

# Tutorial 4: Recursion

Data Structures and Algorithms

February 20, 2023

1. Write True/False for the following conditional independence statements. Justify clearly your answer by showing active/blocked trails as necessary and appropriate rules for them to be active/blocked. [No coding required for this question. Each sub-question has **2 points**]

(a)  $A \perp G \mid \{F\}$

False.  $A \not\perp G$ . There is a V-structure between  $A$  and  $G$ .

$$A \rightarrow B \rightarrow D \leftarrow G$$

Given  $F$  and thus implying we know  $D$ ,  $A$  and  $G$  are dependent.

(b)  $A \perp G \mid \{E\}$

True. There is no active path from  $A$  to  $G$ .

(c)  $A \perp E \mid \{C\}$

(d)  $A \perp E \mid \{C, D\}$

(e)  $A \perp D \mid \{B, E\}$

2. The following algorithm determines whether an element is within a sorted array.

---

```
1 // parameterized version
2 public static boolean binarySearch(int[] data, int target, int low, int high) {
3     if (low > high)
4         return false; // interval empty; no match
5     else {
6         int mid = (low + high) / 2;
7         if (target == data[mid])
8             return true; // found a match
9         else if (target < data[mid])
10            return binarySearch(data, target, low, mid - 1); // recur left
11        else
12            return binarySearch(data, target, mid + 1, high); // recur right
13    }
14 }
15
16
17 // Demonstration of a public wrapper function with cleaner signature
18 public static boolean binarySearch(int[] data, int target) {
19     return binarySearch(data, target, 0, data.length - 1);
20 }
```

---

Suppose that you are given the following array as input:

data = [2, 5, 7, 8, 10, 19, 20, 23, 25, 30, 35, 36];

- (a) Draw the recursion trace for `binarySearch(data, 33)`.
  - (b) What is the Big O complexity of binary search above? Justify it.
  - (c) How would you make it even more efficient?
  - (d) Describe a modification that will return the index of the target element or `-1` if not found.
- 
- (b) Binary search is  $O(\log n)$ . After every recursive call, the problem halves in size. For an array of size  $n$ , we call `binarySearch()` on array of size  $n, \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{4} \dots$  because the high or low halves after each recursive call. The body of `binarySearch()` only contains primitive operations, hence we can conclude that the growth rate of `binarySearch()` is  $O(\log n)$ .
  - (c) Don't know...
  - (d) Change the return type of `binarySearch` to `int`. When found a match, return the index of the target, when no match return `-1`.

---

```
1 public static int binarySearch(int[] data, int target, int low, int high) {
2     if (low > high)
3         return -1; // interval empty; no match
4     else {
5         int mid = (low + high) / 2;
6         if (target == data[mid])
7             return mid; // found a match; return index of target element
```

```
8     else if (target < data[mid])
9         return binarySearch(data, target, low, mid - 1);
10    else
11        return binarySearch(data, target, mid + 1, high);
12    }
13 }
```

---

3. Suppose that you wish to solve puzzles of the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}fish &= bird + cat \\ fish + cat &= 4birds \\ fish + bird + cat &= 10\end{aligned}$$

The task is to assign a unique integer between 0 to 9 to each variable *fish*, *cat*, or *bird* so that the above equations hold true.

You chance upon a recursive algorithm below described in pseudocode.

- (a) Specify the arguments  $k$ ,  $S$ ,  $U$  to solve the puzzle involving *fish*, *cat*, and *bird* above.

$$k = 3$$

$$S = [ ]$$

$$U = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$$

- (b) How would you test whether  $S$  is a configuration that solves the puzzle?

Let  $S$  be an array with values

$$S = [x, y, z]$$

We can then check if  $S$  satisfies the 3 equations, by mapping the values of  $S$  to *fish*, *bird* and *cat*.  
Let

$$x = \textit{fish}$$

$$y = \textit{bird}$$

$$z = \textit{cat}$$

If the equations

$$x = y + z$$

$$x + z = 4y$$

$$x + y + z = 10$$

hold true, then  $S$  is a valid solution.

- (c) What are the values of *fish*, *bird* and *cat* in this case?

$$\textit{fish} = 5$$

$$\textit{bird} = 2$$

$$\textit{cat} = 3$$

$$5 \equiv 2 + 3$$

$$5 + 3 \equiv 4 \times 2$$

$$5 + 3 + 2 \equiv 10$$

- (d) What is the Big O complexity of `PuzzleSolve`?

For set  $U$  with a size of  $n$ , we have a total of  $n!$  permutations. From the code, each iteration through  $U$  recursively calls the function. So the function will call itself  $n$  times on the first recursion, and  $n(n - 1)$  total times on the second and so forth.

The total number of function calls is  $n!$  which is the total number of permutations of elements in set  $U$ . Since `PuzzleSolve` only contains primitive operations, we can conclude that the function is  $O(n!)$

4. You are given the following recursive method:

---

```
1 public static long negativeFibonacci(int n){
2     if (n <= 1)
3         return n;
4     else
5         return 0 - negativeFibonacci(n-2) - negativeFibonacci(n-1);
6 }
```

---

(a) What is the output of `negativeFibonacci(567)`? Let negative fibonacci function be  $f(n)$ .

$$f(n) = 0 - f(n-2) - f(n-1)$$

Using  $n = 3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(3) &= 0 - f(3-2) - f(3-1) \\ &= 0 - f(1) - f(2) \\ &= 0 - f(1) - (0 - f(2-2) - f(2-1)) \\ &= 0 - f(1) - (0 - f(0) - f(1)) \\ &= -f(1) + f(0) + f(1) \\ &= f(0) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

We can see that the function  $f(1)$  cancels itself out in (1). By repeated use of definition of the negative Fibonacci sequence, for each integer  $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= 0 - f(n-2) - f(n-1) \\ &= 0 - f(n-2) - (0 - f(n-1-2) - f(n-1-1)) \\ &= 0 - f(n-2) - (0 - f(n-3) - f(n-2)) \\ &= 0 - f(n-2) + f(n-3) - f(n-2) \\ &= f(n-3) \end{aligned}$$

It can be seen that for each integer  $n \geq 1$ ,  $f(n) = f(n-3)$ . This cascades till the base case  $n \leq 1$ , then  $f(n) = n$ . We have three possible base cases, where  $n \equiv n \bmod 3$ .

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= f(n-3) \\ &= f(n \bmod 3) \end{aligned}$$

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \bmod 3 = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } n \bmod 3 = 1, \\ -1 & \text{if } n \bmod 3 = 2, \end{cases}$$

Given  $n = 567$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}f(n) &= f(567) \\&= f(567 \bmod 3) \\&= f(0) \\&= 0\end{aligned}$$

(b) What is the worst case complexity of `negativeFibonacci(567)`? Explain your answer.

The worst case complexity is  $O(2^n)$ . Although we have mathematically proven that  $f(n)$  decomposes to  $f(n - 3)$ , the function doesn't make use of that equivalence. Instead, it unconditionally calls itself twice per recursion. This is the same as the `fibonacciBad` in the lecture slides.

Proof by induction:

Let  $g(n)$  be the number of additions.

Let  $P(n) : g(n) < c(2^n)$  where  $c > 0$

Base case:

$$\begin{aligned}g(0) &= 0 < 2^0 = 1 \\g(1) &= 0 < 2^1 = 2 \\g(2) &= 0 - g(0) - g(1) = 0 < 2^2 = 4\end{aligned}$$

Base case is true.

Inductive step: Assume  $P(n)$  is true for  $n - 3, n - 2, n - 1$ , for all  $n \geq 3$ ,

$$g(n) = 0 - g(n - 2) - g(n - 1) \tag{1}$$

$$g(n - 1) = 0 - g(n - 3) - g(n - 2) \tag{2}$$

From (1) and (2)

$$\begin{aligned}g(n - 1) &= g(n) + g(n - 1) - g(n - 3) \\g(n) &= g(n - 3)\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

From assumption  $P(n - 3)$  and (3),

$$g(n - 3) \leq 2^{n-3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}g(n) &= g(n - 3) \\&\leq 2^{n-3} \\&= 2^n \cdot 2^{-3} \\&= \frac{1}{8} \cdot 2^n \\&\leq 2^n\end{aligned}$$



$$P(n-3) \longrightarrow P(n)$$

$$P(3-3) \longrightarrow P(0)$$

$$P(4-3) \longrightarrow P(1)$$

$$P(5-3) \longrightarrow P(2)$$

Hence  $P(n)$  true for all  $n \geq 0$ .

The function `negativeFibonacci` is  $O(2^n)$  where  $c = 1$  and  $n_0 = 1$ .

5. For each of the following algorithm sum1 to sum4 below:

- (a) What is the output when  $n = 10$  and  $k = 5$ ?
- (b) Describe in terms of  $n$  and  $k$  what the output value will approach as the inputs grow.
- (c) What is the worst complexity?

//sum1

---

```
1 public static double sum1(int n){
2     if (n == 0)
3         return n;
4     else
5         return (double)n + sum1(n-1);
6 }
```

---

In sum1,  $f_1(n)$  can be defined recursively as such

$$f_1(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ n + f_1(n-1) & \text{if } n > 0, \end{cases}$$

sum1 simply adds all numbers from  $n$  till 0.

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(n) &= n + (n-1) + (n-2) + \dots + 1 + 0 \\ &= \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

When  $n = 10$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(n) &= f_1(10) \\ &= 10 + 9 + \dots + 1 + 0 \\ &= \frac{10(10+1)}{2} \\ &= 55 \end{aligned}$$

Output value approaches  $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  as  $n$  grows.

Worst case complexity is  $O(n)$ .

```

//sum2
1 public static double sum2(int n){
2     if (n == 0)
3         return n;
4     else
5         return (double)n + sum2(n/2);
6 }

```

In sum2,  $f_2(n)$  can be defined recursively as such

$$f_2(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ n + f_2\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor\right) & \text{if } n > 0, \end{cases}$$

When  $n = 10$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_2(10) &= 10 + f_2\left(\frac{10}{2}\right) \\
 &= 10 + f_2(5) \\
 &= 10 + 5 + f_2(2) \\
 &= 10 + 5 + 2 + 1 + 0 \\
 &= 18
 \end{aligned}$$

The function adds half of its input value for every recursive call, until the input becomes 0. Let  $a$  be the largest integer such that  $\frac{n}{2^a} \geq 1$ . Then  $a + 1$  is the number of iterations of sum2, while ignoring the floor function.

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_2(n) &\approx n + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{4} + \dots + \frac{n}{2^a} \\
 &\approx n + (n - 1) \\
 &= 2n - 1 \\
 \frac{n}{2^a} &\geq 1 \\
 n &\geq 2^a \\
 \log n &\geq a \log 2 \\
 a &\leq \log n
 \end{aligned}$$

The worst case complexity is  $O(\log n)$ . The input value  $n$  halves on every recursion.

```
//sum3
1 public static double sum3(int n, int k){
2     if (k == 0)
3         return n;
4     else
5         return (double)n + sum3(n, k-1);
6 }
```

---

In sum3,  $f_3(n, k)$  can be defined recursively as such

$$f_3(n, k) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } k = 0, \\ n + f_3(n, k - 1) & \text{if } k > 0, \end{cases}$$

We can see that the number of additions is  $k + 1$ .

Adds  $k + 1$  times

$$\begin{aligned} f_3(n, k) &= n + n + \dots + n \\ &= (k + 1)n \\ &= nk + n \end{aligned}$$

When  $n = 10$  and  $k = 5$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_3(10, 5) &= 10(5) + 10 \\ &= 60 \end{aligned}$$

The worst case complexity is  $O(k)$ .

```

//sum4
1 public static double sum4(int n, int k){
2     if (k == 0)
3         return n;
4     else
5         return (double)n + sum4(n, k/2);
6 }

```

In sum3,  $f_4(n, k)$  can be defined recursively as such

$$f_4(n, k) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } k = 0, \\ n + f_4\left(n, \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor\right) & \text{if } k > 0, \end{cases}$$

Function sum4 adds  $n$  for some  $a$  number of times. Then  
when  $k=6$

Let  $a$  be the number of additions in sum4.

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_4(n, 6) &= n + f_4\left(n, \left\lfloor \frac{6}{2} \right\rfloor\right) \\
 &= n + f_4(n, 3) \\
 &= n + n + f_4\left(n, \left\lfloor \frac{3}{2} \right\rfloor\right) \\
 &= n + n + f_4(n, 1) \\
 &= n + n + n + f_4\left(n, \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor\right) \\
 &= n + n + n + f_4(n, 0) \\
 &= n + n + n + n \\
 &= 4n \\
 a &= 4
 \end{aligned}$$

Then it can be seen that

$$\begin{aligned}
 a &= 1 + \lfloor \log_2 k \rfloor + 1 \\
 &= 2 + \lfloor \log_2 k \rfloor
 \end{aligned}$$

Where  $\lfloor \log_2 k \rfloor$  is the maximum number of times  $k$  can be divided by 2 before  $k \leq 1$ . We add 2 for the  $n$  in the first recursion and for the  $n$  in the base case.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_4(n, k) &= an \\
 &= (2 + \lfloor \log_2 k \rfloor)n \\
 &= 2n + \lfloor \log_2 k \rfloor n
 \end{aligned}$$

When  $n = 10$  and  $k = 5$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_4(10, 5) &= 2(10) + \lfloor \log_2 5 \rfloor (10) \\ &= 20 + \lfloor 2.321928095 \rfloor (10) \\ &= 20 + 20 \\ &= 40 \end{aligned}$$

The worst case complexity is  $O(\log n)$ , as the input  $n$  halves on every recursion.

6. Describe a way to use recursion to compute the sum of all the elements in an  $n \times n$  (two dimensional) array of integers. What is the complexity?

---

```
1 //Sum 1D array
2 public static int sumX(int x, int[] arr){
3     if(x == 0)
4         return arr[0];
5     else
6         return arr[x] + sumX(x-1, arr);
7 }
8
9 //Sum 2D array
10 public static int sumAll(int y, int[][] arr, int n){
11     if(y == 0)
12         return sumX(n-1, arr[0]);
13     else
14         return sumX(n-1, arr[y]) + sumAll(y-1, arr, n);
15 }
```

---

The complexity is  $O(n^2)$ . The code is analagous to a nested for loop.