

Assignment #5 (220pt)

- Due: Two Weeks Later
 - Before the lecture 10/19 (Wed)
- Submission form
 - *.doc or hand writing okay
- Method: upload your report in Cyber Campus
 - Questions are uploaded in Assignment 2 folder
 - Answers must be written in English!
- Answers may vary. There can be multiple answers.



Hw 5-1 (10pt)

7.1 Suppose that we decompose the schema R = (A, B, C, D, E) into

$$(A, B, C)$$

 (A, D, E) .

Show that this decomposition is a lossless decomposition if the following set *F* of functional dependencies holds:

$$A \to BC$$

$$CD \to E$$

$$B \to D$$

$$E \to A$$



Hw 5-2 (20pt)

Prove this

- 7.4 Use Armstrong's axioms to prove the soundness of the union rule. (*Hint*: Use the augmentation rule to show that, if $\alpha \to \beta$, then $\alpha \to \alpha\beta$. Apply the augmentation rule again, using $\alpha \to \gamma$, and then apply the transitivity rule.)
- 7.5 Use Armstrong's axioms to prove the soundness of the pseudotransitivity rule.



Hw 5-3 (10pt)

7.6 Compute the closure of the following set F of functional dependencies for relation schema R = (A, B, C, D, E).

$$A \to BC$$

$$CD \to E$$

$$B \to D$$

$$E \to A$$

List the candidate keys for *R*.



Hw 5-4 (10pt)

7.14 Show that there can be more than one canonical cover for a given set of functional dependencies, using the following set of dependencies:

$$X \rightarrow YZ, Y \rightarrow XZ, \text{ and } Z \rightarrow XY.$$



Hw 5-5 (50pt)

7.30 Consider the following set F of functional dependencies on the relation schema (A, B, C, D, E, G):

$$A \rightarrow BCD$$

$$BC \rightarrow DE$$

$$B \rightarrow D$$

$$D \rightarrow A$$

- a. Compute B^+ .
- b. Prove (using Armstrong's axioms) that AG is a superkey.
- c. Compute a canonical cover for this set of functional dependencies F; give each step of your derivation with an explanation.
- d. Give a 3NF decomposition of the given schema based on a canonical cover.
- e. Give a BCNF decomposition of the given schema using the original set *F* of functional dependencies.



Hw 5-6 (40pt)

7.32 Consider the schema R = (A, B, C, D, E, G) and the set F of functional dependencies:

$$A \rightarrow BC$$

$$BD \rightarrow E$$

$$CD \rightarrow AB$$

- a. Find a nontrivial functional dependency containing no extraneous attributes that is logically implied by the above three dependencies and explain how you found it.
- b. Use the BCNF decomposition algorithm to find a BCNF decomposition of R. Start with $A \rightarrow BC$. Explain your steps.
- c. For your decomposition, state whether it is lossless and explain why.
- For your decomposition, state whether it is dependency preserving and explain why.



Hw 5-7 (40pt)

7.33 Consider the schema R = (A, B, C, D, E, G) and the set F of functional dependencies:

$$AB \rightarrow CD$$

 $ADE \rightarrow GDE$
 $B \rightarrow GC$
 $G \rightarrow DE$

Use the 3NF decomposition algorithm to generate a 3NF decomposition of R, and show your work. This means:

- a. A list of all candidate keys
- b. A canonical cover for F, along with an explanation of the steps you took to generate it
- c. The remaining steps of the algorithm, with explanation
- d. The final decomposition



Hw 5-8 (30pt)

7.42 Normalize the following schema, with given constraints, to 4NF.

```
books(accessionno, isbn, title, author, publisher)
users(userid, name, deptid, deptname)
accessionno \rightarrow isbn
isbn \rightarrow title
isbn \rightarrow publisher
isbn \rightarrow author
userid \rightarrow name
userid \rightarrow deptid
deptid \rightarrow deptname
```



Hw 5-9 (10pt)

7.40 Given a relational schema r(A, B, C, D), does $A \rightarrow BC$ logically imply $A \rightarrow B$ and $A \rightarrow C$? If yes prove it, or else give a counter example.