Meeting One

**Meeting called by:** Nicholas

**Note taker:** Nicholas

**Timekeeper: Nicholas**

**Attendees:** All

**Report Presenter:** Nicholas

**Target Meeting Time:** 30 minutes

**Manager Perception of project state:**

Being the first group meeting, my impressions mostly revolved around how I thought we would work as a group, some members had already worked together on projects so group cohesion was already in a good place, the main adjustments needed was introduction of the new people to the group.

**Agenda Topic:** Role Selection

**Time Allotted:** 10

**Discussion:**

We took some time to discuss as a group which kind of role within RUP each member would like to take.

**Action Items:**

1. Advise of desired role within the group, by next week lab
2. Revise and familiarise yourself with the assignment details

**Agenda Review**

1. Currently no items for review

No items to report on

**Agenda Topic:** Week in plan- design discussions

**Time Allotted:** 20

**Discussion:**

We took some time here to quickly review the assignment and began to brainstorm so idea’s for the design.

**Action Items:**

1. Discuss initial design thoughts for the project

2. Create a sort of coding style guide – Phillip Edwards

**Agenda discussion**

Some concerns were raised about the structure of the current design, a decision was made that we would revise the design in order to streamline it, no date was targeted, merely we acknowledge that we felt there was a need for redesign and agreed to go about it, at some undefined later date.

Philip E. also posted a coding style document for all members to follow, this was to ensure consistency in the code throughout the project.

Meeting Two

**Meeting called by:** Nicholas

**Note taker:** Nicholas

**Timekeeper: Nicholas**

**Attendees:** All

**Report Presenter:** Nicholas

**Target Meeting Time:** 30 minutes

**Manager Perception of project state:**

At this stage my perceptions were largely the same as the previous meeting, with no hard work being done there is no data to base a review on, the cohesion of the group appeared to be strong and members seemed flexible and willing to assist of all parts of the project.

**Agenda Topic:** Week in review

**Time Allotted:** 15 minutes

**Discussion:**

**Action Items:**

Reviewed assignment specifications

Preferences for Role within the team

**Agenda Review**

1. All members reported familiarising themselves with the assignment specs, questions were raised which lead to the group reading through the assignment spec’s to get everyone on same page before beginning planning and implementation

1. Roles
   1. Manager- Nicholas Ross Morgan
   2. Lead Designer – Josh Coleman
   3. Lead Implementer- Phil Edwards
   4. Designers – Assigned to all
   5. Systems Integration – Ivana Ozakovic, Phil Edwards

\*Roles were expanded- check detailed report for final roles

**Agenda Topic:** Week in plan

**Time Allotted:** 15 minutes

**Discussion:**

**Action Items:**

1. Assign regular meeting times
2. Establish Secondary communication methods
3. Investigate Technologies for use( MySQL/Mongo, GiTHub/provided repo)

**Agenda discussion**

1. Regular Meeting times were scheduled for 6pm every Sunday, with regular informal check in’s during the week
2. Group chose skype as external communication platform
3. A brief discussion was had about the familiarities of each member with the systems, members reported being more familiar with MySQL and GiTHub came out as the preferred version management

Meeting 3

**Meeting called by:** Thomas Nixon

**Note taker:** **<fill this in >** Phil and Thomas

**Timekeeper: <fill this in >** Thomas

**Attendees:** Phillip Edwards, Thomas Nixon, **<anyone else?>**

**Report Presenter:** Nicholas Morgan

**Target Meeting Time:** 5 Hours **<edit this>**

**Manager Perception of project state:**

I was not present for this meeting, but after receiving the notes and seeing the outcome I was happy with the direction the project was taking, a complete redesign on the structure had been completed

**Agenda Topic:** Week in review

**Time Allotted:** 10 Minutes

**Discussion:**

**Action Items:**

1. Supporting system selection
2. <any other items you think should be added here>

**Agenda Review**

1. Decisions were made, based on the preferences of the group at the previous meeting to go ahead with usage of MySQL and GitHub
2. <discussion for other items if any>

**Agenda Topic:** Week in plan

**Time Allotted:** 4 hours 30 minutes

**Discussion:**

**Action Items:**

1. Project structure redesign
2. Database setup

**Agenda discussion**

1. A lengthy discussion was had about the redesign with a complete redesign of the structure complete by the end of the meeting \*refer to detailed report section
2. A database was setup to allow testing of interactions with code and a live database

Meeting 4

**Meeting called by:** Nicholas

**Note taker:** Nicholas

**Timekeeper:** Nicholas

**Attendees:** All

**Report Presenter:** Nicholas

**Target Meeting Time:** 1 Hour

**Manager Perception of project state:**

At this stage prototyping for functions within multiple sections of the program had been completed, the design phase was completed and members had a clear view of the new direction of the assignment, The project as a whole seemed to be on track to be completed. Group cohesion was slightly lower, with the redesign happening in the previous meeting, however by the end of the week’s meeting, people were back on the same page.

**Agenda Topic:** Week in review

**Time Allotted:** 35 minutes

**Discussion:**

**Action Items:**

1. Discussion on the changes made to design
2. GitHub member familiarization

**Agenda Review**

1. Phil and Thomas ran the members not present at the previous meeting through the specifics of the redesign
2. Time was spent showing members the proper use of GiT to avoid issues and insure all could successfully commit their work without issue

**Agenda Topic:** Week in plan

**Time Allotted:** 20 minutes

**Discussion:**

**Action Items:**

1. Initial Code Assignment and discussion

**Agenda discussion:**

1. Code Assignments
   1. VersionRec – Thomas
   2. Gui/Reporting – Nicholas
   3. FileRec – Phillip E.
   4. CPPUnit – Ivana/Josh
   5. Gui - Phillip M.

Meeting 5

**Meeting called by:** Nicholas

**Note taker:** Nicholas

**Timekeeper:** Nicholas

**Attendees:** All

**Report Presenter:** Nicholas

**Target Meeting Time:** 1 Hour

**Manager Perception of project state:**

Project is currently in crunch mode, some deliverables of members hadn’t been committed on time, other group members had been working hard and a large portion of coding was completed, at the beginning of this meeting CPPUnit testing, Gui and some touch ups on the versionrec and filerec functionality needed to be completed, reports also needed to be completed and the design document fleshed out.

**Agenda Topic:** Week in review

**Time Allotted:** 20 minutes

**Discussion:**

**Action Items:**

1. Update internal TODO list
2. Discuss issues in functionality

**Agenda Review:**

1. As a group we sat down and updated the TODO list, this updated all members perspective of the current state and gave us a clear idea of what was remaining to finish
2. We took some time as a group to discuss any functionality issues we had in an effort to see if any group member could provide insight or a new look on the problem
   1. **<<< check this >>**

**Agenda Topic:** Week in plan

**Time Allotted:** 25 Minutes

**Discussion:**

**Action Items:**

1. Gui design and implementation finalized
2. CPPUnit testing code finalization
3. Makefile fixes
4. FileRec and VerRec implementation finalized
5. Reports

**Agenda discussion:**

1. Gui design was passed onto Ivana and Josh
2. CPPUnit testing was assigned to all members
3. Report writing assigned to Nicholas
4. FileRec and VerRec finalized assigned to Thomas and Phil. E.

Final Meeting

**Meeting called by:** Nicholas

**Note taker:** Nicholas

**Timekeeper:** Nicholas

**Attendees:** All

**Report Presenter:** Nicholas

**Manager Perception of project state:**

Project is currently in crunch mode, some deliverables of members hadn’t been committed on time, other group members had been working hard and a large portion of coding was completed, at the beginning of this meeting CPPUnit testing, Gui and some touch ups on the versionrec and filerec functionality needed to be completed, reports also needed to be completed and the design document fleshed out.

**Agenda Topic:** Week in review

**Time Allotted:** 20 minutes

**Discussion:**

**Action Items:**

1. Final check of TODO list
2. Report update with finalized info

**Agenda Review:**

1. As a group we went through the TODO, ensure all items checked off were completed and assigned final tasks
2. Tasks
   * + - 1. Gui – Jason and Ivana
         2. Report – Phil M. and Nicholas
         3. Version Rec – Phil E.
         4. File Rec – Thomas
         5. CppUnit testing – Phil E. and Thomas