

Assignment 8: Time Series Analysis

Student Name

Spring 2023

OVERVIEW

This exercise accompanies the lessons in Environmental Data Analytics on generalized linear models.

Directions

1. Rename this file `<FirstLast>_A08_TimeSeries.Rmd` (replacing `<FirstLast>` with your first and last name).
2. Change “Student Name” on line 3 (above) with your name.
3. Work through the steps, **creating code and output** that fulfill each instruction.
4. Be sure to **answer the questions** in this assignment document.
5. When you have completed the assignment, **Knit** the text and code into a single PDF file.

Set up

1. Set up your session:
 - Check your working directory
 - Load the tidyverse, lubridate, zoo, and trend packages
 - Set your ggplot theme

```
#1
getwd()

## [1] "C:/Users/wwwla/Documents/EDA-Spring2023"

library(tidyverse)
library(lubridate)
library(zoo)
library(trend)

mytheme <- theme_classic(base_size = 16) +
  theme(axis.text = element_text(color = "black"),
        legend.position = "top")
theme_set(mytheme)
```

2. Import the ten datasets from the `Ozone_TimeSeries` folder in the Raw data folder. These contain ozone concentrations at Garinger High School in North Carolina from 2010-2019 (the EPA air database only allows downloads for one year at a time). Import these either individually or in bulk and then combine them into a single dataframe named `GaringerOzone` of 3589 observation and 20 variables.

```

#2
#the warning "Error in file(file, "rt") : cannot open the connection" shows
#when setting list.files("~/EDA-Spring2023/Data/Raw/Ozone_TimeSeries/", pattern
# = "*.csv")), so setwd for the list then set back.

setwd("C:/Users/wwwla/Documents/EDA-Spring2023/Data/Raw/Ozone_TimeSeries/")
file_list <- list.files(pattern = "*.csv")
GaringerOzone <- data.frame()

for (file in file_list) {
  df_temp <- read.csv(file)
  GaringerOzone <- rbind(GaringerOzone, df_temp)
}

setwd("C:/Users/wwwla/Documents/EDA-Spring2023")

```

Wrangle

3. Set your date column as a date class.
4. Wrangle your dataset so that it only contains the columns Date, Daily.Max.8.hour.Ozone.Concentration, and DAILY_AQI_VALUE.
5. Notice there are a few days in each year that are missing ozone concentrations. We want to generate a daily dataset, so we will need to fill in any missing days with NA. Create a new data frame that contains a sequence of dates from 2010-01-01 to 2019-12-31 (hint: `as.data.frame(seq())`). Call this new data frame Days. Rename the column name in Days to “Date”.
6. Use a `left_join` to combine the data frames. Specify the correct order of data frames within this function so that the final dimensions are 3652 rows and 3 columns. Call your combined data frame GaringerOzone.

```

#3
GaringerOzone$Date <- as.Date(GaringerOzone$Date, format = "%m/%d/%Y")
#4
GaringerOzone.processed <- GaringerOzone %>%
  select(Date, Daily.Max.8.hour.Ozone.Concentration, DAILY_AQI_VALUE)
#5
Days <- as.data.frame(seq(as.Date("2010-01-01"),
                          as.Date("2019-12-31"),
                          by = "day"))
colnames(Days)[1] <- "Date"
#6
GaringerOzone <- left_join(Days, GaringerOzone.processed, by = "Date")

```


Visualize

7. Create a line plot depicting ozone concentrations over time. In this case, we will plot actual concentrations in ppm, not AQI values. Format your axes accordingly. Add a smoothed line showing any linear trend of your data. Does your plot suggest a trend in ozone concentration over time?

```
#7
ggplot(GaringerOzone, aes(x = Date, y = Daily.Max.8.hour.Ozone.Concentration)) +
  geom_line()+
  geom_smooth(method = "lm", se = FALSE)

## 'geom_smooth()' using formula = 'y ~ x'

## Warning: Removed 63 rows containing non-finite values ('stat_smooth()').
```



Answer: yes, the plot suggests a seasonal variation of the data, and generally trend to decrease over time.

Time Series Analysis

Study question: Have ozone concentrations changed over the 2010s at this station?

8. Use a linear interpolation to fill in missing daily data for ozone concentration. Why didn't we use a piecewise constant or spline interpolation?

```
#8
GaringerOzone_clean <-
  GaringerOzone %>%
  mutate( ozone_clean = zoo::na.approx(Daily.Max.8.hour.Ozone.Concentration) )
```

Answer: because it is simpler and more computationally efficient, and the trend is not very complex and we haven't computed the trend function.

9. Create a new data frame called `GaringerOzone.monthly` that contains aggregated data: mean ozone concentrations for each month. In your pipe, you will need to first add columns for year and month to form the groupings. In a separate line of code, create a new `Date` column with each month-year combination being set as the first day of the month (this is for graphing purposes only)

```
#9
GaringerOzone.monthly <- GaringerOzone_clean %>%
  mutate(month = month(Date), year = year(Date)) %>%
  group_by(year, month) %>%
  summarise(mean.ozone = mean(ozone_clean)) %>%
  mutate(Date = my(paste0(month, "-", year)))
```

```
## 'summarise()' has grouped output by 'year'. You can override using the
## '.groups' argument.
```


10. Generate two time series objects. Name the first `GaringerOzone.daily.ts` and base it on the dataframe of daily observations. Name the second `GaringerOzone.monthly.ts` and base it on the monthly average ozone values. Be sure that each specifies the correct start and end dates and the frequency of the time series.

```
#10
GaringerOzone.daily.ts <- ts(GaringerOzone_clean$ozone_clean,
                             start = c(year(GaringerOzone_clean$Date[1]),
                                         month(GaringerOzone_clean$Date[1]),
                                         day(GaringerOzone_clean$Date[1])),
                             frequency = 365)


f_month <- month(first(GaringerOzone.monthly$Date))
f_year <- year(first(GaringerOzone.monthly$Date))
GaringerOzone.monthly.ts <- ts(GaringerOzone.monthly$mean.ozone,
                              start = c(f_year, f_month),
                              frequency = 12)
```

11. Decompose the daily and the monthly time series objects and plot the components using the `plot()` function.

```
#11
GaringerOzone.daily.decomp <- stl(GaringerOzone.daily.ts, s.window = "periodic")
plot(GaringerOzone.daily.decomp)
```



```
GaringerOzone.monthly.decomp <- stl(GaringerOzone.monthly.ts,
                                     s.window = "periodic")
plot(GaringerOzone.monthly.decomp)
```



12. Run a monotonic trend analysis for the monthly Ozone series. In this case the seasonal Mann-Kendall is most appropriate; why is this?

#12

```
GaringerOzone.trend <- Kendall::SeasonalMannKendall(GaringerOzone.daily.ts)
GaringerOzone.trend
```

```
## tau = -0.0456, 2-sided pvalue =0.00051075
```

```
summary(GaringerOzone.trend)
```


```
## Score = -739 , Var(Score) = 45223.67
## denominator = 16213.86
## tau = -0.0456, 2-sided pvalue =0.00051075
```

Answer: because the plots indicate a seasonal trend, thus seasonal Mann-Kendall is most appropriate

13. Create a plot depicting mean monthly ozone concentrations over time, with both a `geom_point` and a `geom_line` layer. Edit your axis labels accordingly.

```
#13
ggplot(GaringerOzone.monthly, aes(x = Date, y = mean.ozone)) +
  geom_point() +
  geom_line() +
  ylab("Monthly Average Ozone") +
  geom_smooth( method = lm )
```

```
## 'geom_smooth()' using formula = 'y ~ x'
```




14. To accompany your graph, summarize your results in context of the research question. Include output from the statistical test in parentheses at the end of your sentence. Feel free to use multiple sentences in your interpretation.

Answer: the test results indicated a weak(-0.0456) but significant ($p < 0.001$) decreasing trend in ozone concentration over time.

15. Subtract the seasonal component from the `GaringerOzone.monthly.ts`. Hint: Look at how we extracted the series components for the `EnoDischarge` on the lesson Rmd file.
16. Run the Mann Kendall test on the non-seasonal Ozone monthly series. Compare the results with the ones obtained with the Seasonal Mann Kendall on the complete series.

#15

```
GaringerOzone.monthly.nonseason_Components <- GaringerOzone.monthly.decomp$time.series[,2:3]  
plot(GaringerOzone.monthly.nonseason_Components)
```



#16

```
GaringerOzone.nonseason.trend <- Kendall::MannKendall(GaringerOzone.monthly.ts)  
GaringerOzone.nonseason.trend
```

```
## tau = -0.0594, 2-sided pvalue =0.33732
```

```
summary(GaringerOzone.nonseason.trend)
```

```
## Score = -424 , Var(Score) = 194364.7  
## denominator = 7139  
## tau = -0.0594, 2-sided pvalue =0.33732
```

```
GaringerOzone.season.trend <- Kendall::SeasonalMannKendall(GaringerOzone.monthly.ts)  
GaringerOzone.season.trend
```

```
## tau = -0.143, 2-sided pvalue =0.046724
```



```
summary(GaringerOzone.season.trend)
```

```
## Score = -77 , Var(Score) = 1499  
## denominator = 539.4972  
## tau = -0.143, 2-sided pvalue =0.046724
```

Answer: the nonseasonal MannKendall test indicates a weak and not significant decreasing trend, but seasonal MannKendall test indicates a weak but significant ($p < 0.05$) decreasing trend.