

- ID 1273178  
 - One against all way SVM training  
 - 2014/4/3

# 1. Testing output of one against all SVM with different C(1, 10, 100).

One against all SVM accuracy, C = 1.

Fold	Linear(Train, Test)	Poly(Train, Test)	RBF(Train, Test)
(1)	0.783333 0.800000	0.975000 1.000000	0.983333 1.000000
(2)	0.850000 0.833333	0.975000 1.000000	0.983333 0.966667
(3)	0.783333 0.833333	0.983333 0.933333	0.991667 0.933333
(4)	0.783333 0.633333	0.991667 0.933333	0.991667 0.966667
(5)	0.800000 0.733333	0.966667 0.966667	0.975000 0.966667
Avg	0.800000 0.766667	0.978333 0.966667	0.985000 0.966667

One against all SVM accuracy, C = 10.

Fold	Linear(Train, Test)	Poly(Train, Test)	RBF(Train, Test)
(1)	0.850000 0.866667	0.975000 1.000000	0.991667 1.000000
(2)	0.850000 0.766667	0.983333 1.000000	0.991667 0.966667
(3)	0.825000 0.800000	0.991667 0.900000	1.000000 0.900000
(4)	0.800000 0.733333	0.991667 0.933333	1.000000 0.933333
(5)	0.808333 0.766667	0.975000 0.966667	0.991667 0.966667
Avg	0.826667 0.786667	0.983333 0.960000	0.995000 0.953333

One against all SVM accuracy, C = 100.

Fold	Linear(Train, Test)	Poly(Train, Test)	RBF(Train, Test)
(1)	0.841667 0.866667	0.983333 1.000000	1.000000 1.000000
(2)	0.858333 0.733333	0.991667 0.933333	1.000000 0.966667
(3)	0.808333 0.800000	1.000000 0.900000	1.000000 0.900000
(4)	0.808333 0.733333	1.000000 0.933333	1.000000 0.933333
(5)	0.808333 0.733333	0.983333 0.966667	1.000000 0.966667
Avg	0.825000 0.773333	0.991667 0.946667	1.000000 0.953333

## 2. Conclusion:

- 1) Poly and RBF has better classification rate than linear way.
- 2) RBF has the best training accuracy rate in general.
- 3) Different C value has affection on the training accuracy.