01204211 Discrete Mathematics Lecture 5a: Mathematical Induction 4

Jittat Fakcharoenphol

July 23, 2021

Review: Mathematical Induction

Suppose that you want to prove that property P(n) is true for every natural number n.

Suppose that we can prove the following two facts:

Base case: P(1)

Inductive step: For any $k \ge 1$, $P(k) \Rightarrow P(k+1)$

The **Principle of Mathematical Induction** states that P(n) is true for every natural number n.

The assumption P(k) in the inductive step is usually referred to as the Induction Hypothesis.

Review: Strengtening the Induction Hypothesis

To prove the following theorem with induction:

Theorem 1

For any integer $n \ge 1$, $\frac{1}{1^2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n^2} < 2$.

You need to prove the following "stronger" version:

Theorem 2


For any integer $n \ge 1$, $\frac{1}{1^2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n^2} \le 2 - \frac{1}{n}$.

A Lesson learned

- ▶ Is a stronger statement easier to prove?
- ► In this case, the statement is indeed stronger, but the induction hypothesis gets stronger as well. Sometimes, this works out nicely.

L-shaped tiles $(1)^1$


A 4x4 area with a hole in the middle can be tiled with L-shaped tiles.



¹This section is from Berkeley CS70 lecture notes.

L-shaped tiles (2)

This is true for 2x2 area, 8x8 area, even 16x16 area.



This motivates us to try to prove that it is possible to use L-shaped tiles to tile a $2^n \times 2^n$ area.

Proving the fact?

Theorem 3

For integer $n \ge 1$, an area of size $2^n \times 2^n$ with one hole in the middle can be tiled with L-shaped tiles.

Proof: We prove by induction on n.

Base case: For n=1, $2^1\times 2^1$ area with a hole in the middle can be tiled.


Inductive step: Assume that for $k \geq 1$, an $2^k \times 2^k$ area with a hole in the middle can be tiled. We shall prove the statement for n=k+1, i.e., that an $2^{k+1} \times 2^{k+1}$ area with one hole in the middle can be tiled.

(cont. on the next page)

Proving the fact?


Proof: (cont.)

Let's see the Induction Hypothesis and the goal:



With the current form of the Induction Hypothesis, this is probably the way to use it. But it seems hard to go further with this approach....

Let's try a different approach



The last step seems nice, because it shows how we can solve the problem in the $2^{k+1} \times 2^{k+1}$ area with 4 problems in the $2^k \times 2^k$ areas. But do you see an issue with this approach regarding the Induction Hypothesis?

Current Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that for $k \ge 1$, an $2^k \times 2^k$ area with "a hole in the middle" can be tiled.

A Stronger Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that for $k \geq 1$, an $2^k \times 2^k$ area with one hole can be tiled.

A stronger statement

Theorem: For integer $n \ge 1$, an area of size $2^n \times 2^n$ with one hole can be tiled with L-shaped tiles.

Proof: We prove by induction on n.

Base case: For $n=1,\ 2^1\times 2^1$ area with one hole can be tiled; there are 4 cases shown below.



Inductive step: Assume that for $k\geq 1$, an $2^k\times 2^k$ area with one hole can be tiled. We shall prove the statement for n=k+1, i.e., that an $2^{k+1}\times 2^{k+1}$ area with one hole can be tiled. (Try to finish it in homework.)

Proof of the Principle of Mathematical Induction²

Theorem 4

If P(1) and for any integer $k \ge 1$, $P(k) \Rightarrow P(k+1)$, then P(n) for all natural number n.

Proof.

We prove by contradiction. Assume that P(n) is not true for some natural number n. Let m be the smallest positive integer such that P(m) is false. If m=1, we get a contradiction because we know that P(1) is true; therefore, we know that m>1.

Since m is smallest and m>1, then P(m-1) must be true. However, because for any integer $k\geq 1$, $P(k)\Rightarrow P(k+1)$, we can conclude that P(m) must be true. Again, we reach a contradiction.

Therefore, P(n) is true for every positive integer n.

Is this proof correct?

²This section is from Berkeley CS70 lecture notes.

The Well-Ordering Property

The proof of the Principle of Mathematical Induction depends on the following axiom of natural numbers \mathbb{N} :

The Well-Ordering Property: Any nonempty subset $S\subseteq\mathbb{N}$ contains the smallest element.

Previously, we use the well-ordering property of natural numbers to prove the Principle of Mathematical Induction, but it turns out that we can use the induction to prove the well-ordering property as well. Therefore, we can take one as an axiom, and use it to prove the other.