

# An Introduction to Macros

jens.jensen@stfc.ac.uk

June 11, 2021

# Outline

## Lisp Macros - a Brief Overview

- Macros in a nutshell

- Tools for writing good macros

- Practical macros

- Macros and side effects

- Macros in Common Lisp

- dolist

- Macro mechanics

- Advanced topics

- ▶ Mostly covering both Common and Emacs Lisp
  - ▶ Otherwise you should demand your money back (you'll see why)
- ▶ Macros receive code unevaluated
- ▶ Then macros (pre)process the code
- ▶ And the processed code is then evaluated
- ▶ In other words, *macros map code to code*

# Macros' Processing

- ▶ Macros *expand* prior to evaluation of code
- ▶ Unlike inferior languages, macros apply both at runtime and compile time
  - ▶ (Common Lisp has a compile-time-only macro)
  - ▶ Some implementations JIT-compile everything anyway
- ▶ Compilers can of course eliminate unreachable code

```
(defmacro brittle-add-5 (x) (+ x 5))  
(brittle-add-5 7)  
;; 12
```

- ▶ How is this different from defun?
- ▶ It would fail on (brittle-macro (+ 2 3)) because (+ 2 3) is not numeric (it is a list)
  - ▶ The macro is called with x bound to the list (+ 2 3)
  - ▶ It is expected to process this list
- ▶ In contrast, if it were a defun this is what would happen:
  - ▶ Arguments would be evaluated (+ 2 3) → 5
  - ▶ The *function* would be called with x bound to 5
  - ▶ The function would return 12, as before

## Fixing it

```
(defmacro add-5 (x) (list '+ x 5))
```

Consider the evaluation of `(add-5 (+ 2 3))`.

- ▶ First, the macro is called with `x` bound to the list `(+ 2 3)`
- ▶ The macro then constructs the list `(+ (+ 2 3) 5)`
- ▶ This list is returned to Lisp from the macro
- ▶ Lisp then evaluates the result, returning 10.

A function would do it differently (reminder):

- ▶ First, Lisp evaluates `(+ 2 3)` to get 5.
- ▶ Lisp then binds `x` to 5 and calls the function body
- ▶ If the above were a function, it would return `(+ 5 5)` as a list of three elements

## Slightly more interesting Example: if

If a predicate evaluates to True, evaluate the 'then' branch, otherwise evaluate the 'else' branch. The other branch is not evaluated at all.

- ▶ (Normal) `if` is a *special form*, not a function
- ▶ A *function* would have all its arguments evaluated before it was called

## Simple Test code

```
(defun test-my-if ()  
  (cons  
    (let ((a 0) (b 7))  
      ;; False, but needs evaluation to become false  
      (and  
(eq1 (my-if (endp '(a b)) (incf a) (incf b)) 8)  
(eq1 a 0) (eq1 b 8)))  
    (let ((a 0) (b 7))  
      ;; True  
      (and  
(eq1 (my-if t (incf a) (incf b)) 1)  
(eq1 a 1) (eq1 b 7))))))
```

- ▶ Replacing my-if with if makes the function return (t . t)
- ▶ This will test any my-if, whether a macro or function
  - ▶ If you rewrite my-if, it will happily test the rewritten one
    - ▶ No need to reevaluate test-my-if (unless it has been compiled?)

# First approach

We need:

```
(defmacro my-if (pred then else) ...)
```



## Initial attempt:

- ▶ *Evaluate* the pred during macro expansion with `eval`
- ▶ Macro then returns `then` or `else` (as yet unevaluated)
- ▶ Which will then be evaluated subsequently as normal

## Can we do (pseudo code)

... `(if (eval pred) then else)`

except of course it then needs `if` to do `my-if`.

# A better approach to my-if

## Restructure, don't evaluate

- ▶ `(and pred then)` would do the trick for the then clause alone
  - ▶ Problem solved, if there were no else clause
- ▶ Of course this relies on the `and` special form...

```
(defmacro my-if (pred then else)
  ;; Doesn't work yet, but it illustrates the solution
  (or (and pred then) else))
```

## Finally, my-if

- ▶ We need to construct the code/list that is returned
- ▶ This is done by the macro, using the constituents

```
(defmacro my-if (pred then else)
  "Three part if: evaluate then if pred evaluates to true, c
  (list 'or (list 'and pred then) else))
```

```
(test-my-if)
;; (t . t)
```

## Shortcomings of the `my-if`

- ▶ All larger macros will need some element of code/list construction
- ▶ No scope for `progn`
  - ▶ Exactly one `then` statement
  - ▶ Exactly one `else` statement

## Fixing the list construction - backquote

- ▶ Like `'(a b)` is a short hand for `(quote (a b))`
  - ▶ which *evaluates* to `(a b)`
- ▶ *Backquotes* are short hand (in fact, macros!) for constructing lists:
  - ▶ Inside the list, comma inserts the value of a variable into the result
  - ▶ Inside the list, `,@` splices a variable containing a list into the result

```
'(foo . bar)
;; (foo . bar)
(let ((a 1) (b '(1 2)))
  '(foo ,a bar ,b baz ,@b quux))
;; (foo 1 bar (1 2) baz 1 2 quux)
```

# Backquote

- ▶ Backquote is not just for macros, it works any time you want lists!
- ▶ Interpolated lists must be proper lists (not dotted)
  - ▶ (Unless it's at the end where CDR can be not NIL)

```
(let ((a 1) (b '(1 2)))  
  '(foo ,a bar ,b baz ,@b quux))  
;; (foo 1 bar (1 2) baz 1 2 quux)  
(let ((a 1) (b '(1 2)))  
  ;; Backquote above could expand to (in CL)...  
  (concatenate 'list (list 'foo a 'bar b baz) b (list 'quux)))
```

# Backquote magic

- Interpolation works on any sexp, not just symbols that name variables:

```
(let ((a 1) (b 2))  
  '(fred ,a wilma ,b barney ,(+ a b) betty ,@(list b a)))  
;; (fred 1 wilma 2 barney 3 betty 2 1)
```

## Fixing my-if

We can now tidy up my-if:

```
(defmacro my-if (pred then else)
  "If pred evaluates to true, evaluate then, otherwise eval
  '(or (and ,pred ,then) ,else))"
```

- ▶ Using short-circuit features of and and or
- ▶ This is still a bit like using if to implement my-if



## Another example - timing code

- ▶ ELisp doesn't have a time function
- ▶ (adapted from last year's Advent of Code)

```
(defmacro time (&rest code)
  "Return the wallclock runtime of code.  Returns a cons of
  '(let* ((now (current-time))
  (retval (progn ,@code))
  (then (time-subtract (current-time) now)))
    (cons (+ (ash (nth 0 then) 16) (nth 1 then)
            (/ (nth 2 then) 1000000.0)
            (/ (nth 3 then) (expt 10. 12))))
  retval)))
```

## time macro

- ▶ Notice the lambda list use of `&rest` to give us `progn`
- ▶ These are ELisp specific, CL macros have a `&body` to capture the body
  - ▶ `&body` and `&rest` are functionally equivalent but `&body` indicates intent
- ▶ These *do* have implicit `progn`
- ▶ Using named variables inside the backquote is not usually a good idea
  - ▶ Because they run at execution time, after macro expansion has finished
  - ▶ Sometimes it's required, sometimes it's OK, sometimes it's forbidden

## Named variables (anaphora examples)

```
(defmacro awhile (test &rest body)
  "Anaphoric while; the value of the test is available as 'it'"
  `(let ((it ,test))
      (while (setq it ,test) ,@body)))

(defmacro awhen (test &rest body)
  "Anaphoric when"
  `(let ((it ,test)) (when it ,@body)))
```

## Anaphoric when/if example

```
(awhile (next-case *state*) (print it))
```

► Instead of

```
(let (m)
  (while (setq m (next-case *state*))
    (print m)))
```

# Temporary variables 1

- ▶ In *functions* (lexical) temp vars will just shadow anything else with the same name
- ▶ In macros, naming t.v.s is potentially bad:

```
(defmacro run-twice (expr)
  "(bad impl) Run an expression twice and return a cons of t"
  '(let ((a ,expr) (b ,expr))
      (cons a (equal a b))))
```

## Temporary variables 2

- Solution: generate the variable names in the macro

```
(defmacro run-twice (expr)
  "Run an expression twice and return a cons of the result a
  (let ((var1 (gensym)) (var2 (gensym)))
    '(let ((,var1 ,expr) (,var2 ,expr))
      (cons ,var1 (equal ,var1 ,var2)))))
```

- Note how the *names* of the temporary variables are held in `var1` and `var2`
- These will never conflict with any variable named in `expr`

Consider this implementation of a pushnew macro:

```
(defmacro pushnew (item place &optional test)
  "Bad pushnew"
  '(unless (funcall (or test #'member) ,item ,place)
    ;; call returns updated place, from push
    (push ,item ,place)))
```

Code passed in as `item` and `place` get duplicated so could be called twice.

We will return to pushnew later...

# Destructuring

- ▶ *Destructuring* in CL makes it easy to extend the language:
- ▶ The lambda list can be a *structure*:

```
(defmacro my-dolist  
  ((var-name list &optional final-form) . body)  
  ...)
```

In a call `(dolist (i '(a b c) (frob)) (let ((x (blarf i))) ...))` the macro will expand with `var-name` bound to `i`, `list` is bound to `(quote (a b c))`, `final-form` is bound to `(frob)` (as yet unevaluated).

Note the `.` before the body variable, ensuring that body binds to everything else in the `dolist` statement - as opposed to just capturing the next single statement. Thanks to the dot, `dolist` has implicit `progn` (in its body):

```
(dolist (a b) c d e) == (dolist (a b) . (c d e))
```



## Destructuring 2

Destructuring must have the exact structure, or it won't match.  
(We will see a version later not using destructuring)

```
(let ((stuff '(var-name (1 2 3 4))))  
  ;; Doesn't work, stuff is not expanded to match  
  (dolist stuff (print var-name)))
```

This is correct:

```
(dolist (var-name '(1 2 3 4)) (print var-name))
```

## Final notes on destructuring

- ▶ Destructuring macros are cleaner than the `(let ...)`
  - ▶ Bindings in Lambda list are valid only during macro expansion
- ▶ Destructuring can introduce *declarations* on their parameters

```
(defmacro my-dolist ((var my-list) . body)
  (declare (type symbol var) (type list my-list))
  ...)
```

- ▶ Common Lisp has `destructuring-bind` available for general code

## dolist 1

- ▶ Let's use this to write a dolist macro (for CL initially):

```
(defmacro my-dolist ((var lst &optional final) . body)
  ;; preamble
  ;; build-expr
  )
```

as in

(my-dolist (i '(1 2 3)) (print i)) where during expansion,

- ▶ var is bound to i
- ▶ lst is bound to (quote (1 2 3))
- ▶ final is bound to NIL
- ▶ body is bound to ((print i))

## dolist 2

- ▶ We need a variable to hold the list
  - ▶ Because the list is bound to `lst` during macro expansion
  - ▶ But the binding is not active when the code is to be run

```
(defmacro my-dolist ((var lst &optional final) . body)
  (let ((list-var (gensym)))
    ...))
```

- ▶ The `lst` must be evaluated only once
  - ▶ In case it has side effects
  - ▶ E.g. `(my-dolist (i (expensive-read-returning-list))  
 (print i))`

## dolist 3

Full dolist (CL version), using the tagbody primitive (very low level construct) to demonstrate code without using other macros

```
(defmacro my-dolist ((var lst &optional final) . body)
  (let ((list-var (gensym)))
    `(let (,var (,list-var ,lst))
      (tagbody
loop
  (when (endp ,list-var) (go done))
  (setq ,var (car ,list-var) ,list-var (cdr ,list-var))
  (progn ,@body)
  (go loop)
done)
      ,final)))
```

## dolist 4

Testing my-dolist:

```
(my-dolist (i '(1 2 3) 'foo) (print i))
```

1

2

3

FOO

```
(my-dolist (i nil 'safe) (destroy-universe))
```

SAFE

## dolist 5

Back to Emacs; temporary version using named temporaries - first attempt:

```
(defmacro my-dolist (&rest everything)
  (let ((var (caar everything))
        (lst (cadar everything))
        (final (caddar everything))
        (body (cdr everything)))
    `(let (,var (list-var ,lst))
      (while list-var
        (setq ,var (car list-var) list-var (cdr list-var))
        ,@body)
      ,final)))
```

- ▶ Emacs macros do not have destructuring, so we have to pick the structure apart by hand

## dolist 6

Final version for Emacs Lisp:

```
(defmacro my-dolist (&rest everything)
  (let ((var (caar everything)) ; iterator var
        (list-var (gensym))) ; name for list
    `(let (,var (,list-var ,(cadar everything)))
      (while ,list-var
        ;; pop list into var
        (setq ,var (car ,list-var) ,list-var (cdr ,list-var))
        ,@(cdr everything)) ; body
      ,(caddar everything))) ; finally eval final
```

- This is likely different from the one in the CL package



# Debugging macros

What is the macro doing:

```
(macroexpand-1 '(incf (aref b 3)))  
;; (setf (aref b 1) (1+ (aref b 1)))
```

- ▶ It does not matter that `b` is not bound, as the expression is not evaluated
- ▶ `macroexpand-1` needs the car to be a macro or it does no magic
- ▶ `macroexpand` will do a complete expansion until the expression doesn't change

## Back to pushnew

```
(macroexpand-1 '(pushnew 'x a))  
(cl-pushnew 'x a)  
(macroexpand-1 '(cl-pushnew 'x a))  
(if (memql 'x a) (with-no-warnings a) (setq a (cons 'x a)))  
(macroexpand-1 '(cl-pushnew (incf x) (aref b 2)))  
(cl-callf2 cl-adjoin (incf x) (aref b 2))
```

# Compilation

- ▶ Macros must be defined and available at compile time

(for another talk, or two)

- ▶ `macroexpand-hook` (CL)
- ▶ Local and recursive macros (`macrolet` instead of `flet`)
- ▶ Nested backquotes `'(...foo '(',fred ,,ernie ,@,blezp))`
- ▶ Compilation and macros
  - ▶ Compiler macros (CL)
- ▶ Reader macros (CL?)
  - ▶ Like C++'s `operator""` only, of course, more powerful
- ▶ Environments (CL) (when the macro is *defined* vs *expanded*)
  - ▶ Environments provide (non-CLOS) *introspection*