

Dear Dr. Ezhilarasan,

We have addressed the following revision requests.

1. “Authors reporting experiments on humans and/or the use of human tissue samples must confirm that all experiments were performed in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. Additionally, the manuscript file must include a statement identifying the institutional and/or licensing committee approving the experiments, including any relevant details, in the “Methods” section. Please check that the information provided in the methods section is unambiguous using the following requirements:
 - A statement to confirm that all methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.
 - A statement to confirm that all experimental protocols were approved by a named institutional and/or licensing committee.
 - Must include a sentence confirming that informed consent was obtained from all subjects and/or their legal guardian(s).

We have now included the following under the Methods subheading Ethics: “All procedures were approved by the University of Wisconsin-Madison Institutional Review Board (Study #2015-0780) and carried out in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants provided written informed consent.”

2. You have provided the data availability statement as “Our data, questionnaires, preregistration, and other study materials are publicly available on our OSF page (<https://osf.io/xta67>). Our annotated analysis scripts and results are publicly available on our study website (https://jjcurtin.github.io/study_lag).” in the submission system

Kindly provide the same in the manuscript as well under the heading Data availability

We have made the requested change.

If we can provide any additional information that can be of assistance, please do not hesitate to ask. Thank you in advance for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Kendra Wyant, Gaylen E. Fronk, Jiachen Yu, Claire E. Punturieri, & John J. Curtin