01204211 Discrete Mathematics
Lecture 9a: Finite automata[1]

Jittat Fakcharoenphol

August 29, 2024

[1] Based on lecture notes of *Models of Computation* course by Jeff Erickson.

Example: syntax highlighting

HTML tokenizer

Game programming

State-transition graphs

More examples over $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$

All strings, except 010.

Strings containing the subsequence 010.

Formal definitions

A **finite-state machine** or a **deterministic finite-state automaton** (DFA) has five components:

Formal definitions

A **finite-state machine** or a **deterministic finite-state automaton** (DFA) has five components:

- the input alphabet Σ,

Formal definitions

A **finite-state machine** or a **deterministic finite-state automaton** (DFA) has five components:

- the input alphabet Σ,
- a finite set of states Q,

Formal definitions

A **finite-state machine** or a **deterministic finite-state automaton** (DFA) has five components:

- the input alphabet Σ,
- a finite set of states Q,
- a transition function δ

Formal definitions

A **finite-state machine** or a **deterministic finite-state automaton** (DFA) has five components:

- the input alphabet Σ,
- a finite set of states Q,
- a transition function $\delta : Q \times \Sigma \longrightarrow Q$

Formal definitions

A **finite-state machine** or a **deterministic finite-state automaton** (DFA) has five components:

- the input alphabet Σ,
- a finite set of states Q,
- a transition function $\delta : Q \times \Sigma \longrightarrow Q$
- a start state $s \in Q$, and
- a subset $A \subseteq Q$ of accepting states.

Example 1



Example 2



Moves

One step move: from state q with input symbol a, the machine changes its state to

Moves

One step move: from state q with input symbol a, the machine changes its state to $\delta(q, a)$.
Extension: from state q with input string w, the machine changes its state to $\delta^*(q, w)$ defined as

Moves

One step move: from state q with input symbol a, the machine changes its state to $\delta(q, a)$.
Extension: from state q with input string w, the machine changes its state to $\delta^*(q, w)$ defined as

$$\delta^*(q, w) = \begin{cases} q & \text{if } w = \varepsilon, \\ \delta^*(\delta(q, a), x) & \text{if } w = ax. \end{cases}$$

The signature of δ^* is $Q \times \Sigma^* \longrightarrow Q$.

Acceptance

For a finite-state machine with starting state s and accepting states A, it accepts string w iff

Acceptance

For a finite-state machine with starting state s and accepting states A, it accepts string w iff

$$\delta^*(s, w) \in A.$$

Multiple of 5

Multiple of 5

```
def multiple_of_5(w):
    r = 0
    for i in w:
        r = (2*r + w) % 5
    return r == 0
```

Multiple of 5

```
def multiple_of_5(w):
    r = 0
    for i in w:
        r = (2*r + w) % 5
    return r == 0
```





0
1
2
3
4

Digital design: Implementation

Digital design: Moore and Mealy machines

In the digital design class, you will encounter finite-state machines as well. The version we consider in this class is refered to as a **Moore machine**.
In practices, there is another variant of FSM called **Mealy machines**, whose outputs depend on input symbols as well.

Digital design: Moore and Mealy machines

In the digital design class, you will encounter finite-state machines as well. The version we consider in this class is refered to as a **Moore machine**.
In practices, there is another variant of FSM called **Mealy machines**, whose outputs depend on input symbols as well.
Formally, they differ in output function.

- Moore machine: $G : Q \longrightarrow [0, 1]$
- Mealy machine: $G : Q \times \Sigma \longrightarrow [0, 1]$

Example: even number of 1's

Example: strings containing 00 as a substring

Combining DFAs

What if we want to build a DFA that accepts strings with an even number of 1's and containing 00 as a substring?

Product construction

Product construction (formally)

Given a DFA $M_1 = (\Sigma, Q_1, \delta_1, s_1, A_1)$ that accepts strings from language L_1 and $M_2 = (\Sigma, Q_2, \delta_2, s_2, A_2)$ that accepts strings from language L_2, we can construct a DFA $M = (\Sigma, Q, \delta, s, A)$ that accepts strings from $L_1 \cap L_2$ as follows:

- Let $Q = Q_1 \times Q_2$,

Product construction (formally)

Given a DFA $M_1 = (\Sigma, Q_1, \delta_1, s_1, A_1)$ that accepts strings from language L_1 and $M_2 = (\Sigma, Q_2, \delta_2, s_2, A_2)$ that accepts strings from language L_2, we can construct a DFA $M = (\Sigma, Q, \delta, s, A)$ that accepts strings from $L_1 \cap L_2$ as follows:

- Let $Q = Q_1 \times Q_2$,
- Let $\delta : (Q_1 \times Q_2) \times \Sigma \longrightarrow (Q_1 \times Q_2)$ be such that

Product construction (formally)

Given a DFA $M_1 = (\Sigma, Q_1, \delta_1, s_1, A_1)$ that accepts strings from language L_1 and $M_2 = (\Sigma, Q_2, \delta_2, s_2, A_2)$ that accepts strings from language L_2, we can construct a DFA $M = (\Sigma, Q, \delta, s, A)$ that accepts strings from $L_1 \cap L_2$ as follows:

- Let $Q = Q_1 \times Q_2$,
- Let $\delta : (Q_1 \times Q_2) \times \Sigma \longrightarrow (Q_1 \times Q_2)$ be such that

$$\delta((q_1, q_2), a) = (\delta_1(q_1, a), \delta_2(q_2, a)),$$

Product construction (formally)

Given a DFA $M_1 = (\Sigma, Q_1, \delta_1, s_1, A_1)$ that accepts strings from language L_1 and $M_2 = (\Sigma, Q_2, \delta_2, s_2, A_2)$ that accepts strings from language L_2, we can construct a DFA $M = (\Sigma, Q, \delta, s, A)$ that accepts strings from $L_1 \cap L_2$ as follows:

- Let $Q = Q_1 \times Q_2$,
- Let $\delta : (Q_1 \times Q_2) \times \Sigma \longrightarrow (Q_1 \times Q_2)$ be such that

$$\delta((q_1, q_2), a) = (\delta_1(q_1, a), \delta_2(q_2, a)),$$

- Let $s = (s_1, s_2)$, and

Product construction (formally)

Given a DFA $M_1 = (\Sigma, Q_1, \delta_1, s_1, A_1)$ that accepts strings from language L_1 and $M_2 = (\Sigma, Q_2, \delta_2, s_2, A_2)$ that accepts strings from language L_2, we can construct a DFA $M = (\Sigma, Q, \delta, s, A)$ that accepts strings from $L_1 \cap L_2$ as follows:

- Let $Q = Q_1 \times Q_2$,
- Let $\delta : (Q_1 \times Q_2) \times \Sigma \longrightarrow (Q_1 \times Q_2)$ be such that

$$\delta((q_1, q_2), a) = (\delta_1(q_1, a), \delta_2(q_2, a)),$$

- Let $s = (s_1, s_2)$, and
- Let $A = A_1 \times A_2$.

Recall the definition of $\delta^*(q, w)$, i.e., Recall the definition of $\delta^*(q,w)$, i.e.,

$$\delta^*(q,w) = \begin{cases} q & \text{if } w = \varepsilon, \\ \delta^*(\delta(q,a),x) & \text{if } w = ax \text{ where } a \in \Sigma \end{cases}$$

Recall the definition of $\delta^*(q, w)$, i.e.,

$$\delta^*(q, w) = \begin{cases} q & \text{if } w = \varepsilon, \\ \delta^*(\delta(q, a), x) & \text{if } w = ax \text{ where } a \in \Sigma \end{cases}$$

Lemma 1

$\delta^*((q_1, q_2), w) = (\delta_1^*(q_1, w), \delta_2^*(q_2, w))$ *for any string* w.

Proof.

We prove by induction. I.H.: Assume that $\delta^*((q_1, q_2), x) = (\delta_1^*(q_1, x), \delta_2^*(q_2, x))$, for any string x such that $|x| < |w|$.

$\square$

Correctness

From the previous lemma, we have that

$$\delta^*(s, w) \quad = \quad \delta^*((s_1, s_2), w)$$

Correctness

From the previous lemma, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^*(s,w) &= \delta^*((s_1,s_2),w) \\ &= (\delta_1^*(s_1,w),\delta_2^*(s_2,w))\end{aligned}$$

Correctness

From the previous lemma, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^*(s, w) &= \delta^*((s_1, s_2), w) \\ &= (\delta_1^*(s_1, w), \delta_2^*(s_2, w))\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for an input w, M would reach the state $(\delta_1^*(s_1, w), \delta_2^*(s_2, w))$; it accepts w when

$$(\delta_1^*(s_1, w), \delta_2^*(s_2, w)) \in A_1 \times A_2.$$

This implies that M accepts w when $\delta_1^*(s_1, w) \in A_1$ and $\delta_2^*(s_2, w) \in A_2$, i.e., M accepts w iff M_1 and M_2 accept w.
Finally, we conclude that M accepts strings from language $L_1 \cap L_2$.

Language of a DFA

$L(M)$

For a DFA M, let $L(M)$ be the set of all strings that M accepts. More formally, for $M = (\Sigma, Q, \delta, s, A)$,

$$L(M) = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid \delta^*(s, w) \in A\}.$$

We refer to $L(M)$ as the language of M.

Closure

Lemma 2

If L_1 and L_2 are languages of some DFAs M_1 and M_2, we have that

- *there is a DFA M that accepts $L_1 \cap L_2$,*

Closure

Lemma 2

If L_1 and L_2 are languages of some DFAs M_1 and M_2, we have that

- *there is a DFA M that accepts $L_1 \cap L_2$,*
- *there is a DFA M that accepts $L_1 \cup L_2$,*

Closure

Lemma 2

If L_1 and L_2 are languages of some DFAs M_1 and M_2, we have that

- *there is a DFA M that accepts $L_1 \cap L_2$,*
- *there is a DFA M that accepts $L_1 \cup L_2$,*
- *there is a DFA M that accepts $L_1 \setminus L_2$,*

Closure

Lemma 2

If L_1 and L_2 are languages of some DFAs M_1 and M_2, we have that

- *there is a DFA M that accepts $L_1 \cap L_2$,*
- *there is a DFA M that accepts $L_1 \cup L_2$,*
- *there is a DFA M that accepts $L_1 \setminus L_2$,*
- *there is a DFA M that accepts $\Sigma^* \setminus L_1$,*

Automatic languages[2]

Definition (for now)

A language L is **"automatic"** if there is a DFA M such that $L(M) = L$.

[2] Taken directly from Erickson's lecture notes

Automatic languages[2]

Definition (for now)

A language L is **"automatic"** if there is a DFA M such that $L(M) = L$.

Lemma 3

If L_1 and L_2 are automatic languages over alphabet Σ, then

- $L_1 \cap L_2$,

[2] Taken directly from Erickson's lecture notes

Automatic languages[2]

Definition (for now)

A language L is **"automatic"** if there is a DFA M such that $L(M) = L$.

Lemma 3

If L_1 and L_2 are automatic languages over alphabet Σ, then

- $L_1 \cap L_2$,
- $L_1 \cup L_2$,

[2] Taken directly from Erickson's lecture notes

Automatic languages[2]

Definition (for now)

A language L is **"automatic"** if there is a DFA M such that $L(M) = L$.

Lemma 3

If L_1 and L_2 are automatic languages over alphabet Σ, then

- $L_1 \cap L_2$,
- $L_1 \cup L_2$,
- $L_1 \setminus L_2$, *and*

[2] Taken directly from Erickson's lecture notes

Automatic languages[2]

Definition (for now)

A language L is **"automatic"** if there is a DFA M such that $L(M) = L$.

Lemma 3

If L_1 and L_2 are automatic languages over alphabet Σ, then

- $L_1 \cap L_2$,
- $L_1 \cup L_2$,
- $L_1 \setminus L_2$, *and*
- $\Sigma^* \setminus L_1$,

are also automatic.

[2] Taken directly from Erickson's lecture notes

Automatic languages[2]

Definition (for now)

A language L is **"automatic"** if there is a DFA M such that $L(M) = L$.

Lemma 3

If L_1 and L_2 are automatic languages over alphabet Σ, then

- $L_1 \cap L_2$,
- $L_1 \cup L_2$,
- $L_1 \setminus L_2$, *and*
- $\Sigma^* \setminus L_1$,

are also automatic.

The set of automatic languages is closed under these boolean operations.

[2] Taken directly from Erickson's lecture notes