

**CS3230: Design and Analysis of Algorithms (Fall 2014)****Tutorial Set #6**

[For discussion during Week 8]

**S-Problems are due (outside Prof. Leong's office): Friday, 3-Oct, before noon.****OUT:** 27-Sep-2014**Tutorials:** Tue & Wed, 7, 8 Oct 2014**IMPORTANT:** Read “Remarks about Homework”.**Submit solutions to S-Problem(s) by deadline given above.****Prepare your answers to all the D-Problems in every tutorial set.**

When preparing to present your answers,

- Think of a CLEAR EXPLANATION
- Illustrate with a good worked example;
- Describe the main ideas,
- Can you sketch why the solution works;
- Give analysis of running time, if appropriate
- Can you think of other (perhaps simpler) solutions?

**Helpful Hints Series:** A problem well understood is half solved ☺.

---

*Routine Practice Problems -- do not turn these in -- but make sure you know how to do them.*

**R1.** COMPOSITE = Given a natural number  $k$ , determine if  $k$  is a composite natural number?

Show that COMPOSITE is in NP.

**R2.** Show that testing whether two graphs  $G$  and  $H$  are isomorphic is in NP.

**R3.** Show that testing whether a graph  $G$  is a subgraph of another graph  $H$  is in NP.

---

**S-Problems: (To do and submit by due date given in page 1)**

Solve this S-problem(s) and submit for grading.

<b>IMPORTANT: Write your NAME, Matric No, Tutorial Group in your Answer Sheet.</b>
--

**S1. [Understanding P and polynomial time reductions]**

Recall that decision problems can be viewed as subsets of  $\{0,1\}^*$  (the set of all finite length binary strings).

Let  $A$  be a decision problem. Show that if  $A \in P$ , then for every decision problem  $B$ , we have:  $A \leq_P B$  (unless  $B$  or complement of  $B$  is empty).

**D-Problems:** Solve these D-problems and prepare to discuss them in tutorial class. You may be called upon to present your solution *or your best attempt at a solution*. Your solution presentation does NOT need to be fully correct, given your best attempt. The TA will help clarify and correct any issues or errors.

### D1. [Self reducibility]

FACTORIZE. Given an integer  $x$ , find its prime factorization.

FACTOR. Given two integers  $x$  and  $y$ , does  $x$  have a nontrivial factor less than  $y$ ?

- 1) Show that:  $\text{FACTOR} \equiv_P \text{FACTORIZE}$ .
- 2) Show that:  $\text{FACTOR}$  is in  $\text{NP} \cap \text{co-NP}$ .

### D2. [Prove that $P \neq \text{EXP}$ via a “Diagonalization” argument]

Take it granted that there exists an (infinite) listing of all polynomial time algorithms. Let  $P_k$  be the  $k$ -th algorithm in this listing. Take it granted that there exists a universal program  $U$  such that  $U(x; k) = P_k(x)$ , for every string  $x$  and number natural number  $k$ . The running time of  $U$  on input  $(x; k)$  is polynomial in  $|x| + k$ . Consider the following algorithm:

diag-p ( $k$ )

```
{ if (U(k ; k) == true)
  return false
  else
  return true
}
```

Show that diag-p is an exponential time algorithm and the language (a.k.a decision problem) it decides is different from any language in  $P$ . From this conclude that  $P \neq \text{EXP}$ .

### D3. [Understanding P and NP]

0/1 KNAPSACK problem:

We are given a knapsack with maximum capacity  $W$  and a set  $S$  consisting of  $n$  items. Each item  $i$  has some weight  $w_i$  and benefit value  $b_i$  (all  $w_i$ ,  $b_i$  and  $W$  are natural numbers which are given in binary encoding).

Problem: How to pack the knapsack to achieve maximum total value of packed items?

It can be shown that 0/1 KNAPSACK problem is NP-complete.

Professor Smart claimed that he could solve the 0/1 KNAPSACK problem in time  $O(W \cdot n)$  (we will also see subsequently in the course a ‘dynamic programming’ algorithm with same running time). Thus Professor Smart claimed that 0/1 KNAPSACK problem is in  $P$  and that he has shown  $P = \text{NP}$ . Could you find a flaw in his argument?

**D4. [A language and its complement]**

For a language  $L$ , let  $\bar{L}$  be its complement language, that is

$$\bar{L} = \{x : x \text{ is a binary string and } x \notin L.\}$$

Show that  $L \leq_P \bar{L}$  if and only if  $\bar{L} \leq_P L$ .

**D5. [P, NP and co-NP]**

1. Show that if  $\text{NP} \neq \text{co-NP}$ , then  $\text{P} \neq \text{NP}$ .
2. Show that  $L$  is NP-complete if and only if  $\bar{L}$  is co-NP complete. A language  $A$  is co-NP complete if  $A$  is in co-NP and for every language  $B$  in co-NP,  $B \leq_P A$ .