

## Week 14: FE Vs Lagged Dependent Variable

JJ Chen

April 17, 2015

# Today's Plan

- ▶ Correlated Random Effects Panel Data Models
- ▶ FE and Linear Dynamic Model

## Recap: RE Model

- ▶ We are interested in the individual effect model for  $Y_{it}$

$$E(Y_{it}) = X'_{it}\beta + \alpha_i$$

or

$$Y_{it} = X'_{it}\beta + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{it}.$$

- ▶ In the RE model,  $\alpha_i$  is assumed to be random, which implies that  $\alpha_i$  is uncorrelated with  $X_{it}$
- ▶ This assumption allows us to estimate the individual effect model using a GLS estimator because we know the correlation structure of the composite error term  $\eta_{it} = \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{it}$
- ▶ Rewrite the model in Matrix form:  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \boldsymbol{\eta}$ 
  - ▶  $\hat{\beta}_{GLS} = (\mathbf{X}'\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1}\mathbf{y}$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\Omega} = V(\boldsymbol{\eta} | \mathbf{X})$

## Correlated RE Model: Mundlak Projection

- ▶ Correlated RE Model let  $\alpha_i$  be correlated with  $X_{it}$  and still estimate the model through GLS
- ▶ The key step is the Mundlak projection:

$$E(\alpha_i | X_{it}) = \bar{X}_i' \delta$$

or

$$\alpha_i = \bar{X}_i' \delta + v_i$$

- ▶ We then back to the RE set up:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{it} &= X_{it}' \beta + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{it} \\ &= X_{it}' \beta + \bar{X}_i' \delta + v_i + \varepsilon_{it} \\ &= (X_{it} - \bar{X})' \beta + \bar{X}_i' (\beta + \delta) + v_i + \varepsilon_{it} \\ &= Z_{it}' \gamma + v_i + \varepsilon_{it} \end{aligned}$$

# Correlated RE Model: Chamberlain Project

- ▶ We can also use a more flexible specification for  $\alpha_i$ :

$$\alpha_i = \sum_{t=1}^T \beta_t X_{it} + v_i$$

# Recap: FE Regression

- ▶ In reality, when we run a serious FE regression

$$Y_{it} = \alpha + \rho D_{it} + A_i' \gamma + I_t' \delta + X_{it}' \beta + e_{it},$$

we're trying to get a naive conditional mean comparisons:

$$E(Y_{it} \mid A_i, I_t, X_{it}, D_{it} = 1) - E(Y_{it} \mid A_i, I_t, X_{it}, D_{it} = 0),$$

and we really want to measure is a causal relationship between  $Y_{it}$  and  $D_{it}$ ,

$$E(Y_{1it} \mid A_i, I_t, X_{it}, D_{it} = 1) - E(Y_{0it} \mid A_i, I_t, X_{it}, D_{it} = 1).$$

# Recap: FE Model Assumptions I

- ▶ Let's first review the ID assumptions for FE models
- ▶ CIA for  $Y_{0it}$ :  $Y_{0it} \perp\!\!\!\perp D_{it} \mid A_i, I_t, X_{it}$ 
  - ▶ Is this strict exogeneity?
- ▶ CIA implies Mean Independence Assumption for  $Y_{0it}$ :

$$E(Y_{0it} \mid A_i, I_t, X_{it}, D_{it}) = E(Y_{0it} \mid A_i, I_t, X_{it}),$$

or to write it slightly differently,

$$E(Y_{0it} \mid A_i, I_t, X_{it}, D_{it} = 1) = E(Y_{0it} \mid A_i, I_t, X_{it}, D_{it} = 0).$$

- ▶ Time-varying treatment, such as union status, is as good as randomly assigned conditional on the covariates.

## Recap: FE Model Assumption II

- ▶ To estimate a FE model, another key assumption is the linear additive structure for the potential outcome  $Y_{0it}$ :

$$E(Y_{0it} \mid A_i, I_t, X_{it}) = \alpha + A_i' \gamma + I_t' \delta + X_{it}' \beta,$$

or to write it slightly differently

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y_{0it} \mid i, t, X_{it}) &= \alpha_i + \lambda_t + X_{it}' \beta \\ \implies Y_{0it} &= X_{it}' \beta + \alpha_i + \lambda_t + [Y_{0it} - E(Y_{0it})] \\ \implies Y_{0it} &= X_{it}' \beta + \alpha_i + \lambda_t + \varepsilon_{it} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Why is the linear structure important for estimation?



## Recap: FE Model Assumption III

- ▶ The last assumption is the additive homogenous treatment effect:

$$E(Y_{1it} \mid i, t, X_{it}, D_{it} = 1) = E(Y_{0it} \mid i, t, X_{it}, D_{it} = 0) + \rho$$

or

$$\rho = E(Y_{1it} \mid i, t, X_{it}, D_{it} = 1) - E(Y_{0it} \mid i, t, X_{it}, D_{it} = 0)$$

# Recap: FE Models

- ▶ The three assumptions implies the CEF of interest:

$$E(Y_{it} \mid i, t, X_{it}, D_{it}) = \alpha_i + \lambda_t + X'_{it}\beta + \rho D_{it}.$$

- ▶ By Regression Justification III, we can run

$$Y_{it} = \alpha_i + \lambda_t + X'_{it}\beta + \rho D_{it} + e_{it},$$

or the equivalent LSDV regression

$$Y_{it} = \alpha + \rho D_{it} + A'_i\gamma + I'_t\delta + X'_{it}\beta + e_{it}.$$

# Motivation for Lagged Models

- ▶ The Key ID assumption is that

$$Y_{0it} \perp\!\!\!\perp D_{it} \mid A_i, I_t, X_{it}$$

- ▶ which is equivalent to say that  $A_i$ ,  $I_t$ , and  $X_{it}$  are important omitted variables if we fail to control for them in a regression
  - ▶ we can also connect to the parallel trend assumption, why?
- ▶ The Ashenfelter's dip we discuss in class suggests that the above CIA might not be plausible. Why?
- ▶ Other similar examples: remedial education program, school turnaround grants, educational tracking

# ID Assumption for Lagged Models

- ▶ If we worry about sorting based on previous outcomes, which is common, we might want to consider another ID assumption:

$$Y_{0it} \perp\!\!\!\perp D_{it} \mid Y_{i,t-h}, I_t, X_{it}.$$

- ▶ In this case, we think the important omitted variable is pre-treatment trends
- ▶ The above ID assumption implies regression

$$Y_{it} = \alpha + \theta Y_{i,t-h} + \lambda_t + \rho D_{it} + X'_{it} \beta + e_{it}.$$

- ▶ But what if you are not sure about whether there is ability bias or sorting based on previous outcomes?

# Solution 1: Assume Both (Dynamic Panel Data Methods)

- ▶ If we assume both, then we are think the following ID assumptions:

$$Y_{0it} \perp\!\!\!\perp D_{it} \mid Y_{i,t-h}, A_i I_t, X_{it},$$

which implies a fixed effect dynamic regression

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{it} &= \theta Y_{i,t-h} + \alpha_i + \lambda_t + \rho D_{it} + X'_{it} \beta + e_{it}. \\ \implies \Delta Y_{it} &= \theta \Delta Y_{i,t-h} + \Delta \lambda_t + \rho \Delta D_{it} + \Delta X'_{it} \beta + \Delta e_{it}. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ But we can't estimate  $\rho$  consistently because of the Nickell bias
  - ▶  $\text{Cov}(\Delta Y_{i,t-h}, \Delta e_{it}) \neq 0$ , HW6 from last semester

## Solution 1: Assume Both (Dynamic Panel Data Methods)

- ▶ To estimate the difference model consistently in large sample, Anderson and Hsiao suggest using lagged outcomes as IVs
  - ▶ Stata command: `xtivreg, fd`
- ▶ Arellano and Bond later suggest using GMM so that one can exploit all information in the IVs and get a more efficient estimates
  - ▶ Stata command: `ssc install xtabond2`
- ▶ In class we know that the ER assumption for the IVs might not hold (serial correlation for the error process), and also weak IV problem
  - ▶ We will learn it again in Prof. Casey's class

## Solution 2: Assume Either One

- ▶ MHE also suggests estimating both the FE model and lagged model and see if the result is sensitive
- ▶ FE and lagged model have a bracketing property
  - ▶ If FE is the true model, lagged regression estimate gives a lower bound
  - ▶ If lagged model is the true model, FE estimate gives an upper bound