

# A Mini-Course on Optimization and Dynamics

## From Euclidean Gradient Descent to Wasserstein Gradient Flow

Jia-Jie Zhu

Weierstrass Institute for Applied Analysis and Stochastics, Berlin

August 15, 2023

# Euclidean gradient descent

Optimization in  $\mathbb{R}^d$

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(x).$$

We optimize using the *gradient descent* algorithm

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \tau_k \cdot \nabla f(x_k)$$

using “variational principle”

$$x_{k+1} \in \operatorname{argmin}_x f(x_k) + \langle \nabla f(x_k), x - x_k \rangle + \frac{1}{2\tau_k} \|x - x_k\|_2^2$$

## From GD to Mirror descent

We also define the Bregman divergence associated with  $\phi$  as

$$D_{\phi}(x, y) = \phi(x) - \phi(y) - \nabla\phi(y)^{\top}(x - y).$$

Mirror descent update:

$$x_{k+1} = \operatorname{argmin}_x f(x_k) + \langle \nabla f(x_k), x - x_k \rangle + \frac{1}{\tau_k} D_{\phi}(x, x_k)$$

## Example of MD: Euclidean norm

Mirror map  $\phi(x) = \frac{1}{2}\|x\|_2^2$ .

MD:

$$x_{k+1} = \operatorname{argmin}_x f(x_k) + \langle \nabla f(x_k), x - x_k \rangle + \frac{1}{2\tau_k} \|x - x_k\|_2^2.$$

This is equivalent to GD with stepsize  $\tau_k$ .

## Example of MD: negative entropy

Mirror map  $\phi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^d x(i) \log x(i)$ . The resulting Bregman divergence is the KL-divergence  $D_\phi(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^d x(i) \log \frac{x(i)}{y(i)}$ .

If we restrict  $x$  to a (discrete probability) simplex  $1^\top x = 1$ , then the MD update

$$x_{k+1} = \operatorname{argmin}_{1^\top x=1} f(x_k) + \langle \nabla f(x_k), x - x_k \rangle + \frac{1}{\tau_k} D_\phi(x, x_k)$$

has the closed-form as *exponentiated gradient*

$$x(i)_{k+1} = \frac{x(i)_k e^{-\tau_k g_k}}{\sum_{j=1}^n x(j)_k e^{-\tau_k g_k}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

## Euclidean gradient descent as discretization of ODE gradient flow

$$x^{k+1} \in \operatorname{argmin}_x \langle \nabla f(x^k), x \rangle_{\mathbb{E}^d} + \frac{1}{2\tau} \|x - x^k\|^2$$

is the explicit Euler scheme for the ODE

$$\dot{x}(t) = -\nabla f(x(t)).$$

The solution  $x(t)$  is an ODE gradient flow and the ODE is the gradient flow equation (GFE). In GF terms, the solution  $x(t)$  is also called a **curve of maximal slope** (steepest descent).

## Gradient flow dynamics: (nonlinear) ODE

$$\dot{x}(t) = -\nabla f(x(t))$$

$\dot{x}(t) \in X$  provides the **rate (or velocity)** (we can see)

$-\nabla f(x(t)) \in X^*$  provides the **(thermodynamic) force** (can't see; shadow price)

The equation should be written in the **force-balance** form

$$\mathbb{I}_R \dot{x}(t) = -\nabla f(x(t)) \in X^*, \quad \mathbb{I}_R : X \rightarrow X^* \text{ is the Riesz isomorphism.}$$

If, in the non-Euclidean setting,  $X \not\cong X^*$ , then we have both force space and rate space GFE.

## Energy dissipation balance (equality)

**Fenchel-Young** (proof is trivial;  $\frac{a^2+b^2}{2} \geq ab$ )

$$\psi(x) + \psi^*(\xi) \geq \langle x, \xi \rangle, \forall (x, \xi) \in X \times X^*.$$

Furthermore, if  $\psi$  is proper, lsc, and convex,  $(x^*, \xi^*)$  is optimal.

By **Fenchel(-Young) duality and optimality**

$$\frac{d}{dt} f(x(t)) =_{x^*} \langle \nabla f(x(t)), \dot{x} \rangle_X = -\|\nabla f(x(t))\|^2 = -\left(\frac{1}{2}\|\dot{x}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla f(x)\|^2\right)$$

Energy does not necessarily decrease along non-solutions, i.e., only inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} f(z(t)) \geq -\left(\frac{1}{2}\|\dot{z}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla f(z(t))\|^2\right).$$



## Evolutionary variational inequality (EVI) $_{\lambda}$ : ODE

Suppose the energy functional  $f$  is proper, upper semicontinuous,  $\lambda$ -convex for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , i.e., either convex or concave,  $\forall s \in [0, 1], \forall u_0, u_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$f((1-s)u_0 + su_1) \leq (1-s)f(u_0) + sf(u_1) - \frac{\lambda}{2}s(1-s)\|u_0 - u_1\|^2.$$

and has compact sublevel sets. Then for any initial condition in the  $x(0) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , there exists a unique solution at time  $t$ ,  $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

Furthermore, the ODE solution  $x(t)$  satisfies (EVI) $_{\lambda}$ , for  $t, s \in [0, T]$ .

$$\frac{1}{2}\|x(t) - \nu\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}e^{-\lambda(t-s)}\|x(s) - \nu\|^2 + M_{\lambda}(t-s)(f(\nu) - f(x(t))),$$
$$M_{\lambda}(\tau) = \int_0^{\tau} e^{-\lambda(\tau-s)} ds, \quad \forall \nu \in \text{dom}(F) \subset \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Using (EVI) $_{\lambda}$ , we can effortlessly extract convergence results. Suppose a minimizer of the energy exists  $x^* \in \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(x)$ , we set  $\nu = x^*, s = 0$  in (EVI) $_{\lambda}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x(t) - x^*\|^2 &\leq e^{-\lambda t}\|x(0) - x^*\|^2 + 2M_{\lambda}(t-s) \left( \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(x) - f(x(t)) \right) \\ &\leq e^{-\lambda t}\|x(0) - x^*\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

# Optimization over probability measures

$$\inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}} F(\mu)$$

- ▶  $\mathcal{P}$ : set of probability measures; the probability “simplex”
- ▶ We will work with two types of (probability) measures

$$d\mu(x) = \rho(x) \, dx, \quad \mu = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i \delta_{x_i} \quad \alpha \in \Delta$$

- ▶  $M^+ \supseteq \mathcal{P}$ : non-negative measures; “cone”
- ▶  $F$ : objective function; “energy”

# Optimization over probability measures

What can't we just do gradient descent?

$$\mu^{k+1} = \mu^k - \tau_k \cdot \nabla F(\mu^k)$$

- ▶  $\nabla F(\mu^k)$  is undefined
- ▶  $\mu^{k+1}$  must be a probability measure; care needs to be taken
- ▶ What can we do instead?

## A variational approach

Recall the “variational” formulation of gradient descent

$$x^{k+1} \in \operatorname{argmin}_x \langle \nabla f(x^k), x \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} + \frac{1}{2\tau} \|x - x^k\|^2 \iff x_{k+1} = x_k - \tau \cdot \nabla f(x_k)$$

for a suitable  $\tau$ . This is the variational principle.

Can we do the same for probability measures?

$$\mu^{k+1} \in \operatorname{arginf}_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}} F(\mu) + \frac{1}{\tau} \mathcal{D}^2(\mu, \mu^k)$$

for some “distance” measure  $\mathcal{D}$ . This is sometimes called the *Minimizing Movement Scheme* (MMS).

# Variational approach and MMS

$$\mu^{k+1} \in \operatorname{arginf}_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}} F(\mu) + \frac{1}{\tau} \mathcal{D}(\mu, \mu^k)$$

We must specify the important ingredients

*Energy* :  $F$

*Geometry* :  $\mathcal{D}$

The merit of the right gradient flow formulation of a dissipative evolution equation is that it separates energetics and kinetics: The **energetics** endow the state space with a **functional**, the **kinetics** endow the state space with a (Riemannian) **geometry** via the metric tensor. [Otto 2001]

## Geometry: Wasserstein distance

**Definition.** The  $p$ -Wasserstein distance\*\* between probability measures  $P, Q$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  (with  $p$ -th finite moments,  $p \geq 1$ ) is defined through the following Kantorovich problem

$$W_p^p(P, Q) := \inf \left\{ \int |x - y|^p d\Pi(x, y) \mid \pi_{\#}^{(1)} \Pi = P, \pi_{\#}^{(2)} \Pi = Q \right\}$$

### Dual Kantorovich problem

$$W_p^p(P, Q) = \sup \left\{ \int \psi_1(x) dP(x) + \int \psi_2(y) dQ(y) \mid \psi_1(x) + \psi_2(y) \leq |x - y|^p \right\}$$

Dynamic formulation: **Benamou–Brenier**

$$W_2^2(P, Q) = \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 \int |v_t|^2 d\mu_t dt \mid \mu_0 = P, \mu_1 = Q, \frac{d}{dt} \mu_t + \operatorname{div}(v_t \mu_t) = 0 \right\}$$

Entropy regularization (Sinkhorn divergence)

$$\inf_{\Pi} \int c(x, y) d\Pi(x, y) + \lambda D_{\phi}(\Pi \| P \otimes Q)$$

## Geometry: (Csizsar) $\phi$ -divergence

Relative entropy is defined as

$$D_{\phi}(\mu|\nu) = \begin{cases} \int \phi\left(\frac{d\mu}{d\nu}\right) d\nu & \text{if } \mu \ll \nu \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We can choose the  $\phi$  functions from the following table to obtain: identity (trivial), Kullback, Hellinger,  $\chi^2$

Table 1: Entropy functions, their corresponding reverse entropy, and convex conjugates

Entropy $f$	$f^*$	Reverse entropy $r$	$r^*$
$f_{\text{Id}}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t = 1 \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$	$f_{\text{Id}}^* = \text{Id}$	$r_{\text{Id}}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t = 1 \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$	$r_{\text{Id}}^* = \text{Id}$
$f_{\text{KL}}(t) = t \log t - t + 1$	$f_{\text{KL}}^*(s) = e^s - 1$	$r_{\text{KL}}(t) = t - 1 - \log t$	$r_{\text{KL}}^*(s) = -\log(1 - s)$
$f_{\text{H}}(t) = (\sqrt{t} - 1)^2$	$f_{\text{H}}^*(s) = s/(1 - s)$	$r_{\text{H}}(t) = (\sqrt{t} - 1)^2$	$r_{\text{H}}^*(s) = s/(1 - s)$
$f_{\chi^2}(t) = (t - 1)^2$	$f_{\chi^2}^*(s) = s^2/4 + s$	$r_{\chi^2}(t) = (t - 1)^2/t$	$r_{\chi^2}^*(s) = 2 - \sqrt{1 - s}$

(table: J. Zhu)

## Preliminary: first variation over measures

The **first variation of a functional**  $F$  **at**  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$  is defined as a **function**  $\frac{\delta F}{\delta \mu}[\mu]$

$$\frac{d}{d\epsilon} F(\mu + \epsilon \cdot \nu)|_{\epsilon=0} = \int \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mu}[\mu](x) \, d\nu(x)$$

for any perturbation in measure  $\nu$  such that  $\mu + \epsilon \cdot \nu \in \mathcal{P}$ .

The **variational principle**

$$\frac{d}{d\epsilon} F(\mu + \epsilon \cdot \nu)|_{\epsilon=0} = 0$$

for all variation  $\nu$ , states the “optimality condition”.



## Three types of energy functionals

Suppose  $\mu(x) = \rho(x) \, dx$  WLOG,

$$\mathcal{F}(\varrho) = \int f(\varrho(x)) dx, \quad \mathcal{V}(\varrho) = \int V(x) d\varrho, \quad \mathcal{W}(\varrho) = \frac{1}{2} \iint W(x-y) d\varrho(x) d\varrho(y)$$

We calculate the first variations (by following the definition)

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \varrho}(\varrho) = f'(\varrho), \quad \frac{\delta \mathcal{V}}{\delta \varrho}(\varrho) = V, \quad \frac{\delta \mathcal{W}}{\delta \varrho}(\varrho) = W * \varrho$$

# Back to (discrete-time) gradient flow

Optimization

$$\inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}} F(\mu)$$

Recall MMS

$$\mu^{k+1} \in \operatorname{arginf}_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}} F(\mu) + \frac{1}{\tau} \mathcal{D}(\mu, \mu^k)$$

We have specified the important ingredients

$$\text{Energy} : F, \quad \text{Geometry} : \mathcal{D}$$

We can construct a concrete instance of MMS for gradient flow by “mix-and-match”.

# Wasserstein-MMS: Jordan-Kinderlehrer-Otto (JKO) scheme

a.k.a. Minimizing Movement Scheme (MMS):

$$\mu^{k+1} \in \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}} F(\mu) + \frac{1}{2\tau} W_2^2(\mu, \mu^k)$$

This formulation is very general in the sense that it includes **nonlinear-in-measure**  $F$ .  
We should think of this as the *gradient descent algorithm for prob. measures*.

## Otto's Gradient flow equation in the Wasserstein space

$$\mu^{k+1} \in \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}} F(\mu) + \frac{1}{2\tau} W_2^2(\mu, \mu^k)$$

Continuous-time limit  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ , we have (non-trivially) the **gradient flow equation** (GFE)

$$\partial_t \mu - \nabla \cdot (\mu \nabla \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mu}[\mu]) = 0$$

which describes the dissipation of energy  $F$  in  $(\text{Prob}(\bar{X}), W_2)$ . [Otto et al 90s-2000s, Ambrosio 2005]

In a different flavor, we can write it just like ODE  $\dot{x} = -\nabla f(x)$  (in the **rate** form; primal vs. dual force-balance)

$$\partial_t \mu = -\mathbb{K}_{\text{Otto}}(\mu) DF = \nabla \cdot (\mu \nabla DF).$$

## Example: WGF of (Boltzmann/KL/relative) Entropy

**nonlinear (in measure) energy** (e.g., in variational inference)

$$F(\mu) = D_{\text{KL}}(\mu \| \pi) = \int \log\left(\frac{\delta\mu}{\delta\pi}(x)\right) \rho(x) \, dx$$

$$\frac{\delta F}{\delta\mu} [\mu] = \log \rho - \log \pi,$$

density  $\rho := \frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{L}}$  The Fokker-Planck equation as the **Wasserstein gradient flow** [Otto et al. 90s-2000s]

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \mu &= \nabla \cdot \left( \mu \nabla \frac{\delta F}{\delta\mu} [\mu] \right) \\ &= \nabla \cdot (\mu (\nabla \log \rho - \nabla \log \pi)) \\ &= \Delta \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \nabla \log \pi)\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ If  $\pi$  is the Lebesgue measure, we obtain the heat equation  $\partial_t \mu = \Delta \mu$
- ▶ Note: The force field  $\frac{\delta F}{\delta\mu} [\mu]$  and the “score”  $\nabla \frac{\delta F}{\delta\mu} [\mu]$  are **not accessible** if  $\mu$  is atomic.  $\implies$  “score-matching”...

## Application: sampling and variational inference

Suppose  $\pi \propto e^{-V(x)}$ , but with unknown normalizing constant, we want

$$\inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}} D_{KL}(\mu \| \pi).$$

Using the WGF, we have the Fokker-Planck equation

$$\partial_t \mu = \nabla \cdot (\mu (\nabla \log \rho(x) - \nabla V(x)))$$

Suppose there is a single atom whose state is  $X_t$  (R.V.), it is pushed towards the velocity field

$$\nabla \log \rho(X_t) - \nabla V(X_t)$$

We can construct gradient descent

$$X_{t+1} = X_t + \tau \cdot (\nabla \log \rho(X_t) - \nabla V(X_t))$$

**Langevin Monte-Carlo** forward-Euler discretization

$$X_{t+1} = X_t - \tau \cdot \nabla V(X_t) + \sqrt{2\tau} Z, Z \sim N(0, \text{Id})$$

## Application: (distributionally) robust learning with Otto's WGF

We can use our WGF theory (invented 20yr ago; nothing new) to solve Wasserstein DRO for robust learning (also adversarial robustness in [Sinha et al. 2017])

$$\min_{\theta} \sup_{\mu} \mathbb{E}_{\mu} l(\theta, x) - \gamma \cdot W_2^2(\mu, \hat{\mu}_N)$$

The inner measure-update step is gradient ascent

$$X_{t+1} = X_t + \tau \nabla l(\theta_t, X_t)$$

where  $\tau = \frac{1}{2\gamma}$ . Then the whole Wasserstein robust learning is simply gradient descent-ascent (GDA).

## Energy dissipation balance of WGF

Recall the ODE case

$$\frac{d}{dt}f(x(t)) = -\left(\frac{1}{2}\|\dot{x}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla f(x)\|^2\right)$$

In  $(\text{Prob}(\bar{X}), F, W_2)$ , **Fenchel(-Young)** yields the **Energy dissipation balance** (equality) [Ambrosio et al. 2007]

$$\frac{d}{dt}F(\mu(t)) = -\frac{1}{2}|\mu'|_{W_2}(t)^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla^- F|_{W_2}(\mu(t))^2$$

$$F(\mu(t)) - F(\mu(s)) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_s^t |\mu'|_{W_2}(r)^2 + |\nabla^- F|_{W_2}(\mu(r))^2 \, dr$$

- ▶ metric speed with velocity  $v_t$  :  $|\mu'|_{W_2}(t) = \sqrt{\int |v_t|^2 \, d\mu}$
- ▶ metric slope:  $|\nabla^- F|_{W_2}(\mu(t)) = \sqrt{\int |\nabla \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mu} [\mu](x)|^2 \, d\mu}$  By EDB, the velocity field can be identified as  $v_t = -\nabla \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mu} [\mu]$ . EDB can be used as the definition of gradient flows (curves of maximal slopes), even without GFE.

For (Boltzmann) entropy  $F(u) = \rho \log \rho$ , EDB gives  $\frac{d}{dt}F(\mu(t)) = -\int |\nabla \log \rho|^2 \rho \, dx$



## Evolutionary variational inequality

Under a few technical assumptions and the so-called  $\lambda$ -geodesic-convexity of the energy  $F$ , if along a geodesic curve  $\gamma$ ,

$$\mathcal{E}(\gamma(s)) \leq (1-s)\mathcal{E}(\gamma(0)) + s\mathcal{E}(\gamma(1)) - \frac{\lambda}{2}s(1-s)W_2^2(\gamma(0), \gamma(1)), \quad \forall s \in [0, 1].$$

Then, there exists unique gradient flow solution satisfies  $(\text{EVI})_\lambda$ , for .

$$\frac{1}{2}W_2^2(\mu(t), \nu) \leq \frac{1}{2}e^{-\lambda(t-s)}W_2^2(\mu(s), \nu) + M_\lambda(t-s)(F(\nu) - F(\mu(t))),$$
$$\forall \nu \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{F}), M_\lambda(\tau) = \int_0^\tau e^{-\lambda(\tau-s)} \, ds.$$

Set  $\nu \in \arg\inf_\mu F(\mu)$ , we have exponential convergence in-time and uniqueness of gradient flow.

# Thank you!

There are many other active research topics in GF for ML

- ▶ Gradient flow with kernel methods [a few of my past / current works]
- ▶ Unbalanced transport and its gradient flow
- ▶ Applications: causal inference, mean-field NN, Nash equilibrium, **offline RL, policy optimization**...

## Reference

1. Mielke, A. An introduction to the analysis of gradients systems. Preprint at <http://arxiv.org/abs/2306.05026> (2023).
2. Otto, F. The geometry of dissipative evolution equations: the porous medium equation. (2001).
3. Ambrosio, L. & Savaré, G. Gradient Flows of Probability Measures. in Handbook of Differential Equations: Evolutionary Equations vol. 3 1–136 (Elsevier, 2007).
4. Santambrogio, F. Optimal transport for applied mathematicians. Birkhäuser, NY 55, 94 (2015).
5. Peletier, M. A. Variational Modelling: Energies, gradient flows, and large deviations. arXiv:1402.1990 [math-ph] (2014).