



Feedback for RRMP Research Presentation [Formative]

Tutor completing formative feedback: Karen Outram	Student number: Jonathan Callaghan
Details of any extensions etc:	Submission Attempt: Formative

Thank you very much for submitting your formative Research presentation proposal on time.

Just with regard to academic conventions, you have used the correct font and size, but do just ensure that you have double line spacing between lines as well as distinct spacing between paragraphs for any academic writing that you engage with. Also ensure in your actual summative that you cite regularly throughout the presentation, power point and in your transcript.

The formative is laid out to a very academically professional standard generally, with all sections clearly outlined and easy to navigate visually. Good to see an initial reference list, and also that you took advantage of the opportunity in unit 6, to speak with a supervisor for your Capstone project and that this supervisor is now confirmed. This shows excellent time planning, looking forward to the next module.


It would have been beneficial to put the title of your intended study at the top of your formative document. This enables tutors/anyone looking at your academic work from the beginning to understand what the study focus is, [noted you have applied the title further down the page].

Looking at your 'Scope' section: ensure that when you complete this summative, that the discussion is focused. And so pick a thread in the topic that you really want to deep-research and keep a deep research focus on the discussion for the summative. As discussed generally throughout this module, too many tangents in a subject weaken a summative and so do bear this in mind as you only have 15 minutes to discuss your research and presentation.

Good to see clear definition of limitations in the formative, as well as threats and risks posed in the context of your research.

Your research methods reflect ways forward for a Capstone project, [for example: Mixed method research and surveys]. *To note as this appears to look forward to the Capstone, primary research is appropriate for that module, and it is fine to outline this as an 'intention' in this current summative. Just to remind that for this summative only existing literature can be engaged with, [secondary research].

Artefact section is focused, and this is the approach to take for this current summative; a focused examination of a particular aspect of the discussion, due to the limited time-line to present the summative.



I look forward to your summative submission, in the meantime please reflect on all of the above and the very best of luck for the summative itself.

With best wishes

Karen
