

# WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION

G/L/123

29 October 1996

(96-4499)

## Committee on Anti-Dumping Practices

### REPORT (1996) OF THE COMMITTEE ON ANTI-DUMPING PRACTICES

#### I. Organization of the work of the Committee

1. The Agreement on Implementation of Article VI of the General Agreement of Tariffs and Trade (hereinafter "the Agreement") entered into force on 1 January 1995. All Members of the WTO are *ipso facto* members of the Committee on Anti-Dumping Practices established under the Agreement.

2. Observer governments in the General Council of the WTO have Observer status in the Committee. In addition, the Committee invited, on an *ad hoc* basis, representatives of the World Bank, OECD, IMF and UNCTAD to attend meetings of the Committee in an observer capacity. At its regular meeting on 21 October 1996, the Committee took note of the decision of the General Council regarding the status of international organizations as Observers to the WTO and authorized the Chairman to consult informally on which international intergovernmental organizations would be granted observer status in the Committee. Pending the outcome of such consultations, the Committee agreed to continue to invite those organizations which had been following the Committee's meetings on an ad-hoc basis.

3. The focus of this report is on the period since the Committee's last annual report ((G/L/34), that is, 31 October 1995 - 21 October 1996. However, where relevant, information from the previous period is reported. During the period under review, the Committee held four meetings. Regular meetings of the Committee were held on 29 April 1996 and 21 October 1996 (G/ADP/M/7 and M/9 respectively). Special meetings of the Committee to review notifications of legislation were held jointly with the Committee on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures on 4-8 December 1995 and 24-26 April 1996 (G/ADP/M/6 and M/8 respectively).

4. Mr. Mohan Kumar (India) was elected Chairman of the Committee at its first meeting in February 1995, and Mr. John McNab (Canada) was elected Vice-Chairman. The Committee at its meeting of 29 April 1996 elected Mr. Ole Lundby (Norway) as its Chairman, and Mr. Kajit Sukhum (Thailand) as its Vice-Chairman. Pursuant to the Committee's rules of procedure, they took office at the end of that meeting.

#### II. Notification and examination of anti-dumping laws and/or regulations of Members

5. In the area of anti-dumping, WTO rules are implemented through Members' national legislation. Pursuant to Article 18.5 of the Agreement, as amplified by a decision of the Committee, Members with available legislation and/or regulations regarding anti-dumping duty investigations or reviews covered by the Agreement should notify the full and integrated text of the relevant legislation and/or regulations to the Committee. If such legislation and/or regulations do not exist or are not available, the Member should inform the Committee of this fact, and in the case of non-availability, explain the reasons therefor. These notifications have been treated as unrestricted documents from the outset. In addition, the Committee decided, at its special meeting of 21 February 1995 that Observer governments should provide the Committee with any information the Observer government considers relevant to matters within the

purview of the Agreement, including the text of its laws and regulations regarding anti-dumping duties, and information regarding any anti-dumping measures taken by the Observer government.

6. 84 Members had notified the Committee regarding their domestic anti-dumping legislation.<sup>1</sup> These notifications can be found in document series G/ADP/N/1/.... . 40 Members had not, as yet, made any notification under Article 18.5 of the Agreement. Annex A sets out the status of notifications of legislation under Article 18.5 of the Agreement. Of the 84 Members submitting notifications, 17 notified that they had no specific legislation relating to anti-dumping, 31 notified new legislation, and 36 notified pre-WTO legislation still in force. Of the 53 Members notifying no anti-dumping legislation or pre-WTO legislation still in force, 35 indicated that new legislation is being considered or drafted. In addition, 26 Members indicated that the WTO Agreement has force of law in the territory of the Member.

7. During the period under review, the Committee continued the work of reviewing notifications of anti-dumping laws and/or regulations begun in 1995. In addition to the legislations and notifications without legislative text reviewed during the previous period, the Committee reviewed the notifications of anti-dumping legislation of the following Members in two special meetings held jointly with the Committee on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures: Barbados, Bolivia, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, Ecuador, Iceland, Israel, Jamaica, Japan, Malawi, Malaysia, Norway, Philippines, Romania, Saint Lucia, Slovenia, South Africa, Thailand, Trinidad & Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, and Zambia. The Committee also reviewed the notifications without legislative text of the following Members: Botswana, Cyprus, Dominican Republic, El Salvador, Guatemala, Republic of Guinea, Honduras, Hong Kong, Indonesia, Macau, Maldives, Malta, Morocco, Nicaragua, Pakistan, Paraguay, Poland, Sri Lanka, Suriname, Turkey, Uganda, and Zimbabwe. The substance of the review is reflected in the written questions put to Members, and their written answers. References to these questions and answers can be found in the minutes of the joint special meetings to review legislation, G/ADP/M/6 and Suppl.1 and M/8. References to the questions and answers for the legislative review meetings held during 1995 can be found in the minutes of the relevant meetings, G/ADP/M/3 and Suppl.1, and G/ADP/M/4 and Suppl.1.

8. As of the end of April 1996, the Committee had conducted an initial review of all notifications received to date that had been circulated to Members in time to allow preparation for the review sessions. Four special meetings to review legislation have been held jointly with the Committee on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures since July 1995. At its special meeting in December 1995, the Committee decided that for the immediate future, joint special meetings to review legislation were no longer needed, and the review of legislation, both newly notified and previously reviewed, would take place in the context of regular Committee meetings. The Committee adopted procedures for the continued review of legislation (G/ADP/W/284), based primarily on a process of written questions and answers, to facilitate productive discussions during the continued review of legislations. Review of new and amended legislations would follow the same procedures used during the joint special meetings to review legislation. References to the questions and answers submitted regarding continued review of notifications of legislation can be found in the minutes of the regular meeting of the Committee, G/ADP/M/9.

9. As of the end of the period under review, a significant number of written questions put to Members during the course of the legislative review meetings remained unanswered. Nonetheless, the Chairman had expressed satisfaction with the progress that had been made during the meetings. Questions put to Members ranged from those regarding general, policy matters to very specific and highly technical questions of national administration of anti-dumping measures. Among the concerns raised by Members were perceived inconsistencies between the Agreement and both newly-enacted legislation and legislation enacted prior to the entry into force of the Agreement. In addition, Members expressed concern regarding the potential for actions inconsistent with the Agreement if such actions are based on legislation enacted

---

<sup>1</sup>The EC is counted as 16 Members.

prior to the entry into force of the Agreement. Another concern was the complexity of the procedural and substantive requirements of the Agreement, and the need for significant training and education, particularly for new users of anti-dumping measures and developing countries, to ensure that actions were taken consistently with the Agreement.

### III. Semi-annual reports on anti-dumping actions taken by Members

10. Article 16.4 of the Agreement provides that Members shall submit, on a semi-annual basis, reports on anti-dumping duty actions taken within the preceding six months. Pursuant to the recommendation of the Informal Contact Group (PC/IPL/11, Annex 7), which was adopted by the Committee at its 21 February meeting (G/ADP/M/1, paras. 21-22), the first semi-annual report submitted by each WTO Member would cover the period July-December or January-June, whichever was more recent, preceding the date of entry into force of the WTO Agreement for that Member. In addition, Members taking no action during a given period are requested to so notify the Committee.

11. Guidelines for information to be provided in semi-annual reports submitted pursuant to Article 16.4 are contained in document G/ADP/1. Submission of semi-annual reports by Members known to be users of anti-dumping measures has improved since early 1995. A significant number of Members have never filed a semi-annual report, however. While many if not most of these Members are believed not to be users of anti-dumping measures, in the absence of semi-annual reports, the situation remains uncertain. The Committee reviewed the notifications of action for the periods 1 July-31 December 1995 and 1 January-30 June 1996 at its regular meetings in April and October. In addition to specific questions raised concerning the actions taken by Members, concern was expressed over the lack of notifications, and the fact that notifications often did not follow the format set forth in the guidelines. The comments of Members are reflected in the minutes of the regular meetings, G/ADP/M/7 and M/9.

12. **Semi-annual reports for the period 1 July-31 December 1995.** 33 of 107 Members<sup>2</sup> subject to the obligation to submit reports for this period (31 per cent) had notified the Committee that they had not taken any anti-dumping actions during this period. Semi-annual reports of actions taken during this period were received from 22 Members (21 per cent). No semi-annual report was received from 52 Members (48 per cent). The semi-annual reports have been circulated in document series G/ADP/N/9/..., and the status of semi-annual reports received was circulated in document G/ADP/N/9/Add.1/Rev.2, and is set out in Annex B.

13. **Semi-annual reports for the period 1 January-30 June 1996.** 27 of 109 Members<sup>2</sup> subject to the obligation to submit reports for this period (25 per cent) had notified the Committee that they had not taken any anti-dumping actions during this period. Semi-annual reports of actions taken during this period were received from 19 Members (17 per cent). No semi-annual report was received from 63 Members (58 per cent). The semi-annual reports have been circulated in document series G/ADP/N/16/..., and the status of semi-annual reports received was circulated in document G/ADP/N/16/Add.1, and is set out in Annex B.

14. A Table summarising anti-dumping actions taken by Members during the period 1 July 1995 - 30 June 1996 is reproduced in Annex C to this report.

### IV. Reports on all preliminary or final anti-dumping actions

---

<sup>2</sup>The EC is counted as 1 Member.

15. Pursuant to Article 16.4 of the Agreement, Members are to report without delay to the Committee all preliminary and final anti-dumping actions taken. Guidelines for the information to be contained in these reports are set forth in G/ADP/2. Reports of preliminary and final anti-dumping actions during the period under consideration had been received from Argentina, Australia, Canada, the European Communities, Guatemala, Korea, Malaysia, Mexico, New Zealand, Peru, South Africa, Turkey, the United States, and Venezuela. (G/ADP/N/7, N/8, N/10, N/11, N/12, N/13, N/15, N/17, N/18, and N/19). While such reports are regularly submitted by some users of anti-dumping measures, a number of Members known to have taken preliminary and final actions, including some who have filed semi-annual reports regarding their actions, have not reported those actions without delay to the Committee. The Committee reviewed the notifications of preliminary and final actions at its regular meetings in April and October. In addition to specific questions raised concerning the actions taken by Members, concern was expressed over the lack of notifications from numerous members. The comments of Members are reflected in the minutes of the regular meetings, G/ADP/M/7 and M/9.

V. Other matters discussed by the Committee

16. **Rules of Procedure:** At its regular meeting in April, the Committee adopted Rules of Procedure (G/ADP/4), based on the Rules of the General Council and of the Council for Trade in Goods, and incorporating relevant changes to make them applicable to the Committee. The Council for Trade in Goods subsequently approved the Committee's Rules of Procedure at its meeting of 22 May 1996.

17. **Notification of Competent Authorities:** At its regular meeting in April, the Committee decided to request Members to notify the name, address, telephone and fax number, and electronic mail address where available, of their authorities competent to initiate and conduct anti-dumping investigations. This notification would be made once, subject to updating or correcting notifications should the relevant information of any Member change. The list containing the information notified by Members is maintained by the Secretariat and circulated in addenda to document G/ADP/N/14. The following Members had notified relevant information to the Secretariat: Argentina, Australia, Bolivia, Brazil, Canada, Chile, EC, Guatemala, Hong Kong, Hungary, Iceland, Israel, Jamaica, Kenya, Korea, Mauritius, Mexico, New Zealand, Norway, Peru, Romania, Singapore, Slovenia, Switzerland, Thailand, Turkey, Uganda, United States, Venezuela, and Zambia (G/ADP/N/14/Add.3). At the Committee's regular meeting in October, it was proposed that the Committee ask Members to notify, separately from their notifications of legislation and/or regulations, their domestic procedures for the conduct of anti-dumping investigations.

18. **Ad Hoc Group on Implementation:** At its regular meeting in April, the Committee decided to establish an Ad Hoc Group on Implementation, to prepare recommendations to the Committee on issues where agreement seems possible. In addition, the Ad Hoc Group could consider other issues regarding implementation on which Members believe discussions would be helpful, and report to the Committee. Members were requested to submit proposals for items the Group could discuss to the Secretariat. The Secretariat circulated the suggestions received in document G/ADP/W/399. At an informal meeting on 1 October 1996, Members considered those suggestions, and generally agreed on a group of topics that might appropriately be referred by the Committee to the Ad Hoc Group for consideration at this time. In addition, Members considered favourably a proposal that the work of the Ad Hoc Group proceed by discussing in the order received, written papers and proposals submitted by any Member on any topic referred to the Group. It was also considered that the Ad Hoc Group could discuss more than one topic at a given meeting, provided that proposals had been made by Members. The Ad Hoc Group could make recommendations to the Committee on the topics referred to it. At its regular meeting in October, the Committee decided on a group of topics that it referred to the Ad Hoc Group for discussion and consideration of possible recommendations to the Committee.

19. **Procedures for preparation and adoption of Annual Report:** At its regular meeting in April, the Committee considered the procedures for the preparation and adoption of its annual report adopted

at its first meeting in February 1995, in light of suggestions from the Chairman of the General Council. The Committee decided that the Secretariat should prepare a draft report in the same format as had been used in the previous year's report, incorporating from that report those aspects of implementation that would help explain the progress that the Committee had made. The Secretariat was also directed to draft a short section on anti-circumvention, reporting on what the Committee had done and on the informal consultations during the year. The draft report would be circulated to Members at the end of September or in early October, at which time the Committee would have to decide whether it should meet informally in advance of the regular October meeting to discuss any additional matters for inclusion in the report.

#### VI. Anti-Circumvention

20. At its meeting on 30 October 1995, the Committee had authorized the Chairman to engage in informal consultations with a view to reporting back to the Committee at its meeting in December on how the Committee is going to respond to the Ministerial Decision, including terms of reference and procedural issues. The Chairman held such informal consultations on 21 November 1995. A substantial number of Members took part, and the discussion focused on the matters regarding how the discussions should proceed.

21. Based on the Chairman's report regarding the informal consultations, presented to the Committee at its special meeting in December 1995, the Committee authorized him to continue to consult informally on the task set for the Committee by the Ministerial Decision on Anti-Circumvention. The Chairman noted that Members might, in the first informal consultations, wish to discuss the course the informal consultations should take and the major topics that should be considered. Among the examples mentioned in informal consultations were the scope of the issue of anti-circumvention and whether circumvention can be dealt with through existing mechanisms under the Agreement. The Chairman further stated that the informal consultations would be, of course, open to any interested Member, and without prejudice to any Member's rights and obligations under the Agreement, or to any Member's raising anti-circumvention during meetings of the Committee, and undertook to inform the Committee periodically on developments in these informal consultations. The Chairman also suggested that, if any Member had specific issues it believed should be discussed during the first informal consultations, they might submit their suggestions to the Chairman, who would undertake to communicate these ideas to interested Members in advance of the first informal consultations, since they might help focus initial discussions.

22. Several delegations submitted suggestions concerning the framework for the informal consultations, and topics to be discussed. Further informal consultations were held 7 March 1996. Again, the discussion focused principally on the question of the framework for continued informal consultations, including a proposal made by the Chairman, but no agreement was reached. Additional papers on a proposed framework for continued discussions were presented during another round of informal consultations on 30 April 1996. Several Members made proposals, as did the Chairman, but no consensus was achieved on the framework for continued discussions. The Chairman continued to consult with delegations in an attempt to find a basis for agreement on a framework for continued discussions, and invited interested Members to further informal consultations following the Committee's regular meeting in October.

#### VII. Concluding observations

23. The Committee considered that, in general, good progress had been made in the first two years in implementing the Agreement. However, the Committee considered that much remained to be done, and that additional efforts from Members were required in order to achieve full implementation of the Agreement.

24. The Committee observed that one of its major tasks during the first two years of the Agreement had been to review the domestic anti-dumping legislations notified by Members. The review exercise indicated that implementation in this regard was less than complete. Not all Members that are current or potential users of anti-dumping measures had completed the domestic legislative processes to incorporate the relevant requirements of the Agreement. Thus, further efforts were required in order to ensure substantive implementation of the Agreement. In addition, during the meetings to review notifications of legislation, a variety of issues regarding the WTO-consistency of notified legislations were raised. The meetings provided Members with an opportunity to seek clarification of issues arising out of other Members' legislation. Generally, Members were able to clarify the issues raised. Both Members notifying legislation and those submitting questions generally found the process helpful and wished to continue this work in the Committee. The Committee thought it extremely important that Members carefully consider all questions posed, comments made and replies provided in the context of these review sessions.

25. In addition, the Committee considered that further efforts were required in order that all Members submitted complete notifications of anti-dumping actions taken and semi-annual reports on a timely basis. Full transparency was essential to ensure surveillance and monitoring of the implementation of the Agreement. While the achievement of this goal depended primarily on the efforts of individual Members, the Committee could examine steps that might be taken to improve compliance by, *inter alia*, informing concerned governments of compliance problems, and assisting developing country Members to meet their notification obligations.

26. The Committee noted that the procedural and substantive requirements of the new Agreement were detailed, and that its implementation required substantial expertise and the commitment of substantial resources by Members. The Ad Hoc Group on Implementation had been created to discuss, and if possible make recommendations to the Committee on, issues concerning the implementation of the Agreement. The Committee considered that maximum efforts should be made to assist Members, and in particular developing country Members, to achieve full implementation of the Agreement.

27. The Committee observed that discussions with respect to the Ministerial Decision on Anti-Circumvention would continue.

**ANNEX A**  
**ANTI-DUMPING LEGISLATION NOTIFICATIONS**

<b>MEMBER</b>	<b>NOTIFICATION PROVIDED</b>
Antigua and Barbuda	
Argentina	G/ADP/N/1/ARG/1 + Suppl.1
Australia	G/ADP/N/1/AUS/1 + Suppl.1
Bahrain	
Bangladesh	
Barbados	G/ADP/N/1/BRB/1
Belize	
Benin	
Bolivia	G/ADP/N/1/BOL/1 + Suppl.1
Botswana	G/ADP/N/1/BWA/1
Brazil	G/ADP/N/1/BRA/1 + Suppl.1
Brunei Darussalam	
Burkina Faso	
Burundi	
Cameroon	
Canada	G/ADP/N/1/CAN/2
Central African Republic	
Chad	
Chile	G/ADP/N/1/CHL/1
Colombia	G/ADP/N/1/COL/1
Costa Rica	G/ADP/N/1/CRI/1
Côte d'Ivoire	G/ADP/N/1/CIV/1
Cuba	G/ADP/N/1/CUB/1 + Suppl.1
Cyprus	G/ADP/N/1/CYP/2
Czech Republic	G/ADP/N/1/CZE/1
Djibouti	
Dominica	
Dominican Republic	G/ADP/N/1/DOM/2
European Communities <sup>3</sup>	G/ADP/N/1/EEC/2 + Corr.1

<sup>3</sup>The EC is counted as 16 Members.

Ecuador	G/ADP/N/1/ECU/1
Egypt	G/ADP/N/1/EGY/1
El Salvador	G/ADP/N/1/SLV/1
Fiji	
Gabon	
Ghana	
Grenada	
Guatemala	G/ADP/N/1/GTM/2
Guinea Bissau	
Guinea, Republic of	G/ADP/N/1/GIN/1
Guyana	
Haiti	
Honduras	G/ADP/N/1/HND/2
Hong Kong	G/ADP/N/1/HKG/1
Hungary	G/ADP/N/1/HUN/1
Iceland	G/ADP/N/1/ISL/1
India	G/ADP/N/1/IND/2 + Corr.1 + Suppl.1
Indonesia	G/ADP/N/1/IDN/2
Israel	G/ADP/N/1/ISR/2
Jamaica	G/ADP/N/1/JAM/1
Japan	G/ADP/N/1/JPN/2 + Corr.1 & 2 + Suppl.1
Kenya	G/ADP/N/1/KEN/1
Korea	G/ADP/N/1/KOR/1 + Corr.1 & 2
Kuwait	
Lesotho	
Liechtenstein	
Macau	G/ADP/N/1/MAC/1
Madagascar	
Malawi	G/ADP/N/1/MWI/1 + Corr.1
Malaysia	G/ADP/N/1/MYS/1

Maldives	G/ADP/N/1/MDV/1
Mali	
Malta	G/ADP/N/1/MLT/1
Mauritania	
Mauritius	G/ADP/N/1/MUS/2
Mexico	G/ADP/N/1/MEX/1 + Corr.1 & 2
Morocco	G/ADP/N/1/MAR/1
Mozambique	
Myanmar	
Namibia	
New Zealand	G/ADP/N/1/NZL/2
Nicaragua	G/ADP/N/1/NIC/1
Nigeria	
Norway	G/ADP/N/1/NOR/3
Pakistan	G/ADP/N/1/PAK/1
Papua New Guinea	
Paraguay	G/ADP/N/1/PRY/1
Peru	G/ADP/N/1/PER/1 + Suppl.1 + Corr.1
Philippines	G/ADP/N/1/PHL/1
Poland	G/ADP/N/1/POL/1
Qatar	
Romania	G/ADP/N/1/ROM/1
Rwanda	
Saint Kitts & Nevis	
Saint Lucia	G/ADP/N/1/LCA/1
Saint Vincent & Grenadines	
Senegal	G/ADP/N/1/SEN/1
Sierra Leone	
Singapore	G/ADP/N/1/SGP/1
Slovak Republic	G/ADP/N/1/SVK/1
Slovenia	G/ADP/N/1/SVN/1

Solomon Islands	
South Africa	G/ADP/N/1/ZAF/1
Sri Lanka	G/ADP/N/1/LKA/1
Suriname	G/ADP/N/1/SUR/1
Swaziland	G/ADP/N/1/SWZ/1
Switzerland	G/ADP/N/1/CHE/1
Tanzania	
Thailand	G/ADP/N/1/THA/2 + Corr.1
Togo	
Trinidad and Tobago	G/ADP/N/1/TTO/1 + Corr.1
Tunisia	G/ADP/N/1/TUN/1
Turkey	G/ADP/N/1/TUR/2
Uganda	G/ADP/N/UGA/2
United Arab Emirates	
United States	G/ADP/N/1/USA/1 + Corr.1 + Suppl.1
Uruguay	G/ADP/N/1/URY/2
Venezuela	G/ADP/N/1/VEN/1 + Suppl.1 & 2
Zambia	G/ADP/N/1/ZMB/1
Zimbabwe	G/ADP/N/1/ZWE/2

**ANNEX B**  
**SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS**

**Key:**   **X** = Semi-annual report of actions taken submitted  
**N** = Report of no actions taken submitted  
**not applicable** = obligation did not apply to Member for that period  
**blank** = No report submitted

MEMBER	1 July - 31 December 1995	1 January - 30 June 1996
Antigua and Barbuda		
Argentina	X	X
Australia	X	X
Bahrain		
Bangladesh		
Barbados	N	
Belize		
Benin		
Bolivia	N	
Botswana		
Brazil	X	X
Brunei Darussalam		
Burkina Faso		
Burundi		
Cameroon		
Canada	X	X
Central African Republic		
Chad	not applicable	
Chile	X	X
Colombia	X	X
Costa Rica	N	
Côte d'Ivoire		
Cuba	N	N
Cyprus	N	N
Czech Republic	N	N

<b>MEMBER</b>	<b>1 July - 31 December 1995</b>	<b>1 January - 30 June 1996</b>
Djibouti		
Dominica		
Dominican Republic	N	N
European Communities <sup>4</sup>	X	X
Ecuador		
Egypt	N	N
El Salvador		
Fiji		
Gabon		
Ghana		
Grenada		
Guatemala	N	X
Guinea Bissau		
Guinea, Republic of		
Guyana		
Haiti		
Honduras	N	N
Hong Kong	N	N
Hungary	N	N
Iceland	N	N
India	X	X
Indonesia		N
Israel	X	X
Jamaica	N	
Japan	X	X
Kenya		
Korea	X	X
Kuwait		N

<sup>4</sup>The EC is counted as 1 Member.

<b>MEMBER</b>	<b>1 July - 31 December 1995</b>	<b>1 January - 30 June 1996</b>
Lesotho		
Liechtenstein		
Macau		
Madagascar		
Malawi		
Malaysia	X	X
Maldives		
Mali		
Malta	N	N
Mauritania		
Mauritius	N	
Mexico	X	X
Morocco	N	N
Mozambique		
Myanmar		
Namibia		
New Zealand	X	X
Nicaragua		
Nigeria		
Norway	N	N
Pakistan		
Papua New Guinea		
Paraguay	N	N
Peru	X	X
Philippines	X	N
Poland	N	
Qatar		
Romania	N	N
Rwanda		
Saint Kitts & Nevis		
Saint Lucia	N	

<b>MEMBER</b>	<b>1 July - 31 December 1995</b>	<b>1 January - 30 June 1996</b>
Saint Vincent & Grenadines		
Senegal	N	N
Sierra Leone		
Singapore	X	N
Slovak Republic	N	N
Slovenia	N	N
Solomon Islands	not applicable	
South Africa	X	
Sri Lanka	N	N
Suriname		
Swaziland	N	
Switzerland	N	N
Tanzania		
Thailand	X	N
Togo		
Trinidad and Tobago		
Tunisia	N	
Turkey	X	X
Uganda		N
United Arab Emirates		N
United States	X	X
Uruguay	N	N
Venezuela	X	X
Zambia	N	
Zimbabwe	N	

ANNEX C<sup>5</sup>  
Summary of Anti-Dumping Actions  
(1 July 1995-30 June 1996)

Initiation		Provisional Measures		Definitive Duties		Price Undertakings		Measures in force on 30 June 1996 (definitive duties and price undertakings)	
No.	Countries <sup>6</sup> involved	No.	Countries involved	No.	Countries involved	No.	Countries involved	No.	Countries involved
<b>ARGENTINA</b>									
42	BRA(10) CHL(2)	CHN(8)	0	NONE		21	BRA(4)	CHN(6)	CHT(2)
	CHT(1)	DEU(3)	ESP(1)				CZE(1)	DEU(1)	HKG(1)
	FRA(1)	KOR(1)	NLD(6)				HUN(1)	IND(1)	JPN(1)
	URY(1)	USA(5)	ZAF(3)				KOR(1)	POL(1)	VEN(1)
<b>AUSTRALIA</b>									
8	CHN(1) GBR(1)	KOR(2)	2	THA(1)	USA(1)	1	CHN(1)		
	MYA(1)	THA(1)	USA(1)					0	NONE
	ZAF(1)								

<sup>5</sup>Includes actions covered by the Tokyo Round Agreement, the WTO Agreement, and Article VI of GATT 1947.

<sup>6</sup>"Countries" refers in all cases to countries or customs territories. A list of the abbreviations used in this table can be found following the table.

Initiation		Provisional Measures (negative preliminary determinations not included)		Definitive Duties (negative determinations not included)		Price Undertakings		Measures in force on 30 June 1996 (definitive duties and price undertakings)
No.	Countries <sup>e</sup> involved	No.	Countries involved	No.	Countries involved	No.	Countries involved	
<b>BRAZIL</b>								
1	CHN(1)	3	CHN(3)	9	BIH(1) CHN(3)	HRV(1) 0	NONE	20
					IND(1) MKD(1) SVN(1)			
					YUG(1)			
<b>CANADA</b>								
6	BRA(1) CHN(1) GBR(1)	12	BRA(1) CHN(1)	DEU(1) 6	DEU(1) DNK(1)	GBR(1) 0	NONE	96
IDN(1)	ITA(1) USA(1)		DNK(1) GBR(2)	IDN(1)	NLD(1) USA(2)			
			ITA(1) KOR(1)	NLD(1)				
			USA(2)					
<b>CHILE</b>								
4	BRA(2) NZL(1)	USA(1) 0	NONE	0	NONE	0	NONE	0
<b>COLOMBIA</b>								
5	CHN(1) KOR(4)	1	CHN(1)	0	NONE	0	NONE	7





Initiation		Provisional Measures (negative preliminary determinations not included)		Definitive Duties (negative determinations not included)		Price Undertakings		Measures in force on 30 June 1996 (definitive duties and price undertakings)
No.	Countries <sup>e</sup> involved	No.	Countries involved	No.	Countries involved	No.	Countries involved	
<b>MEXICO</b>								
3	CHN(1) CHT(1)	USA(1)	1 BRA(1)	20	BRA(6) CAN(2)	CHN(1)	3 VEN(3)	61
					DEU(1) IND(1)	JPN(1)		
					NLD(1) RUS(1)	USA(3)		
					VEN(3)			
<b>NEW ZEALAND</b>								
9	CAN(1) GBR(1)	IDN(1)	2 CHT(1)	KOR(1)	3 CHT(1) GBR(1)	THA(1)	0 NONE	26
	KOR(1)	THA(3)	ZAF(2)					
<b>PERU</b>								
4	CHL(1) CHN(2)	MEX(1)	1 CHN(1)	2 CHN(2)		0 NONE		2
<b>PHILIPPINES<sup>f</sup></b>								
0	NONE		2 CHT(1)	IDN(1)	0 NONE	0	NONE	Info not available
<b>SINGAPORE</b>								
0	NONE	0	NONE	2 MYS(1) TUR(1)	0 NONE	0	NONE	2

<sup>e</sup>The Philippines did not submit a report for the period 1 January - 30 June 1996, Hence, the figures reflect only actions taken during the period 1 July - 31 December 1995.

Initiation		Provisional Measures (negative preliminary determinations not included)		Definitive Duties (negative determinations not included)		Price Undertakings		Measures in force on 30 June 1996 (definitive duties and price undertakings)
No.	Countries <sup>6</sup> involved	No.	Countries involved	No.	Countries involved	No.	Countries involved	
<b>SOUTH AFRICA<sup>9</sup></b>								
14	BEL(1) CHN(1)	DEU(1)	6	BEL(1)*	CHT(2)	FRA(1)	0	NONE
	EGY(1)	ESP(1)		IND(1)*	ITA(1)			
	GBR(2)	HKG(1)	HUN(1)					
	IND(2)	TUR(1)	ZWE(1)					
<b>THAILAND</b>								
0	NONE		0	NONE		0	NONE	1

<sup>9</sup>South Africa did not submit a report for the period 1 January-30 June 1996. Hence, the figures reflect only actions during the period 1 July - 31 December 1995, except for those marked \*, which were taken after 1 January 1996, but reported for the previous period.



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS USED IN ANNEX C

AFG	AFGHANISTAN	GRD	GRENADA	KNA	SAINT KITTS & NEVIS
ALB	ALBANIA	GTM	GUATEMALA	LCA	SAINT LUCIA
DZA	ALGERIA	GNB	GUINEA-BISSAU	SAU	SAUDI ARABIA
ATG	ANTIGUA AND BARBUDA	GIN	GUINEA, REP. OF	SEN	SENEGAL
ARG	ARGENTINA	GUY	GUYANA	SYC	SEYCHELLES
ARM	ARMENIA	HTI	HAITI	SLE	SIERRA LEONE
AUS	AUSTRALIA	HND	HONDURAS	SGP	SINGAPORE
AUT	AUSTRIA	HKG	HONG KONG	SVK	SLOVAK REPUBLIC
AZE	AZERBAIJAN	HUN	HUNGARY	SVN	SLOVENIA
BHS	BAHAMAS	ISL	ICELAND	ZAF	SOUTH AFRICA
BHR	BAHRAIN	IND	INDIA	ESP	SPAIN
BGD	BANGLADESH	IDN	INDONESIA	LKA	SRI LANKA
BRB	BARBADOS	IRN	IRAN	VCT	SAINT VINCENT & GRENADINES
BLR	BELARUS	IRQ	IRAQ		
BEL	BELGIUM	IRL	IRELAND	SDN	SUDAN
BLZ	BELIZE	ISR	ISRAEL	SUR	SURINAME
BEN	BENIN	ITA	ITALY	SWE	SWEDEN
BMU	BERMUDA	JAM	JAMAICA	CHE	SWITZERLAND
BOL	BOLIVIA	JPN	JAPAN	TJK	TAJIKISTAN
BIH	BOSNIA- HERZEGOVINA	JOR	JORDAN	TZA	TANZANIA
BWA	BOTSWANA	KAZ	KAZAKHSTAN	THA	THAILAND
BRA	BRAZIL	KEN	KENYA	TGO	TOGO
BRN	BRUNEI DARUSSALAM	KOR	KOREA	TTG	TRINIDAD & TOBAGO
BGR	BULGARIA	KWT	KUWAIT	TUN	TUNISIA
BFA	BURKINA FASO	KGZ	KYRGYZSTAN	TUR	TURKEY
BUR	BURUNDI	LVA	LATVIA	TKM	TURKMENISTAN
CMR	CAMEROON	LBN	LEBANON	UGA	UGANDA
CAN	CANADA	LSO	LESOTHO	UKR	UKRAINE
CAF	CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC	LIE	LIECHTENSTEIN	ARE	UNITED ARAB EMIRATES
TCD	CHAD	LUX	LUXEMBOURG	GBR	UNITED KINGDOM
CHL	CHILE	MAC	MACAU	USA	UNITED STATES
CHN	CHINA	MDG	MADAGASCAR	URY	URUGUAY
CHT	CHINESE TAIPEI	MWI	MALAWI	UZB	UZBEKISTAN
COG	CONGO, REPUBLIC	MYS	MALAYSIA	VUT	VANUATU
COL	COLOMBIA	MDV	MALDIVES	VEN	VENEZUELA
CRI	COSTA RICA	MLI	MALI	VNM	VIET NAM
CIV	COTE D'IVOIRE	MLT	MALTA	ZAR	ZAIRE
HRV	CROATIA	MRT	MAURITANIA	ZMB	ZAMBIA
CUB	CUBA	MUS	MAURITIUS	ZWE	ZIMBABWE
CYP	CYPRUS	MEX	MEXICO		
CZE	CZECH REPUBLIC	MDA	MOLDOVA, REP. OF		
DNK	DENMARK	MNG	MONGOLIA		
DJI	DJIBOUTI	MAR	MOROCCO		
DMA	DOMINICA	MOZ	MOZAMBIQUE		
DOM	DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	NAM	NAMIBIA		
EEC	EUROPEAN COMMUNITY	NLD	NETHERLANDS		
ECU	ECUADOR	NZL	NEW ZEALAND		
EGY	EGYPT	NIC	NICARAGUA		
SLV	EL SALVADOR	NER	NIGER		
EST	ESTONIA	NGA	NIGERIA		
FJI	FIJI	NOR	NORWAY		
FIN	FINLAND	OMN	OMAN		
FRA	FRANCE	PAK	PAKISTAN		
MKD	FORMER YUGOSLAV REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA	PAN	PANAMA		
GAB	GABON	PNG	PAPUA NEW GUINEA		
GMB	GAMBIA	PRY	PARAGUAY		
GEO	GEORGIA	PER	PERU		
DEU	GERMANY	PHL	PHILIPPINES		
GHA	GHANA	POL	POLAND		
GRC	GREECE	PRT	PORTUGAL		
		PRI	PUERTO RICO		
		QUT	QATAR		
		ROM	ROMANIA		
		RUS	RUSSIAN FEDERATION		
		RWA	RWANDA		