

IMPERIAL COLLEGE LONDON DEPARTMENT OF
COMPUTING

Pedro Kostelec

CELL TRACKING METHODS

LITERATURE SURVEY

Supervisor: Ben Glocker

London, 2014

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Cell tracking methods	5
2.1	Cell detection	5
2.1.1	Cell segmentation using the Watershed technique	5
2.1.2	Cell segmentation using level sets	6
2.1.3	Cell detection by model learning	7
2.1.4	Cell detection by image restoration	8
2.2	Mitosis detection	8
2.3	Cell tracking	8
2.4	Conclusion	8
3	Work plan	9
	Bibliography	11

Chapter 1

Introduction

This document is report describing the background research that has been completed in preparation for the work on the project entitled “Automatic Cell Tracking and Categorisation for Microscopic Image Analysis”.

Quantitative analysis of cell populations using time-lapse microscopy is important for understanding cell behaviour. This analysis requires tracking a large number of cells in often low quality image sequences of varying contrast. Often then most effective way to do this is to manually annotate each cell in every frame of the sequence. However, this is slow and tedious, thus limiting the amount of data that we are able to analyse. Several computer vision algorithms have been developed to reduce the amount of manual work required for the analysis of large time-lapse sequences. Ideally, we would like to develop a general algorithm that is able to track any type of cells in image sequences, regardless of the imaging technique used to capture them. However, the current state-of-the-art in computer vision is unable to perform this task accurately and automatically. For this reason, several algorithms have been developed to handle specific cases, relying on heuristics to improve their performance.

The main emphasis of this project is to track leukocytes in low quality image sequences acquired with fluorescent reporter technology and light microscopy. Additionally, the behaviour of these cells will be quantified to allow for further studies of leukocytes.

This is a joint project with Dr. Leo Carlin from the Leukocyte Biology Section at the National Heart and Lung Institute (NHLI) and is supervised by Dr. Ben Glocker.

The remaining of the report is divided into two chapters. In the Chapter 2 summarize the essential material related to the subject of the project. In the Chapter 3 we present an informative work plan that will guide the development

of the software.

Chapter 2

Cell tracking methods

This chapter is an overview of the background research that has been completed in preparation for the work on the project. The chapter is divided into four sections. Section 2.1 describes methods to perform cell detection on images or sequences of cell images. Section 2.2 describes the importance of mitosis detection and algorithms that perform it. Section 2.3 presents methods used to track cells in a sequence of images. Finally, in Section 2.4 we describe which methods seem most promising and discuss reasons why the use of automated cell tracking methods is not as widespread as we would be led to believe, given the wide range of research that has been done on the subject.

2.1 Cell detection

TODO: overview of types

- watershed - improved watershed + merging over fragmented cells - level sets - fast level sets - image restoration, then thresholding - active contours - morphological rolling-ball filtering and bayesian classifier - adaptive thresholding, filtering heuristics - detection with extremal regions

2.1.1 Cell segmentation using the Watershed technique

A basic cell detection method relies in binarizing an image to separate the background from the cells, followed by a segmentation step to extract the cells. [1] approach is as follows:

1. Apply a spatial adaptive filter to the image to minimize the effect of noise.

2. Locate the pixels with minimum intensities in a small sliding window.
3. For each minimum point we proceed to the progressive flooding of its neighbouring points
4. Post-processing step to discard false regions.

A more modern, yet similar, approach would perform binarization with Otsu's method [2], followed by some morphological operations [3] to fill holes and eliminate patches that are too small to correspond to cells, and finally the Watershed algorithm [4] to segment the binary image into individual cells. The disadvantage of this method is that the number of pixels belonging to either cells or background should be approximately the same, and that the signal-to-noise ratio is low.

Chen et al [5] have used an improved Watershed algorithm [6] to separate cell nuclei after using Otsu's thresholding method to segment nuclei from the background. Additionally they developed a nuclei-fragment merging method based on the shapes and sizes of the nuclei to deal with the problem of over-segmentation caused by the Watershed algorithm.

2.1.2 Cell segmentation using level sets

Another interesting technique to segment cells is a contour evolution method that makes use of the general appearance of cells to segment them using level sets. Mukherjee [7] makes the observation that leukocyte shapes and nearly circular cells and that at least a significant part of the border of the cell, the intensity profile is different from the cell cytoplasm and from the background. Using this observation, identification of a leukocyte is formulated as a minimization of an energy function incorporating image gradient and intensity homogeneity within the closed contour encompassing the cell. The benefit of this method is that it can be adjusted to perform well in images with significant clutter and poor contrast by increasing the importance of the homogeneity, or for images with good contrast, where the gradient magnitude term is given more importance. The disadvantage of this method is that cells cannot overlap, which is obtained by adding an additional term to the energy function. The energy function can be minimized with the gradient descent method.

To reduce the solution space for the energy function, only the boundaries of connected components within the image-levels sets. Only the connected components satisfying the size and shape constraints of the cells are extracted. The remaining components are eliminated using area morphology operations. This

level-set analysis provides a more efficient solution that is linear in the number of intensity levels in the image in contrast to the much higher complexity of a curve evolution method.

The level set method is contour evolution approach which has good results in segmentation. Tang et al [8] have successfully level-sets combined with local grey thresholding [9] for neuron stem cells images which have been obtained by confocal microscopy.

2.1.3 Cell detection by model learning

The previous methods perform efficiently in cells with sufficiently good contrast. In images where the cell borders are unclear, images are of varying intensity, cell density is high, or cells can be of different shapes, these methods would not perform as well. In such cases machine learning methods can perform better by learning a model of a cell based on a large number of annotated examples.

Arteta et al [10] [11], propose an algorithm that uses a highly-efficient MSER region detector [12] to find a broad number of candidate regions that are then scored depending on the similarity to the cell type of interest by a machine learning algorithm .

The authors organized the extremal regions into trees, so that each tree corresponds to a set of overlapping extremal regions. The non-overlapping regions which achieve high scores can then be selected using dynamic programming of the trees. Two learning strategies are tested: a binary classifier using Support Vector Machines (SVM) and structured learning (structured SVM [13]) which is able to take into account the non-overlap constraint, and achieves better performance.

The feature vector for each regions is composed of several concatenated histograms: a histogram of intensities within the region, two histograms of differences in intensities between the region border and a dilation of it for two different dilation radii, a shape descriptor and the area of the region. The downside of this approach is that extracting the feature vector from the image is slow, especially because it needs to be extracted for each image in the sequence.

The advantage of this approach is the tolerance to changes in image intensities, cell densities and sizes. The major downside is the non-overlap constraint. Fortunately the authors have also developed an algorithm to detect partially overlapping cells [11].

The idea is to learn to detect overlapping cells, and the number of cells in

the region. The algorithm starts by generating a set of nested regions. Each region is then scored using a set of classifiers that evaluate the similarity of the region to each of the possible classes, where each class corresponds to the number of cells that the region contains. An inference procedure then selects the non-overlapping subset of regions, and assigns each a class label indicating the number of cells that the model believes lie in the region.

2.1.4 Cell detection by image restoration

Another approach is to use an image restoration technique followed by thresholding [14] [15]. Bise et al [14] apply this technique on phase-contrast microscopy images . The technique utilizes the optophysical principle of image formation by phase-contrast microscope to transform the image into an artefact free image by minimizing a regularized quadratic cost function. After this, a simple image thresholding technique can be used for segmentation.

2.2 Mitosis detection

2.3 Cell tracking

2.4 Conclusion

Chapter 3

Work plan

In order to complete the project in due time, a work plan is outlined to guide the development of the software.

The first phase of the project was background literature research and an overview of the learned methods and techniques is outlined in the previous chapter.

Developing methods to accurately track cells in image sequences is highly data dependent. Before deciding which algorithms we will use in the final project, we will need to review a broad set of sample images in order to analyse their quality. Unfortunately, few have been available to date, and for this reason a broader aspect of methods has been studied than was required. Sample data will also permit further specialization of the study area, which means that more relevant papers will be studied. Dr. Leo Carlin has recently made available a sample image sequence, which has allowed us to estimate the image quality of expected image sequences. He will also send us more data when it is available.

The following is a rough outline of the work plan. This is a preliminary plan, which will be adjusted as work progresses.

May Focus will be on developing cell detection algorithms. For this purpose a basic annotation program may also be written, which should ease annotating image sequences by clicking on cells.

June A basic tracking system that will track cells over time. Additionally, a module to compute interesting statistics of cell tracks will be developed.

July Improving the cell detection and tracking algorithms.

August Writing a GUI for the software, testing and writing the final report.

The software will be written in MATLAB.

Bibliography

- [1] Y. Chen, K. Biddell, A. Sun, P. Relue, and J. Johnson, “An automatic cell counting method for optical images,” in *[Engineering in Medicine and Biology, 1999. 21st Annual Conference and the 1999 Annual Fall Meeting of the Biomedical Engineering Society] BMES/EMBS Conference, 1999. Proceedings of the First Joint*, vol. 2, pp. 819 vol.2–, Oct 1999. 5
- [2] N. Otsu, “A threshold selection method from gray-level histograms,” *Systems, Man and Cybernetics, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 9, pp. 62–66, Jan 1979. 6
- [3] J. Serra, *Image Analysis and Mathematical Morphology*. Orlando, FL, USA: Academic Press, Inc., 1983. 6
- [4] S. Beucher and C. Lantuéjoul, “Use of watersheds in contour detection.” workshop published, Sept. 1979. 6
- [5] X. Chen, X. Zhou, and S.-C. Wong, “Automated segmentation, classification, and tracking of cancer cell nuclei in time-lapse microscopy,” *Biomedical Engineering, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 53, pp. 762–766, April 2006. 6
- [6] L. Vincent, “Morphological grayscale reconstruction in image analysis: applications and efficient algorithms,” *Image Processing, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 2, pp. 176–201, Apr 1993. 6
- [7] D. Mukherjee, N. Ray, and S. Acton, “Level set analysis for leukocyte detection and tracking,” *Image Processing, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 13, pp. 562–572, April 2004. 6
- [8] C. Tang, Y. Wang, and Y. Cui, “Tracking of active cells based on kalman filter in time lapse of image sequences of neuron stem cells.” 7

- [9] D. Xu and L. Ma., “Segmentation of image sequences of neuron stem cells based on level-set algorithm combined with local gray threshold,” Master’s thesis, Harbin Engineering University, 2010. 7
- [10] C. Arteta, V. Lempitsky, J. A. Noble, and A. Zisserman, “Learning to detect cells using non-overlapping extremal regions,” in *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention - Volume Part I, MICCAI’12*, (Berlin, Heidelberg), pp. 348–356, Springer-Verlag, 2012. 7
- [11] C. Arteta, V. S. Lempitsky, J. A. Noble, and A. Zisserman, “Learning to detect partially overlapping instances,” in *CVPR*, pp. 3230–3237, IEEE, 2013. 7
- [12] J. Matas, O. Chum, M. Urban, and T. Pajdla, “Robust wide baseline stereo from maximally stable extremal regions,” in *Proceedings of the British Machine Vision Conference*, pp. 36.1–36.10, BMVA Press, 2002. doi:10.5244/C.16.36. 7
- [13] T. Joachims, T. Finley, and C.-N. J. Yu, “Cutting-plane training of structural svms,” *Mach. Learn.*, vol. 77, pp. 27–59, Oct. 2009. 7
- [14] R. Bise, T. Kanade, Z. Yin, and S. il Huh, “Automatic cell tracking applied to analysis of cell migration in wound healing assay,” in *Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, EMBC, 2011 Annual International Conference of the IEEE*, pp. 6174–6179, Aug 2011. 8
- [15] S. Huh, *Toward an Automated System for the Analysis of Cell Behavior: Cellular Event Detection and Cell Tracking in Time-lapse Live Cell Microscopy*. PhD thesis, Robotics Institute, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, March 2013. 8