01204211 Discrete Mathematics Lecture 1: Introduction

Jittat Fakcharoenphol

June 27, 2021

This is a math class.

This is a math class. But, what is mathematics?

This is a math class. But, what is mathematics? Ah... that's a philosopical question.

This is a math class.
But, what is mathematics?
Ah... that's a philosopical question.
IMHO, mathematics is a mean to communicate *precise* ideas.

▶ Do you remember the time when you start learning English?

- ▶ Do you remember the time when you start learning English?
- ▶ There are a few things you have to learn and get used to.

- ▶ Do you remember the time when you start learning English?
- ▶ There are a few things you have to learn and get used to.
- They might not make so much sense in the beginning, but over time, you will get comfortable with how the language is used.

- ▶ Do you remember the time when you start learning English?
- ▶ There are a few things you have to learn and get used to.
- They might not make so much sense in the beginning, but over time, you will get comfortable with how the language is used.
- ▶ As your knowledge of the language gets better, everything becomes more natural. Learning a new language sometimes expands your view of the world.

- Do you remember the time when you start learning English?
- ▶ There are a few things you have to learn and get used to.
- They might not make so much sense in the beginning, but over time, you will get comfortable with how the language is used.
- ▶ As your knowledge of the language gets better, everything becomes more natural. Learning a new language sometimes expands your view of the world.
- ▶ I hope it is also true with this course.

The goals of this course

There are two goals:

▶ To learn how to make mathematical arguments.

The goals of this course

There are two goals:

- ▶ To learn how to make mathematical arguments.
- ► To learn various fundamental mathematical concepts that are very useful in computer science.

Look at this program.

```
if a > b:
    return a
else:
    return b
```

The author claims that this program takes two variables a and b and returns the larger one.

Look at this program.

```
if a > b:
    return a
else:
    return b
```

The author claims that this program takes two variables a and b and returns the larger one.

Do you believe the author of the code?

Look at this program.

```
if a > b:
    return a
else:
    return b
```

The author claims that this program takes two variables a and b and returns the larger one.

Do you believe the author of the code? Why?

Now look at this program.

```
if a > b:
    if a > c:
        return a
    else:
        return c
else:
    if c > b:
        return c
else:
        return b
```

The author claims that this program takes three variables $a,\,b$ and c and returns the largest one.

Now look at this program.

```
if a > b:
    if a > c:
        return a
    else:
        return c
else:
    if c > b:
        return c
else:
        return b
```

The author claims that this program takes three variables $a,\,b$ and c and returns the largest one.

Do you believe the author of the code?

Now look at this program.

```
if a > b:
    if a > c:
        return a
    else:
        return c
else:
    if c > b:
        return c
else:
        return b
```

The author claims that this program takes three variables $a,\,b$ and c and returns the largest one.

Do you believe the author of the code? Why?

Finally, look at this program.

```
// Input: array A with n elements: A[0],...,A[n-1]
m = 0
for i = 0, 1, ..., n-1:
   if A[i] > m:
        m = A[i]
   return m
```

The author claims that this program takes an array A with n elements and returns the maximum element.

Finally, look at this program.

```
// Input: array A with n elements: A[0],...,A[n-1]
m = 0
for i = 0, 1, ..., n-1:
   if A[i] > m:
      m = A[i]
return m
```

The author claims that this program takes an array A with n elements and returns the maximum element.

Do you believe the author of the code?

Finally, look at this program.

```
// Input: array A with n elements: A[0],...,A[n-1]
m = 0
for i = 0, 1, ..., n-1:
   if A[i] > m:
      m = A[i]
return m
```

The author claims that this program takes an array A with n elements and returns the maximum element.

Do you believe the author of the code? Why?

Finally, look at this program.

```
// Input: array A with n elements: A[0],...,A[n-1]
m = 0
for i = 0, 1, ..., n-1:
   if A[i] > m:
    m = A[i]
return m
```

The author claims that this program takes an array A with n elements and returns the maximum element.

Do you believe the author of the code? Why?

Can we try to test the code with all possible inputs?

Let's try again.

```
// Input: array A with n elements: A[0],...,A[n-1]
m = A[0]
for i = 1, 2, ..., n-1:
   if A[i] > m:
      m = A[i]
return m
```

Do you believe the author of the code?

Let's try again.

```
// Input: array A with n elements: A[0],...,A[n-1]
m = A[0]
for i = 1, 2, ..., n-1:
   if A[i] > m:
        m = A[i]
   return m
```

Do you believe the author of the code? Why?

Let's try again.

```
// Input: array A with n elements: A[0],...,A[n-1]
m = A[0]
for i = 1, 2, ..., n-1:
   if A[i] > m:
    m = A[i]
return m
```

Do you believe the author of the code? Why?

Can we try to test the code with all possible inputs?

A *prime* is a natural number greater than 1 that has no positive divisors other 1 and itself. E.g., 2,3,5,7,11 are primes.

A *prime* is a natural number greater than 1 that has no positive divisors other 1 and itself. E.g., 2,3,5,7,11 are primes.

```
Algorithm CheckPrime(n):  // Input: an integer n
  if n <= 1:
    return False
  i = 2
  while i <= n-1:
    if n is divisible by i:
       return False
    i = i + 1
  return True</pre>
```

The code above checks if n is a prime number. How fast can it run?

A *prime* is a natural number greater than 1 that has no positive divisors other 1 and itself. E.g., 2,3,5,7,11 are primes.

```
Algorithm CheckPrime(n):  // Input: an integer n
  if n <= 1:
    return False
  i = 2
  while i <= n-1:
    if n is divisible by i:
       return False
    i = i + 1
  return True</pre>
```

The code above checks if n is a prime number. How fast can it run?

Note that if n is a prime number, the for-loop repeats for n-2 times. Thus, the running time is approximately proportional to n.

A *prime* is a natural number greater than 1 that has no positive divisors other 1 and itself. E.g., 2,3,5,7,11 are primes.

```
Algorithm CheckPrime(n):  // Input: an integer n
  if n <= 1:
    return False
  i = 2
  while i <= n-1:
    if n is divisible by i:
       return False
    i = i + 1
  return True</pre>
```

The code above checks if n is a prime number. How fast can it run?

Note that if n is a prime number, the for-loop repeats for n-2 times. Thus, the running time is approximately proportional to n. Can we do better?

Consider the following code.

```
Algorithm CheckPrime2(n): // Input: an integer n
   if n <= 1:
        return False
   let s = square root of n
   i = 2
   while i <= s:
        if n is divisible by i:
            return False
        i = i + 1
   return True</pre>
```

How fast can it run?

Consider the following code.

```
Algorithm CheckPrime2(n): // Input: an integer n
   if n <= 1:
      return False
   let s = square root of n
   i = 2
   while i <= s:
      if n is divisible by i:
        return False
   i = i + 1
   return True</pre>
```

How fast can it run? Note that $s=\sqrt{n}$; therefore, it takes time approximately proportional to \sqrt{n} to run.

Consider the following code.

```
Algorithm CheckPrime2(n): // Input: an integer n
   if n <= 1:
        return False
   let s = square root of n
   i = 2
   while i <= s:
        if n is divisible by i:
            return False
        i = i + 1
   return True</pre>
```

How fast can it run? Note that $s=\sqrt{n}$; therefore, it takes time approximately proportional to \sqrt{n} to run.

Ok, it should be faster. But is it correct?

Informal arguments (1)

▶ Let's try to argue the Algorithm CheckPrime2 works correctly.

Informal arguments (1)

- ▶ Let's try to argue the Algorithm CheckPrime2 works correctly.
- Note that if n is a prime number, the algorithm answers correctly. (Why?)

Informal arguments (1)

- ▶ Let's try to argue the Algorithm CheckPrime2 works correctly.
- Note that if n is a prime number, the algorithm answers correctly. (Why?)
- Therefore, let's consider the case when n is not prime (i.e., n is a composite).

- Let's try to argue the Algorithm CheckPrime2 works correctly.
- Note that if n is a prime number, the algorithm answers correctly. (Why?)
- ► Therefore, let's consider the case when *n* is not prime (i.e., *n* is a composite).
- ▶ If that's the case, n has some positive divisor which is not 1 or n. Let's call this number a.

- Let's try to argue the Algorithm CheckPrime2 works correctly.
- Note that if n is a prime number, the algorithm answers correctly. (Why?)
- Therefore, let's consider the case when n is not prime (i.e., n is a composite).
- ▶ If that's the case, n has some positive divisor which is not 1 or n. Let's call this number a.
- Now, if $2 \le a \le \sqrt{n}$, at some point during the execution of the algorithm, i=a and i should divides n; thus the algorithm correctly returns False.

- Let's try to argue the Algorithm CheckPrime2 works correctly.
- Note that if n is a prime number, the algorithm answers correctly. (Why?)
- ► Therefore, let's consider the case when *n* is not prime (i.e., *n* is a composite).
- ▶ If that's the case, n has some positive divisor which is not 1 or n. Let's call this number a.
- Now, if $2 \le a \le \sqrt{n}$, at some point during the execution of the algorithm, i = a and i should divides n; thus the algorithm correctly returns False.
- ► Are we done?

▶ Recall that we are left with the case that (1) n is not prime and (2) its positive divisor a is larger than \sqrt{n} .

- Recall that we are left with the case that (1) n is not prime and (2) its positive divisor a is larger than \sqrt{n} .
- Let b = n/a. Since n and a are positive integers and a divides n, b is also a positive integer.

- ▶ Recall that we are left with the case that (1) n is not prime and (2) its positive divisor a is larger than \sqrt{n} .
- Let b = n/a. Since n and a are positive integers and a divides n, b is also a positive integer.
- Note that if we can argue that $2 \le b \le \sqrt{n}$, we are done. (why?)

- ▶ Recall that we are left with the case that (1) n is not prime and (2) its positive divisor a is larger than \sqrt{n} .
- Let b = n/a. Since n and a are positive integers and a divides n, b is also a positive integer.
- Note that if we can argue that $2 \le b \le \sqrt{n}$, we are done. (why?)
- ► How can we do that?

Let's take a break and look back at what we are trying to do.

Let's take a break and look back at what we are trying to do.

Original goal: To show that Algorithm CheckPrime2 is correct.

Let's take a break and look back at what we are trying to do.

Original goal: To show that Algorithm CheckPrime2 is correct.

Current (sub) goal: Consider a positive composite n and its positive divisor a, where $a>\sqrt{n}$. Let b=n/a. We want to show that $2\leq b\leq \sqrt{n}$.

Let's take a break and look back at what we are trying to do.

Original goal: To show that Algorithm CheckPrime2 is correct.

Current (sub) goal: Consider a positive composite n and its positive divisor a, where $a>\sqrt{n}$. Let b=n/a. We want to show that $2\leq b\leq \sqrt{n}$.

▶ Before we continue, I'd like to add a bit of formalism to our thinking process.

The main goal

Original goal: To show that Algorithm CheckPrime2 is correct.

The main goal

- Original goal: To show that Algorithm CheckPrime2 is correct.
- Let's focus on the statement we want to argue for:

"Algorithm CheckPrime2 is correct."

The main goal

- Original goal: To show that Algorithm CheckPrime2 is correct.
- Let's focus on the statement we want to argue for:

"Algorithm CheckPrime2 is correct."

Note that this statement can either be "true" or "false." If we can demonstrate, using logical/mathematical arguments that this statement is true, we can say that we **prove** the statement.

▶ Current (sub) goal: Consider a positive composite n and its positive divisor a, where $a > \sqrt{n}$. Let b = n/a. We want to show that $2 \le b \le \sqrt{n}$.

- ▶ **Current (sub) goal:** Consider a positive composite n and its positive divisor a, where $a > \sqrt{n}$. Let b = n/a. We want to show that $2 \le b \le \sqrt{n}$.
- Let's focus only on the statement we want to argue for:

- ▶ **Current (sub) goal:** Consider a positive composite n and its positive divisor a, where $a > \sqrt{n}$. Let b = n/a. We want to show that $2 \le b \le \sqrt{n}$.
- Let's focus only on the statement we want to argue for:

$$2 \le b \le \sqrt{n}$$
.

- ▶ **Current (sub) goal:** Consider a positive composite n and its positive divisor a, where $a > \sqrt{n}$. Let b = n/a. We want to show that $2 \le b \le \sqrt{n}$.
- Let's focus only on the statement we want to argue for:

$$2 \le b \le \sqrt{n}$$
.

▶ If we only look at this statement, it is unclear if the statement is true or false because there are variables b and n in the statement. It can be true in some case and it can be false in some case depending on the values of n and b.

- ▶ Current (sub) goal: Consider a positive composite n and its positive divisor a, where $a > \sqrt{n}$. Let b = n/a. We want to show that $2 \le b \le \sqrt{n}$.
- Let's focus only on the statement we want to argue for:

$$2 \leq b \leq \sqrt{n}.$$

- ▶ If we only look at this statement, it is unclear if the statement is true or false because there are variables b and n in the statement. It can be true in some case and it can be false in some case depending on the values of n and b.
- Are we doom?

- ▶ **Current (sub) goal:** Consider a positive composite n and its positive divisor a, where $a > \sqrt{n}$. Let b = n/a. We want to show that $2 \le b \le \sqrt{n}$.
- Let's focus only on the statement we want to argue for:

$$2 \leq b \leq \sqrt{n}.$$

- ▶ If we only look at this statement, it is unclear if the statement is true or false because there are variables b and n in the statement. It can be true in some case and it can be false in some case depending on the values of n and b.
- Are we doom? Not really. The statement above is not precisely the statement we want to prove.

The (sub) goal (second try)

- ▶ Current (sub) goal: Consider a positive composite n and its positive divisor a, where $a > \sqrt{n}$. Let b = n/a. We want to show that $2 \le b \le \sqrt{n}$.
- ▶ We can be more specific about what values of *n* and *b* that we want to consider.

The (sub) goal (second try)

- ▶ Current (sub) goal: Consider a positive composite n and its positive divisor a, where $a > \sqrt{n}$. Let b = n/a. We want to show that $2 \le b \le \sqrt{n}$.
- ▶ We can be more specific about what values of *n* and *b* that we want to consider.

Revised statement

For all positive composite integer n, and for every divisor a of n such that $\sqrt{n} < a < n$,

$$2 \le b \le \sqrt{n}$$
,

where b = n/a.

Note that this revised statement is now "quantified," that is, every variable in the statement has specific scope. Now the statement is either true or false.



Propositions¹

▶ A proposition is a statement which is either **true** or **false**.

¹This section follows the expositions in Berkeley's CS70 lecture notes > ≥ ∞ < ?

Propositions¹

- ▶ A proposition is a statement which is either **true** or **false**.
- It is our basic unit of mathematical "facts".
- **Examples**:
 - Algorithm CheckPrime2 is correct.
 - $ightharpoonup 10^2 = 90.$
 - $ightharpoonup \sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

¹This section follows the expositions in Berkeley's CS70 lecture notes.

Propositions¹

- A proposition is a statement which is either true or false.
- It is our basic unit of mathematical "facts".
- Examples:
 - Algorithm CheckPrime2 is correct.
 - $ightharpoonup 10^2 = 90.$
 - $ightharpoonup \sqrt{2}$ is irrational.
- Examples of statements which are not propositions (why?):
 - x > 10.
 - $1+2+\cdots+10.$
 - This algorithm is fast.
 - Run, run guickly.

¹This section follows the expositions in Berkeley's CS70 lecture notes.



▶ We usually use a variable to refer to a proposition. For example, we may use P to stand for "it rains" or Q to stand for "the road is wet."

- ▶ We usually use a variable to refer to a proposition. For example, we may use P to stand for "it rains" or Q to stand for "the road is wet."
- ► The truth value of a variable is the truth value of the proposition it stands for.

- ▶ We usually use a variable to refer to a proposition. For example, we may use P to stand for "it rains" or Q to stand for "the road is wet."
- ► The truth value of a variable is the truth value of the proposition it stands for.
- Many propositions can be combined to get a complex statement using logical operators.

- We usually use a variable to refer to a proposition. For example, we may use P to stand for "it rains" or Q to stand for "the road is wet."
- The truth value of a variable is the truth value of the proposition it stands for.
- Many propositions can be combined to get a complex statement using logical operators.
- ▶ For example, we can join P and Q with "and" (denoted by " \land ") and get

$$P \wedge Q$$
,

which stands for "it rains and the road is wet".

- We usually use a variable to refer to a proposition. For example, we may use P to stand for "it rains" or Q to stand for "the road is wet."
- The truth value of a variable is the truth value of the proposition it stands for.
- Many propositions can be combined to get a complex statement using logical operators.
- ▶ For example, we can join P and Q with "and" (denoted by " \land ") and get

$$P \wedge Q$$
,

which stands for "it rains and the road is wet".

An expression $P \wedge Q$ is an example of *propositional forms*. The logical value of a propositional form "usually" depends on the truth value of its variables.

Connectives: "and", "or", "not"

Given propositions P and Q, we can use connectives to form more complex propositions:

- ▶ Conjunction: $P \land Q$ ("P and Q"), (True when both P and Q are true)
- ▶ **Disjunction:** $P \lor Q$ ("P or Q"), (True when at least one of P and Q is true)
- ▶ **Negation:** $\neg P$ ("not P") (True only when P is false)

Connectives: "and", "or", "not"

Given propositions P and Q, we can use connectives to form more complex propositions:

- ▶ Conjunction: $P \land Q$ ("P and Q"), (True when both P and Q are true)
- ▶ **Disjunction:** $P \lor Q$ ("P or Q"), (True when at least one of P and Q is true)
- Negation: $\neg P$ ("not P") (True only when P is false)

If P stands for "today is Tuesday" and Q stands for "dogs are animals", then

- $ightharpoonup P \wedge Q$ stands for "today is Tuesday and dogs are animals",
- $ightharpoonup P \lor Q$ stands for "today is Tuesday or dogs are animals", and
- $ightharpoonup \neg P$ stands for "today is not Tuesday".

Truth tables

To represents values of propositional forms, we usually use truth tables.

And	/Or/	Not						
, tila ,	01/	1401						
\overline{P}	Q	$P \wedge Q$	$P \lor Q$	$\neg P$	7			
T	T	T	T	F	1			
T	$\mid F \mid$	F	T					
F	$\mid T \mid$	F	T	T				
F	$\mid F \mid$	F	F					

Quick check 1

For each of these statements, define propositional variables representing each proposition inside the statement and write the proposition form of the statement.

- ► All prime numbers are larger than 0 and all natural numbers is at least one.
- You are smart or you won't be taking this class.

Next lecture...

- We will discuss other ways to join two propositions, i.e., implications (⇒) and equivalences (⇔).
- We will look at two forms of quantifiers: universal quantifiers and existential quantifiers.