Understanding patient experiences with personsal sensing in a national sample of people with opioid use disorder

John J. Curtin^{aff-1}

^{aff-1}Department of Psychology, University of Wisconsin-Madison

Author note

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to .

Abstract

Abstract

Keywords: Substance use disordersPrecision mental health

Introduction

In 2021, over 80,000 people in the United States died from an opioid overdose. This was the 8th leading cause of death that year, right behind diabetes.

Opioid use disorder is a chronic, relapsing disease, but there are very few long term supports for people in recovery.

High risk of overdose after initial period of remission.

Algorithm-guided risk monitoring that uses personally sensed data and machine learning methods to predict and alert individuals when their relapse risk is high could be a potential target for providing long-term support.

People can comply with highly effortful sensing methods (e.g., 4 x daily EMA) while using substances [1], [2].

Previous research has shown personal sensing to be generally acceptable to people with alcohol use disorder [1]. There is reason to suspect that these findings could differ when generalizing to other substances. For example, individuals may be hesitant to provide self-report information about their use or have their location tracked if they fear legal consequences from relapsing back to use. Additionally, this sample was majority non-Hispanic White and all located in the Madison, WI, USA area.

Like most health and mental health conditions, treatment and outcome disparities among underrepresented groups are prevalent with opioid use disorder.

For algorithm-guided risk monitoring to be a viable support option, it is important that it is perceived to be equally acceptable across different groups of people. Without this, it is possible that providing a support tool only acceptable to a majority group, could widen existing disparities.

It is expected that individuals will have different preferences about which sensing methods are most acceptable. However, we may see general patterns in preferences based on demographic and group characteristics. For example, working 3rd shift, being a single working mom with little time to check her phone, or living in an area with weak internet service could all present barriers to fulfilling minimum data adherence thresholds needed for self-report sensing data. Trust and related privacy concerns about providing sensitive sensed data like geolocation and text message content may be greater concern in historically marginalized groups that have experienced systemic racism and other stigma [3]. These individuals may find it more difficult to achieve privacy in their daily lives, and they may hold very different perspectives on the costs vs. benefits of surveillance in the context of personal sensing or more generally.

This mixed-methods study explores the acceptability and feasibility of personal sensing methods in a national sample of patients with opioid use disorder. It examines overall feasibility related to adherence and retention for providing personally sensed data for up to twelve months. It also uses two complementary qualitative methods to assess participant feedback on their experiences with various sensing methods after using the methods for 3-4 months. Finally, this study specifically assesses differences in patient adherence and feedback by sensing method and four demographic characteristics (race/ethnicity, socioeconomic status, sex at birth, and location).

We hope to highlight the importance of stakeholder engagement from the beginning (i.e., before an intervention is developed) and provide important considerations about the strengths, challenges, and barriers to implementing algorithm-guided risk monitoring.

Methods

- We recruited individuals in treatment for Opioid Use Disorder across the United States.
 Individuals were asked to download a digital therapeutic onto their smartphones and provide personal sensing data for up to one year. Specifically, they completed a brief daily survey, a longer monthly survey, and gave us access to sensed geolocation, SMS and phone call logs, and text message content.
- Participants were asked to provide open ended feedback on their experience with each sensing
 method at approximately 3-4 months into the study with the prompt "Please share any
 positive or negative comments you have about the Sensing Method."

Quantitative Analyses

overall EMA compliance and disposition

- look at demographic differences in these two behavioral measures
- Use number of lapses as moderator? (is it harder to comply behaviorally when lapsing?)

Qualitative Analyses

We used two complementary analytic methods to analyze participants' comments about the personal sensing methods.

Thematic Analyses

The first method was thematic analysis. This is a systematic approach for identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns or themes within qualitative data. It is top-down in that it uses domain expertise to create a codebook of thematic categories driven by the aims and questions of the research being conducted. As coding is underway the codebook iteratively expands to include additional themes.

Thematic analysis was utilized to code comments from our burden survey. Our code book was designed based on deductive codes informed by prior research and was then iteratively expanded through review of the comments. Codes addressed were: acceptability, sustainability, benefits, trust, usability, and feedback. We also noted if the comments possessed a positive, negative, or neutral/mixed affect. Once the code book was developed, the comments were coded by two independent coders. When coding was completed, a script was written in R to find any discrepancies between the two coding sheets. Any discrepancies found between the two coding sheets were discussed by the coders until they mutually agreed on the codes.

Topic Modeling

The second method was topic modeling. This approach uses Natural Language Processing and unsupervised machine learning methods to identify clusters of words that co-occur frequently together. It is a bottom-up approach in that thematic categories are created from the data without any top-down interference. This is a promising method, particularly when looking at demographic differences because themes we may not have thought of can emerge and inform us.

We used Structural topic modeling. This acts differently from more traditional topic modeling approaches in that the document-level metadata can be added into the modeling process. We used race and data type as covariates and saw how the content of the topics might shift from one group to the next. We looked at the top words defined by FREX, a metric that evaluates word frequency and exclusivity to a topic.

Results

Participant Characteristics

247 participants provided at least four months of data and completed the patient experience questionnaire. Participant ages ranged from 18 - 65+ years. 70% of participants (N = 172) were non-Hispanic White, 15% of participants (N = 38) were Black/African American, and 9% of participants (N = 21) were of Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish origin. 50% of participants (N = 124) identified as men and 47% of participants (N = 117) identified as women. 51% of participants (N = 127) had an income below \$25,000. Table 1 presents demographic and clinical characteristics of our participants.

Adherence

Participation

Attrition and disposition overall.

Daily Updates

The overall adherence for the daily updates was 75%. Figure 1 shows the overall adherence rates for the daily update by month on study.

Monthly Updates

Self-Report Measures

Interference

Dislike

Qualatative Analyses

Thematic Analysis

Topic Modeling

Discussion

- On average, participants found these methods to be acceptable and saw benefits from using them.
- However, its important to acknowledge that not all participants felt this way. There were
 differences in acceptability of personal sensing types, specifically monthly updates from
 Hispanic participants and geolocation and message content from Black participants.
- Benefits reported benefits with active methods (e.g., reflection, daily pauses, we aligning with goals). The passive methods offered no explicit benefits. We know from previous research that perceived benefits in research and healthcare play an important role in trust.

References

Bibliography

- [1] K. Wyant, H. Moshontz, S. B. Ward, G. E. Fronk, and J. J. Curtin, "Acceptability of Personal Sensing Among People With Alcohol Use Disorder: Observational Study," *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. e41833, Aug. 2023, doi: 10.2196/41833.
- [2] A. Jones *et al.*, "Compliance with Ecological Momentary Assessment Protocols in Substance Users: A Meta-analysis," *Addiction (Abingdon, England)*, vol. 114, no. 4, pp. 609–619, Apr. 2019, doi: 10/gfsjzg.
- [3] A. E. Marwick and D. Boyd, "Privacy at the Margins Understanding Privacy at the Margins

 —Introduction," *International Journal of Communication*, vol. 12, no. 0, p. 9–10, Mar. 2018.