




## Feedback for RRMP Literature Review Summative

Tutor completing summative feedback: Karen Outram	Student number: 296355
Details of any extensions etc:	Submission Attempt: First submission Second submission

Criteria and weighting	Level	Comments:
<b>Knowledge and Understanding weighted 25%,</b> Are the major points identified? Are the details presented clearly?	Outstanding	Outstanding intrinsic theoretical knowledge of the topics under discussion. This is a very focused project which has a very focused analytical methodology towards research. Should you choose to take this forward towards your capstone project, there are effective opportunities for primary research to develop and gain new knowledge. This is something you reflect on yourself through your presentation discussion.
<b>Criticality weighted at 25%,</b> Is there a critical discussion/analysis of your methodology/design?	Excellent	Excellent criticality. There is a discussion around limitations of research. Further there is an excellent understanding of ways forward with the topic. There is an excellent understanding of critical academic structure and the requirements to underpin the project should you choose to move this forward into future summative. Developing critical academic voice in your writing style, and so please continue to take this approach for future summative.
<b>Structure and Presentation weighted at 10%:</b> Is the presentation well organised?	Outstanding	Outstanding structure and presentation with the PowerPoint being logically focused and well thought through. The discussion mirrors the PowerPoint presentation. Content is clear and easy to navigate. There is clear time planning regarding this part of the summative.
<b>Presentation and Communication Skills weighted at 30%:</b> Is the presentation clearly introduced and concluded? Is the presentation well-paced and timed? Is the information presented clearly and concisely? Is the presentation style lively, fluent and engaging?	Excellent	Generally excellent presentation skills. Towards the beginning of the presentation the discussion feels a little hurried and there could have been more time spent on annunciation in certain parts of the discussion to make the presentation slightly clearer. However the presentation has pace is generally clearly presented and is focused. There is a clear introduction to the topics and the intentions of the summative. The presentation style generally flows well, I would just invest a little more time in rehearsing the presentation to ensure all the content is evenly paced.



<b>Use of relevant sources weighted at 5%:</b> Are the major points identified? Are the details presented clearly? <b>Use of relevant sources weighted at 5%:</b> Is there a critical discussion/analysis of your methodology/design?	Excellent	Excellent use of relevant resources to underpin the discussion overall. There is a diverse mix of research evidence in the summative itself. Regular citation occurs and there is written citation on the PowerPoint presentation itself which is relevant. The transcript mirrors the discussion that occurs through the presentation itself which again is good practise. There is critical discussion occurring in the content and the context of the topics. Generally excellent research overall.
Overall		
<b>Positives:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Some outstanding outcomes with mostly excellent outcomes</li><li>• An excellent outcome overall</li><li>• A very focused topic which could be effective for the capstone project</li></ul>		
<b>Points for development:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Slow your delivery down in certain areas of the presentation for future summative</li><li>• Continue to develop your research if you choose to take this topic forward</li></ul>		