

Annotation Tools and Data Formats II

Data Conversion and Pipelines

Jin Zhao

Brandeis University

March 11, 2025

Today's Agenda

- ➊ Review of Label Studio basics
- ➋ Data format conversion
- ➌ Working with BIO format
- ➍ Export formats and pipelines
- ➎ Batch processing annotations
- ➏ Quality control setup
- ➐ From annotations to ML training

Common annotation formats:

- **JSON:** Flexible, human-readable, native to Label Studio
- **Standoff:** Separate from text (brat format)
- **BIO/CONLL:** Token-per-line for sequence labeling
- **CSV/TSV:** Tabular, good for classification
- **XML:** Inline annotation (less common now)

Key consideration: What format does your ML pipeline expect?

Label Studio JSON Output

Example export from Label Studio:

```
{
  "id": 1,
  "data": {"text": "Apple released the iPhone."},
  "annotations": [{
    "result": [
      {"from_name": "ner", "to_name": "text",
       "type": "labels",
       "value": {"start": 0, "end": 5, "text": "Apple",
                 "labels": ["ORG"]}},
      {"from_name": "ner", "to_name": "text",
       "type": "labels",
       "value": {"start": 18, "end": 24, "text": "iPhone",
                 "labels": ["PRODUCT"]}}
    ]
  ]
}
```

Converting JSON to BIO Format

BIO format for sequence labeling models:

Apple	B-ORG
released	O
the	O
iPhone	B-PRODUCT
.	O

Conversion requires:

- 1 Tokenize the text
- 2 Map character offsets to token indices
- 3 Assign B-/I-/O labels
- 4 Handle multi-token entities

Python Conversion Script

Basic conversion logic:

```
def convert_to_bio(text, annotations):
    tokens = text.split() # Simple tokenization
    labels = ['0'] * len(tokens)

    for ann in annotations:
        start, end = ann['start'], ann['end']
        label = ann['labels'][0]

        # Find token indices (simplified)
        # ... mapping logic ...

        labels[token_idx] = f'B-{{label}}'
        for i in range(token_idx+1, end_idx):
            labels[i] = f'I-{{label}}'
```

Critical challenge: Character offsets to tokens

Problems:

- Entity spans may not align with token boundaries
- Different tokenizers produce different results
- Subword tokenization (BERT) adds complexity

Solutions:

- 1 Tokenize before annotation (recommended)
- 2 Use character-to-token mapping
- 3 Handle partial overlap carefully
- 4 Use spaCy or NLTK for consistent tokenization

Export for Classification Tasks

Simpler than sequence labeling:

Label Studio JSON → CSV:

text	label
"Great product!"	Positive
"Terrible service."	Negative
"It was okay."	Neutral

Direct export from Label Studio UI

Or use Python to parse JSON and create DataFrame

Non-Consuming Tags Export

Document-level labels to TSV:

```
import json
import csv

with open('export.json') as f:
    data = json.load(f)

with open('output.tsv', 'w') as f:
    writer = csv.writer(f, delimiter='\t')
    writer.writerow(['text', 'label'])

    for item in data:
        text = item['data']['text']
        label = item['annotations'][0]['result'][0]
                ['value']['choices'][0]
```

Batch Processing

When you have many files:

Pipeline steps:

- ① Read all annotation files
- ② Validate format consistency
- ③ Convert to target format
- ④ Combine into single dataset
- ⑤ Split into train/dev/test

Best practices:

- Use pathlib for cross-platform paths
- Avoid hard-coded file paths
- Use argparse for script arguments
- Log processing steps

Avoiding Hard-Coded Paths

Bad practice:

```
filepath = "/Users/jin/project/data/file.txt"
```

Good practice:

```
import argparse
from pathlib import Path

parser = argparse.ArgumentParser()
parser.add_argument('--input', type=Path, required=True)
parser.add_argument('--output', type=Path, required=True)
args = parser.parse_args()

# Now use args.input and args.output
```

Benefits: Other users don't need to edit your code

Validation checks to include:

- ① **Format validation:** JSON schema, required fields
- ② **Completeness:** All items annotated?
- ③ **Consistency:** Same labels used throughout?
- ④ **Span validity:** Offsets within text bounds?
- ⑤ **Label validity:** Only expected labels used?

Automated checks:

- Raise errors on invalid data
- Log warnings for suspicious patterns
- Generate quality report

Dataset Splitting

Train/Dev/Test splits:

Typical ratios: 80/10/10 or 70/15/15

Considerations:

- **Random split:** Simple, works for most cases
- **Stratified split:** Maintain label distribution
- **Document split:** Keep documents together
- **Time split:** Train on older, test on newer

Scikit-learn:

```
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split  
train, test = train_test_split(data, test_size=0.2)
```

From Annotations to Features

Using annotations in ML models:

Classification:

- Text \rightarrow features (bag of words, embeddings)
- Label \rightarrow target variable
- Standard scikit-learn or transformers workflow

Sequence labeling:

- Tokens \rightarrow features (word embeddings, context)
- BIO labels \rightarrow target sequence
- CRF, BiLSTM-CRF, or BERT-based models

Key: Match annotation format to model input requirements

For data processing:

- pandas: DataFrames, CSV/JSON I/O
- pathlib: Cross-platform path handling
- json: JSON parsing
- argparse: Command-line arguments

For NLP:

- nltk: Tokenization, stemming, stopwords
- spacy: Industrial-strength NLP
- transformers: BERT, GPT models
- scikit-learn: ML utilities

Useful for preprocessing:

Tokenization:

- `nltk.tokenize.word_tokenize`
- `nltk.tokenize.sent_tokenize`
- `NLTKWordTokenizer` with span offsets

Text normalization:

- `nltk.stem.PorterStemmer`
- `nltk.corpus.stopwords`

Remember: Consistent preprocessing between annotation and training

Lecture 16 (Mar 16): Annotation Tools Advanced — Feature Engineering

Topics:

- Argilla for RLHF data collection
- Custom annotation interfaces
- From annotations to features
- Representation learning from annotated data

Project: Draft 2 guidelines due

Assignment: HW 2 due

Key Takeaways

- 1 **Format conversion** is essential for ML pipelines
- 2 **BIO format** is standard for sequence labeling
- 3 **Tokenization alignment** is a critical challenge
- 4 **Avoid hard-coded paths** – use argparse
- 5 **Validate data** at each pipeline stage
- 6 **Consistent preprocessing** between annotation and training

Questions?

Office Hours: Wednesdays 1-3pm, Volen 109

✉ jinzhao@brandeis.edu