

Using Cellular Communication Sensing to Support Early Recovery from Alcohol Use Disorder

Kendra Wyant

Coco Yu

John J. Curtin

2025-10-01

Introduction

One of the biggest challenges in Alcohol Use Disorders (AUD) treatment stems from the chronic relapsing nature of this disease (Scott et al., 2005). People can relapse days, weeks, and even years after obtaining the goal of abstinence. At least 60% of AUD patients relapse to heavy drinking within 6 months following treatment (Kirshenbaum et al., 2009; Nguyen et al., 2020; Witkiewitz, 2011). At most 50% of people with an AUD achieve remission after several years (Fleury et al., 2016; Heyman, 2013).

Identifying initial lapses in early recovery is critical. Lapses – single episodes of alcohol use – are easy to define, have a clear onset, and are also clinically meaningful. They serve as an early warning sign of returning back to previous drinking behavior inconsistent with desired goals (Chung & Maisto, 2006; Marlatt & Donovan, 2005; Witkiewitz & Marlatt, 2004). Lapse predicts future lapses, with more frequent ones resulting in increased risks of relapse (Högström Brandt et al., 1999; Witkiewitz & Marlatt, 2004).

Current predictions of alcohol lapses rely heavily on self reports, which can be burdensome to measure in long run. Machine learning models leveraging ecological momentary assessment (EMA) measures have performed relatively well to predict goal-inconsistent alcohol use (Wyant et al., 2024). The surveys were collected up to four times daily for three months. However, constantly completing surveys makes it burdensome for AUD patients. Although most EMA relevant mental health research demonstrated modest compliance rates, their time windows last from two weeks to three months (Czyz et al., 2018; Hung et al., 2016; Mackesy-Amiti & Boodram, 2018; Porras-Segovia et al., 2020; van Genugten et al., 2020). The study length is insufficient because AUD is a chronic disease that requires constant risk monitoring. As extended period of time is anticipated, users' perceived burden of answering surveys is presumably larger (Mogk et al., 2023). Although minimizing the number of items in the surveys

and the frequency of prompting users to complete the surveys might help mitigate the associated burden, it can inevitably reduce the prediction precision and temporal precision of predictions.

Passive cellular communication sensing represents new opportunities due to its feasibility, relatively low burden on individuals and continuous data collection. In a smartphone-based sensing platform the primary expense on the individual is the smartphone. Smartphone usage is already widespread. Eighty-five percent of US adults have a smartphone and this number is consistent across all sociodemographic groups, including those in recovery programs for substance use (Center, 2021; Masson et al., 2019). Studies collecting passive data have demonstrated high acceptability from participants and higher compliance rates compared to active measures (Beukenhorst et al., 2022; Wyant et al., 2023). Further, risk monitoring using cellular sensing is temporally sensitive to fluctuating risks. Analyzing communication patterns can detect potential triggers in time without actively prompting users to reflect on their feelings at the moment or report their environment.

Cellular communications, with minimal contextual information, is embedded with potentially rich information that align with relapse antecedents. For example, social interactions can have important influences on drinking behavior (Alvarez et al., 2021; Hunter-Reel et al., 2009). We may be able to capture immediate risk based on who someone is calling or what time of day it is. Decreased interactions may signify isolation common with depressive symptoms, reaching out to people in one’s social network could signify a positive coping strategy, or changes in patterns between a single person in one’s social network could indicate conflict (Chih et al., 2014; Hufford et al., 2003; Miller et al., 2001).

This study aims at building machine learning models from cellular communications that identify *who* are at heightened risk for alcohol lapses, *when* they will lapse, and *why* they are at increased risk.

Methods

Overview

This study analyzed data collected from 2017-2019 from a larger grant funded by National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (R01 AA024391). In this paper, we focus on methods and measures that are relevant to this study. Additional details on broader methods and the full set of measures collected are described elsewhere (see <https://osf.io/w5h9y/> and (Wyant et al., 2023; Wyant et al., 2024)).

Participants

Individuals in early recovery from AUD were recruited from Madison and surrounding area via social media platforms (e.g., Facebook), referrals from clinics, and television and radio advertisements. After initial phone screen, interested individuals came in-person to complete a more in-depth screening to determine their eligibility. We documented their demographic information. Inclusion criteria include that participants: 1) must be at least aged 18 or older; 2) must meet criteria for AUD with at least moderate severity (>four DSM-5 criteria); 3) must be abstinent from alcohol for at least one week and fewer than two months at time of intake; 4) must be able to read and write in English; 5) must be willing to use smartphone and their smartphone is compatible with our study technology. Participants were excluded if they have a lifetime history of severe and persistent mental illness. One hundred sixty-nine participants were eligible and enrolled in the study. After excluding participants who discontinued before the first follow-up session and those with low compliance rates and too few communications (<100 messages), we have a final sample size of 150 participants.

Procedures

The study lasted up to three months with five in-person visits. Participants completed an in-person screening visit to determine their eligibility, obtain their informed consent, and collect their demographic information and self-report measures. They then completed an intake session one week later and three follow-up visits afterwards spaced at one-month intervals. During each of the follow-up visits, a research assistant downloaded participants' SMS messages from their phone, verified reports of lapses and queried participants about any additional unreported lapses. Additional self-reported measures were obtained (see <https://osf.io/w5h9y/>).

Throughout the course of the study, participants were expected to complete four daily EMAs that asked about their alcohol cravings, risky situations, stressful/pleasant events, etc (Wyant et al., 2024). Notably, in the first item in the EMA survey, participants also reported their past alcohol use. Answer to this item will be used as the predicted outcome.

Results

Discussion

- Alvarez, M. J., Richards, D. K., Oviedo Ramirez, S., & Field, C. A. (2021). Social network heavy drinking moderates the effects of a brief motivational intervention for alcohol use among injured patients. *Addictive Behaviors*, *112*, 106594. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2020.106594>
- Beukenhorst, A. L., Burke, K. M., Scheier, Z., Miller, T. M., Paganoni, S., Keegan, M., Collins, E., Connaghan, K. P., Tay, A., Chan, J., Berry, J. D., & Onnela, J.-P. (2022). Using smartphones to reduce research burden in a neurodegenerative population and assessing participant adherence: A randomized clinical trial and two observational studies. *JMIR Mhealth and Uhealth*, *10*(2), e31877. <https://doi.org/10.2196/31877>
- Center, P. R. (2021). *Mobile Fact Sheet*. Pew Research Center.
- Chih, M.-Y., Patton, T., McTavish, F. M., Isham, A. J., Judkins-Fisher, C. L., Atwood, A. K., & Gustafson, D. H. (2014). Predictive modeling of addiction lapses in a mobile health application. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, *46*(1), 29–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2013.08.004>
- Chung, T., & Maisto, S. A. (2006). Relapse to alcohol and other drug use in treated adolescents: Review and reconsideration of relapse as a change point in clinical course. *Clinical Psychology Review*, *26*(2), 149–161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2005.11.004>
- Czyz, E. K., King, C. A., & Nahum-Shani, I. (2018). Ecological assessment of daily suicidal thoughts and attempts among suicidal teens after psychiatric hospitalization: Lessons about feasibility and acceptability. *Psychiatry Research*, *267*, 566–574. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2018.06.031>
- Fleury, M.-J., Djouini, A., Huynh, C., Tremblay, J., Ferland, F., Ménard, J.-M., & Belleville, G. (2016). Remission from substance use disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, *168*, 293–306. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2016.08.625>
- Heyman, G. M. (2013). Quitting Drugs: Quantitative and Qualitative Features. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, *9*(Volume 9, 2013), 29–59. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-032511-143041>
- Högström Brandt, A. M., Thorburn, D., Hiltunen, A. J., & Borg, S. (1999). Prediction of single episodes of drinking during the treatment of alcohol-dependent patients. *Alcohol (Fayetteville, N.Y.)*, *18*(1), 35–42. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0741-8329\(98\)00065-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0741-8329(98)00065-2)
- Hufford, M. R., Witkiewitz, K., Shields, A. L., Kodya, S., & Caruso, J. C. (2003). Relapse as a nonlinear dynamic system: Application to patients with alcohol use disorders. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, *112*(2), 219–227. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-843X.112.2.219>
- Hung, S., Li, M.-S., Chen, Y.-L., Chiang, J.-H., Chen, Y.-Y., & Hung, G. C.-L. (2016). Smartphone-based ecological momentary assessment for Chinese patients with depression: An exploratory study in Taiwan. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*, *23*, 131–136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajp.2016.08.003>
- Hunter-Reel, D., McCrady, B., & Hildebrandt, T. (2009). Emphasizing interpersonal factors: An extension of the Witkiewitz and Marlatt relapse model. *Addiction (Abingdon, England)*, *104*(8), 1281–1290. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2009.02611.x>
- Kirshenbaum, A. P., Olsen, D. M., & Bickel, W. K. (2009). A quantitative review of the ubiquitous relapse curve. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, *36*(1), 8–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2008.12.004>

[//doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2008.04.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2008.04.001)

- Mackesy-Amiti, M. E., & Boodram, B. (2018). Feasibility of ecological momentary assessment to study mood and risk behavior among young people who inject drugs. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 187, 227–235. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2018.03.016>
- Marlatt, G. A., & Donovan, D. M. (Eds.). (2005). *Relapse prevention: Maintenance strategies in the treatment of addictive behaviors*, 2nd ed (pp. xiv, 416). The Guilford Press.
- Masson, C. L., Chen, I. Q., Levine, J. A., Shopshire, M. S., & Sorensen, J. L. (2019). Health-related internet use among opioid treatment patients. *Addictive Behaviors Reports*, 9, 100157. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abrep.2018.100157>
- Miller, W. R., Walters, S. T., & Bennett, M. E. (2001). How effective is alcoholism treatment in the United States? *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*, 62(2), 211–220. <https://doi.org/10.15288/jsa.2001.62.211>
- Mogk, J. M., Matson, T. E., Caldeiro, R. M., Garza Mcwethy, A. M., Beatty, T., Sevey, B. C., Hsu, C. W., & Glass, J. E. (2023). Implementation and workflow strategies for integrating digital therapeutics for alcohol use disorders into primary care: A qualitative study. *Addiction Science & Clinical Practice*, 18(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13722-023-00387-w>
- Nguyen, L.-C., Durazzo, T. C., Dwyer, C. L., Rauch, A. A., Humphreys, K., Williams, L. M., & Padula, C. B. (2020). Predicting Relapse After Alcohol Use Disorder Treatment in a High-Risk Cohort: The Roles of Anhedonia and Smoking. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 126, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2020.04.003>
- Porras-Segovia, A., Molina-Madueño, R. M., Berrouiguet, S., López-Castroman, J., Barrigón, M. L., Pérez-Rodríguez, M. S., Marco, J. H., Díaz-Oliván, I., de León, S., Courtet, P., Artés-Rodríguez, A., & Baca-García, E. (2020). Smartphone-based ecological momentary assessment (EMA) in psychiatric patients and student controls: A real-world feasibility study. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 274, 733–741. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2020.05.067>
- Scott, C. K., Foss, M. A., & Dennis, M. L. (2005). Pathways in the relapse–treatment–recovery cycle over 3 years. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 28 Suppl 1, S63–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2004.09.006>
- van Genugten, C. R., Schuurmans, J., Lamers, F., Riese, H., Penninx, B. W. J. H., Schoevers, R. A., Riper, H. M., & Smit, J. H. (2020). Experienced Burden of and Adherence to Smartphone-Based Ecological Momentary Assessment in Persons with Affective Disorders. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 9(2), 322. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9020322>
- Witkiewitz, K. (2011). Predictors of Heavy Drinking During and Following Treatment. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors : Journal of the Society of Psychologists in Addictive Behaviors*, 25(3), 426–438. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0022889>
- Witkiewitz, K., & Marlatt, G. A. (2004). Relapse prevention for alcohol and drug problems: That was Zen, this is Tao. *The American Psychologist*, 59(4), 224–235. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.59.4.224>
- Wyant, K., Moshontz, H., Ward, S. B., Fronk, G. E., & Curtin, J. J. (2023). Acceptability of Personal Sensing Among People With Alcohol Use Disorder: Observational Study. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, 11, e41833. <https://doi.org/10.2196/41833>

Wyant, K., Sant'Ana, S. J., Fronk, G. E., & Curtin, J. J. (2024). Machine learning models for temporally precise lapse prediction in alcohol use disorder. *Journal of Psychopathology and Clinical Science*, 133(7), 527–540. <https://doi.org/10.1037/abn0000901>