## EGCO 425 - Project 1 (Association)

## This project can be done by a group of 1-3 students

## Total score = 100. Report must be done in THAI

- 1. This project uses CES data set (<a href="https://bit.ly/3b8fqvK">https://bit.ly/3b8fqvK</a>). Study data description from the included papers and files. (10 points) Write down a report. It should include at least: the source of this data set, what it is about, the number of records & attributes, attributes types & meaning, report some interesting statistics
- 2. From original data set, save records whose income <= 5 to ces\_hybrid\_lowincome.arff. Save records whose income > 25 to ces\_hybrid\_highincome.arff. For each new data set, remove attributes that represent city, monthly income, and family size (i.e. the first 23 attributes) & run Apriori on supermarket items
- $(3 \times 2 \text{ runs} = 6 \text{ points})$  Explain parameter setups for each run. Don't just use default values. Try different setups and choose appropriate ones
- $((4 \times 3) \times 2 \text{ runs} = 24 \text{ points})$  From each run, discuss 3 rules that you find interesting. The discussion should include rule interpretation & measurements (at least support, confidence, lift). The rules from both runs should be related  $\rightarrow$  e.g. identical rules with different measurements, rules with the same LHS but different RHS items, rules that are subsets/supersets of the others -- so you can compare the buying patterns between 2 groups
- 3. From original data set, save records whose members <= 2 to ces\_hybrid\_smallfamily.arff. Save records whose members >= 6 to ces\_hybrid\_bigfamily.arff. For each new data set, remove attributes that represent city, monthly income, and family size (i.e. the first 23 attributes) & run Apriori on supermarket items
- (3 x 2 runs = 6 points) Explain parameter setups for each run. Don't just use default values. Try different setups and choose appropriate ones
- $((4 \times 3) \times 2 \text{ runs} = 24 \text{ points})$  From each run, discuss 3 rules that you find interesting. The discussion should include rule interpretation & measurements (at least support, confidence, lift). The rules from both runs should be related  $\rightarrow$  e.g. identical rules with different measurements, rules with the same LHS but different RHS items, rules that are subsets/supersets of the others -- so you can compare the buying patterns between 2 groups
- 4. (15 points) Compare and summarize the buying patterns that you discover from (2) and (3)
- 5. (15 points) Others (writing, format, etc.)

## Submission: due Thursday 27 February, 18.00

- 1. Put the following files in a folder. Name the folder after the ID of one member
  - Report (in .doc or .pdf)
  - Data files in .arff (for 4 subgroups) that you use for association analysis
  - readme.txt containing names & IDs of every one in your group
- 2. Zip and submit it to <a href="mailto:rangsipan@gmail.com">rangsipan@gmail.com</a>. Put "EGCO 425 Project 1" in the email subject. In case of multiple submission, only the earliest version will be marked