First of all, carefully reread Chapter 2, especially the sections on vector norms and operator norms.

Problem 01 Using MATLAB, do the following procedure.

(a) Download the data file

from Canvas to your working directory, and load it into your MATLAB session. Check what variables (i.e., arrays) are defined in this data file by running

(b) Plot the data by typing

$$>>$$
 plot(x,v); grid;

(c) Create the Vandermonde matrix for polynomials of degree 1; (i.e., lines) by typing

$$>> A = [x.^0 x.^1];$$

(d) Compute the least squares line over the given data by typing

$$>>$$
 sol = inv(A'*A)*A'*y;

Then, overlay the least squares line over the current plot by typing

```
\rightarrow hold on; plot(x, sol(1)+sol(2)*x, '--');
```

Create the title and axis labels by typing

```
>> title('Least Squares Linear Fit'); xlabel('x'); ylabel('y');
```

Print out this plot and include a the PDF copy of the plot in you HW PDF file also with a *carefully written description* of how you obtained the plot and what it is.

- (e) Finally, create a MATLAB file HW_02.m from the above commands with appropriate comments. Use the MATLAB listing in the Solutions to HW_01 as an example of good commenting practice.
- (f) Write a detailed explanation of what this MATLAB program does and put it in your PDF file.

Problem 02 Prove that for a square matrix A, $null(A) = \{0\}$ implies A is invertible.

Note that you are trying to prove a statement of the form $P \implies Q$, where

- P is "null(A) = $\{0\}$ "
- Q is "A is invertible."

I would have preferred that your solution begin by only using the following fundamental definition. **Definition:** The matrix A is *invertible* if and only if the mapping

$$A : Range(A) \longrightarrow Codomain(A)$$
 (1)

is one-to-one. However, since I didn't explicitly state this in the problem statement I accepted any correct proof.

Solution to Problem 02: (By Contradiction) Let A be a square matrix. Suppose that $null(A) = \{0\}$. (This is the statement that P is true.) We will also assume that A is *not* invertible (this is the statement that Q is *not* true, which is written Q) and show that this contradicts P:

$$\operatorname{null}(A) = \{\mathbf{0}\}. \tag{2}$$

Since A is not invertible, it does not have full rank. If A does not have full rank, then there exist vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $x \neq y$ but $A\mathbf{x} = A\mathbf{y}$. We then can write

$$A\mathbf{x} - A\mathbf{y} = A(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Then the vector $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$ is in the null space of A. However, this vector has to be nonzero. Thus, we have a contradiction.

Problem 03 Find the minimum value of $\|\mathbf{x}\|_1$ subject to $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = 1$ in \mathbb{R}^2 . Which \mathbf{x} achieves such minimum?

Solution to Problem 03: Define the function $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{2} |x_k|$$

Next, define the region $R \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ to be the set of vectors such that

$$R = \{ \mathbf{x} \mid \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = 1 \}.$$

Then, in this problem we want to maximize the function $f(\mathbf{x})$ over the region R. We can translate the region from cartesian coordinates into polar coordinates. We know that for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}$, we can write

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) \end{bmatrix}$$

With $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$. Then, to maximize the function $f(\mathbf{x})$ over the interval R, we can rewrite the function on the interval as follows

$$f(\theta) = |\cos(\theta)| + |\sin(\theta)|$$

Now, we want to maximize this function. To do this, we can use the first derivative test on $f(\theta)$ by breaking the interval $[0,2\pi]$ into four sections.

Analyzing the function $f(\theta)$

Value of <i>s</i>	$ \cos(\theta) $	$ \sin(\theta) $	$f(\theta)$	$f'(\theta)$
$0 < \theta < \pi/2$	$\cos(\theta)$	sin(θ)	$\cos(\theta) + \sin(\theta)$	$-\sin(\theta) + \cos(\theta)$
$\pi/2 < \theta < \pi$	$-\cos(\theta)$	$\sin(\theta)$	$-\cos(\theta) + \sin(\theta)$	$\sin(\theta) + \cos(\theta)$
$\pi < \theta < 3\pi/2$	$-\cos(\theta)$	$-\sin(\theta)$	$-\cos(\theta) - \sin(\theta)$	$\sin(\theta) - \cos(\theta)$
$3\pi/2 < \theta < 2\pi$	$\cos(\theta)$	$-\sin(\theta)$	$\cos(\theta) - \sin(\theta)$	$-\sin(\theta)-\cos(\theta)$

To apply the first derivative test, we need to find the critical points of the function $f(\theta)$. Recall, that the critical points are the points $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ where $f'(\theta)$ either zero or does not exist. We know that for the points $\theta = 0, \pi/2, \pi, 3\pi/2$, the derivative of f does not exist. The minimum value of $f(\mathbf{x})$ is 1 and occurs at four different points in the region R

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \qquad \mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \qquad \mathbf{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \qquad \mathbf{x}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For the points $\theta = \pi/4$, $3\pi/4$, $5\pi/4$, $7\pi/4$, the derivative f' is zero. We can evaluate $f(\theta)$ at these points and we find that the maximum occurs when $\theta = \pi/4$, $3\pi/4$, $5\pi/47\pi/4$. Thus, the maximum value of $f(\mathbf{x})$ is $\sqrt{2}$ and is achieved for four different values of \mathbf{x} including

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{x}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Problem 04 Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote any norm on \mathbb{R}^m and also the induced matrix norm on $\mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$. Let $\rho(A)$ be the *spectral radius* of A; i.e., $\rho(A)|\lambda_i(A)|$, where $\lambda_i(A)$ is the *i*th eigenvalue of A. Prove $\rho(A) \leq \|A\|$.

This problem has been removed from HW 02.

Problem 05 Let $A = \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^T$ where $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Prove that $||A||_2 = ||\mathbf{u}||_2 ||\mathbf{v}||_2$.

Before we begin the solution itself recall that:

• From page one of Professor Saito's Lecture 05 we know that for any two vectors $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the angle θ between these vectors is given by

$$\cos(\theta) = \frac{\mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{v}\|_{2} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}}$$

• From page two of Professor Saito's Lecture 05 we know that

A norm is a function $\|\cdot\|:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ that possesses the following properties. For all $\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}$.

- (a) $\|\mathbf{x}\| \ge 0$ and $\|\mathbf{x}\| = 0 \iff \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$
- (b) $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\| \le \|\mathbf{x}\| + \|\mathbf{y}\|$
- (c) $\|\alpha \mathbf{x}\| = |\alpha| \|\mathbf{x}\|$

Solution to Problem 05 Let $A = \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^T$ where $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, consider

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{x},$$

= $\mathbf{u} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{2} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2} \cos(\theta)$

where θ is the angle between the vector **v** and the vector **x**. Then, by property **Problem 05**c above, we have

$$\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_{2} = \|\mathbf{u}\|\mathbf{v}\|_{2} \mathbf{u}\|\mathbf{x}\|_{2} \cos(\theta)\|_{2} = \|\mathbf{u}\|_{2} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{2} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2} |\cos(\theta)|.$$

Assuming $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|\mathbf{x}\| = 1$,

$$\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\| = \|\mathbf{u}\|_2 \|\mathbf{v}\|_2 |\cos(\theta)|.$$

Now note that to maximize the ratio $\|A\mathbf{x}\|$ the function $\cos(\theta)$ must be maximized. This will only happen if the angle between the two vectors \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{x} is a multiple of π or 2π . Thus, it must be that $\mathbf{x} \in \text{span } \{\mathbf{v}\}$. Setting $\mathbf{x} = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{v}\|}$ is one maximizer of this function. Hence,

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_2 = \sup_{\|\mathbf{x}\|=1} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\| = \|\mathbf{u}\|_2 \|\mathbf{v}\|_2.$$

Problem 06 (a) Define the following matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix},$$

in MATLAB. Then, compute the 2-norm by the norm function, and report the result in a long format (16 digits) via

- >> format long
 >> norm(A)
- (b) Compute the 2-norm explicitly using the largest eigenvalue of A^TA using the eig function, i.e.,

```
>> sqrt (max (eig (A' *A)))
```

Then, compare the result with that of Part (a). What is the relative error between the norm computed in Part (a) and that in Part (b)?

(c) Compute the 1-norm, ∞-norm, and Frobenius norm of A by hand using the formulas derived in the class. Then, using the norm function, compare the MATLAB outputs with your hand-computed results. You should check how to use the norm function using the help utility:

```
>> help norm
```

(d) | Let's load the MATLAB data file

that you used for HW 01 again. It's located on both Piazza and Canvas Then, compute first the coefficient vector by

$$>> a = U' *x;$$

Now, compute $\|\mathbf{x}\|_p$ and $\|\mathbf{a}\|_p$, $p = 1, 2, \infty$, using the norm function, and report the results. Which value of p, you got $\|\mathbf{x}\|_p = \|\mathbf{a}\|_p$?

(e) Now, compute the matrix norms, $\|U\|_p$, $p = 1, 2, \infty$ as well as $\|U\|_F$ using the norm function, then report the results.

Problem 07 Linear Least Squares: You are meant to do this problem by hand calculation as you would on a test.

(a) Set up the *normal equation* for the linear least squares approximation for the data (1, -1), (2, 3), and (3, 1).

Solution to Problem 07 (a): The least squares approximation is given by the equation y = c + dx. Therefore, we have

$$c + d(1) = -1$$

$$c + d(2) = 3$$

$$c + d(3) = 1$$

Translating this into a matrix equation yields:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c \\ d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (3)

(b) Solve for the least squares approximation from **Problem 07 (a)**.

Solution to Problem 07 (b): Multiplying both sides of equation (3) by A^T yields:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 6 \\ 6 & 14 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c \\ d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using Gauss-Jordan elimination or the equation for the inverse of a 2×2 matrix to solve this system gives:

$$d = 1$$
 and $c = -1$.

Therefore, the linear least squares approximation, also known as the 'best fit line' to the data in part (a) is given by

$$y = -1 + x$$