## I. ROBUST PCA WITH KNOWN RANK: A BLOCK COORDINATE DESCENT APPROACH

### A. Equivalence formulation of Robust PCA with rank information

We first shows that in the robust PCA framework, the same probability guarantee will still hold when extra information is given. Then we derive a block-coordinate descent formulation for the case when rank information is known.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $M = L_0 + S_0$ ,  $rank(L_0) \le r$  satisfy the Robust PCA assumptions. Then with high probability, the following problems are equivalent:

$$J_{1} = \min_{L,S} ||L||_{*} + \lambda ||S||_{1}$$
s.t.  $M = L + S$  (1)

$$J_{2} = \min_{L,S} ||L||_{*} + \lambda ||S||_{1}$$

$$s.t. \quad M = L + S$$

$$and T(L,S) \text{ holds}$$

$$(2)$$

where T(L, S) are conditions on (L, S) that  $(L_0, S_0)$  also satisfies.

*Proof:* We use subscript to denote the optimizer for  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  respectively. With high probability,  $(L_1, S_1) = (L_0, S_0)$ . Now, over the event of  $(L_1, S_1) = (L_0, S_0)$ ,  $(L_1, S_1)$  is also a feasible for (2). Since  $J_1 \leq J_2$  always, now  $(L_1, S_1)$  achieve the bound for (2). Note that it should also be unique because it would contradict with the recovery of (1).

Now we apply Proposition (1) to the case when the rank information is known and derive a block coordinate descent framework for future algorithm design.

$$J_{3} = \min_{\substack{M=L+S, rank(L) \leq r \\ min \\ L, S, \mu_{i}, p_{i}q_{i}}} ||L||_{*} + \lambda ||S||_{1}$$

$$= \min_{\substack{L,S,\mu_{i},p_{i}q_{i} \\ ||L||_{*} + \lambda}} ||L||_{*} + \lambda ||S||_{1}$$

$$s.t. \quad S = M - L, L = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \mu_{i}p_{i}q_{i}^{T}$$

$$||p_{i}||_{2} = 1, ||q_{i}||_{2} = 1, \mu_{i} \geq 0$$

$$= \min_{\substack{\mu_{i},p_{i}q_{i} \\ \mu_{i},p_{i}q_{i}}} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \mu_{i} + \lambda ||M - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \mu_{i}p_{i}q_{i}^{T}||_{1}$$

$$s.t. \quad ||p_{i}||_{2} = 1, ||q_{i}||_{2} = 1, \mu_{i} \geq 0$$

$$= \min_{\substack{\mu_{i},p_{i}q_{i} \\ \mu_{i},p_{i}q_{i}}} \sum_{i=1}^{r} |\mu_{i} - 0| + \lambda ||M - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \mu_{i}p_{i}q_{i}^{T}||_{1}$$

$$s.t. \quad ||p_{i}||_{2} = 1, ||q_{i}||_{2} = 1, \mu_{i} \geq 0$$

$$(3)$$

Note that this formulation allows us to optimize over  $\mu_i$ ,  $p_iq_i$  sequentially and for each step of optimization, the problem is a weighted median problem where efficient algorithm is known. And by the Proposition (1), we know that the formulation of (3) can recover the original  $(L_0, S_0)$  with high probability.

## B. Simplification using $L_1$ heuristic

1) Introduction: Recall that the nuclear norm introduced in the PCP scheme is resulted because we would like to extract the low rank component from gross random noise. Nuclear norm is used because it is a heuristic for penalizing high rank matrix. Now, we consider the case when we have extra information/guess from the data set that we know precisely what the rank of the matrix are. Therefore, it is natural to introduce the following heuristics.

$$E^* = \min_{\{p_j\}, 1 \le j \le r} ||M - \sum_{j=1}^r p_j q_j^T||_1$$
 (4)

2) Performance guarantee for the simplified version: Regarding this, we provide some performance guarantee for the case when the noise is bounded. One is a result for deterministic case and the other is for the random case.

**Proposition 2.** Let  $M = S + \sum_{i=1}^r p_i q_i^T$ ,  $\frac{2}{\epsilon} ||S||_1 \le ||\sum_{i=1}^r p_i q_i^T||_1$ , then, for the recovered  $(\hat{L}, \hat{S})$  from (4), it will satisfy

$$\frac{||\sum_{i=1}^r p_i q_i^T - \hat{L}||_1}{||\sum_{i=1}^r p_i q_i^T||_1} \leq \epsilon$$

Proof: Assume not, then

$$||S||_{1} \geq ||\sum_{i=1}^{r} p_{i} q_{i}^{T} + S - \hat{L}||_{1}$$

$$\geq ||\sum_{i=1}^{r} p_{i} q_{i}^{T} - \hat{L}||_{1} - ||S||_{1}$$

$$> \epsilon ||\sum_{i=1}^{r} p_{i} q_{i}^{T}||_{1} - ||S||_{1}$$

This gives a contradition, which is,

$$\frac{2}{\epsilon}||S||_1 > ||\sum_{i=1}^r p_i q_i^T||$$

**Proposition 3.** Let  $M = \sum_{i=1}^r p_i q_i^T + S$ , where  $S_{i,j} \sim Uniform(-x_s, x_s)$ ,  $(p_i)_j \sim Uniform(-x_p, x_p)$ ,  $(q_i)_j \sim Uniform(-x_q, x_q)$  all of the random variables are independent. With |S| = k such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{k^2}{n}$ , then we have,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\frac{||\sum_{i=1}^{r} p_i q_i^T - \hat{L}||_1}{||\sum_{i=1}^{r} p_i q_i^T||_1} > \epsilon\right) = 0$$

*Proof:* Let E be the error event that  $\frac{||\sum_{i=1}^r p_i q_i^T - \hat{L}||_1}{||\sum_{i=1}^r p_i q_i^T||_1} > \epsilon$ . If error occurs,

$$kx_{s} \geq ||\sum_{i=1}^{r} p_{i}q_{i}^{T} + S - \hat{L}||_{1}$$

$$\geq ||\sum_{i=1}^{r} p_{i}q_{i}^{T} - \hat{L}||_{1} - ||S||_{1}$$

$$\geq \epsilon||\sum_{i=1}^{r} p_{i}q_{i}^{T}||_{1} - kx_{s}$$

$$\geq \epsilon \sqrt{\sum_{l_{1}l_{2}} (\sum_{i=1}^{r} (p_{i})_{l_{1}} (q_{i})_{l_{2}})^{2} - k_{s}}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} & Pr(E) \\ & \leq & Pr((\frac{2kx_s}{\epsilon})^2 \geq \sum_{l_1 l_2} (\sum_{i=1}^r (p_i)_{l_1} (q_i)_{l_2})^2) \\ & \leq & Pr((\frac{2kx_s}{\epsilon})^2 \geq \sum_{l_1=1}^n (\sum_{i=1}^r (p_i)_{l_1} (q_i)_{l_1})^2) \\ & = & Pr(\frac{1}{n} (\frac{2kx_s}{\epsilon})^2 \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l_1=1}^n (\sum_{i=1}^r (p_i)_{l_1} (q_i)_{l_1})^2) \end{split}$$

Moreover, as  $E(\sum_{i=1}^r (p_i)_{l_1}(q_i)_{l_1})^2 = \frac{r}{3}x_p^2x_q^2$ , by law of large number,  $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{l_1=1}^n (\sum_{i=1}^r (p_i)_{l_1}(q_i)_{l_1})^2) \rightarrow \frac{r}{3}x_p^2x_q^2$ . Thus, since  $\frac{1}{n}(\frac{2kx_s}{\epsilon})^2 \rightarrow 0$ . This gives  $Pr(E) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

### C. Algorithms derivation

Note that the form for both (3) and (4) are similar. Indeed, one can generalize the method for r=1 in (4) to higher dimensions for both (3) and (4). Therefore, we restrict our discussion to r=1 in (4) for the following discussion.

Let  $M = (a_{i,j}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ . We now employ the block-coordinate descent approach to solve this problem. Note that

$$\min_{p} ||M - pq^{T}||_{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \min_{t} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_{i,j} - tq_{j}| \right) 
= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \min_{t} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} |q_{j}||t - \frac{a_{i,j}}{q_{j}}| \right)$$
(5)

$$\min_{q} ||M - pq^{T}||_{1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \min_{t} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} |a_{i,j} - tp_{i}| \right) 
= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \min_{t} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} |p_{i}||t - \frac{a_{i,j}}{p_{i}}| \right)$$
(6)

And for solving the subproblem of finding

$$\min_{t} \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} c_i |t - d_i|$$

where  $c_i \ge 0$  is basically finding the weighted median and can be done by the following method with complexity  $O(k_0 \log k_0)$ mostly on sorting the sequence. We call it WMH.

# **Algorithm 1** WMH $(k_0, \vec{c}, \vec{d})$

- 1) We first sort  $\vec{d}$  s.t.  $d_{i_1} \leq d_{i_2} \leq ... \leq d_{i_k}$
- 2) We then find k' s.t.

$$\sum_{\theta=1}^{k'-1} c_{i_{\theta}} \leq \sum_{\theta=k'}^{k_{0}} c_{i_{\theta}}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k'} c_{i_{\theta}} \geq \sum_{i=k'+1}^{k_{0}} c_{i_{\theta}}$$

3) We then set  $t^*$  to be  $d_{i,j}$ 

This algorithm is optimal in finding t. This is justified by using the property of sub-differential of  $||\cdot||_1$  and note that  $0 \in \partial(\sum_{k=1}^{\bar{k_0}} c_i | t^* - d_i |).$ 

Now we are ready to state the power iteration method to solve the optimization rank-1 optimization problem. We call it Poweriteration.

### **Algorithm 2** Poweriteration(M)

Repeat

- 1)  $p_i \leftarrow wmh(n, abs(q), M(i,:)./q)$  for each i
- 2)  $p \leftarrow \frac{p}{max(p)}$ 3)  $q_j \leftarrow wmh(n, abs(p), M(:, j)./p)$  for each j

Until stopping criterion is met

## D. Sensitivity to $\lambda$

Note that in the robust PCA framework, the parameter  $\lambda$  is explicit stated as  $\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}}$  and when the  $\lambda$  is too large or too small, it would significantly affect the recovery. However, in the case that the rank information is explicitly stated. The effect of  $\lambda$ is different. As we have seen in previous section, when the value of  $\lambda$  is large, it correspond to the  $L_1$  heuristic and there is some guarantee on recovery. Therefore, it is natural to ask if we can have very small  $\lambda$  and have the robust PCA framework to hold. In particular, we specialize to the rank 1 case, and it turns out that it cannot be done, as demonstrated as follows.

Recall that if we directly apply robust PCA, we will get,

$$\min_{\substack{M=L+S, rank(L) \leq 1 \\ min \\ S=M-pq^T, L=pq^T \\ }} ||L||_* + \lambda ||S||_1$$

$$= \min_{\substack{S=M-pq^T, L=pq^T \\ S=M-pq^T, L=pq^T \\ }} ||pq^T||_* + \lambda ||M-pq^T||_1$$

$$= \min_{\substack{p,q:||p||_2=1 \\ p,q:||p||_2=1 \\ }} ||q||_2 + \lambda ||M-pq^T||_1$$

$$= \min_{\substack{p,q:||p||_2=1 \\ p:||p||_2=1 \\ }} ||q||_2 + \lambda ||M-pq^T||_1$$

$$= \min_{\substack{p:||p||_2=1 \\ p:||p||_2=1 \\ }} \min_{\substack{q}} ||q||_2 + \lambda ||M-pq^T||_1$$

Now, for every fixed p, we consider the subproblem, if we directly apply the Robust PCA with  $\lambda \leq \frac{1}{n}$ ,

$$\min_{q} ||q||_{2} + \lambda ||M - pq^{T}||_{1}$$

$$= \min_{q} \max_{||u||_{2} \le 1, ||V||_{\infty \le 1}} u^{T}q + \lambda Tr(V^{T}(M - pq^{T}))$$

$$= \max_{||u||_{2} \le 1, ||V||_{\infty \le 1}} \min_{q} u^{T}q + \lambda Tr(V^{T}(M - pq^{T}))$$

$$= \max_{||u||_{2} \le 1, ||V||_{\infty \le 1}, u = \lambda V^{T}p} Tr(V^{T}M)$$

$$= \max_{||\lambda V^{T}p||_{2} \le 1, ||V||_{\infty \le 1}} Tr(V^{T}M)$$

$$= \max_{||V^{T}p||_{2} \le n, ||V||_{\infty \le 1}} Tr(V^{T}M)$$

Now note that, since  $||p||_2 \le 1$ , we have  $||V^T p||_2 \le \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(V^T V)} = \sqrt{Tr(V^T V)} \le \sqrt{n^2||V||_\infty}$ . Thus, the optimal value is

$$\min_{\substack{p,q:||p||_2=1\\primes p:||p||_2=1}} ||pq^T||_* + \lambda ||M - pq^T||_1$$

$$= \min_{\substack{p:||p||_2=1\\primes p:||p||_2=1}} \max_{\substack{||V||_\infty \leq 1\\primes p:||p||_2=1}} Tr(V^T M)$$

$$= \min_{\substack{p:||p||_2=1\\primes p:||p||_2=1}} ||M||_1$$

$$= ||M||_1$$

And it is achieved by  $pq^T = 0$  matrix, which deviates from what we expect to recover.

### E. Simulation

1) Comparison between Robust PCA and  $L_1$  heuristics: We simulate the Robust PCA scheme and  $L_1$  heuristics using the power method. Note that in using the power iteration method for Robust PCA, we would not update  $\mu$  if the value of that iteration is 0 because this will make the algorithm to converge to the wrong value(as observed from simulation, this happens quite frequently so this conditioning is needed).

In the simulation, we use randomly generated the entries of p and q as N(0,1) iid. And then we randomly generate sparse matrix with sparse support uniformly distributed across the nXn matrix. And each sparse entry has a value with distribution of N(0,1). We then plot the graph of different degree of sparsity and the corresponding effectiveness of the optimization heuristic in extracting the original  $pq^T$ . The result is as follows.

