01204211 Discrete Mathematics Lecture 9a: Fermat's Little Theorem

Jittat Fakcharoenphol

October 4, 2022

Quick recap

For any integer \boldsymbol{x} and \boldsymbol{y} , there exist a pair of integers \boldsymbol{a} and \boldsymbol{b} such that

$$a \cdot x + b \cdot y = gcd(x, y).$$

How to find a and b? Use the extended GCD algorithm.

Finding a and b: Extended Euclid Algorithm

We will modify the Euclid algorithm so that it also returns a and b together with $\gcd(x,y)$.

```
Algorithm Euclid(x,y):
  if x \mod y == 0:
    return y, 0, 1
  else:
    g, a', b' = Euclid(y, x mod y)
    a = b'
    b = a' - b'*floor(x / y)
    return g, a, b
```

Recap: Congruences

Definition (congruences)

For an integer m>0, if integers a and b are such that

$$a \mod m = b \mod m$$
,

we write

$$a \equiv b \pmod{m}$$
.

We also have that

$$a \equiv b \pmod{m} \Leftrightarrow m|(a-b)$$

Recap: Multiplicative inverse modulo m

Definition

The multiplicative inverse modulo m of $a, \, {\rm denoted}$ by $a^{-1},$ is an integer such that

$$a \cdot a^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$
.

Theorem 1

An integer a has a multiplicative inverse modulo m iff $\gcd(a,m)=1$.

How to test if an integer n is prime

- ▶ Try to find factors of n. (Takes time \sqrt{n})
- ▶ If there is a property that holds iff *n* is prime, we can check that property. If we can check that quickly, we can test if *n* is prime.
- ▶ If there is a property that holds if *n* is prime, how can we make use of that property?

Theorem 2 (Fermat's Little Theorem)

If p is prime and a is an integer such that gcd(a,p)=1, $a^{p-1}\equiv 1\pmod{p}.$

How can we use Fermat's Little Theorem to check if integer n is prime?

Fermat test

```
Algorithm CheckPrime(n):
   pick integer a from 2,...,n-1

if gcd(a,n) != 1:
    return False

if power(a,n-1,n) != 1:
   return False
else:
   return True
```

How good is the Fermat test?

When you call CheckPrime(n):

- ▶ If *n* is prime, CheckPrime always return True.
- ▶ If *n* is composite, you want CheckPrime to return False, but Fermat's Little Theorem does not guarantee that.

Fermat test - when n is composite

```
Algorithm CheckPrime(n):
    pick integer a from 2,...,n-1

if gcd(a,n) != 1:
    return False

if power(a,n-1,n) != 1:
    return False
else:
    return True
```

If n is composite, the algorithm returns False when

- ightharpoonup gcd(a,n)
 eq 1, i.e., when you pick a with common factor with n.
- ▶ $a^{n-1} \mod n \neq 1$, i.e., when you find a that violates the property. We want to be in this case. How likely?

Proof of Fermat's Little Thm: Idea

Let p = 7 and a = 5. Consider set

$$B = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, p-1\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$$

Also consider set

$$C = \{1 \cdot 5 \mod 7, \ 2 \cdot 5 \mod 7, \ 3 \cdot 5 \mod 7, \dots, 6 \cdot 5 \mod 7\},\$$

which is

$$C = \{5, 3, 1, 6, 4, 2\} = B.$$

Is this coincidental? No. (We will prove that. But can you quickly tell why.) Since B=C, the following terms are equal:

$$\left(\prod_{i \in P} i\right) \bmod 7 = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \bmod 7,$$

and

$$(\prod_{i \in C} i) \bmod 7 = 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 1 \cdot 6 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 \bmod 7 = (1a) \cdot (2a) \cdot (3a) \cdot (4a) \cdot (5a) \cdot (6a) \bmod 7 = (1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6) \cdot a^6 \bmod 7.$$

Proof of Fermat's Little Thm.

Recall that gcd(a,p)=1, i.e., there exists a multiplicative inverse a^{-1} of a modulo p. This implies that for $i\not\equiv j\pmod p$, $ai\not\equiv aj\pmod p$. Also note that $a\cdot 0\equiv 0\pmod p$.

Let $B = \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$. Let

$$C = \{a \cdot i \bmod p | i \in B\}.$$

Since for different $i,j\in B$, we have different $ai \bmod p, aj \bmod p$, we know that |C|=p-1. Also, $C\subseteq B$ because $0\le ai \bmod p\le p-1$ and $0\not\in C$. Thus, we can conclude that C=B. Since B=C, we have that $\prod_{i\in B} i\equiv \prod_{i\in C} i\pmod p$, i.e.

$$1 \cdot 2 \cdots (p-1) \equiv (a1) \cdot (a2) \cdot (a3) \cdots (a(p-1)) \pmod{p}$$
$$\equiv (1 \cdot 2 \cdots (p-1)) \cdot a^{p-1} \pmod{p}.$$

Since each of 1, 2, ..., p-1 has an inverse modulo p, we can multiply both sides with $1^{-1}, 2^{-1}, ..., (p-1)^{-1}$ to obtain

$$1 \equiv a^{p-1} \pmod{p},$$

as required.

Exercise

Prove that for any integer a and prime p,

$$a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$$
.

How good is the Fermat test when n is composite?

To answer correctly, we want a to be such that $\gcd(a,n) \neq 1$ or

$$a^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$
.

We only consider the case where gcd(a,n)=1 because when $gcd(a,n)\neq 1$, the test works perfectly.

We refer to $a \in \{1, 2 \dots, p-1\}$ such that gcd(a, n) = 1 and $a^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod n$ as a **witness**. The other element b such that $b^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod n$ is called a **non-witness**.

How likely that we randomly choose an element and get a witness?

Number of witnesses

Suppose that there exists a witness a; we know that $a^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. How can we find other witnesses? Consider a non-witness b such that $b^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$.

Carmichael Number

A **Carmicheal number** is a composite number n where

$$b^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n},$$

for every b which are relatively primve to n.

Carmicheal numbers are rare. The smallest is $561=3\cdot 11\cdot 17.$ The next ones are 1105,1729, and 2465. There are 20,138,200 Carmicheal numbers between 1 and $10^{21}.$

So, if we ignore Carmicheal numbers, the Fermat test is very good. There are other probabilistic tests (e.g, Miller-Rabin test) that uses other properties that works for all numbers and there are deterministic algorithms for testing primes.

Lemma 3

If n is not a Carmicheal number, the Fermat test returns that n is a composite with probability at least 1/2.

Note that if you repeat the test for k times, the probability that it gives the wrong answer is at most $1/2^k$.

Running time

```
Algorithm CheckPrime(n):
    pick integer a from 2,...,n-1

if gcd(a,n) != 1:
    return False

if power(a,n-1,n) != 1:
    return False
else:
    return True
```

Special case of Euler's theorem

Theorem 4 (Euler's theorem)

If p and q are different primes, for a such that $\gcd(a,pq)=1$, we have

$$a^{(p-1)(q-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{pq}.$$

Is this useful? Yes! In the RSA algorithm.

RSA

- Private key: (e, n), Public key: (d, n)
- ► Encryption $E(m) = m^e \mod n$, Decryption: $D(w) = w^d \mod n$.
- ▶ Goal: Select e, d, n such that $D(E(m)) = m^{ed} \mod n = m$.
- Pick two primes p and q. Let n = pq.
- Pick e (usually a small number)
- Pick d such that $d=e^{-1}\pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}$, i.e., $ed\equiv 1\pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}$, or $ed=k\cdot (p-1)(q-1)+1$, for some integer k.
- ▶ What is $m^{ed} \mod n$?

$$m^{ed} \equiv m^{k(p-1)(q-1)+1} \pmod{n}$$
$$\equiv (m^{(p-1)(q-1)})^k \cdot m \pmod{n}$$
$$\equiv 1^k \cdot m \pmod{n}$$
$$\equiv m \pmod{n}$$

What is the requirement for m? gcd(m, n) = 1, otherwise you can use the message to factor n.