

# MATH 327 HW 1

Jingyi Cui

1738833

## 1 Sheet 1

1. Let  $A$  and  $\Lambda$  be two sets. Using  $\Lambda$  as an indexing set, for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  let  $E_\lambda$  be as subset of  $A$ . Let  $E \subset A$  also. Show that:

$$(i) \quad E \cap \left( \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda \right) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (E \cap E_\lambda)$$

Let  $x \in E \cap \left( \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda \right)$ . Then  $x \in E$  and  $x \in \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda$ . Thus,  $x \in E_\lambda$ , for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Thus,

$x \in (E \cap E_\lambda)$  for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $x \in \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (E \cap E_\lambda)$ . Thus,  $E \cap \left( \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda \right) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (E \cap E_\lambda)$ .

$$(iii) \quad \left( \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda \right)^c = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda^c$$

Let  $x \in \left( \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda \right)^c$ , so  $x \notin \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda$ . Thus for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $x \notin E_\lambda$ . Thus, for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,

$x \in E_\lambda^c$ . Thus,  $x \in \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda^c$  and  $\left( \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda \right)^c = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda^c$ .

3. Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $g : B \rightarrow C$  be functions.

- (a) Prove that if  $f$  and  $g$  are injective then so is  $g \circ f$ .

Assume  $a, a' \in A$ ,  $c \in C$  and  $b, b' \in B$ . If  $f$  is injective, then if  $f(a) = f(a') = b$ , so  $a = a'$ . If  $g$  is injective, then if  $g(b) = g(b') = c$ , so  $b = b'$ . Then since  $f(a) = f(a') = b$  and  $g(b) = c$ ,  $g(f(a)) = g(f(a')) = g \circ f(a) = g \circ f(a') = c$ . Since  $a = a'$ ,  $g \circ f$  is injective.

- (b) Suppose  $g \circ f$  is injective. Does it follow that  $f$  is injective?

Let  $x, y \in A$  such that  $f(x) = f(y)$ . Since  $g \circ f$  is injective, then if  $g(f(x)) = g(f(y))$  and  $(g \circ f)(x) = (g \circ f)(y)$ , then  $x = y$ . Thus,  $f$  is injective.

- (c) Suppose  $g \circ f$  is injective. Does it follow that  $g$  is injective?

$g$  is not necessarily injective. The counterexample map could be  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and  $g : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  given by  $f(n) = 2n$  and  $g(m) = |m|$ . Then since  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $g \circ f(n) = |2n| = 2n$ . This map is injective, but  $g$  is not injective since  $g(-1) = 1 = g(1)$ .

4. Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $g : B \rightarrow C$  be functions.

- (a) Prove that if  $f$  and  $g$  are surjective then so is  $g \circ f$ .

Let  $c \in C$ . Since  $g$  is surjective, there exists  $b \in B$  such that  $g(b) = c$ . Since  $f$  is surjective, there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $f(a) = b$ . Then  $g \circ f(a) = g(f(a)) = g(b) = c$ . Thus for every  $c \in C$ , there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $(g \circ f)(a) = c$  and  $g \circ f$  is surjective.

- (b) Suppose  $g \circ f$  is surjective. Does it follow that  $f$  is surjective?

$f$  is not necessarily surjective. The counterexample map could be  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  given that  $f(x) = 2x$  and  $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0\}$  given that  $g(y) = 0$ .  $g \circ f$  is surjective, but  $f$  is not surjective since there is no number in  $\mathbb{N}$  can be mapped to 3 by  $f(x) = 2x$ .

- (c) Suppose  $g \circ f$  is surjective. Does it follow that  $g$  is surjective?

Let  $c \in C$ . Since  $g \circ f$  is surjective, there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $(g \circ f)(a) = g(f(a)) = c$ . Assume  $f(a) = b$  and  $b \in B$ . Then  $g(b) = c$ . Thus  $g$  is surjective.

5. Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$ . Recall that, for a set  $F \subset B$ , the set  $f^{-1}(F) \subset A$  is defined by  $f^{-1}(F) = \{a \in A : f(a) \in F\}$  and called the inverse image of  $F$ .

- (i) Let  $F \subset B$ . Show that  $f^{-1}(F^c) = f^{-1}(F)^c$ .

$$f^{-1}(F^c) = \{x \in A \mid f(x) \in F^c\} = \{x \in A \mid f(x) \in B \setminus F\}$$

$$f^{-1}(F)^c = A \setminus \{x \in A \mid f(x) \in F\} = \{x \in A \mid f(x) \in B \setminus F\}$$

- (ii) Let  $\Lambda$  be a set, and for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  let  $F_\lambda$  be a subset of  $B$ . Check that

$$f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F_\lambda\right) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(F_\lambda) \text{ and}$$

$$f^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F_\lambda\right) = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(F_\lambda).$$

a. Let  $x \in f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F_\lambda\right)$  so there exist a  $y \in \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F_\lambda$  such that  $f(x) = y$ . Thus,  $x \in f^{-1}F_\lambda$  and  $y \in F_\lambda$  for some  $\lambda$ . So  $x \in \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f^{-1}F_\lambda$  and  $f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F_\lambda\right) \subset \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f^{-1}F_\lambda$ .

Similarly, let  $x \in \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(F_\lambda)$  and  $x \in f^{-1}(F_\lambda)$  for some  $\lambda$ . So  $f(x) \in F_\lambda$  and  $f(x) \in \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (F_\lambda)$ . Thus,  $x \in f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F_\lambda\right)$  and  $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(F_\lambda) \subset f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F_\lambda\right)$ . Thus,  $f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F_\lambda\right) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(F_\lambda)$ .

b. Let  $x \in f^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F_\lambda\right)$  so there exists a  $y \in \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F_\lambda$  such that  $f(x) = y$ . Thus,  $x \in f^{-1}F_\lambda$  and  $y \in F_\lambda$  for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . So  $x \in \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(F_\lambda)$  and  $f^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F_\lambda\right) \subset \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(F_\lambda)$ .

Similarly, let  $x \in \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(F_\lambda)$  and  $x \in f^{-1}F_\lambda$  for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Thus  $f(x) \in F_\lambda$  and  $f(x) \in \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F_\lambda$ . Thus,  $x \in f^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F_\lambda\right)$ . So,  $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(F_\lambda) \subset f^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F_\lambda\right)$ .

Thus,  $f^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F_\lambda\right) = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(F_\lambda)$ .

6. Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$ . Recall that, for a set  $E \subset A$ , the set  $f(E) \subset B$  is defined by  $f(E) = \{f(a) : a \in E\}$  and called the image of  $E$ .

- (i) Let  $E \subset A$ . Check that  $E \subset f^{-1}(f(E))$ . Give an example to show that this inclusion may be strict. What happens when  $f$  is injective?

Let  $a \in E$  and then by definition,  $f(a) \in f(E)$ . Thus,  $E \subset f^{-1}(f(E))$ . The example could be  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f(x) = x^2$ ; specifically,  $f(1) = f(-1) = 1$  and  $-1 \neq 1$ , so  $\{1, -1\} \subset f^{-1}(f(\{1\}))$ . Thus,  $f^{-1}(f(\{1\})) \not\subset \{1\}$  and  $E \subset f^{-1}(f(E))$ . Assume  $f$  is injective and let  $x \in f^{-1}(f(E))$ . Thus, by definition,  $f(x) \in f(E)$ . Thus, there exists a  $y \in E$  such that  $f(x) = f(y)$ . Since  $f$  is injective,  $x = y$ . Thus,  $E = f^{-1}(f(E))$ .

- (ii) Let  $\Lambda$  be a set, and for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  let  $E_\lambda$  be a subset of  $A$ . Check that

$$f\left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda\right) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f(E_\lambda) \text{ and} \\ f\left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda\right) \subset \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f(E_\lambda)$$

Give an example in which the last inclusion is proper. What happens when  $f$  is injective?

- a. Let  $y \in f\left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda\right)$  so there exists  $x \in \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda$  such that  $f(x) = y$ . Thus,  $y \in f(E_\lambda)$

and  $x \in E_\lambda$  for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Thus,  $y \in \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f(E_\lambda)$  and  $f\left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda\right) \subset \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f(E_\lambda)$ .

Similarly, let  $y \in \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f(E_\lambda)$  and so  $y \in f(E_\lambda)$  for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Thus, there exists  $x \in E_\lambda$

and then  $x \in \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda$ . Thus,  $y = f(x) \in \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f(E_\lambda)$  and  $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f(E_\lambda) \subset f\left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda\right)$ .

Thus,  $f\left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda\right) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f(E_\lambda)$ .

- b. Let  $y \in f\left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda\right)$  so there exists a  $x \in \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda$  such that  $f(x) = y$ . Thus  $y \in f(E_\lambda)$

and  $x \in E_\lambda$  for every  $\lambda$ . Thus,  $y \in \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f(E_\lambda)$  and  $f\left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda\right) \subset \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f(E_\lambda)$ . The example

could be  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f(x) = x^2$ . If  $f$  is injective,  $f\left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda\right) = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f(E_\lambda)$ .

## 2 Sheet 2

1. Let  $F$  be an ordered field, and let  $x$  and  $y$  be positive elements of  $F$ .

- (a) Use induction to prove that if  $x < y$  then  $x^n < y^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Base case:  $n = 1$ . So  $x^1 = x$  and  $y^1 = y$  and since  $x < y$ ,  $x^n < y^n$ .

Assume true for  $k > 1$ , i.e.  $x^k < y^k$ . We want to show that  $x^{k+1} < y^{k+1}$ . Since  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \times x^k < x \times y^k$  and  $x^{k+1} < xy^k$ . Since  $x < y$  and  $y^k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \times y^k < y \times y^k = y^{k+1}$ . Then, since  $x^{k+1} < xy^k$  and  $xy^k < y^{k+1}$ ,  $x^{k+1} < y^{k+1}$ . Thus, if  $x < y$  then  $x^n < y^n$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(b) Deduce that if  $x^n < y^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $x < y$ .

Using contrapositive: Assume  $x \geq y$ . Based on (a), if  $x > y$ , we know  $x^n > y^n$ . If  $x = y$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x^n = y^n$ . Thus,  $x^n \geq y^n$ . Thus, if  $x^n < y^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $x < y$ .