<del></del>	<del></del>	·	<del></del>	
Hintz et al.	0.2840 0.1680	<del>                                     </del>	0.28 [-0.05; 0.61]	6.9%
Cavanagh et al.	0.3549 0.1964	<del>  •</del>	0.35 [-0.03; 0.74]	6.3%
Lever Taylor et al.	0.3884 0.2308	+	0.39 [-0.06; 0.84]	5.6%
Frazier et al.	0.4219 0.1448	<del>-  </del>	0.42 [ 0.14; 0.71]	7.3%
Rasanen et al.	0.4262 0.2579	+=-	0.43 [-0.08; 0.93]	5.1%
Ratanasiripong	0.5154 0.3513	+ = -	0.52 [-0.17; 1.20]	3.7%
Hazlett-Stevens & Oren	0.5287 0.2105	<del></del>	0.53 [ 0.12; 0.94]	6.0%
Phang et al.	0.5407 0.2443	_	0.54 [ 0.06; 1.02]	5.3%
Warnecke et al.	0.6000 0.2490		0.60 [ 0.11; 1.09]	5.2%
Song & Lindquist	0.6126 0.2267	<del>-     -  </del>	0.61 [ 0.17; 1.06]	5.7%
Frogeli et al.	0.6300 0.1960	-	0.63 [ 0.25; 1.01]	6.3%
Call et al.	0.7091 0.2608	<del>-   -  </del>	0.71 [ 0.20; 1.22]	5.0%
Gallego et al.	0.7249 0.2247	-	0.72 [ 0.28; 1.17]	5.7%
Kang et al.	1.2751 0.3372		1.28 [ 0.61; 1.94]	3.9%
Shapiro et al.	1.4797 0.3153	-	1.48 [ 0.86; 2.10]	4.2%
DanitzOrsillo	1.7912 0.3456	-	- 1.79 [1.11; 2.47 <mark>]</mark>	3.8%