omega) = 1$,

(iii) *Countable additivity:* If A_1, A_2, \dots are pairwise disjoint events in \mathcal{A} then

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n).$$

For an event $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we call $\mathbb{P}(A)$ the probability of the event A .

Definition 1.6 (Probability Space). *A probability space is an ordered triple $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ consisting of a sample space Ω , a σ -algebra \mathcal{A} of subsets of Ω , and a probability measure \mathbb{P} on \mathcal{A} .*

Theorem 1.3 (A Kind of Probability Measure). *Suppose $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots\}$, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ and $\mathbb{P}(A) = \sum_{\omega_i \in A} P_i$, for all $A \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, where $P_i \geq 0, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i = 1$, then \mathbb{P} is a probability measure on $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. A similar result holds if $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_N\}$, where $N \geq 1$.*

Proof. abc □

Corollary 1.2 (A Kind of Probability Measure (special)). *Suppose $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_N\}$, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, and $\mathbb{P}(A) = \frac{|A|}{N}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, then \mathbb{P} is a probability measure on $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$.*

Proof. abc □

Theorem 1.4 (Classical definition of probability). *Suppose $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_N\}$, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ and \mathbb{P} is a probability measure on $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ such that $\mathbb{P}(\omega_1) = \mathbb{P}(\omega_2) = \dots = \mathbb{P}(\omega_N)$, then $\mathbb{P}(A) = \frac{|A|}{N}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$.*

Proof. abc □

Theorem 1.5 (Properties of Probability Measure). *Suppose $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space.*

(i) $\mathbb{P}(\emptyset) = 0$.

(ii) $\mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(A^c) = 1$. Therefore, $0 \leq \mathbb{P}(A) \leq 1$, for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

(iii) *Finite additivity: If A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N are pairwise disjoint events in \mathcal{A} , then*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^N A_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{P}(A_n).$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 1.6 (Properties of Probability Measure). *Suppose $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space, and suppose $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$.*

(1) *If A_1, A_2, \dots are pairwise disjoint events on Ω and*

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \Omega,$$

then

$$\mathbb{P}(\Omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_i).$$

(2) *If $B \subseteq A$, then $\mathbb{P}(A) = \mathbb{P}(A \cap B) + \mathbb{P}(A \cap B^c)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$.*

(3) $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) \leq \min\{\mathbb{P}(A), \mathbb{P}(B)\} \leq \max\{\mathbb{P}(A), \mathbb{P}(B)\} \leq \mathbb{P}(A \cup B)$.

Proof. abc □

Corollary 1.3 (Finite Additivity under Union). *Suppose $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space, $A \in \mathcal{A}$, A_1, A_2, \dots are pairwise disjoint events in \mathcal{A} , and*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = 1,$$

then

$$\mathbb{P}(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A \cap A_n).$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 1.7 (Inclusion-exclusion Identity). *Suppose $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space, and suppose $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{A}$, where $n \geq 2$, then*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \mathbb{P}(A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_k}).$$

Proof. abc □

Lemma 1.1 (Generated Pairwise Disjoint). *Suppose \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra of subsets of a sample space Ω , suppose $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$, $B_1 = A_1$, and*

$$B_n = A_n \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i$$

for all $n \geq 2$, then B_1, B_2, \dots are pairwise disjoint events in \mathcal{A} ,

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i$$

for all $n \geq 1$, and

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n.$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 1.8 (Inclusion-exclusion Inequality). *Suppose $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space, and suppose $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{A}$, where $n \geq 2$, then*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \begin{cases} \leq \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{k+1} \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \mathbb{P}(A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_k}), & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \\ \geq \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{k+1} \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \mathbb{P}(A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_k}), & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

where $1 \leq m \leq n$.

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_i), \\ \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_i) - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 1.9 (Boole's Inequality). *Suppose $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space, and suppose $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$, then*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_i).$$

Proof. abc □

Definition 1.7 (Monotonicity). *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space.*

A sequence $\{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$ of events in \mathcal{A} is increasing if $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$.

A sequence $\{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$ of events in \mathcal{A} is decreasing if $A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq \dots$.

Definition 1.8 (Limit of Events). *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space.*

(1) *The limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$ of an increasing sequence $\{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$ of events in \mathcal{A} is the event that at least one of the events occurs, i.e.,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n.$$

(2) *The limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$ of a decreasing sequence $\{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$ of events in \mathcal{A} is the event that all the events occur, i.e.,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n.$$

Theorem 1.10 (Continuity of Probability Measure). *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space.*

(1) *Suppose that $\{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$ is an increasing sequence of events in \mathcal{A} . Then*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n).$$

(2) *Suppose that $\{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$ is a decreasing sequence of events in \mathcal{A} . Then*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n).$$

Proof. abc □

Remark 1.1 (Not Necessary). *If $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$, then it is not necessary that $A = \emptyset$, e.g., $\Omega = (0, 1)$ and $A = A_\alpha$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. If $\mathbb{P}(A) = 1$, then it is not necessary that $A = \Omega$, e.g., $\Omega = (0, 1)$ and $A = A_\alpha^c$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.*

Definition 1.9 (Length). *The length of the intervals (a, b) , $[a, b)$, $(a, b]$, $[a, b]$ are defined to be $(b - a)$.*

Definition 1.10 (Random). *A point is said to be randomly selected from an interval (a, b) if any subintervals of (a, b) with the same length are equally likely to contain the randomly selected point.*

Theorem 1.11 (Probability of Randomness). *The probability that a randomly selected point from (a, b) falls in the subinterval (α, β) of (a, b) is*

$$\mathbb{P} = \frac{\beta - \alpha}{b - a}.$$

1.2. PROBABILITY SPACES: SAMPLE SPACES, σ -ALGEBRAS, AND PROBABILITY MEASURES 7

Proof. abc

□

Definition 1.11 (Borel Algebra). *The σ -algebra of subsets of (a, b) generated by the set of all subintervals of (a, b) is called Borel algebra associated with (a, b) and is denoted $\mathcal{B}_{(a,b)}$.*

Theorem 1.12 (Existence of Probability Measure). *For any interval (a, b) , there exists a unique probability measure \mathbb{P} on $\mathcal{B}_{(a,b)}$ s.t.,*

$$\mathbb{P}((\alpha, \beta)) = \frac{\beta - \alpha}{b - a},$$

for all $(\alpha, \beta) \subseteq (a, b)$.

Proof. abc

□

Chapter 2

Combinational Methods

Theorem 2.1 (Counting Principle). *There are $n_1 \times n_2 \times \cdots \times n_k$ different ways in which we can first choose an element from a set of n_1 elements, then an element from a set of n_2 elements,..., and finally an element from a set of n_k elements.*

Proof. abc □

Definition 2.1 (Permutation). *An ordered arrangement of r objects from a set A containing n objects is called an r -arrangement permutation of A , where $0 \leq r \leq n$.*

An n -element permutation of A is called a permutation of A . The number of different r -permutation permutations of A is given by

$${}_nP_r = n \times (n-1) \times (n-2) \times \cdots \times (n-r+1) = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!}.$$

Theorem 2.2 (Permutation with Types). *The number of different (w.r.t. types) permutations of n objects of k different types is*

$$\frac{n!}{n_1! \times n_2! \times \cdots \times n_k!},$$

where n_1 are alike, n_2 are alike,..., n_k are alike, and $n = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k$.

Proof. abc □

Definition 2.2 (Combination). *An unordered arrangement of r objects from a set A containing n objects is called an r -element combination of A . The number of different r -element combinations of A is given by*

$${}_nC_r = \binom{n}{r} = \frac{{}_nP_r}{r!} = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!r!}.$$

Theorem 2.3 (Property of Combination).

$$\sum_{i=0}^k \binom{n+i}{i} = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{n+i}{n} = \binom{n+k+1}{k}$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 2.4 (Multinomial Expansion).

$$(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_k)^n = \sum_{\substack{n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k = n \\ n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \geq 0}} \frac{n!}{n_1! \times n_2! \times \cdots \times n_k!} \cdot x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_k^{n_k}, \forall n \geq 0.$$

Proof. abc □

Corollary 2.1 (Binomial Expansion).

$$(x + y)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} x^i y^{n-i}, \forall n \geq 0.$$

Theorem 2.5 (Stirling's Formula).

$$\sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \cdot \exp\left(\frac{1}{12n} - \frac{1}{360n^2}\right) < n! < \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \cdot \exp\left(\frac{1}{12n}\right), \forall n \geq 1.$$

Therefore,

$$n! \sim \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n, \text{ i.e., } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{\sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n} = 1.$$

Proof. abc □

Chapter 3

Conditional Probability and Independence

Definition 3.1 (Conditional Probability). *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, and $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. The conditional probability of A given B , denoted $\mathbb{P}(A|B)$, is given by*

$$\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \begin{cases} \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}, & \text{if } \mathbb{P}(B) > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } \mathbb{P}(B) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.1 (Property of Conditional Probability).

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(B) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A|B), \forall A, B \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Proof. abc

□

Theorem 3.1 (Conditional Probability Space). *Suppose $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space, and suppose $\mathbb{P}(B) > 0$, for some $B \in \mathcal{A}$. Then the conditional probability function $\mathbb{P}(\cdot|B) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a probability measure on \mathcal{A} , and hence $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P}(\cdot|B))$ is a probability space.*

Proof. abc

□

Theorem 3.2 (Reduction of Probability Space). *Suppose $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space, and suppose $\mathbb{P}(B) > 0$, for some $B \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_B : \{A \in \mathcal{A} : A \subseteq B\}$ and $P_B(A) = \mathbb{P}(A|B)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}_B$. Then \mathcal{A}_B is a σ -algebra of subsets of B and P_B is a probability measure on \mathcal{A}_B , and hence (B, \mathcal{A}_B, P_B) is a probability space.*

Proof. abc

□

Remark 3.2 (Conversion of Reduced and Conditional Probability Space). *Note that $\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \mathbb{P}(A \cap B|B) = P_B(A \cap B)$, $\forall A \in \mathcal{A}$. And $\mathbb{P}(A|B) = P_B(A)$, if $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $A \subseteq B$.*

Proof. abc

□

Theorem 3.3 (Law of Multiplication). *Suppose $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space, and $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{A}$. Then*

$$\mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_n) = \mathbb{P}(A_1)\mathbb{P}(A_2|A_1) \cdots \mathbb{P}(A_n|A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_{n-1}).$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 3.4 (Law of Total Probability (infinite)). *Suppose $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space, and suppose $B_1, B_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$ are pairwise disjoint and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = \Omega$. Then,*

$$(1) \mathbb{P}(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(B_n) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A|B_n), \forall A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

$$(2) \mathbb{P}(A|B) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(B_n|B) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A|B \cap B_n), \forall A, B \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Proof. abc □

Corollary 3.1 (Law of Total Probability (finite)). *Suppose $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space, and suppose $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n \in \mathcal{A}$ are pairwise disjoint and $\bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i = \Omega$. Then,*

$$(1) \mathbb{P}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(B_i) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A|B_i), \forall A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

$$(2) \mathbb{P}(A|B) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(B_i|B) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A|B \cap B_i), \forall A, B \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 3.5 (Bayes' Theorem (infinite)). *Suppose $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space, and suppose $B_1, B_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$ are pairwise disjoint and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = \Omega$. Then*

$$\mathbb{P}(B_k|A) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(B_k) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A|B_k)}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(B_n) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A|B_n)}, \forall A \in \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P}(A) > 0, k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof. abc □

Corollary 3.2 (Bayes' Theorem (finite)). *Suppose $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space, and suppose $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n \in \mathcal{A}$ are pairwise disjoint and $\bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i = \Omega$. Then*

$$\mathbb{P}(B_k|A) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(B_k) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A|B_k)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(B_i) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A|B_i)}, \forall A \in \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P}(A) > 0, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 3.6 (Properties of Conditional Probability). *Suppose $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space, and suppose $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$.*

$$(1) \mathbb{P}(A|B) > \mathbb{P}(A) \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}(A \cap B) > \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B) \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}(B|A) > \mathbb{P}(B)$$

$$(2) \mathbb{P}(A|B) < \mathbb{P}(A), \mathbb{P}(B) > 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}(A \cap B) < \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}(B|A) < \mathbb{P}(B), \mathbb{P}(A) > 0$$

$$(3) \mathbb{P}(A|B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B)$$

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \cap \mathbb{P}(B), \mathbb{P}(A) = 0 \text{ or } \mathbb{P}(B) > 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(A|B) = \mathbb{P}(A)$$

$$\text{If } \mathbb{P}(A) = 0 \text{ or } \mathbb{P}(B) > 0, \text{ then } \mathbb{P}(A|B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B)$$

Proof. abc □

Definition 3.2 (Independence). Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, and $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. If $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B)$, then A and B are said to be independent, denoted $A \perp B$. If A and B are not independent, they are said to be dependent. Furthermore, if $\mathbb{P}(A|B) > \mathbb{P}(A)$, then A and B are said to be positively correlated, and if $\mathbb{P}(A|B) < \mathbb{P}(A)$, then A and B are said to be negatively correlated.

Theorem 3.7 (Properties of Independence). Suppose $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space, and suppose $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$.

- (1) If $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$ or $\mathbb{P}(A) = 1$, then $A \perp B$, $\forall B \in \mathcal{A}$.
- (2) If $A \subseteq B$ and $A \perp B$, then either $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$ or $\mathbb{P}(B) = 1$.
- (3) If A and B are disjoint and $\mathbb{P}(A) > 0$, $\mathbb{P}(B) > 0$, then they are dependent.

Proof. abc □

Theorem 3.8 (Independence of Two Events). Suppose $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space, and suppose $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, and $A \perp B$.

Then $A^* \perp B^*$, i.e., $\mathbb{P}(A^* \cap B^*) = \mathbb{P}(A^*) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B^*)$, $\forall A^* = A, A^c; B^* = B, B^c$.

Proof. abc □

Corollary 3.3 (Conditional Probability with Independence). Suppose $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space, and suppose $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, and $A \perp B$.

If $\mathbb{P}(B) > 0$, then $\mathbb{P}(A^*|B) = \mathbb{P}(A^*)$, $\forall A^* = A, A^c$.

If $\mathbb{P}(B) < 1$, then $\mathbb{P}(A^*|B^c) = \mathbb{P}(A^*)$, $\forall A^* = A, A^c$.

Proof. abc □

Remark 3.3 (Conditional Probability with Independence). If $A \perp B$ and $\mathbb{P}(B) > 0$, then knowledge about the occurrence of B does not change the probability of the occurrence of A^* .

If $A \perp B$ and $\mathbb{P}(B) < 1$, then knowledge about the occurrence of B^c does not change the probability of the occurrence of A^* .

Proof. abc □

Definition 3.3 (Independent Set). Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, and $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{A}$, where $n \geq 2$.

If $\mathbb{P}(A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_k}) = \mathbb{P}(A_{i_1})\mathbb{P}(A_{i_2}) \dots \mathbb{P}(A_{i_k})$, $\forall 2 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\# = \sum_{k=2}^n \binom{n}{k} = 2^n - n - 1, 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n, \# := \text{number}.$$

Then A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are said to be independent; otherwise, they are said to be dependent.

Remark 3.4 (Sub Independent Set). If $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ are independent, then $A_{i_1}, A_{i_2}, \dots, A_{i_k}$ are independent, $\forall 2 \leq k \leq n$, $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$.

Proof. abc □

Theorem 3.9 (Equivalent Statements of Independence). *Suppose $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space, $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{A}$, where $n \geq 2$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are independent.
- (2) $\mathbb{P}(A_{i_1}^* \cap A_{i_2}^* \cap \dots \cap A_{i_k}^*) = \mathbb{P}(A_{i_1}^*) \mathbb{P}(A_{i_2}^*) \dots \mathbb{P}(A_{i_k}^*)$, $\forall 2 \leq k \leq n$,
 $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$, $A_{i_r}^* = A_{i_r}$ or $A_{i_r}^c$.
- (3) $\mathbb{P}(A_{i_1}^* \cap A_{i_2}^* \cap \dots \cap A_{i_n}^*) = \mathbb{P}(A_{i_1}^*) \mathbb{P}(A_{i_2}^*) \dots \mathbb{P}(A_{i_n}^*)$, $\forall A_i^* = A_i, A_i^c$,
 $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. abc □

Definition 3.4 (Independent Set). *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, and $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$, $\forall i \in I$, where I is an index set, then $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ is said to be independent if any finite subset of $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ is independent; otherwise, it is said to be dependent.*

Corollary 3.4 (Independence under Finite Union). *Suppose $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space, and suppose $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ are independent. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left[\left(A_{i_1}^* \cap A_{i_2}^* \cap \dots \cap A_{i_k}^* \right) \cap \left(A_{j_1}^* \cap A_{j_2}^* \cap \dots \cap A_{j_l}^* \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{P} \left(A_{i_1}^* \cap A_{i_2}^* \cap \dots \cap A_{i_k}^* \right) \cdot \mathbb{P} \left(A_{j_1}^* \cap A_{j_2}^* \cap \dots \cap A_{j_l}^* \right) \end{aligned}$$

$\forall k, l \geq 1$, $k + l \leq n$, $1 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k, j_1, j_2, \dots, j_l \leq n$ distinct, and $A_{i_r}^* = A_{i_r}$ or $A_{i_r}^c$, $r = 1, 2, \dots, k$, $A_{j_r}^* = A_{j_r}$ or $A_{j_r}^c$, $r = 1, 2, \dots, l$.

In particular, if $\mathbb{P}(A_{j_1}^ \cap A_{j_2}^* \cap \dots \cap A_{j_l}^*) > 0$, for some $1 \leq l \leq n-1$, $1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_l \leq n$ distinct, and $A_{j_r}^* = A_{j_r}$ or $A_{j_r}^c$, $r = 1, 2, \dots, l$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left[\left(A_{i_1}^* \cap A_{i_2}^* \cap \dots \cap A_{i_k}^* \right) \mid \left(A_{j_1}^* \cap A_{j_2}^* \cap \dots \cap A_{j_l}^* \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{P} \left(A_{i_1}^* \cap A_{i_2}^* \cap \dots \cap A_{i_k}^* \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq n-l$. $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_l\}$ distinct, and $A_{i_r}^ = A_{i_r}$ or $A_{i_r}^c$, $r = 1, 2, \dots, k$.*

Proof. abc □

Chapter 4

Distribution Functions and Discrete Random Variables

4.1 Random Variables

Definition 4.1 (Measurable Space). *A measurable space is an ordered pair (Ω, \mathcal{A}) consisting of a sample space Ω and a σ -algebra \mathcal{A} of subsets of Ω .*

Definition 4.2 (Measurable Function). *Let $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$, $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{A}_2)$ be measurable spaces. A function from Ω_1 to Ω_2 is called a measurable function from $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$ to $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{A}_2)$ if $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}_1, \forall B \in \mathcal{A}_2$, where $f^{-1}(B) = \{x \in \Omega_1 : f(x) \in B\}$ is the pre-image of B under f .*

Lemma 4.1 (σ -algebra under Function). *Suppose f is a function from Ω_1 to Ω_2 .*

- (1) *If \mathcal{A}_2 is a σ -algebra of subsets of Ω_2 , then $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{f^{-1}(B) : B \in \mathcal{A}_2\}$ is a σ -algebra of subsets of Ω_1 .*
- (2) *If \mathcal{A}_1 is a σ -algebra of subsets of Ω_1 , then $\mathcal{A}_2 = \{B \in \Omega_2 : f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}_1\}$ is a σ -algebra of subsets of Ω_2 .*

Proof. abc □

Theorem 4.1 (σ -algebra Including Subset). *Suppose $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$ is a measurable space and f is a function from Ω_1 to Ω_2 . If $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \{B \subseteq \Omega_2 : f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}_1\}$, then $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \{B \subseteq \Omega_2 : f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}_1\}$.*

Proof. abc □

Corollary 4.1 (A Kind of Measurable Function). *Suppose $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$, $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{A}_2)$ are measurable spaces, and f is a function from Ω_1 to Ω_2 . Suppose $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \{B \subseteq \Omega_2 : f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}_1\}$ and $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \supseteq \mathcal{A}_2$. Then f is a measurable function from $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$ to $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{A}_2)$.*

Proof. abc □

Theorem 4.2 (Composite Measurable Function). *Suppose $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$, $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{A}_2)$, $(\Omega_3, \mathcal{A}_3)$ are measurable spaces, f is a measurable function from $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$ to $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{A}_2)$, and g is a measurable function from $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{A}_2)$ to $(\Omega_3, \mathcal{A}_3)$. Then $g \circ f$ is a measurable function from $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$ to $(\Omega_3, \mathcal{A}_3)$.*

Proof. abc □

Definition 4.3 (Open Set). *A set A in \mathbb{R}^n is called an open set in \mathbb{R}^n if for all $\mathbf{x} \in A$, $\exists r > 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{x}}(r) \subseteq A$, where $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{x}}(r) = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\| < r\}$.*

Definition 4.4 (Borel σ -algebra). *The σ -algebra generated by the set of all open sets in \mathbb{R}^n is called the Borel σ -algebra of subsets of \mathbb{R}^n and is denoted by $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$. We call a set in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ a Borel set in \mathbb{R}^n .*

Theorem 4.3 (Measurable Function from Continuity). *Suppose f is a continuous function from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m . Then f is a measurable function from $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^n})$ to $(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^m})$.*

Proof. abc □

Definition 4.5 (Cell). *A cell in \mathbb{R} is a finite interval of the form (a, b) , $[a, b)$, $(a, b]$, or $[a, b]$ for some $a \leq b$. A cell I in \mathbb{R}^n , where $n \geq 1$, is a Cartesian product of n cells I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n in \mathbb{R} , i.e., $I = I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_n$.*

Definition 4.6 (Open Cube). *Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $l > 0$, and $I_i = (x_i - \frac{l}{2}, x_i + \frac{l}{2})$, $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n$. The open cube $C_{\mathbf{x}}(l)$ in \mathbb{R}^n with center \mathbf{x} and side length l is defined as the open cell $I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_n$ in \mathbb{R}^n .*

Theorem 4.4 (Set from Cells). *Every open set in \mathbb{R}^n is a countable union of open cells in \mathbb{R}^n .*

Proof. abc □

Theorem 4.5 (Measurable Function on Open Cells). *Suppose (Ω, \mathcal{A}) is a measurable space and f is a function from Ω to \mathbb{R}^n . Suppose that $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}$ for all open cells in \mathbb{R}^n . Then f is a measurable function from (Ω, \mathcal{A}) to $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^n})$.*

Proof. abc □

Theorem 4.6 (Components of Measurable Function). *Suppose (Ω, \mathcal{A}) is a measurable space, $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$ is a function from Ω to \mathbb{R}^n . Then f is a measurable function from (Ω, \mathcal{A}) to $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^n}) \Leftrightarrow f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$ are measurable functions from (Ω, \mathcal{A}) to $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$.*

Proof. abc □

Theorem 4.7 (Elementary Operation of Measurable Function). *Suppose f and g are measurable functions from (Ω, \mathcal{A}) to $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$, and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then cf , f^n , $|f|$, $f + g$, $f \circ g$ are measurable functions from (Ω, \mathcal{A}) to $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$.*

Proof. abc □

Theorem 4.8 (Limit of Measurable Functions). *Suppose that f_1, f_2, \dots are measurable functions from (Ω, \mathcal{A}) to $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$ and $f_n \rightarrow f$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where f is a function from Ω to \mathbb{R} . Then f is also a measurable function from (Ω, \mathcal{A}) to $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$.*

Proof. abc □

Theorem 4.9 (Equivalence of Nine Types of Set). *Suppose (Ω, \mathcal{A}) is a measurable space and f is a function from Ω to \mathbb{R} . Let \mathcal{C}_1 be the set of all open sets in \mathbb{R} ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_2 &= \{(a, b), a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a \leq b\}, & \mathcal{C}_3 &= \{(a, b], a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a \leq b\}, \\ \mathcal{C}_4 &= \{[a, b], a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a \leq b\}, & \mathcal{C}_5 &= \{[a, b), a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a \leq b\}, \\ \mathcal{C}_6 &= \{[a, +\infty), a \in \mathbb{R}\}, & \mathcal{C}_7 &= \{(a, +\infty), a \in \mathbb{R}\}, \\ \mathcal{C}_8 &= \{(-\infty, a], a \in \mathbb{R}\}, & \mathcal{C}_9 &= \{(-\infty, a), a \in \mathbb{R}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then f is a measurable function from (Ω, \mathcal{A}) to $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$ if $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}$, $\forall B \subseteq \mathcal{C}_i$ for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, 9$.

Proof. abc □

Theorem 4.10 (Induced Probability Space under Function). *Suppose f is a measurable function from $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$ to $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{A}_2)$. Suppose P is a probability measure on \mathcal{A}_1 . Then the function P_f on \mathcal{A}_2 given by*

$$P_f(B) = P[f^{-1}(B)], \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{A}_2$$

is a probability measure.

We call $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{A}_2, P_f)$ the probability space induced from $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{A}_1, P)$ under f .

Proof. abc □

Remark 4.1 (Conventional Denotation). *(1) The set $f^{-1}(B)$ is conventionally denoted as $f \in B$. Therefore $P_f(B) = P[f^{-1}(B)] = \mathbb{P}(f \in B)$, $\forall B \in \mathcal{A}_2$.*

(2) If $B \in \mathcal{A}_2$, then $f^{-1}(B) = f^{-1}[B \cap f(\Omega_1)]$, and hence $P_f(B) = \mathbb{P}(f \in B) = P[f^{-1}(B)] = P[f^{-1}(B \cap f(\Omega_1))] = P[f \in (B \cap f(\Omega_1))] = P_f(B \cap f(\Omega_1))$.

Proof. abc □

Definition 4.7 (Random Variable). *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space. A measurable function X from (Ω, \mathcal{A}) to $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$ is called a random variable (r.v.) of the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$.*

A measurable function $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ from (Ω, \mathcal{A}) to $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^n})$ is called a random vector (r.vect.) of the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$.

Remark 4.2 (Conventional Denotation of Random Variable). *If X is a r.v. of the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, then $P_X(B) = P[X^{-1}(B)] = \mathbb{P}(X \in B) = P[\{w \in \Omega : X(w) \in B\}]$, $\forall B \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$.*

Proof. abc □

Theorem 4.11 (Additivity of Countable Points). *Suppose \mathbf{X} is a r.vect. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, and B is a “countable” subset of \mathbb{R}^n , then $B \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$, and*

$$P_{\mathbf{X}}(B) = \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X} \in B) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in B} \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in B} P_{\mathbf{X}}(\{\mathbf{x}\}).$$

Proof. abc □

4.2 Distribution Functions

Definition 4.8 (Cumulative Distribution Function). *Let X be a r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. The cumulative distribution function (c.d.f) F_X of the r.v. X is a function from \mathbb{R} to $[0, 1]$, given by*

$$F_X(t) = P_X((-\infty, t]) = \mathbb{P}(X \in (-\infty, t]) = \mathbb{P}(X \leq t), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem 4.12 (Properties of C.D.F). *Suppose X is a r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$.*

- (1) F_X is increasing.
- (2) $F_X(+\infty) := \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} F_X(t) = 1$.
- (3) $F_X(-\infty) := \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} F_X(t) = 0$.
- (4) $F_X(t+) = \mathbb{P}(X \leq t) = F_X(t)$. $F_X(t)$ is right continuous.
- (5) $F_X(t-) = \mathbb{P}(X < t)$.

Proof. abc □

Corollary 4.2 (More Properties of C.D.F). *Suppose X is a r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$.*

- (1) $\mathbb{P}(X \leq a) = F_X(a)$, $\mathbb{P}(X > a) = 1 - F_X(a)$.
- (2) $\mathbb{P}(X < a) = F_X(a-)$, $\mathbb{P}(X \geq a) = 1 - F_X(a-)$.
- (3) $\mathbb{P}(X = a) = F_X(a) - F_X(a-)$.
- (4) $\mathbb{P}(a < X \leq b) = F_X(b) - F_X(a)$, $\mathbb{P}(a \leq X \leq b) = F_X(b) - F_X(a-)$,
 $\mathbb{P}(a < X < b) = F_X(b-) - F_X(a)$, $\mathbb{P}(a \leq X < b) = F_X(b-) - F_X(a-)$.

Proof. abc □

Theorem 4.13 (Existence of C.D.F). *Suppose $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a function s.t. F is increasing and right continuous,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} F_X(t) = 1, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} F_X(t) = 0.$$

Then there exists a r.v. X of some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, s.t. the c.d.f. F_X of X is equal to F . We call such function a c.d.f.

Proof. abc □

4.3 Discrete Random Variables

Definition 4.9 (Discrete R.V.). A r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is called a discrete r.v. if $X(\Omega) = \{X(w) : w \in \Omega\}$ is countable.

Definition 4.10 (Probability Mass Function). Let X be a discrete r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ s.t. $X(\Omega) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$. The probability mass function (p.m.f) $p_X : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ of X is a function from \mathbb{R} to $[0, 1]$ given by $p_X(x) = P_X(\{X = x\}) = \mathbb{P}(X = x)$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 4.14 (Properties of P.M.F). Suppose X is a discrete r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. Then,

- (1) $p_X(x) \geq 0$, $\forall x \in X(\Omega)$.
- (2) $p_X(x) = 0$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus X(\Omega)$.
- (3) $\sum_{x \in X(\Omega)} p_X(x) = 1$.

Therefore if $X(\Omega) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$, then,

- (1) $p_X(x_i) \geq 0$, $\forall i = 1, 2, \dots$.
- (2) $p_X(x) = 0$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$.
- (3) $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_X(x_i) = 1$.

Proof. abc □

Theorem 4.15 (Existence of P.M.F). Suppose $p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a function s.t.

- (1) $p(x_i) \geq 0 \forall i = 1, 2, \dots$.
- (2) $p(x) = 0$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$.
- (3) $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p(x_i) = 1$.

for some distinct $x_1, x_2, \dots \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then there exists a discrete r.v. X of some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ s.t. the p.m.f. p_X of X is equal to p . We call such a function a p.m.f.

Proof. abc □

Theorem 4.16 (Step Distribution Function for Discrete R.V.). Suppose X is a discrete r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ s.t. $X(\Omega) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$, where $x_1 < x_2 < \dots$. Then the distribution function of X is a step function given by

$$F_X(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t < x_1 \\ \sum_{i=1}^n p_X(x_i), & \text{if } x_n \leq t \leq x_{n+1}, n = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases} = \sum_{i=1}^n p_X(x_i) U(t - x_i),$$

where

$$U(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } t \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. abc □

4.4 Expectations of Discrete Random Variables

Definition 4.11 (Expectation). *Let X be a discrete r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. The expectation (or expected value, or mean) of X is given by*

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{x \in X(\Omega)} x \cdot \mathbb{P}(X = x) = \sum_{x \in X(\Omega)} x \cdot p_X(x)$$

if the sum converges absolutely. And if the sum diverges to $\pm\infty$, $\mathbb{E}[X] = \pm\infty$.

Remark 4.3 (Explanations of Expectation). (1) *The expectation $\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{x \in X(\Omega)} x \cdot p_X(x)$ is the weighted average of $\{x : x \in X(\Omega)\}$ with weights $\{\mathbb{P}(X = x) : x \in X(\Omega)\}$.*
 (2) *The expectation $\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{x \in X(\Omega)} x \cdot p_X(x)$ is the center of gravity of $\{\mathbb{P}(X = x) : x \in X(\Omega)\}$.*

Proof. abc □

Theorem 4.17 (Expectation of Constant). *Suppose X is a discrete r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ s.t. X is a constant with probability 1, i.e., $\mathbb{P}(X = c) = 1$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $c \in X(\Omega)$, $\mathbb{P}(X = x) = 0$, $\forall x \in X(\Omega) \setminus \{c\}$, and $\mathbb{E}[X] = c$. In particular, if X is a constant r.v. of $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, i.e., $X(w) = c$, $\forall w \in \Omega$, for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\mathbb{E}[X] = c$.*

Proof. abc □

Theorem 4.18 (Composition of Function and R.V.). *Suppose X is a discrete r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ and g be a measurable function from $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$ to $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$. Then $g(X) := g \circ X$ is a discrete r.v. of $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ and*

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X)] = \sum_{x \in X(\Omega)} g(x) \mathbb{P}(X = x).$$

Proof. abc □

Corollary 4.3 (Linearity of Expectation). *Suppose X is a discrete r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n are measurable functions from $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$ to $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$, and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$, Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i g_i(X)$$

is a discrete r.v. of $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i g_i(X) \right] = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbb{E}[g_i(X)].$$

Proof. abc □

4.5 Variances and Moments of Discrete Random Variables

Definition 4.12 (Variance and Standard Deviation). *Let X be a discrete r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ and suppose $\mathbb{E}[X]$ exists. The variance of X is given by*

$$\text{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2],$$

and the standard deviation of X is given by $\sigma_X = \sqrt{\text{Var}(X)}$.

Remark 4.4 (Explanation about Variance). *The variance of a discrete r.v. measures the dispersion (or spread) of its probability masses about its expectation (center of gravity of its probability masses).*

Proof. abc □

Theorem 4.19 (Calculating Variance). *Suppose X is a discrete r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ and suppose $\mathbb{E}[X]$ exists. Then $\text{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - (\mathbb{E}[X])^2$.*

Proof. abc □

Theorem 4.20 (Minimum Distance with Expectation). *Suppose X is a discrete r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ and suppose $\mathbb{E}[X]$ exists. If $\mathbb{E}[X^2] < +\infty$, then $\text{Var}(X) = \min_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}[(X - a)^2]$.*

Proof. abc □

Theorem 4.21 (With Probability 1). *Suppose X is a discrete r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$.*

(1) $\mathbb{E}[X^2] \geq 0$, “=” holds $\Leftrightarrow X = 0$ with probability 1, i.e., $\mathbb{P}(X = 0) = 1$.

(2) If $\mathbb{E}[X]$ exists, then $\text{Var}(X) \geq 0$, “=” holds $\Leftrightarrow X = \mathbb{E}[X]$ with probability 1, i.e., $\mathbb{P}(X = \mathbb{E}[X]) = 1$.

Proof. abc □

Theorem 4.22 (Calculating Linear Combination). *Suppose X is a discrete r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ and suppose $\mathbb{E}[X]$ exists. Then $\text{Var}(aX + b) = a^2 \text{Var}(X)$ and $\sigma_{aX+b} = |a| \sigma_X$, $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. abc □

Definition 4.13 (Moment and Absolute Moment). *Let X be a discrete r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, and $r, c \in \mathbb{R}$.*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{The } r^{\text{th}} \text{ moment of } X \text{ is given by } \mathbb{E}[X^r] \\ \text{The } r^{\text{th}} \text{ central moment of } X \text{ is given by } \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^r] \\ \text{The } r^{\text{th}} \text{ moment of } c \text{ is given by } \mathbb{E}[(X - c)^r] \\ \text{The } r^{\text{th}} \text{ absolute moment of } X \text{ is given by } \mathbb{E}[|X|^r] \\ \text{The } r^{\text{th}} \text{ absolute central moment of } X \text{ is given by } \mathbb{E}[|X - \mathbb{E}[X]|^r] \\ \text{The } r^{\text{th}} \text{ absolute moment of } c \text{ is given by } \mathbb{E}[|X - c|^r] \end{array} \right.$$

If the respective sum converges absolutely.

Theorem 4.23 (Existence of Lower Order Moment). *Suppose X is a discrete r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ and suppose $0 < r < s$. If $\mathbb{E}[|X|^s]$ exists, then $\mathbb{E}[|X|^r]$ exists. That is, the existence of a higher order moment of X guarantees the existence of a lower order moment of X .*

Proof. abc □

4.6 Standardized Random Variables

Definition 4.14 (Standardized R.V.). *Let X be a discrete r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. If $\text{Var}(X)$ exists and $\text{Var}(X) \neq 0$, then the standardized r.v. of X is given by*

$$X^* = \frac{X - \mathbb{E}[X]}{\sigma_X}$$

i.e., X^ is the number of standard deviation units by which X differs from $\mathbb{E}[X]$.*

Theorem 4.24 (Expectation and Variance of Standardized R.V.). *Suppose X is a discrete r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ and $\text{Var}(X)$ exists, $\text{Var}(X) \neq 0$. Then $\mathbb{E}[X^*] = 0$ and $\text{Var}(X^*) = 1$.*

Proof. abc □

Theorem 4.25 (Independence of Units). *Suppose X is a discrete r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ and $\text{Var}(X)$ exists, $\text{Var}(X) \neq 0$. Then the standardized r.v. of X is independent of the units in which X is measured.*

Proof. abc □

Remark 4.5 (Standardization for Comparison). *Standardization can be useful when comparing r.v.'s with different distributions.*

Proof. abc □

Chapter 5

Special Discrete Distributions

5.1 Bernoulli R.V.'s and Binomial R.V.'s

Definition 5.1 (Bernoulli Trial). *A Bernoulli trial is an experiment that has only two outcomes, say success and failure, so that its sample space is given by $\Omega = \{s, f\}$.*

Let X be the number of success in a Bernoulli trial.

$$p_X(i) = \begin{cases} 1 - p, & \text{if } i = 0 \\ p, & \text{if } i = 1 \\ 0, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

where $p = \mathbb{P}(X = 1) = \mathbb{P}(\{s\})$ is the probability of success.

Definition 5.2 (Bernoulli R.V.). *A discrete r.v. X of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is called a Bernoulli r.v. with parameter p where $0 < p < 1$, denoted $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$, if its p.m.f is given by*

$$p_X(i) = \begin{cases} 1 - p, & \text{if } i = 0 \\ p, & \text{if } i = 1 \\ 0, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Such a p.m.f is called a Bernoulli p.m.f with parameter p .

Theorem 5.1 (Expectation and Variance of Bernoulli R.V.). *Suppose $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$, where $0 < p < 1$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = p, \quad \text{Var}(X) = p(1 - p).$$

Proof. abc

□

Consider an experiment in which n independent Bernoulli trials with the same probability of success, say p , are performed. The sample space of the experiment is $\Omega = \{(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n) : \omega_i = s \text{ or } f, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\mathbb{P}(\{(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n)\}) = p^i(1-p)^{n-i}$, where $i = |\{1 \leq j \leq n : \omega_j = s\}|$.

Let X be the number of successes in the n Bernoulli trials.

$$p_X(i) = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i}, & \text{if } i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n \\ 0, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 5.3 (Binomial R.V.). A discrete r.v. X of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is called a binomial r.v. with parameter n and p where $n \geq 1$ and $0 < p < 1$, denoted $X \sim \text{binomial}(n, p)$, if its p.m.f is given by

$$p_X(i) = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i}, & \text{if } i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n \\ 0, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Such a p.m.f is called a binomial p.m.f with parameter n and p .

Remark 5.1 (Bernoulli R.V. from Binomial R.V.). (1) A Bernoulli r.v. with parameter p is a binomial r.v. with parameter 1 and p .

(2)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_X(i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i} = [p + (1-p)]^n = 1$$

Thus $p_X(\cdot)$ is a p.m.f.

Theorem 5.2 (Expectation and Variance of Binomial R.V.). Suppose $X \sim \text{binomial}(n, p)$, where $n \geq 1$ and $0 < p < 1$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = np, \quad \text{Var}(X) = np(1-p).$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 5.3 (Maximum Point of Binomial Probability). Suppose $X \sim \text{binomial}(n, p)$, where $n \geq 1$ and $0 < p < 1$. Then

$$\arg \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} p_X(i) = \begin{cases} (n+1)p - 1 \text{ or } (n+1)p, & \text{if } (n+1)p \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \lfloor (n+1)p \rfloor, & \text{if } (n+1)p \notin \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$$

Proof. abc □

5.2 Poisson R.V.'s

If $X \sim \text{binomial}(n, p)$, then $p_X(i) = \binom{n}{i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i}$ is difficult to calculate if n is large. A recursive relation:

$$p_X(0) = (1-p)^n, \quad p_X(i) = \frac{n-i+1}{i(1-p)} \cdot p_X(i-1), \quad \forall i \geq 1.$$

An approximation for large n , small p , and moderate np , say $np = \lambda$ for some constant λ :

$$\begin{aligned} p_X(i) &= \binom{n}{i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i} = \frac{n(n-1) \cdots (n-i+1)}{i!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^i \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n-i} \\ &= \frac{n(n-1) \cdots (n-i+1)}{n^i} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^i} \cdot \frac{\lambda^i}{i!} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^i}{i!}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 5.4 (Poisson R.V.). A discrete r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is called a Poisson r.v. with parameter λ where $0 < \lambda < \infty$, denoted $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$, if its p.m.f is given by

$$p_X(i) = \begin{cases} e^{-\lambda} \cdot \frac{\lambda^i}{i!}, & i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \\ 0, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Such a p.m.f is called a Poisson p.m.f with parameter λ .

Remark 5.2 (Poisson R.V. from Binomial R.V.). (1) A Poisson r.v. with parameter λ is an approximation of a binomial p.m.f. with parameters n and p such that n is large and p is small, and $np = \lambda$.

(2)

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_X(i) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda} \cdot \frac{\lambda^i}{i!} = e^{-\lambda} \cdot e^{\lambda} = 1$$

thus $p_X(\cdot)$ is a p.m.f.

Theorem 5.4 (Expectation and Variance of Poisson R.V.). Suppose $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$, where $\lambda > 0$. Then $\mathbb{E}[X] = \lambda$ and $\text{Var}(X) = \lambda$.

Proof. abc □

Theorem 5.5 (Maximum Point of Poisson Probability). Suppose $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$, where $\lambda > 0$. Then

$$\arg \max_{i \geq 0} p_X(i) = \begin{cases} \lambda - 1 \text{ or } \lambda, & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \lfloor \lambda \rfloor, & \text{if } \lambda \notin \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$$

Proof. abc □

5.3 Geometric R.V.'s, Negative Binomial R.V.'s and Hypergeometric R.V.'s

Consider an experiment in which independent Bernoulli trials with the same probability of success, say p , are performed until the first success occurs. The sample space of the experiment is $\Omega = \{s, fs, ffs, \dots\}$.

Let X be the number of Bernoulli trials until the first success occurs,

$$p_X(i) = \begin{cases} (1-p)^{i-1} \cdot p, & i = 1, 2, \dots \\ 0, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 5.5 (Geometric R.V.). A discrete r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is called a geometric r.v. with parameter p where $0 < p < 1$, denoted $X \sim \text{geometric}(p)$, if its p.m.f is given by

$$p_X(i) = \begin{cases} (1-p)^{i-1} \cdot p, & i = 1, 2, \dots \\ 0, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Such a p.m.f is called a geometric p.m.f with parameter p .

Remark 5.3 (Justification of P.M.F.).

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_X(i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1-p)^{i-1} \cdot p = p \cdot \frac{1}{1-(1-p)} = 1$$

thus $p_X(\cdot)$ is a p.m.f.

Theorem 5.6 (Expectation and Variance of Geometric R.V.). Suppose $X \sim \text{geometric}(p)$, where $0 < p < 1$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{1}{p}, \quad \text{Var}(X) = \frac{1-p}{p^2}.$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 5.7 (Memoryless Property). Suppose X is a discrete r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ with $X(\Omega) = \{1, 2, \dots\}$. Then $P[(X > m+n) | (X > m)] = \mathbb{P}(X > n)$, $\forall m, n > 0 \Leftrightarrow X$ is a geometric r.v.

Proof. abc □

Consider an experiment in which independent Bernoulli trials with the same probability of success, say p , are performed until the r^{th} success occurs, where $r \geq 1$.

Let X be the number of Bernoulli trials until the r^{th} success occurs,

$$p_X(i) = \begin{cases} \binom{i-1}{r-1} p^r (1-p)^{i-r}, & i = r, r+1, \dots \\ 0, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 5.6 (Negative Binomial R.V.). A discrete r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is called a negative binomial r.v. with parameters r and p where $r \geq 1$ and $0 < p < 1$, denoted $X \sim \text{neg.-binomial}(r, p)$, if its p.m.f is given by

$$p_X(i) = \begin{cases} \binom{i-1}{r-1} p^r (1-p)^{i-r}, & i = r, r+1, \dots \\ 0, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Such a p.m.f is called a negative binomial p.m.f with parameters r and p .

Remark 5.4 (Geometric R.V. from Negative Binomial R.V.). (1) A geometric r.v. with parameter p is a negative binomial r.v. with parameters 1 and p .

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=r}^{\infty} (i-1)(i-2)\cdots(i-r+1)x^{i-r} &= \frac{d^{r-1}}{dx^{r-1}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x^{i-1} \right) \\ &= \frac{d^{r-1}}{dx^{r-1}} \left(\frac{1}{1-x} \right) = \frac{(r-1)!}{(1-x)^r} \\ \rightarrow \sum_{i=r}^{\infty} p_X(i) &= \sum_{i=r}^{\infty} \binom{i-1}{r-1} p^r (1-p)^{i-r} = \frac{p^r}{(r-1)!} \cdot \frac{(r-1)!}{(1-(1-p))^r} = 1 \\ \rightarrow p_X(\cdot) &\text{ is a p.m.f.} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.8 (Expectation and Variance of Negative Geometric R.V.). Suppose $X \sim \text{neg.-binomial}(r, p)$, where $r \geq 1$ and $0 < p < 1$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{r}{p}, \quad \text{Var}(x) = \frac{r(1-p)}{p^2}.$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 5.9 (Maximum Point of Negative Geometric Probability). Suppose $X \sim \text{neg.-binomial}(r, p)$, where $r \geq 1$ and $0 < p < 1$. Then

$$\arg \max_{i \geq r} p_X(i) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } r = 1 \\ \frac{r-1}{p} \text{ or } \frac{r-1}{p+1}, & \text{if } \frac{r-1}{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \\ \left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{p+1} \right\rfloor, & \text{if } \frac{r-1}{p} \notin \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$$

Proof. abc □

A box contains N_1 red balls and N_2 blue balls. Suppose that n balls are randomly drawn from the box, one by one and without replacement.

Let X be the number of “red” balls drawn

$$p_X(i) = \begin{cases} \frac{\binom{N_1}{i} \binom{N_2}{n-i}}{\binom{N_1+N_2}{n}}, & i = a, a+1, \dots, b. \ a = \max\{n - N_1, 0\}, b = \min\{n, N_1\} \\ 0, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 5.7 (Hypergeometric R.V.). A discrete r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is called a hypergeometric r.v. with parameter N_1, N_2 and n where $N_1, N_2 \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$, denoted $X \sim \text{hypergeometric}(N_1, N_2, n)$, if its p.m.f is given by

$$p_X(i) = \begin{cases} \frac{\binom{N_1}{i} \binom{N_2}{n-i}}{\binom{N_1+N_2}{n}}, & i = a, a+1, \dots, b. \quad a = \max\{n - N_1, 0\}, b = \min\{n, N_1\} \\ 0, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Such a p.m.f is called a hypergeometric r.v. with parameter N_1, N_2 and n .

Remark 5.5 (Justification of P.M.F.). (1) If $n \leq \min\{N_1, N_2\} \rightarrow a = \max\{n - N_1, 0\} = 0, b = \min\{n, N_1\} = n$.

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} (1+x)^{N_1+N_2} &= (1+x)^{N_1} (1+x)^{N_2} \\ \rightarrow \text{the coefficient of } x^n &\text{ is } \binom{N_1+N_2}{n} = \sum_{i=a}^b \binom{N_1}{i} \binom{N_2}{n-i}, \\ \text{where } a &= \max\{n - N_1, 0\}, b = \min\{n, N_1\} \\ \rightarrow \sum_{i=a}^b p_X(i) &= \sum_{i=a}^b \frac{\binom{N_1}{i} \binom{N_2}{n-i}}{\binom{N_1+N_2}{n}} = 1. \\ \rightarrow p_X(\cdot) &\text{ is a p.m.f.} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.10 (Expectation and Variance of Hypergeometric R.V.). Suppose $X \sim \text{hypergeometric}(N_1, N_2, n)$, where $N_1, N_2 \geq 1$ and $1 \leq n \leq \min\{N_1, N_2\}$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{nN_1}{N_1+N_2}, \quad \text{Var}(x) = n \cdot \frac{N_1}{N_1+N_2} \cdot \frac{N_2}{N_1+N_2} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{n-1}{N_1+N_2-1}\right).$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 5.11 (Binomial Approximation for Hypergeometric). n balls are drawn with replacement

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow X &\sim \text{binomial}\left(n, \frac{N_1}{N_1+N_2}\right) \\ \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X] &= n \cdot \frac{N_1}{N_1+N_2}, \quad \text{Var}(x) = n \cdot \frac{N_1}{N_1+N_2} \cdot \frac{N_2}{N_1+N_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $n \ll N_1 + N_2$, then drawing with replacement is a good approximation of drawing without replacement.

Proof. abc □

Theorem 5.12 (Maximum Point of Hypergeometric Probability). *Suppose $X \sim \text{hypergeometric}(N_1, N_2, n)$, where $N_1, N_2 \geq 1$ and $1 \leq n \leq \min\{N_1, N_2\}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \arg \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} p_X(i) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{(n+1)(N_1+1)}{N_1+N_2+2} - 1 \text{ or } \frac{(n+1)(N_1+1)}{N_1+N_2+2}, & \text{if } \frac{(n+1)(N_1+1)}{N_1+N_2+2} \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \left\lfloor \frac{(n+1)(N_1+1)}{N_1+N_2+2} \right\rfloor, & \text{if } \frac{(n+1)(N_1+1)}{N_1+N_2+2} \notin \mathbb{Z} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. abc □

Remark 5.6 (Binomial and Poisson Approximation for Hypergeometric).

$$\begin{aligned} p_X(i) &= \frac{\binom{N_1}{i} \binom{N_2}{n-i}}{\binom{N_1+N_2}{n}} \\ &= \frac{n!}{i! (n-i)!} \cdot \frac{N_1 (N_1-1) \cdots (N_1-i+1) N_2 (N_2-1) \cdots (N_2-n+i+1)}{(N_1+N_2) (N_1+N_2-1) \cdots (N_1+N_2+n-1)} \end{aligned}$$

(1) If $N_1 \rightarrow \infty$, $N_2 \rightarrow \infty$, $\frac{N_1}{N_1+N_2} \rightarrow p$, then

$$\begin{aligned} p_X(i) &= \binom{n}{i} \cdot \frac{1}{1 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{N_1+N_2}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{n-1}{N_1+N_2}\right)} \\ &\cdot \frac{N_1}{N_1+N_2} \left(\frac{N_1}{N_1+N_2} - \frac{1}{N_1+N_2} \right) \cdots \left(\frac{N_1}{N_1+N_2} - \frac{i-1}{N_1+N_2} \right) \left(\frac{N_2}{N_1+N_2} \right) \\ &\cdot \left(\frac{N_2}{N_1+N_2} - \frac{1}{N_1+N_2} \right) \cdots \left(\frac{N_2}{N_1+N_2} - \frac{n-i-1}{N_1+N_2} \right) \\ &\xrightarrow{N_1, N_2 \rightarrow \infty} \binom{n}{i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i} \leftarrow \text{binomial}(n, p) \end{aligned}$$

(2) If $n \rightarrow \infty$, $N_1 \rightarrow \infty$, $N_2 \rightarrow \infty$, $\frac{n}{N_1+N_2} \rightarrow 0$, $\frac{N_1}{N_1+N_2} \rightarrow \frac{\lambda}{n}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} p_X(i) &= \frac{1}{i!} \cdot \frac{1}{\frac{(N_1+N_2)!}{(N_1+N_2-n)!}} \cdot n N_1 \cdot (n-1) (N_1-1) \cdots (n-i+1) (N_1-i+1) \\ &\cdot (N_1+N_2-N_1) (N_1+N_2-N_1-1) \cdots (N_1+N_2-N_1-n+i+1) \\ &= \frac{1}{i!} \cdot \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{n N_1 - j(n+N_1) + j^2}{N_1+N_2} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{n-i-1} \left(1 - \frac{N_1+j}{N_1+N_2}\right)}{\frac{1}{(N_1+N_2)^n} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{2\pi(N_1+N_2)} \left(\frac{N_1+N_2}{e}\right)^{N_1+N_2} e^{a_{N_1+N_2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi(N_1+N_2-n)} \left(\frac{N_1+N_2-n}{e}\right)^{N_1+N_2-n} e^{a_{N_1+N_2-n}}}} \end{aligned}$$

5.3. GEOMETRIC R.V.'S, NEGATIVE BINOMIAL R.V.'S AND HYPERGEOMETRIC R.V.'S 29

where $a_n = \ln \frac{n!}{\sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_X(i) & \xrightarrow{n, N_1, N_2 \rightarrow \infty, \frac{n}{N_1 + N_2} \rightarrow 0, \frac{N_1}{N_1 + N_2} \rightarrow \frac{\lambda}{n}} \\
 & \frac{1}{i!} \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda^i \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n-i}}{e^{n \cdot \lim_{N_1, N_2 \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{n}{N_1 + N_2}\right)^{N_1 + N_2 - n}}} \\
 & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda^i}{i!} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n-i} = e^{-\lambda} \cdot \frac{\lambda^i}{i!} \leftarrow \text{Poisson}(\lambda)
 \end{aligned}$$

Chapter 6

Continuous Random Variables

6.1 Probability Density Function

Definition 6.1 (Probability Density Function). *Let X be a r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. X is called an absolutely continuous (or a continuous) r.v. if there exists a nonnegative real-valued function $f_X : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ s.t.*

$$\mathbb{P}(x \in B) = \int_B f_X(x) dx, \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

The function f_X is called the probability density function (p.d.f.) of X .

Remark 6.1 (Approximation of Probability).

$$\mathbb{P}(a \leq X \leq a + \delta) = \int_a^{a+\delta} f_X(x) dx = f_X(a_\delta) \cdot \delta,$$

for some $a_\delta \in [a, a + \delta]$.

If f_X is continuous at a

$$\rightarrow \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}(a \leq X \leq a + \delta)}{\delta} = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} f_X(a_\delta) = f_X(a).$$

So $\mathbb{P}(a \leq X \leq a + \delta) \approx f_X(a_\delta) \cdot \delta$, if f_X is continuous at a and δ is very small.

Theorem 6.1 (C.D.F and Probability from P.D.F.). *Suppose X is a continuous r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$.*

(1)

$$F_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f_X(t) dt.$$

Therefore, $F_X(x)$ is a continuous function.

(2)

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) dx = 1$$

(3) If f_X is continuous at a , then $F'_X(a) = f_X(a)$. Therefore, if f_X is a continuous function, then $F'_X(x) = f_X(x)$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$.

(4) $\mathbb{P}(X = a) = 0, \forall a \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(a \leq X \leq b) &= \mathbb{P}(a \leq X < b) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(a < X \leq b) = \mathbb{P}(a < X < b) \\ &= \int_a^b f_X(x) dx.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 6.2 (Existence of P.D.F.). Suppose $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a nonnegative real-valued function s.t.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = 1.$$

Then there exists a continuous r.v. X of some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ s.t. the p.d.f. is equal to f .

Proof. abc □

Definition 6.2 (Sufficient Conditions of P.D.F.). A nonnegative real-valued function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ s.t.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = 1$$

is called a p.d.f.

The c.d.f. $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ associated with f is given by

$$F(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t f(x) dx, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Remark 6.2 (Neither Discrete Nor Continuous R.V.). There are r.v.'s that are neither discrete nor continuous, e.g.,

$$F_X(x) = \alpha F_d(x) + (1 - \alpha) F_c(x),$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$.

6.2 The Probability Density Function of A Function of A R.V.

Theorem 6.3 (Method of Distribution Functions). Suppose X is a continuous r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$.

If $Y = g(X)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}f_Y(y) &= \frac{d}{dy} [F_Y(y)] = \frac{d}{dy} [\mathbb{P}(Y \leq y)] = \frac{d}{dy} [P[g(x) \leq y]] \\ &\rightarrow \frac{d}{dy} [X \sim g^{-1}(y)] \rightarrow \frac{d}{dy} [F_X(g^{-1}(y))] \rightarrow \frac{d}{dy} [g^{-1}(y)] \cdot f_X[g^{-1}(y)].\end{aligned}$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 6.4 (Method of Transformations). *Suppose X is a continuous r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ such that its p.d.f. is continuous. Suppose $Y = g(X)$, where g is a measurable function from $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$ to $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$.*

(1) *If $g(X)$ is a discrete r.v., then*

$$P_Y(y) = \int_{x:g(x)=y} f_X(x)dx, \quad \forall y \in g[X(\Omega)].$$

(2) *If $g(X)$ is a continuous r.v., $g'(x)$ exists, and $g'(x) \neq 0$, $\forall x \in g^{-1}(\{y\}) : \{x : g(x) = y\}$, where $y \in g[X(\Omega)]$. Then,*

$$f_Y(y) = \sum_{x:g(x)=y} \frac{f_X(x)}{|g'(x)|}.$$

Proof. abc □

6.3 Expectations and Variances

Definition 6.3 (Expectation). *Let X be a continuous r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ s.t. its p.d.f. is continuous. The expectation (or mean) of X is given by*

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_X(x) dx$$

if $x f_X(x)$ is absolutely integrable, i.e.,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x f_X(x)| dx < +\infty,$$

and is given by $\mathbb{E}[X] = \pm\infty$, if the integration diverges to $\pm\infty$.

Remark 6.3 (Necessary and Sufficient Condition of Absolutely Integrable).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X] &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_X(x) dx = \int_0^{\infty} x f_X(x) dx - \int_{-\infty}^0 (-x) f_X(x) dx \\ \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[|X|] &= \int_0^{\infty} x f_X(x) dx + \int_{-\infty}^0 (-x) f_X(x) dx \\ \therefore \mathbb{E}[|X|] < \infty &\Leftrightarrow \int_0^{\infty} x f_X(x) dx < \infty \text{ and } \int_{-\infty}^0 (-x) f_X(x) dx < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6.5 (Calculation of Expectation). *Suppose X is a continuous r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X] &= \int_0^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(x > t) dt - \int_0^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(x \leq -t) dt \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} [1 - F_X(t)] dt - \int_0^{\infty} [F_X(-t)] dt. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. abc □

Corollary 6.1 (Calculation of r^{th} Moment). *Suppose X is a nonnegative continuous r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, and $r > 0$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}[X^r] = \int_0^\infty r t^{r-1} \mathbb{P}(x > t) dt = \int_0^\infty r t^{r-1} [1 - F_X(t)] dt.$$

In particular,

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(x > t) dt = \int_0^\infty [1 - F_X(t)] dt.$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 6.6 (Approximation of Expectation). *Suppose X is a continuous r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. Then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(|X| \geq n) \leq \mathbb{E}[|X|] \leq 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(|X| \geq n).$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}[|X|] < \infty \Leftrightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(|X| \geq n) < \infty.$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 6.7 (Infinite Zero). *Suppose X is a continuous r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. Then,*

$$\mathbb{E}[X] < \infty \rightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x \cdot \mathbb{P}(X > x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} x \cdot \mathbb{P}(X \leq x) = 0.$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 6.8 (Expectation of Measurable Function). *Suppose X is a continuous r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, and suppose g is a measurable function from $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$ to $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) \cdot f_X(x) dx$$

Proof. abc □

Corollary 6.2 (Expectation of Linear Combination of Measurable Functions). *Suppose X is a continuous r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n are measurable functions from $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$ to $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$, and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i g_i(x) \right] = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbb{E}[g_i(X)]$$

Proof. abc □

Definition 6.4 (Variance and Standard Deviation). *Let X be a continuous r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ and suppose $\mathbb{E}[X]$ exists. The **variance** of X is given by $\text{Var}(x) = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2]$. And the **standard deviation** of X is given by $\sigma_X = \sqrt{\text{Var}(x)} = \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2]}$.*

Theorem 6.9 (Minimum Distance with Expectation). *Suppose X is a continuous r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, and suppose $\mathbb{E}[X]$ exists. If $\mathbb{E}[X^2] < +\infty$, then $\text{Var}(x) = \min_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}[(X - a)^2]$.*

Proof. abc □

Theorem 6.10 (Calculation of Linear Combination). *Suppose X is a continuous r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, and suppose $\mathbb{E}[X]$ exists. Then*

(1)

$$\text{Var}(x) = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - (\mathbb{E}[X])^2$$

(2)

$$\text{Var}(aX + b) = a^2 \text{Var}(x), \quad \sigma_{aX+b} = |a| \sigma_X, \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. abc □

Definition 6.5 (Moment and Absolute Moment). *Let X be a continuous r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, and $r, c \in \mathbb{R}$.*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{The } r^{\text{th}} \text{ moment of } X \text{ is given by } \mathbb{E}[X^r] \\ \text{The } r^{\text{th}} \text{ central moment of } X \text{ is given by } \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^r] \\ \text{The } r^{\text{th}} \text{ moment of } c \text{ is given by } \mathbb{E}[(X - c)^r] \\ \text{The } r^{\text{th}} \text{ absolute moment of } X \text{ is given by } \mathbb{E}[|X|^r] \\ \text{The } r^{\text{th}} \text{ absolute central moment of } X \text{ is given by } \mathbb{E}[|X - \mathbb{E}[X]|^r] \\ \text{The } r^{\text{th}} \text{ absolute moment of } c \text{ is given by } \mathbb{E}[|X - c|^r] \end{array} \right.$$

If the respective sum converges absolutely.

Theorem 6.11 (Existence of Lower Order Moment). *Suppose X is a continuous r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ and suppose $0 < r < s$. If $\mathbb{E}[|X|^s]$ exists, then $\mathbb{E}[|X|^r]$ exists. That is, the existence of a higher order moment of X guarantees the existence of a lower order moment of X .*

Proof. abc □

Theorem 6.12 (Positive Variance). *Suppose X is a continuous r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}[(X - a)^2] > 0, \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{E}[X] \text{ exists} \rightarrow \text{Var}(X) > 0.$$

Proof. abc □

Chapter 7

Special Continuous Distributions

7.1 Uniform R.V.'s

Definition 7.1 (Uniform R.V.). *A continuous r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is called a uniform r.v. over (α, β) , where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha < \beta$, denoted $X \sim U(\alpha, \beta)$, if its p.d.f. is given by*

$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha}, & \text{if } \alpha < x < \beta \\ 0, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 7.1 (P.D.F. and C.D.F.). (1) $f_X(x) \geq 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) dx = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} dx = 1$$

$\rightarrow f_X(x)$ is a p.d.f.
(2)

$$F_X(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \leq \alpha \\ \frac{x - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha}, & \text{if } \alpha < x < \beta \\ 1, & \text{if } x \geq \beta \end{cases}$$

Theorem 7.1 (Expectation and Variance of Uniform R.V.). *Suppose $X \sim U(\alpha, \beta)$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha < \beta$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}[X^n] = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha^{n-i} \beta^i}{n+1}.$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}, \quad \text{Var}(x) = \frac{(\beta - \alpha)^2}{12}.$$

Proof. abc

□

Remark 7.2 (Expectation and Variance of Discrete “Uniform R.V.”). Suppose $X \sim \text{Uniform}(1, 2, \dots, n)$, where $n \geq 1$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{n+1}{2}, \quad \mathbb{E}[X^2] = \frac{(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$$

and

$$\text{Var}(x) = \frac{n^2 - 1}{12}.$$

Theorem 7.2 (Linear Generated R.V.). Suppose $X \sim U(\alpha, \beta)$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha < \beta$. Suppose $Y = aX + b$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \neq 0$. Then

$$Y \sim \begin{cases} U(a\alpha + b, a\beta + b), & \text{if } a > 0 \\ U(a\beta + b, a\alpha + b), & \text{if } a < 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. abc □

7.2 Normal (Gaussian) R.V.’s

Definition 7.2 (Normal (Gaussian) R.V.). A continuous r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is called a normal (Gaussian) r.v. with parameters μ and σ^2 , where $\mu, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma \neq 0$, denoted $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, if its p.d.f. is given by

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \cdot \exp \left[-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right], \quad -\infty < x < \infty.$$

Remark 7.3 (P.D.F. and C.D.F.). (1) $f_X(x) \geq 0$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ax^2} dx$.

$$\begin{aligned} I^2 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-a(x^2+y^2)} dx dy \\ &\xrightarrow{x=r \cos \theta, y=r \sin \theta} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ar^2} r dr d\theta = \frac{\pi}{a} \\ &\rightarrow I = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{a}{\pi}} \cdot e^{-ax^2} dx = 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx = 1$$

$\rightarrow f_X(x)$ is a p.d.f.

(2) If $\mu = 0$, $\sigma^2 = 1$, then X is called a standard normal (Gaussian) r.v.

(3)

$$\begin{aligned}
F_X(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(y-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} dy \\
&\xrightarrow{y=\sigma t+\mu} \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt \\
&= \Phi\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt.$$

Theorem 7.3 (Symmetric about μ). Suppose $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$.

(1) $f_X(x)$ is symmetric about $x = \mu$, with maximum at $x = \mu$, and inflection points at $x = \mu \pm \sigma$.

(2) $\Phi(-y) = 1 - \Phi(y)$, $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\Phi(0) = \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore,

$$F_X(\mu - y) = 1 - F_X(\mu + y)$$

and

$$F_X(\mu) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 7.4 (Linear Generated R.V.). Suppose $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, where $\mu, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma \neq 0$. Suppose $Y = aX + b$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \neq 0$. Then,

$$Y \sim \mathcal{N}(a\mu + b, a^2\sigma^2).$$

In particular, if

$$Y = \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma},$$

then

$$Y \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

Proof. abc □

Definition 7.3 (Gamma Function). The function $\Gamma : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{\alpha-1} dt, \quad \forall \alpha > 0$$

is called the gamma function.

Theorem 7.5 (Properties of Gamma Function). (1)

$$\Gamma(\alpha + 1) = \alpha\Gamma(\alpha), \forall \alpha > 0.$$

(2)

$$\Gamma(n + 1) = n!, \forall n \geq 0.$$

(3)

$$\Gamma\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n}n!}\sqrt{\pi}, \forall n \geq 0.$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 7.6 (Calculation of Moment and Absolute Moment). Suppose $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, where $\mu, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma \neq 0$.

(1)

$$\mathbb{E}[|x - \mu|^n] = \frac{(2\sigma^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) = \begin{cases} \frac{2^{k+1} \cdot k!}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sigma^{2k+1}, & \text{if } n = 2k + 1, \quad k \geq 0 \\ \frac{(2k)!}{2^k \cdot k!} \sigma^{2k}, & \text{if } n = 2k, \quad k \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

(2)

$$\mathbb{E}[(x - \mu)^n] = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n = 2k + 1, \quad k \geq 0 \\ \frac{(2k)!}{2^k \cdot k!} \sigma^{2k} & \text{if } n = 2k, \quad k \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

(3)

$$\mathbb{E}[X^n] = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathbb{E}[(x - \mu)^k] \cdot \mu^{n-k}.$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 7.7 (De Moivre-Laplace Theorem). Suppose $X \sim \text{binomial}(n, p)$, where $n \geq 1$ and $0 < p < 1$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(a < \frac{X - np}{\sqrt{np(1-p)}} < b\right) = \int_a^b \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx, \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b.$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 7.8 (Approximation of $\Phi(x)$).

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{x^2}\right) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} < 1 - \Phi(x) < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x}} \cdot e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}, \forall x > 0.$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 7.9 (Expectation of Exponential Function). Suppose $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, where $\mu, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma \neq 0$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\alpha x}] = e^{\alpha\mu + \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2\sigma^2}.$$

Proof. abc □

7.3 Gamma R.V.'s, Erlang R.V.'s and Exponential R.V.'s

Definition 7.4 (Gamma R.V., Erlang R.V. and Exponential R.V.). A continuous r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is called a gamma r.v. with parameters α and λ , where $\alpha, \lambda > 0$, denoted $X \sim \mathcal{G}(\alpha, \lambda)$, if its p.d.f. is given by

$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda x} (\lambda x)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, & \text{if } \alpha > 0 \\ 0, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

If $\alpha = n$, $n \geq 1$, then X is called an Erlang r.v. with parameters n and λ , denoted $X \sim \mathcal{E}(n, \lambda)$.

If $\alpha = 1$, then X is called an exponential r.v. with parameters λ , denoted $X \sim \mathcal{E}(\lambda)$.

Remark 7.4 (Properties of P.D.F.). (1)

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda x} (\lambda x)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} dx \xrightarrow{t=\lambda x} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t} t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} dt = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} = 1$$

$\rightarrow f_X(x)$ is a p.d.f.

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} f'_X(x) &= \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \cdot e^{-\lambda x} (-\lambda x^{\alpha-1} + (\alpha-1) x^{\alpha-2}) \\ &= \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \cdot e^{-\lambda x} \cdot x^{\alpha-2} [-\lambda x + (\alpha-1)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f''_X(x) &= \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \cdot e^{-\lambda x} [-\lambda^2 x^{\alpha-1} - \lambda(\alpha-1) x^{\alpha-2} - \lambda(\alpha-1) x^{\alpha-2} + (\alpha-2)(\alpha-1) x^{\alpha-3}] \\ &= \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \cdot e^{-\lambda x} \cdot x^{\alpha-3} [(\lambda x - (\alpha-1))^2 - (\alpha-1)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \rightarrow f'_X(x) < 0, f''_X(x) > 0, \forall x > 0.$$

$$\alpha > 1 \rightarrow f'_X(x) \begin{cases} > 0 \Leftrightarrow x < \frac{\alpha-1}{\lambda} \\ = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = \frac{\alpha-1}{\lambda} \\ < 0 \Leftrightarrow x > \frac{\alpha-1}{\lambda} \end{cases}$$

and

$$f''_X(x) \begin{cases} > 0 \Leftrightarrow x > \frac{\alpha-1}{\lambda} + \frac{\sqrt{\alpha-1}}{\lambda} \text{ or } x < \frac{\alpha-1}{\lambda} - \frac{\sqrt{\alpha-1}}{\lambda} \\ = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = \frac{\alpha-1}{\lambda} \pm \frac{\sqrt{\alpha-1}}{\lambda} \\ < 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{\alpha-1}{\lambda} - \frac{\sqrt{\alpha-1}}{\lambda} < x < \frac{\alpha-1}{\lambda} + \frac{\sqrt{\alpha-1}}{\lambda} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 7.10 (Calculation of C.D.F.). *Suppose $X \sim \mathcal{G}(\alpha, \lambda)$, where $\alpha, \lambda > 0$. Then*

$$F_X(x) = 1 - \frac{\Gamma(\alpha, \lambda x)}{\Gamma(\alpha)},$$

where

$$\Gamma(\alpha, x) = \int_x^\infty e^{-t} t^{\alpha-1} dt$$

is the incomplete gamma function.

If $\alpha = n \geq 1$, then

$$F_X(x) = 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{e^{-\lambda x} (\lambda x)^i}{i!} = \mathbb{P}(N \geq n)$$

where $N \sim \text{Poisson}(n\lambda)$.

Proof. abc □

Theorem 7.11 (Expectation and Variance of Gamma R.V.). *Suppose $X \sim \mathcal{G}(\alpha, \lambda)$, where $\alpha, \lambda > 0$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}[X^n] = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \lambda^n} = \frac{\binom{n+\alpha-1}{n}}{\lambda^n} = \frac{(\alpha)_n}{\lambda^n}$$

where

$$(\alpha)_n = \binom{n+\alpha-1}{n} = (n+\alpha-1) \cdots (\alpha-1) \cdot \alpha$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} \text{ and } \text{Var}(x) = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^2}.$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 7.12 (Linear Generated Gamma R.V.). *Suppose $X \sim \mathcal{G}(\alpha, \lambda)$, where $\alpha, \lambda > 0$, and $Y = aX$, where $a > 0$. Then*

$$Y \sim \mathcal{G}\left(\alpha, \frac{\lambda}{a}\right).$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 7.13 (Gamma R.V. from Normal R.V.). *Suppose $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, where $\mu, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma \neq 0$ and $Y = (X - \mu)^2$. Then*

$$Y \sim \mathcal{G}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\right).$$

Proof. abc □

Lemma 7.1 (Plus to Multiply Property of Exponential Function). *Suppose $f : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is right continuous on $[0, +\infty)$ and $f(x+y) = f(x) \cdot f(y)$, $\forall x, y \geq 0$. Then there either $f(x) = 0$, $\forall x \geq 0$ or $\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $f(x) = e^{-\lambda x}$, $\forall x \geq 0$.*

Proof. abc □

Theorem 7.14 (Memoryless Property). *Suppose X is a nonnegative continuous r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. Then $\mathbb{P}(x > s + t | x > s) = \mathbb{P}(x > t)$, $\forall s, t > 0 \Leftrightarrow X \sim \mathcal{E}(\lambda)$, for some $\lambda > 0$.*

Proof. abc □

Remark 7.5 (Analog of Geometric R.V.). *Exponential r.v.'s are the continuous analog of geometric r.v.'s.*

Theorem 7.15 (Geometric R.V. from Exponential R.V.). *Suppose $X \sim \mathcal{E}(\lambda)$ where $\lambda > 0$ and $Y = \lceil X \rceil$. Then $Y \sim \text{geometric}(1 - e^{-\lambda})$.*

Proof. abc □

Definition 7.5 (Independent Set). *A set of r.v.'s $\{X_i : i \in I\}$ of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is called independent, if for any finite subset $\{X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}, \dots, X_{i_k}\}$, $k \geq 2$ of $\{X_i : i \in I\}$ the events*

$$X_{i_1} \in B_1, X_{i_2} \in B_2, \dots, X_{i_k} \in B_k$$

are independent for all $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Otherwise, $\{X_i : i \in I\}$ is called dependent.

Definition 7.6 (Continuous R.Vect.). *A r.vect. $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is called an absolute continuous r.vect. (or continuous r.vect.) if there exists a nonnegative real-valued function $f_{\mathbf{X}} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ s.t.*

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 \in B_1, X_2 \in B_2, \dots, X_n \in B_n) = \int_{B_1} \int_{B_2} \dots \int_{B_n} f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) dx_n \dots dx_2 dx_1$$

for all $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Then the function $f_{\mathbf{X}}$ is called the p.d.f. of the r.vect. \mathbf{X} , or the joint p.d.f. of the r.v.'s X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n .

Theorem 7.16 (P.D.F. and C.D.F. of Continuous R.Vect.). *Suppose $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ is a continuous r.vect. and*

$$F_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 \leq x_1, X_2 \leq x_2, \dots, X_n \leq x_n).$$

Then

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\partial F_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1 \dots \partial x_n}.$$

Furthermore, if X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are independent, then

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{X_1}(x_1) f_{X_2}(x_2) \dots f_{X_n}(x_n).$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 7.17 (Convolution Theorem). *If $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2)$ is a continuous r.vect. and $Y = X_1 + X_2$. Then*

$$f_Y(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X_1, X_2}(x, y - x) dx.$$

Furthermore, if $X_1 \perp X_2$, then

$$f_Y(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X_1}(x) f_{X_2}(y - x) dx.$$

Proof. abc □

Definition 7.7 (Beta Function). *The function $B : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by*

$$B(\alpha, \beta) = \int_0^1 x^{\alpha-1} (1-x)^{\beta-1} dx, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta > 0$$

is called beta function.

Lemma 7.2 (Calculation of Beta Function).

$$B(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha) \cdot \Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta > 0.$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 7.18 (Independent Additivity of Gamma R.V.). *Suppose $X_i \sim \mathcal{G}(\alpha_i, \lambda)$ where $\alpha_i, \lambda > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ are independent, and $Y = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$. Then*

$$Y \sim \mathcal{G}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i, \lambda\right).$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 7.19 (Independent Minimum of Exponential R.V.). *Suppose $X_i \sim \mathcal{E}(\lambda_i)$ where $\lambda_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are independent.*

(1) If $Y = \min\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$, then

$$Y \sim \mathcal{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i\right).$$

(2)

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 < X_2) = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}.$$

Proof. abc □

Definition 7.8 (Stochastic Process). A stochastic process (s.p.) $\{X(t) : t \in I\}$ is a collection of r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. If $I = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ or $\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$, then we call $\{X(t) : t \in I\}$ a discrete-time S.P. If $I = [0, \infty)$ or $(-\infty, \infty)$, then we call $\{X(t) : t \in I\}$ a continuous-time S.P.

Definition 7.9 (Counting Process and Poisson Process). Let $\{T_1, T_2, \dots\}$ be a discrete-time S.P. s.t. T_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$, is the time of occurrence of the i^{th} event, and $0 < T_1 < T_2 < \dots$.

Let $X_i = T_i - T_{i-1}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, where $T_0 = 0$ be the inter-occurrence time between the $(i-1)^{\text{th}}$ and the i^{th} events, and $N(t) = |\{i : 0 < T_i \leq t\}|$ be the number of events occurring in $(0, t]$, so that $\{N(t) : 0 < t < \infty\}$ is called the counting process of the S.P. $\{T_1, T_2, \dots\}$.

Then we call $\{T_1, T_2, \dots\}$ a Poisson process with rate λ , if X_1, X_2, \dots are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) and $N(t) \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda t)$.

Theorem 7.20 (Necessary and Sufficient Condition of Poisson Process). Suppose $\{T_1, T_2, \dots\}$ is a S.P. s.t. $0 < T_1 < T_2 < \dots$ and its inter-occurrence times $X_i = T_i - T_{i-1}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$ are i.i.d., where $T_0 = 0$. Then $\{T_1, T_2, \dots\}$ is a Poisson process with rate $\lambda \Leftrightarrow X_i \sim \mathcal{E}(\lambda)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof. abc □

Remark 7.6 (Negative Binomial \leftrightarrow Geometric vs Gamma \leftrightarrow Exponential). (1) A negative binomial r.v. $T_r = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_r \sim \text{neg.-binomial}(r, p)$ is the number of i.i.d. Bernoulli trials with the same probability of success p until the r^{th} success occurs, where $X_i \sim \text{geometric}(p)$ is the number of Bernoulli trials between the $(i-1)^{\text{th}}$ and the i^{th} successes, and X_1, X_2, \dots are independent.

(2) A gamma r.v. $T_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n \sim \mathcal{G}(n, \lambda)$ is the time of occurrence of the n^{th} event of a Poisson process with rate λ , where $X_i \sim \mathcal{E}(\lambda)$ is the inter-occurrence time between the $(i-1)^{\text{th}}$ and the i^{th} events, and X_1, X_2, \dots are independent.

Theorem 7.21 (Merging and Splitting of Poisson Process). (1) Suppose that k independent Poisson processes with rates $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ are merged into a S.P. $\{T_1, T_2, \dots\}$. Then $\{T_1, T_2, \dots\}$ is a Poisson process with rate $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_k$.

(2) Suppose that in a Poisson process with rate λ , an event is a type- i event with probability P_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Then the S.P. $\{T_1, T_2, \dots\}$ of the times of the occurrences of the type- i events is a Poisson process with rate $\lambda \cdot P_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Proof. abc □

7.4 Beta R.V.'s

Definition 7.10 (Beta R.V.). A continuous r.v. X of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is called a beta r.v. with parameter α and β , where $\alpha, \beta > 0$, denoted $X \sim \mathcal{B}(\alpha, \beta)$, if its p.d.f. is given

by

$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{B(\alpha, \beta)} x^{\alpha-1} (1-x)^{\beta-1}, & \text{if } 0 < x < 1 \\ 0, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

where

$$B(\alpha, \beta) = \int_0^1 x^{\alpha-1} (1-x)^{\beta-1} dx = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha) \cdot \Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}.$$

Remark 7.7 (P.D.F. and C.D.F.). (1) $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) dx = 1 \rightarrow f_X(x)$ is a p.d.f.

(2) Beta r.v.'s are good approximations of r.v.'s that vary between two limits.

(3) If X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. $\sim U(0, 1)$ and $X_{(i)}$ is the i^{th} smallest r.v. of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n so that $X_{(1)} \leq X_{(2)} \leq \dots \leq X_{(n)}$, then

$$X_{(i)} \sim \mathcal{B}(i, n+1-i).$$

(4)

$$\begin{aligned} f'_X(x) &= \frac{(\alpha-1)x^{\alpha-2}(1-x)^{\beta-1} - (\beta-1)x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-2}}{B(\alpha, \beta)} \\ &= \frac{x^{\alpha-2}(1-x)^{\beta-2}}{B(\alpha, \beta)} [(\alpha-1) - (\alpha+\beta-2)x] \\ &\rightarrow f'_X(x) \begin{cases} > 0, & \Leftrightarrow (\alpha+\beta-2)x < \alpha-1 \\ = 0, & \Leftrightarrow (\alpha+\beta-2)x = \alpha-1 \\ < 0, & \Leftrightarrow (\alpha+\beta-2)x > \alpha-1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f''_X(x) &= \frac{(\alpha-1)(\alpha-2)x^{\alpha-3}(1-x)^{\beta-1} - (\beta-1)(\beta-2)x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-3}}{B(\alpha, \beta)} \\ &= \frac{x^{\alpha-3}(1-x)^{\beta-3}}{B(\alpha, \beta)} \cdot h(x, \alpha, \beta) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{x^{\alpha-3}(1-x)^{\beta-3}}{B(\alpha, \beta)} (\alpha+\beta-2)(\alpha+\beta-3) \cdot f(x, \alpha, \beta), & \alpha+\beta \neq 2, 3 \\ \frac{x^{\alpha-3}(1-x)^{\beta-3}}{B(\alpha, \beta)} \cdot 2 \cdot (\alpha-1) \cdot \left(x - \frac{\alpha-2}{2}\right), & \alpha+\beta = 2 \\ \frac{x^{\alpha-3}(1-x)^{\beta-3}}{B(\alpha, \beta)} \cdot (\alpha-1) \cdot (\alpha-2), & \alpha+\beta = 3 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h(x, \alpha, \beta) = (\alpha+\beta-2)(\alpha+\beta-3)x^2 - 2(\alpha-1)(\alpha+\beta-3)x + (\alpha-1)(\alpha-2),$$

and

$$f(x, \alpha, \beta) = \left(x - \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha+\beta-2}\right)^2 - \frac{(\alpha-1)(\beta-1)}{(\alpha+\beta-2)^2(\alpha+\beta-3)}.$$

Theorem 7.22 (Expectation and Variance of Beta R.V.). *Suppose $X \sim \mathcal{B}(\alpha, \beta)$, then*

$$\mathbb{E}[X^n] = \frac{(\alpha)_n}{(\alpha + \beta)_n} = \frac{\binom{\alpha+n-1}{n}}{\binom{\alpha+\beta+n-1}{n}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}$$

and

$$\text{Var}(x) = \frac{\alpha\beta}{(\alpha + \beta + 1)(\alpha + \beta)^2}.$$

Proof. abc

□

Theorem 7.23 (Beta R.V. vs Binomial R.V.). *Suppose $X \sim \mathcal{B}(\alpha, \beta)$, and $Y \sim \text{binomial}(\alpha + \beta - 1, p)$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $0 < p < 1$. Then*

$$\mathbb{P}(X \leq p) = \mathbb{P}(Y \geq \alpha)$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq p) = \mathbb{P}(Y \leq \alpha - 1).$$

Proof. abc

□

Chapter 8

Bivariate and Multivariate Distributions

8.1 Joint Distributions of Two or More R.V.'s

Definition 8.1 (Joint P.M.F. of Multiple R.v.'s). *Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be discrete r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. The nonnegative function $P_X : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ given by*

$$p_X(\mathbf{x}) = P_X(\{\mathbf{x}\}) = \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}), & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}(\Omega) \\ 0, & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbf{X}(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

is called the joint p.m.f. of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n .

Remark 8.1 (Properties of Joint P.M.F.). (1)

$$p_X(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}(\Omega) \text{ and } p_X(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbf{X}(\Omega).$$

(2)

$$\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}(\Omega)} p_X(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}(\Omega)} \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{X}(\Omega)) = \mathbb{P}(\Omega) = 1$$

(3)

$$\mathbf{X}(\Omega) \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^n X_i(\Omega)$$

(4)

$$p_X(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}), & \mathbf{x} \in \prod_{i=1}^n X_i(\Omega) \\ 0, & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \prod_{i=1}^n X_i(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

Theorem 8.1 (Joint Marginal P.M.F.). *Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are discrete r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. Then*

$$p_{X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}, \dots, X_{i_k}}(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_k}) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{x_i \in X_i(\Omega) \\ i \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}} p_{X_i}(x_i), & \forall i = i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \\ 0, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

We call

$$p_{X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}, \dots, X_{i_k}}(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_k})$$

the joint p.m.f. marginalized over $X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}, \dots, X_{i_k}$. If $k = 1$, we call $p_{X_i}(x_i)$ the marginal p.m.f. of X_i .

Proof. abc □

Theorem 8.2 (Expectation of Measurable Function). *Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are discrete r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, and g is a measurable function from $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^n})$ to $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}[g(\mathbf{x})] = \sum_{\substack{x_i \in X_i(\Omega) \\ i = 1, 2, \dots, n}} g(\mathbf{x}) \cdot p_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}).$$

Proof. abc □

Corollary 8.1 (Expectation of Linear Combined Measurable Function). *Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are discrete r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, and g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m are measurable functions from $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^n})$ to $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$, and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k \cdot g_k(\mathbf{x})$$

is a discrete r.v. of $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k g_k(\mathbf{x})\right] = \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k \mathbb{E}[g_k(\mathbf{x})].$$

Proof. abc □

Definition 8.2 (Joint P.D.F.). *Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. We say that X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are jointly continuous r.v.'s if there exists a nonnegative function $f_{\mathbf{X}} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ s.t.*

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X} \in B) = \int \int_B \dots \int f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}, \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^n}.$$

The function $f_{\mathbf{X}}$ is called the joint p.d.f. of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n .

Theorem 8.3 (Joint Marginal P.D.F.). *Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are jointly continuous r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. Then $X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}, \dots, X_{i_k}$ are also jointly continuous r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ with joint p.d.f.*

$$f_{X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}, \dots, X_{i_k}}(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_k}) = \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}}_{n-k} f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) dx_i$$

where $i \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k$.

We call

$$f_{X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}, \dots, X_{i_k}}(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_k})$$

the joint p.d.f. marginalized over $X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}, \dots, X_{i_k}$. If $k = 1$, we call $f_{X_i}(x_i)$ the marginal p.d.f. of X_i .

Proof. abc □

Theorem 8.4 (Expectation of Measurable Function). *Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are jointly continuous r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, and g is a measurable function from $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^n})$ to $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}[g(\mathbf{x})] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\mathbf{x}) f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) dx_n \cdots dx_2 dx_1.$$

Proof. abc □

Remark 8.2 (Properties of Joint P.D.F.). (I)

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) > 0, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

(2)

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^n) = 1.$$

(3)

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 \in B_1, X_2 \in B_2, \dots, X_n \in B_n) = \int_{B_1} \int_{B_2} \cdots \int_{B_n} f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) dx_n \cdots dx_2 dx_1,$$

$$\forall B_i \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^n}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

(4)

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{a}) = \int_{a_1}^{a_1} \int_{a_2}^{a_2} \cdots \int_{a_n}^{a_n} f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) dx_n \cdots dx_2 dx_1 = 0.$$

(5)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}(a_i \leq X_i \leq a_i + \delta_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n) \\
&= \int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \delta_1} \int_{a_2}^{a_2 + \delta_2} \cdots \int_{a_n}^{a_n + \delta_n} f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) dx_n \cdots dx_2 dx_1 \\
&= f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{a}_{\delta}) \cdot \delta_1 \cdot \delta_2 \cdots \delta_n \text{ for some } \mathbf{a}_{\delta} \in \prod_{i=1}^n [a_i, a_i + \delta_i] \text{ if } f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) \text{ is continuous.} \\
&\rightarrow \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}(a_i \leq X_i \leq a_i + \delta_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n)}{\delta_1 \cdot \delta_2 \cdots \delta_n} = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{a}_{\delta}) = f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{a}) \\
&\text{and } \mathbb{P}(a_i \leq X_i \leq a_i + \delta_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n) \approx f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{a}) \cdot \delta_1 \cdot \delta_2 \cdots \delta_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 8.2 (Expectation of Linear Combined Measurable Function). *Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are jointly continuous r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ and g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m are measurable functions from $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^n})$ to $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$, and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{R}$, then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k \cdot g_k(\mathbf{x})$$

is a continuous r.v. of $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k \cdot g_k(\mathbf{x}) \right] = \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k \cdot \mathbb{E} [g_k(\mathbf{x})].$$

Proof. abc □

Definition 8.3 (Joint C.D.F.). *Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. The joint c.d.f. of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is given by*

$$F_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 \leq x_1, X_2 \leq x_2, \dots, X_n \leq x_n), \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Theorem 8.5 (Joint Marginal C.D.F.). *Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
& F_{X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}, \dots, X_{i_k}}(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_k}) \\
&= F_{\mathbf{X}}(\infty, \dots, \infty, x_{i_1}, \infty, \dots, \infty, x_{i_2}, \infty, \dots, \infty, x_{i_k}, \infty, \dots, \infty)
\end{aligned}$$

We call

$$F_{X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}, \dots, X_{i_k}}(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_k})$$

the joint c.d.f. marginalized over X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n . If $k = 1$, we call $F_{X_i}(x_i)$ the **marginal c.d.f.** of X_i .

Proof. abc □

Theorem 8.6 (Properties of Joint C.D.F.). *Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$.*

- (1) $F_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})$ is **increasing** and **right continuous** in each argument $x_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.
- (2) $F_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ if there exists at least one i such that $x_i = -\infty$.
- (3) $F_{\mathbf{X}}(\infty, \infty, \dots, \infty) = 1$.
- (4) If X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are **jointly continuous** r.v.'s, then

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\partial F_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2 \cdots \partial x_n}, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Proof. abc □

8.2 Independent R.V.'s

Definition 8.4 (Independent Set). *Let $\{X_i, i \in I\}$ be r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$.*

*We say that the r.v.'s $\{X_i, i \in I\}$ are **independent** if for any finite subset $\{X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}, \dots, X_{i_k}\}$ ($k \geq 2$) of $\{X_i, i \in I\}$, the events $X_{i_1} \in B_{i_1}, X_{i_2} \in B_{i_2}, \dots, X_{i_k} \in B_{i_k}$ are independent $\forall B_{i_1}, B_{i_2}, \dots, B_{i_k} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Otherwise, the r.v.'s $\{X_i, i \in I\}$ are dependent.*

Theorem 8.7 (Equivalent Statements of Independence). *Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. The following three statements are **equivalent**:*

- (1) X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are independent.
- (2)

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 \in B_1, X_2 \in B_2, \dots, X_n \in B_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(X_i \in B_i), \forall B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$$

(3)

$$F_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n F_{X_i}(x_i), \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 8.8 (Necessary and Sufficient Condition of Independence). *Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$.*

- (1) If X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are **discrete** r.v.'s, then X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are independent

$$\Leftrightarrow P_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n P_{X_i}(x_i), \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

- (2) If X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are **jointly continuous** r.v.'s, then X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are independent

$$\Leftrightarrow f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(x_i), \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Proof. abc □

Definition 8.5 (Indicator Function). *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, and $A \in \mathcal{A}$. The indicator function I_A of the event A is given by*

$$I_A(w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } w \in A \\ 0, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad I_A = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } A \text{ occurs} \\ 0, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 8.9 (Indicator Function is a Discrete Measurable Function). *Suppose $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space. I_A is a **discrete r.v.** of $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. abc □

Theorem 8.10 (Indicator R.V.'s Indicates Independence). *Suppose $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space, and $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{A}$. The events A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are **independent** \Leftrightarrow the indicator r.v.'s $I_{A_1}, I_{A_2}, \dots, I_{A_n}$ are **independent**.*

Proof. abc □

Theorem 8.11 (Expectation of Measurable Functions of Independent R.V.). *Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are independent r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, and g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n are measurable functions from $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$ to $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$. Then $g_1(X_1), g_2(X_2), \dots, g_n(X_n)$ are independent and*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{i=1}^n g_i(x_i) \right] = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[g_i(x_i)].$$

Proof. abc □

Remark 8.3 (Independent Expectations Can't Imply Independence of R.V.'s). *The converse is **not true**, i.e.,*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{i=1}^n g_i(x_i) \right] = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[g_i(x_i)] \not\Rightarrow g_1(x_1), g_2(x_2), \dots, g_n(x_n) \text{ are independent.}$$

8.3 Conditional Distributions

Lemma 8.1 (Properties of Conditional Probability). *Suppose $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space, and $A, B, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n \in \mathcal{A}$.*

$$\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \begin{cases} \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}, & \text{if } \mathbb{P}(B) \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } \mathbb{P}(B) = 0 \end{cases}$$

(1) If $\mathbb{P}(B) \neq 0$, then $\mathbb{P}(\cdot|B)$ regarded as a function on \mathcal{A} is a **probability measure**.

(2) **Multiplication theorem:**

$$\mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_n) = \mathbb{P}(A_1) \mathbb{P}(A_2|A_1) \dots \mathbb{P}(A_n|A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_{n-1}).$$

(3) Total probability theorem:

If $\{B_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a partition of Ω , then

$$\mathbb{P}(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(B_n) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A|B_n), \forall A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

(4) Bayes' theorem:

If $\mathbb{P}(A) \neq 0$ and $\{B_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a partition of Ω , then

$$\mathbb{P}(B_k|A) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(B_k) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A|B_k)}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(B_n) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A|B_n)}, \forall A \in \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P}(A) > 0, k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof. abc □

★ $P_{X|Y}(x|y)$: X and Y are discrete r.v.'s

Definition 8.6 (P.M.F. and C.D.F. of D-D). *Let X and Y be discrete r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$. The conditional p.m.f. $P_{X|Y}(x|y)$ of X given that $Y = y$ is given by*

$$P_{X|Y}(x|y) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{P}(X = x|Y = y) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X = x, Y = y)}{\mathbb{P}(Y = y)} \\ = \frac{P_{X,Y}(x, y)}{P_Y(y)}, P_Y(y) \neq 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \\ 0, \quad \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

The conditional c.d.f. $F_{X|Y}(\cdot|y)$ of X given that $Y = y$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_{X|Y}(x|y) &= \mathbb{P}(X \leq x|Y = y) \\ &= \sum_{t \leq x, t \in X(\Omega)} \mathbb{P}(X = t|Y = y) \\ &= \sum_{t \leq x, t \in X(\Omega)} P_{X|Y}(t|y), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 8.4 (Joint P.M.F.). (1) $P_{X,Y}(x, y) = P_Y(y) \cdot P_{X|Y}(x|y) = P_X(x) \cdot P_{Y|X}(y|x)$.

(2) A similar definition can be made for discrete **random vectors**.

Theorem 8.12 (Properties of D-D Conditional Probability). *Suppose $X, Y, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ are discrete r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$.*

(1) *If $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $P_Y(y) \neq 0$, then $P_{X|Y}(\cdot|y)$ is a p.m.f.*

(2) $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$P_X(x) = P_{X_1}(x_1) \cdot P_{X_2|X_1}(x_2|x_1) \cdots P_{X_n|X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1}}(x_n|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}).$$

(3) $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$P_X(x) = \sum_{y \in Y(\Omega)} P_Y(y) \cdot P_{X|Y}(x|y).$$

(4) If $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $P_X(x) \neq 0$, then

$$P_{Y|X}(y|x) = \frac{P_Y(y) \cdot P_{X|Y}(x|y)}{\sum_{y \in Y(\Omega)} P_Y(y) \cdot P_{X|Y}(x|y)}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. abc □

★ $f_{X|Y}(x|y)$: X and Y are jointly continuous r.v.'s

Definition 8.7 (C.D.F. and P.D.F. of C-C). *Let X and Y be jointly continuous r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$. The conditional c.d.f. $F_{X|Y}(x|y)$ of X given that $Y = y$ is given by*

$$F_{X|Y}(x|y) = \begin{cases} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}(X = x | y \leq Y \leq y + \delta) \\ = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}(X = x, y \leq Y \leq y + \delta)}{\mathbb{P}(y \leq Y \leq y + \delta)} \\ = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{[F_{X,Y}(x, y + \delta) - F_{X,Y}(x, y)]/\delta}{[F_Y(y + \delta) - F_Y(y)]/\delta} \\ = \frac{\frac{\partial F_{X,Y}(x,y)}{\partial y}}{f_Y(y)}, f_Y(y) \neq 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \\ 0, \quad o.w. \end{cases}$$

The conditional p.d.f. $f_{X|Y}(\cdot|y)$ of X given that $Y = y$ is given by

$$f_{X|Y}(x|y) = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial F_{X,Y}(x,y)}{\partial x} = \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_Y(y)}, f_Y(y) \neq 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \\ 0, \quad o.w. \end{cases}$$

Remark 8.5 (Joint P.D.F.). (1) $f_{X,Y}(x,y) = f_Y(y) \cdot f_{X|Y}(x|y) = f_X(x) \cdot f_{Y|X}(y|x)$, $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$

(2) A similar definition can be made for jointly continuous **random vectors**.

Theorem 8.13 (Properties of C-C Conditional Probability). *Suppose $X, Y, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ are jointly continuous r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$.*

(1) If $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f_Y(y) \neq 0$, then $f_{X|Y}(\cdot|y)$ is a p.d.f.

(2) $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$f_X(x) = f_{X_1}(x_1) \cdot f_{X_2|X_1}(x_2|x_1) \cdots f_{X_n|X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1}}(x_n|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}).$$

(3)

$$f_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_Y(y) \cdot f_{X|Y}(x|y) dy, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(4) If $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f_X(x) \neq 0$, then

$$f_{Y|X}(y|x) = \frac{f_Y(y) \cdot f_{X|Y}(x|y)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_Y(y) \cdot f_{X|Y}(x|y) dy}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. abc □

★ $f_{X|Y}(x|y)$ and $P_{X|Y}(x|y)$: X is a continuous r.v. and Y is a discrete r.v.

Definition 8.8 (C.D.F., P.D.F. and P.M.F. of C-D and D-C). *Let X be a continuous r.v. and Y be a discrete r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$.*

The conditional c.d.f. $F_{X|Y}(\cdot|y)$ of X given that $Y = y$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$F_{X|Y}(x|y) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{P}(X \leq x|Y = y), & P_Y(y) \neq 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \\ 0, & o.w. \end{cases}$$

The conditional p.d.f. $f_{X|Y}(\cdot|y)$ of X given that $Y = y$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$f_{X|Y}(x|y) = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial F_{X,Y}(x,y)}{\partial x} = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{F_{X|Y}(x+\delta|y) - F_{X|Y}(x|y)}{\delta} \\ = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}(x \leq X \leq x+\delta|Y = y)}{\delta}, & P_Y(y) \neq 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \\ 0, & o.w. \end{cases}$$

The conditional p.m.f. $P_{X|Y}(\cdot|y)$ of Y given that $X = x$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$P_{Y|X}(y|x) = \begin{cases} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}(Y = y|x \leq X \leq x+\delta) \\ = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y = y) \cdot \mathbb{P}(x \leq X \leq x+\delta|Y = y)/\delta}{\mathbb{P}(x \leq X \leq x+\delta)/\delta} \\ = \frac{P_Y(y) \cdot f_{X|Y}(x|y)}{f_X(x)}, & f_X(x) \neq 0, \forall y \in \mathbb{R} \\ 0, & o.w. \end{cases}$$

The conditional c.d.f. $F_{Y|X}(\cdot|x)$ of Y given that $X = x$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$F_{Y|X}(y|x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{t \leq x, t \in X(\Omega)} P_{Y,X}(t|x) = \frac{\sum_{t \leq x, t \in X(\Omega)} P_Y(t) \cdot f_{X|Y}(x|t)}{f_X(x)}, \\ f_X(x) \neq 0, \forall y \in \mathbb{R} \\ 0, & o.w. \end{cases}$$

Remark 8.6 (Calculation of C-D P.D.F. and D-C P.M.F.). (1) $P_Y(y) \cdot f_{X|Y}(x|y) = f_X(x) \cdot P_{Y|X}(y|x)$, $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

(2) If $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $P_Y(y) \neq 0$, then

$$f_{X|Y}(x|y) = \frac{f_X(x) \cdot P_{Y|X}(y|x)}{P_Y(y)}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f_X(x) \neq 0$, then

$$P_{Y|X}(y|x) = \frac{P_Y(y) \cdot f_{X|Y}(x|y)}{f_X(x)}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem 8.14 (Properties of C-D and D-C Conditional Probability). *Suppose X is a continuous r.v. and Y is a discrete r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$.*

(1) *If $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $P_Y(y) \neq 0$, then $f_{X|Y}(\cdot|y)$ is a p.d.f. If $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f_X(x) \neq 0$, then $P_{Y|X}(y|x)$ is a p.m.f.*

(2)

$$f_X(x) = \sum_{y \in Y(\Omega)} P_Y(y) \cdot f_{X|Y}(x|y), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$$P_Y(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) \cdot P_{Y|X}(y|x) dx, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(3) *If $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f_X(x) \neq 0$, then*

$$P_{Y|X}(y|x) = \frac{P_Y(y) \cdot f_{X|Y}(x|y)}{\sum_{y \in Y(\Omega)} P_Y(y) \cdot f_{X|Y}(x|y)}, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $P_Y(y) \neq 0$, then

$$f_{X|Y}(x|y) = \frac{f_X(x) \cdot P_{Y|X}(y|x)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) \cdot P_{Y|X}(y|x) dx}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. abc □

Definition 8.9 (Expectation of Conditional R.V.). *Let X and Y be r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$. The conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}[X|Y = y]$ of X given that $Y = y$ is given by*

$$\mathbb{E}[X|Y = y] = \begin{cases} \sum_{x \in X(\Omega)} x \cdot P_{X|Y}(x|y), & \text{if } X \text{ is a discrete r.v.} \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \cdot f_{X|Y}(x|y) dx, & \text{if } X \text{ is a continuous r.v.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 8.15 (Expectation of Conditional Measurable Function). *Suppose X and Y are r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, and g is a measurable function from $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$ to $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X)|Y = y] = \begin{cases} \sum_{x \in X(\Omega)} g(x) \cdot P_{X|Y}(x|y), & \text{if } X \text{ is a discrete r.v.} \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) \cdot f_{X|Y}(x|y) dx, & \text{if } X \text{ is a continuous r.v.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. abc □

8.4 Transformations of Two R.V.'s

Theorem 8.16 (Transformations of Two R.V.'s). *Suppose X and Y are r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, g and h are measurable functions from $(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^2})$ to $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$, and $U = g(X, Y)$ and $V = h(X, Y)$.*

(1) If X and Y are discrete r.v.'s, then U and V are discrete r.v.'s and

$$P_{U,V}(u, v) = \sum_{(x,y): g(x,y)=u, h(x,y)=v} P_{X,Y}(x, y).$$

(2) If X and Y are jointly continuous r.v.'s, U and V are discrete r.v.'s, then

$$P_{U,V}(u, v) = \iint_{\{(x,y): g(x,y)=u, h(x,y)=v\}} f_{X,Y}(x, y) dx dy.$$

(3) If X and Y are jointly continuous r.v.'s, U and V are jointly continuous r.v.'s, and

$$J(x, y) = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial g(x,y)}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial g(x,y)}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial h(x,y)}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial h(x,y)}{\partial y} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$$

$\forall (x, y) \in \{(x, y) : g(x, y) = u, h(x, y) = v\}$, where $J(x, y)$ is the Jacobian determinant, $(u, v) \in g(X, Y)(\Omega) \times h(X, Y)(\Omega)$, then

$$f_{U,V}(u, v) = \sum_{(x,y): g(x,y)=u, h(x,y)=v} \frac{f_{X,Y}(x, y)}{|J(x, y)|}$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 8.17 (Convolution Theorem). *Suppose X and Y are two independent r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ and $Z = X + Y$.*

(1) If X and Y are discrete r.v.'s, then

$$P_Z(z) = \sum_{x \in X(\Omega)} P_X(x) \cdot P_Y(z - x)$$

(2) If X and Y are jointly continuous r.v.'s, then

$$f_Z(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) \cdot f_Y(z - x) dx.$$

Proof. abc □

8.5 Order Statistics

Definition 8.10 (Order Statistic). Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. The i^{th} order statistic $X_{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is defined as the i^{th} **smallest** value in $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$ so that $X_{(1)} \leq X_{(2)} \leq \dots \leq X_{(n)}$, namely, $X_{(i)}(w) =$ the i^{th} smallest value in $\{X_1(w), X_2(w), \dots, X_n(w)\}$ for all $w \in \Omega$. In particular, $X_{(1)} = \min\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$ and $X_{(n)} = \max\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$.

Remark 8.7 (Without Equal & Not I.I.D.). (1) If X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are jointly continuous r.v.'s, then

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{(i)} = X_{(j)}) = 0, \forall i \neq j \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(X_{(1)} < X_{(2)} < \dots < X_{(n)}) = 1.$$

(2) $X_{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ is a function of $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \rightarrow X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, \dots, X_{(n)}$ are **neither independent nor identically distributed** in general.

Definition 8.11 (Random Sample). A random sample of size n of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is a sequence of n i.i.d. r.v.'s X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n of $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$.

Definition 8.12 (Range, Midrange, Median and Mean of Random Sample). Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample of size n of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$.

The **sample range** is given by $X_{(1)} + X_{(n)}$.

The **sample midrange** is given by $\frac{X_{(1)} + X_{(n)}}{2}$.

The **sample median** is given by $\begin{cases} X_{(i-1)}, & \text{if } n = 2i + 1 \\ \frac{X_{(i)} + X_{(i+1)}}{2}, & \text{if } n = 2i \end{cases}$

The **sample mean** \bar{X} is given by $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$.

Remark 8.8 (Forced Decline). If $\exists i_j < i_l \rightarrow x_{i_j} \geq x_{i_l}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{X_{(i_1)}, X_{(i_2)}, \dots, X_{(i_k)}}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_j}, \dots, x_{i_l}, \dots, x_{i_k}) \\ &= F_{X_{(i_1)}, X_{(i_2)}, \dots, X_{(i_k)}}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_l}, \dots, x_{i_l}, \dots, x_{i_k}) \end{aligned}$$

and $f_{X_{(i_1)}, X_{(i_2)}, \dots, X_{(i_k)}}(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_k}) = 0$.

Theorem 8.18 (C.D.F. and P.D.F. of Jointly Order R.V.'s). Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. jointly continuous r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ with common c.d.f. $F(x)$ and common p.d.f. $f(x)$. If $1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n$, $-\infty < x_{i_1} < x_{i_2} < \dots < x_{i_k} < \infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{X_{(i_1)}, X_{(i_2)}, \dots, X_{(i_k)}}(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_k}) \\ &= \sum_{j_k=i_k}^n \sum_{j_{k-1}=i_{k-1}}^{j_k} \dots \sum_{j_1=i_1}^{j_2} \binom{n}{j_k} \binom{j_k}{j_{k-1}} \dots \binom{j_2}{j_1} [F(x_{i_1})]^{j_1} [F(x_{i_2}) - F(x_{i_1})]^{j_2-j_1} \\ & \quad \dots [F(x_{i_k}) - F(x_{i_{k-1}})]^{j_k-j_{k-1}} [1 - F(x_{i_k})]^{n-j_k} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f_{X_{(i_1)}, X_{(i_2)}, \dots, X_{(i_k)}}(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_k}) \\
 &= \frac{n!}{(i_1 - 1)! (i_2 - i_1 - 1)! \dots (i_k - i_{k-1} - 1)! (n - i_k)!} \\
 & \cdot f(x_{i_1}) f(x_{i_2}) \dots f(x_{i_k}) \cdot [F(x_{i_1})]^{i_1-1} [F(x_{i_2}) - F(x_{i_1})]^{i_2-i_1-1} \\
 & \dots [F(x_{i_k}) - F(x_{i_{k-1}})]^{i_k-i_{k-1}-1} [1 - F(x_{i_k})]^{n-i_k}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. abc □

Corollary 8.3 (Beta R.V. vs Binomial R.V.). *Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. r.v.'s $\sim U(0, 1)$, then*

$$X_{(i)} \sim \mathcal{B}(i, n + 1 - i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{X_{(i)}}(x) &= \frac{n!}{(i-1)! (n-i)!} f(x) [F(x)]^{i-1} [1 - F(x)]^{n-i} \\
 &= \frac{n!}{(i-1)! (n-i)!} 1 \cdot x^{i-1} (1-x)^{n-i} \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(i)\Gamma(n+1-i)} x^{i-1} (1-x)^{(n+1-i)-1} \\
 &= \frac{x^{i-1} (1-x)^{(n+1-i)-1}}{B(i, n+1-i)}, \quad 0 < x < 1 \\
 &\rightarrow X_{(i)} \sim \mathcal{B}(i, n+1-i)
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 8.4 (Cases One, Two and n Order R.V.'s). (I)

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{X_{(i)}}(x) &= \sum_{j=i}^n \binom{n}{j} [F(x)]^j [1 - F(x)]^{n-j}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty, \\
 f_{X_{(i)}}(x) &= \frac{n!}{(i-1)! (n-i)!} f(x) [F(x)]^{i-1} [1 - F(x)]^{n-i}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{X_{(1)}}(x) &= 1 - [1 - F(x)]^n, \quad -\infty < x < \infty, \\
 f_{X_{(1)}}(x) &= n \cdot f(x) [1 - F(x)]^{n-1}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$F_{X_{(n)}}(x) = [F(x)]^n, \quad f_{X_{(n)}}(x) = n f(x) [F(x)]^{n-1}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty.$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}
& F_{X_{(i_1)}, X_{(i_2)}}(x, y) \\
&= \sum_{j_2=i_2}^n \sum_{j_1=i_1}^{j_2} \binom{n}{j_2} \binom{j_2}{j_1} [F(x)]^{j_1} [F(y) - F(x)]^{j_2-j_1} [1 - F(y)]^{n-j_2}, \\
& \quad -\infty < x < y < \infty \\
& f_{X_{(i_1)}, X_{(i_2)}}(x, y) = \frac{n!}{(i_1-1)!(i_2-i_1-1)!(n-i_2)!} f(x)f(y) [F(x)]^{i_1} \\
& \quad \cdot [F(y) - F(x)]^{i_2-i_1} [1 - F(y)]^{n-i_2}, -\infty < x < y < \infty
\end{aligned}$$

(3)

$$\begin{aligned}
& F_{X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, \dots, X_{(n)}}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\
&= \sum_{j_{n-1}=i_{n-1}}^n \sum_{j_{n-2}=i_{n-2}}^{j_{n-1}} \dots \sum_{j_1=i_1}^{j_2} \binom{n}{j_{n-1}} \binom{j_{n-1}}{j_{n-2}} \dots \binom{j_2}{j_1} [F(x_1)]^{j_1} \\
& \quad \cdot [F(x_2) - F(x_1)]^{j_2-j_1} \dots [F(x_{n-1}) - F(x_{n-2})]^{j_{n-1}-j_{n-2}} [F(x_n) - F(x_{n-1})]^{n-j_{n-1}}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& f_{X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, \dots, X_{(n)}}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\
&= n! f(x_1) f(x_2) \dots f(x_n), \quad -\infty < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n < \infty
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. abc □

8.6 Multinomial Distributions

Consider an experiment with k possible outcomes $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_k$. Let $A_{(i)} = \{\omega_i\}$ be the event that the outcome is ω_i and let $P_i = \mathbb{P}(A_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Suppose that such an experiment is independently and successively performed n times. Let $X_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ be the number of times that event A_i occurs. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& P_{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \\
&= \mathbb{P}(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_k = x_k) \\
&= \frac{n!}{x_1! x_2! \dots x_k!} P_1^{x_1} P_2^{x_2} \dots P_k^{x_k}, \quad x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^k x_i = n.
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 8.13 (Multinomial Joint R.V.'s). *Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k be discrete r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. We call X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k multinomial joint r.v.'s with parameters n, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k , where $n \geq 1, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k \geq 0, P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_k = 1$, if the joint p.m.f. is given by*

$$P_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \frac{n!}{x_1! x_2! \dots x_k!} P_1^{x_1} P_2^{x_2} \dots P_k^{x_k}, & x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^k x_i = n \\ 0, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 8.9 (Verification of P.M.F.). $P_X(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and

$$\sum_{\substack{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \geq 0 \\ x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k = n}} \frac{n!}{x_1! x_2! \dots x_k!} P_1^{x_1} P_2^{x_2} \dots P_k^{x_k} = (P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_k)^n = 1$$

$\rightarrow P_X(\mathbf{x})$ is a p.m.f.

Theorem 8.19 (Splitting of Multinomial Joint R.V.'s). Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_l are multinomial r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, with parameters n, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_l , where $n \geq 1, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k \geq 0, P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_k = 1$. Then

$$X_{(i_1)}, X_{(i_2)}, \dots, X_{(i_k)}, n - X_{(i_1)} - X_{(i_2)} - \dots - X_{(i_k)}$$

are multinomial joint r.v.'s with parameters

$$n, P_{i_1}, P_{i_2}, \dots, P_{i_k}, 1 - P_{i_1} - P_{i_2} - \dots - P_{i_k}.$$

Proof. abc

□

Chapter 9

More Expectations and Variance

9.1 Expected Values of Sums of R.V.'s

Theorem 9.1 (Expectations of Sum of Finite R.V.'s). *Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, then*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right] = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_i].$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 9.2 (Expectations of Sum of Infinite R.V.'s). *Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots are r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. If*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[X_i] < \infty$$

or if X_i is nonnegative for all $i = 1, 2, \dots$, then

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i \right] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[X_i].$$

Proof. abc □

Remark 9.1 (General Expectations of Sum of Infinite R.V.'s). *In general,*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i \right] \neq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[X_i].$$

Corollary 9.1 (Expectation of Integer-Valued R.V.). *Suppose X is an integer-valued r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, then*

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(x \geq i) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(x \leq -i).$$

Proof. abc □

9.2 Covariance and Correlation Coefficients

Theorem 9.3 (Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality). *Suppose X and Y are r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, and suppose $\mathbb{E}[X^2]$ and $\mathbb{E}[Y^2]$ exists. Then*

$$|\mathbb{E}[XY]| \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[X^2] \cdot \mathbb{E}[Y^2]}.$$

“=” $\Leftrightarrow X = 0$ with probability 1 or $Y = 0$ with probability 1 or $Y = aX$ with probability 1, where

$$a = \frac{\mathbb{E}[XY]}{\mathbb{E}[X^2]}.$$

Proof. abc □

Remark 9.2 (Cauchy-Schwarz Equalities). *Suppose that $\mathbb{E}[X^2] \neq 0$ and $\mathbb{E}[Y^2] \neq 0$, then*

$$\mathbb{E}[XY] = \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[X^2] \cdot \mathbb{E}[Y^2]} \Leftrightarrow Y = aX$$

with probability 1, where

$$a = \frac{\mathbb{E}[XY]}{\mathbb{E}[X^2]} = \sqrt{\frac{\mathbb{E}[Y^2]}{\mathbb{E}[X^2]}} > 0.$$

$$\mathbb{E}[XY] = -\sqrt{\mathbb{E}[X^2] \cdot \mathbb{E}[Y^2]} \Leftrightarrow Y = aX$$

with probability 1, where

$$a = \frac{\mathbb{E}[XY]}{\mathbb{E}[X^2]} = -\sqrt{\frac{\mathbb{E}[Y^2]}{\mathbb{E}[X^2]}} < 0.$$

Corollary 9.2 (Variance Larger Than or Equal to Zero). *Suppose X is a r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ and suppose $\mathbb{E}[X^2]$ exists, then*

$$|\mathbb{E}[X]|^2 \leq \mathbb{E}[X^2].$$

Proof. abc □

Definition 9.1 (Covariance). *Let X and Y be r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ with means μ_X and μ_Y , resp. The covariance $\text{Cov}(X, Y)$ (or $\sigma_{X,Y}$) of X and Y is given by*

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \sigma_{X,Y} = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mu_X)(Y - \mu_Y)].$$

We say that X and Y are positively correlated, negatively correlated and uncorrelated if $\text{Cov}(X, Y) > 0$, $\text{Cov}(X, Y) < 0$ and $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = 0$, resp.

Remark 9.3 (Covariance of Linear Combination of Two R.V.'s). (1) $\text{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mu_X)^2]$ is a measure of the spread or dispersion of X .

$\text{Var}(Y) = \mathbb{E}[(Y - \mu_Y)^2]$ is a measure of the spread or dispersion of Y .

$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \sigma_{X,Y} = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mu_X)(Y - \mu_Y)]$ is a measure of the joint spread or dispersion of X and Y .

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(aX + bY) &= \mathbb{E}[(aX + bY) - (a\mu_X + b\mu_Y)]^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}[a(X - \mu_X) + b(Y - \mu_Y)]^2 \\ &= a^2\text{Var}(X) + b^2\text{Var}(Y) + 2ab\text{Cov}(X, Y)\end{aligned}$$

is a measure of the spread or dispersion along the $(ax + by)$ -direction.

Theorem 9.4 (Calculating Covariance). Suppose X and Y are r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$.

(1) $\text{Var}(X) = \text{Cov}(X, X)$.

(2) $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \text{Cov}(Y, X) = \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y]$.

(3) $|\text{Cov}(X, Y)| \leq \sigma_X \cdot \sigma_Y$, “=” $\Leftrightarrow X = \mu_X$ with probability 1 or $Y = \mu_Y$ with probability 1 or $Y = aX + b$ with probability 1, where

$$a = \frac{\sigma_{X,Y}}{\sigma_X^2}, \quad b = \mu_Y - \mu_X \cdot \frac{\sigma_{X,Y}}{\sigma_X^2}.$$

If $\sigma_X \neq 0$ and $\sigma_Y \neq 0$, then

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \sigma_X \cdot \sigma_Y \Leftrightarrow Y = aX + b$$

with probability 1, where

$$a = \frac{\sigma_Y}{\sigma_X} > 0, \quad b = \mu_Y - \mu_X \cdot \frac{\sigma_Y}{\sigma_X}.$$

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = -\sigma_X \cdot \sigma_Y \Leftrightarrow Y = aX + b$$

with probability 1, where

$$a = -\frac{\sigma_Y}{\sigma_X} < 0, \quad b = \mu_Y + \mu_X \cdot \frac{\sigma_Y}{\sigma_X}.$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 9.5 (Covariance of Two Linear Combined R.V.'s). Suppose $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m$ are r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$.

(1)

$$\text{Cov}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i, \sum_{j=1}^m b_j Y_j\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_i b_j \text{Cov}(X_i, Y_j).$$

(2)

$$\text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \text{Var}(x_i) + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i b_j \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j).$$

In particular, if X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are **pairwise uncorrelated**, then

$$\text{Var} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \text{Var}(x_i).$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 9.6 (Independence Implies Uncorrelated). *Suppose X and Y are r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. If $X \perp Y$, then X and Y are uncorrelated, i.e.,*

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y] = \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y] - \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y] = 0.$$

Proof. abc □

Remark 9.4 (Uncorrelated Can't Imply Independence). *The inverse is not true, i.e.,*

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = 0 \not\Rightarrow X \perp Y.$$

Definition 9.2 (Correlation Coefficient). *Let X and Y be r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ with $0 < \sigma_X^2 < \infty, 0 < \sigma_Y^2 < \infty$. The correlation coefficient between X and Y is given by*

$$\rho_{X,Y} = \text{Cov}(X^*, Y^*) = \text{Cov} \left(\frac{X - \mu_X}{\sigma_X}, \frac{Y - \mu_Y}{\sigma_Y} \right) = \frac{\sigma_{X,Y}}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}.$$

Remark 9.5 (Properties of Correlation Coefficient). (1) $X^* = \frac{X - \mu_X}{\sigma_X}$ is independent of the units in which X is measured. $\rightarrow \rho_{X,Y}$ is **independent of the units** in which X and Y is measured.

(2) $-1 \leq \rho_{X,Y} \leq 1$.

$\rho_{X,Y} = 1 \Leftrightarrow Y = aX + b$ with probability 1, where

$$a = \frac{\sigma_Y}{\sigma_X} > 0, \quad b = \mu_Y - \mu_X \cdot \frac{\sigma_Y}{\sigma_X}.$$

$\rho_{X,Y} = -1 \Leftrightarrow Y = aX + b$ with probability 1, where

$$a = -\frac{\sigma_Y}{\sigma_X} < 0, \quad b = \mu_Y + \mu_X \cdot \frac{\sigma_Y}{\sigma_X}.$$

9.3 Conditioning on R.V.'s

Definition 9.3 (Conditional Expectation on R.V.'s). *Let X and Y be r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$.*

Let $g(Y) = \mathbb{E}[X|Y = y], \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$. We denote $\mathbb{E}[X|Y]$ as the r.v. $g(Y)$. Note that $\mathbb{E}[X|Y]$ is a function of Y .

Theorem 9.7 (Marginal Expectation). *Suppose X and Y are r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E} [\mathbb{E} [X|Y]] = \mathbb{E}[X].$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 9.8 (Marginal Expectation of Measurable Function). *Suppose X and Y are r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E} [\mathbb{E} [X \cdot g(Y)|Y]] = g(Y)\mathbb{E} [X|Y].$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 9.9 (Wald's Equations). *Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots are i.i.d. r.v.'s $\sim X$ and N is a positive integer-valued r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, and $N \perp \{X_1, X_2, \dots\}$.*

(1) *If $\mathbb{E}[X] < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}[N] < \infty$, then*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N X_i \right] = \mathbb{E}[N] \cdot \mathbb{E}[X].$$

(2) *If $\text{Var}(X) < \infty$ and $\text{Var}(N) < \infty$, then*

$$\text{Var} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N X_i \right) = \mathbb{E}[N] \cdot \text{Var}(X) + (\mathbb{E}[X])^2 \cdot \text{Var}(N).$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 9.10 (Law of Total Probability). *Suppose A is an event and X is a r.v. of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, then*

$$\mathbb{P}(A) = \begin{cases} \sum_{x \in X(\Omega)} \mathbb{P}(A|X=x) \cdot P_X(x), & \text{if } X \text{ is a discrete r.v.} \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A|X=x) \cdot f_X(x) dx, & \text{if } X \text{ is a continuous r.v.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 9.11 (Conditional Variance on R.V.'s). *Suppose X and Y are r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, then*

$$\text{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}[\text{Var}(x|y)] + \text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[X|Y]).$$

Proof. abc □

9.4 Bivariate Normal (Gaussian) Distribution

Definition 9.4 (Bivariate Normal (Gaussian) R.V.'s). *Let X_1 and X_2 be r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. We call X_1 and X_2 jointly normal (Gaussian) r.v.'s with parameters*

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix} > 0,$$

where “ > 0 ” means positive definite, denoted

$$\mathbf{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}),$$

if their joint p.d.f. is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_X(X) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^2 |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^2 |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} (x_1 - \mu_1, x_2 - \mu_2) \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{22} & -\sigma_{12} \\ -\sigma_{12} & \sigma_{11} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 - \mu_1 \\ x_2 - \mu_2 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^2 |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|}} \exp(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^*) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}| &= \det(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \sigma_{11} \cdot \sigma_{22} - \sigma_{12}^2 > 0, \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^* &= -\frac{1}{2|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|} \left[\sigma_{22} (x_1 - \mu_1)^2 - 2\sigma_{12} (x_1 - \mu_1)(x_2 - \mu_2) + \sigma_{11} (x_2 - \mu_2)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Such a joint p.d.f. is called a bivariate normal p.d.f. with parameters $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$.

Theorem 9.12 (Explicitly Normal (Gaussian) R.V.). *Suppose X_1 and X_2 are r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, and suppose $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$.*

(1) $X_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \sigma_{11})$ and $X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_2, \sigma_{22})$. Therefore

$$\mu_1 = \mu_{X_1}, \sigma_{11} = \sigma_{X_1}^2 := \sigma_1^2, \mu_2 = \mu_{X_2}, \sigma_{22} = \sigma_{X_2}^2 := \sigma_2^2.$$

(2)

$$X_2|_{X_1=x_1} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_2 + \frac{\sigma_{12}}{\sigma_{11}}(x_1 - \mu_1), \frac{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|}{\sigma_{11}}\right)$$

and

$$X_1|_{X_2=x_2} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_1 + \frac{\sigma_{12}}{\sigma_{22}}(x_2 - \mu_2), \frac{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|}{\sigma_{22}}\right).$$

(3) $\sigma_{12} = \sigma_{X_1, X_2} = \rho_{X_1, X_2} \cdot \sigma_{X_1} \sigma_{X_2} := \rho \cdot \sigma_1 \sigma_2$. Therefore

$$X_2|_{X_1=x_1} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_2 + \rho \cdot \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} (x_1 - \mu_1), (1 - \rho^2) \sigma_2^2\right)$$

and

$$X_1|_{X_2=x_2} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_1 + \rho \cdot \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2} (x_2 - \mu_2), (1 - \rho^2) \sigma_1^2\right).$$

Proof. abc □

Remark 9.6 (Mean Vector and Covariance Matrix). $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{pmatrix}$ is called the mean vector of \mathbf{X} , and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ is called the covariance matrix of \mathbf{X} .

Lemma 9.1 (Linear Conditional Expectation and Constant Variance). Suppose X_1 and X_2 are jointly continuous r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ with $\mu_{X_1} = \mu_1$, $\mu_{X_2} = \mu_2$, $\sigma_{X_1}^2 = \sigma_1^2$, $\sigma_{X_2}^2 = \sigma_2^2$, $\rho_{X_1, X_2} = \rho$.

(1) If $\mathbb{E}[X_2|X_1 = x_1] = ax_1 + b$ is a linear function in x_1 , then

$$\mathbb{E}[X_2|X_1 = x_1] = \mu_2 + \rho \cdot \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} (x_1 - \mu_1).$$

(2) If $\mathbb{E}[X_2|X_1 = x_1] = ax_1 + b$ is a linear function in x_1 , and $\text{Var}(X_2|X_1 = x_1) = \sigma^2$ is a constant, then

$$\text{Var}(X_2|X_1 = x_1) = (1 - \rho^2) \sigma_2^2.$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 9.13 (Derivation of Jointly Normal R.V.'s). Suppose X_1 and X_2 are r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. Suppose

(1) X_1 is a normal r.v.

(2) $X_2|X_1 = x_1$ is a normal r.v. for all $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$.

(3) $\mathbb{E}[X_2|X_1 = x_1]$ is a linear function in X_1 , and $\text{Var}(X_2|X_1 = x_1) = \sigma^2$ is a constant.

Then X_1 and X_2 are **jointly normal** r.v.'s.

Proof. abc □

Theorem 9.14 (Independence mutually Implies Uncorrelated). Suppose X_1 and X_2 are jointly normal r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. Then X_1 and X_2 are independent $\Leftrightarrow X_1$ and X_2 are uncorrelated.

Proof. abc □

Theorem 9.15 (Linearly Generated Normal R.V.). Suppose $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_X, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_X)$ and $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} + b$, where \mathbf{A} is nonsingular, i.e., $|\mathbf{A}| \neq 0$. Then

$$\mathbf{Y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu}_X + b, \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_X\mathbf{A}^\top).$$

Proof. abc □

Chapter 10

Sums of Independent R.V.'s and Limit Theorems

10.1 Moment Generating Functions

Definition 10.1 (Moment Generating Function). *The moment generating function (m.g.f.) $M_X(t)$ of a r.v. X is given by $M_X(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tx}]$ if $\exists \delta > 0 \rightarrow M_X(t)$ is defined for all $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$.*

Theorem 10.1 (Moment Generation). (1) $\mathbb{E}[X^n] = M_X^{(n)}(0)$, $\forall n \geq 0$.
 (2) Maclaurin's series for $M_X(t)$:

$$M_X(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{M_X^{(n)}(0)}{n!} t^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[X^n]}{n!} t^n.$$

Proof. abc □

Remark 10.1 (Sufficient Condition for n^{th} Moment to Converge). *If $|M_X(t)| < \infty$ for some $t > 0$, then $|\mathbb{E}[X^n]| < \infty$ for all $n \geq 1$. But the converse is not true.*

Theorem 10.2 (Same M.G.F. Implies Same C.D.F.). *If $M_X(t) = M_Y(t)$ for all $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$ for some $\delta > 0$, then the c.d.f. of X and Y are the same.*

Proof. abc □

10.2 Sums of Independent R.V.'s

Theorem 10.3 (M.G.F. of Sums of Independent R.V.'s). *Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are independent r.v.'s with m.g.f.'s*

$$M_{X_1}(t), M_{X_2}(t), \dots, M_{X_n}(t)$$

respectively. Then the m.g.f. of their **sum** $X = X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n$ is

$$M_X(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n M_{X_i}(t).$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 10.4 (M.G.F. of Sums of Normal R.V.'s). *Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are **independent** r.v.'s and $X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2)$, $\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and suppose $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$. If*

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i,$$

then

$$X \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mu_i, \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \sigma_i^2\right).$$

Proof. abc □

Corollary 10.1 (M.G.F. of Sums of I.I.D. Normal R.V.'s). *Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are **i.i.d.** $\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, then*

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(n\mu, n\sigma^2), \text{ and } \bar{X} = \frac{S_n}{n} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right).$$

Proof. abc □

10.3 Markov and Chebyshev Inequalities

Theorem 10.5 (Markov's Inequality). *Suppose X is a nonnegative r.v., then*

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq t) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{t}, \forall t > 0.$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 10.6 (Chebyshev's Inequality).

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - \mu_X| \geq t) \leq \frac{\sigma_X^2}{t^2}, \forall t > 0.$$

In particular,

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - \mu_X| \geq k \cdot \sigma_X) \leq \frac{1}{k^2}, \forall k > 0.$$

Proof. abc □

Remark 10.2 (Not Tight Bounds). *The bounds obtained by Markov and Chebyshev inequalities are usually **not very tight**.*

Theorem 10.7 (Zero Absolute Moment).

$$\mathbb{E}[|X|] = 0 \Leftrightarrow X = 0 \text{ with probability } 1.$$

Proof. abc □

Corollary 10.2 (Zero Variance).

$$\text{Var}(X) = 0 \Leftrightarrow X = 0 \text{ with probability } 1.$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 10.8 (Chebyshev's Inequality for I.I.D R.V.'s). *Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. r.v.'s with mean μ and variance $\sigma^2 < \infty$. Let*

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

be the sample mean of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n . Then

$$\mathbb{P}(|\bar{X} - \mu| \geq \epsilon) \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2}.$$

Proof. abc □

Theorem 10.9 (Chebyshev's Inequality for I.I.D. Bernoulli R.V.'s). *Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. $\sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$. Let*

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

be the sample mean of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n . Then

$$\mathbb{P}(|\bar{X} - p| \geq \epsilon) \leq \frac{p(1-p)}{n\epsilon^2} \leq \frac{1}{4n\epsilon^2}.$$

Proof. abc □

10.4 Laws of Large Numbers (LLN's)

Definition 10.2 (Converge in Probability). *Let X, X_1, X_2, \dots be r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. We say that X_n converges to X **in probability**, denoted*

$$X_n \xrightarrow{P} X,$$

if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| < \epsilon) = 1, \forall \epsilon > 0,$$

or

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) = 0, \forall \epsilon > 0.$$

Theorem 10.10 (Weak Law of Large Numbers (WLLN)). *Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots are i.i.d. r.v.'s with mean μ and variance $\sigma^2 < \infty$. Then*

$$\overline{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \xrightarrow{P} \mu,$$

i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(|\overline{X}_n - \mu| > \epsilon) = 0, \forall \epsilon > 0.$$

Proof. abc □

Remark 10.3 (Relative Frequency Converges to Probability in Probability). *Let an experiment be repeated independently and let $n(A)$ be the number of times an event A occurs in the first n repetitions of the experiment. Let*

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } A \text{ occurs on the } i^{\text{th}} \text{ repetition,} \\ 0, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} n(A) &= \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \text{ and } \mathbb{E}[X_i] = 1 \cdot \mathbb{P}(A) + 0 \cdot \mathbb{P}(A^c) = \mathbb{P}(A). \\ \rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{n(A)}{n} - \mathbb{P}(A)\right| > \epsilon\right) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \mathbb{P}(A)\right| > \epsilon\right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the relative frequency $\frac{n(A)}{n}$ of occurrence of A is very likely close to $\mathbb{P}(A)$ if n is sufficiently large.

Definition 10.3 (Converge Almost Surely). *Let X, X_1, X_2, \dots be r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. We say that X_n converges to X **almost surely** (a.s.), denoted*

$$X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} X,$$

if

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = X\right) = 1.$$

Theorem 10.11 (Strong Law of Large Numbers (SLLN)). *Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots are i.i.d. r.v.'s with mean μ . Then*

$$\overline{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \mu$$

i.e.,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{X}_n = \mu\right) = 1.$$

Proof. abc □

Remark 10.4 (Relative Frequency Converges Almost Surely).

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(A)}{n} = \mathbb{P}(A)\right) = 1 \rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(A)}{n} = \mathbb{P}(A) \text{ with probability } 1.$$

Theorem 10.12 (Converge Almost Surely Implies Convergence in Probability).

$$\text{If } X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X, \text{ then } X_n \xrightarrow{P} X.$$

Proof. abc □

10.5 Central Limit Theorem (CLT)

Theorem 10.13 (Levy Continuity Theorem). *Suppose X, X_1, X_2, \dots are r.v.'s of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$.*

If $\exists \delta > 0 \rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{X_n}(t) = M_X(t), \forall t \in (-\delta, \delta)$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) = F(x)$$

if $F(x)$ is continuous at X .

Proof. abc □

Theorem 10.14 (Central Limit Theorem (CLT)). *Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. r.v.'s with mean μ and variance σ^2 . Let*

$$S_n^* = \frac{X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n - \mathbb{E}[S_n]}{\sigma_{S_n}} = \frac{X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n - n\mu}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}.$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{S_n^*}(X) = \Phi(x),$$

i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n - n\mu}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \leq x\right) = \Phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy.$$

Equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}} \leq x\right) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sqrt{\frac{\text{Var}(X)}{n}}} \leq x\right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\bar{X} - \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}]}{\sigma_{\bar{X}}} \leq x\right) \\ &= \Phi(x). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. abc □