

Optimal Hyper Parameters for the Machine Learning Algorithms

kNN

We used a pipeline of a Variance Threshold Selector, PCA and the kNN classifier.

Variance Threshold Selector

threshold: 0

PCA

```
pca__n_components: 57 pca whiten: True,
```

pca__svd_solver: randomized

pca__tol: 1e-07

kNN

knn__n_neighbors: 5 knn__weights: distance knn__metric: Manhattan

knn__p: 6

knn__algorithm: kd_tree knn__leaf_size: 30

Metrics Results:

Accuracy	90.81%
Precision	70.24%
Recall	93.77%
FNR	6.23%

Where FNR = 1 - Recall

MLP

We used a pipeline of a Variance Threshold Selector and the MLP classifier Variance Threshold Selector

threshold: 0

MLP

```
mlp_hidden_layer_sizes: 2500,
```

mlp__activation: relu, mlp__alpha: 0.01,

mlp__learning_rate: adaptive,

mlp__learning_rate_init: 0.1,

mlp__momentum: 0.8,

mlp__solver: sgd

Metrics Results:

Accuracy	93.79%
Precision	78.32%
FNR	4.67%

Random Forest

n_estimators: 450, criterion: gini, max_depth: 300, max_features: 0.15, min_samples_leaf: 1, min_samples_split: 2, oob_score: False, bootstrap: False,

warm_start :False

Metrics Results:

Accuracy	94.90%
Precision	82.05%
FNR	4.67%

Gradient Boosting

n estimators: 15000,

criterion: mse,


learning_rate: 0.005,

loss: deviance, max_depth: 60, max_features: 16, min_samples_leaf: 3, min_samples_split:250

subsample: 0.8, warm_start :True

Metrics Results:

Accuracy	95.77%
Precision	84.67%
FNR	3.73%



CNN

For the CNN we tried using 2 Convolutional layers followed by a MaxPooling layer. We added a BatchNormalization layer in between because it assisted with the internal covariate shift problem.

On the Fully Connected layers we added a Dropout layer to reduce the overfitting.

The image on the left shows the architecture of the CNN and the hyperparameters of each layer.

Metrics Results:

Accuracy	88.40%
Precision	63.75%
FNR	2.60%

Voting Scheme

As described in the paper Kuncheva, L.I., Rodríguez, J.J. "A weighted voting framework for classifiers ensembles". *Knowl Inf Syst* **38**, 259–275 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10115-012-0586-6, for the weighted majority combiner. This combiner is proven to be optimal on imbalanced datasets, after experimentation.

Metrics Results:

Accuracy	94.60%
Precision	80.15%
FNR	2.97%