1 Appendix

1.1 Proof of Theorem ??

Proof. We will show that for a given λ_0 that satisfies the given conditions, the validation loss is continuously differentiable within some neighborhood of λ_0 . It then follows that if the theorem conditions hold true for almost every λ , then the validation loss is continuously differentiable with respect to λ at almost every λ .

Suppose the theorem conditions are satisfied at λ_0 . Let \mathbf{B}' be an orthonormal set of basis vectors that span the differentiable space $\Omega^{L_T}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\lambda_0), \lambda_0)$ with the subset of vectors \mathbf{B} that span the model parameter space.

Let $L_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ be the gradient of $L_T(\cdot, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ at $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ with respect to the basis \boldsymbol{B} :

$$\tilde{L}_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) =_{\boldsymbol{B}} \nabla L_T(\cdot, \boldsymbol{\lambda})|_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$$
(1)

Since $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0)$ is the minimizer of the training loss, the gradient of $L_T(\cdot, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_0)$ with respect to the basis \boldsymbol{B} must be zero at $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0)$:

$$_{\boldsymbol{B}}\nabla L_{T}(\cdot,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{0})|_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{0})} = \tilde{L}_{T}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{0}),\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{0}) = 0$$
 (2)

From our assumptions, we know that there exists a neighborhood W containing λ_0 such that \tilde{L}_T is continuously differentiable along directions in the differentiable space $\Omega^{L_T}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\lambda_0), \lambda_0)$. Also, the Jacobian matrix $D\tilde{L}_T(\cdot, \lambda_0)|_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\lambda_0)}$ with respect to basis \boldsymbol{B} is nonsingular. Therefore, by the implicit function theorem, there exist open sets $U \subseteq W$ containing λ_0 and V containing $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\lambda_0)$ and a continuously differentiable function $\gamma: U \to V$ such that for every $\lambda \in U$, we have that

$$\tilde{L}_T(\gamma(\lambda), \lambda) = \nabla_B L_T(\cdot, \lambda)|_{\gamma(\lambda)} = 0$$
(3)

That is, we know that $\gamma(\lambda)$ is a continuously differentiable function that minimizes $L_T(\cdot, \lambda)$ in the differentiable space $\Omega^{L_T}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\lambda_0), \lambda_0)$. Since we assumed that the differentiable space is a local optimality space of $L_T(\cdot, \lambda)$ in the neighborhood W, then for every $\lambda \in U$,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} L_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Omega^{L_T}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0), \boldsymbol{\lambda}_0)}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} L_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \gamma(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$$
(4)

Therefore, we have shown that if λ_0 satisfies the assumptions given in the theorem, the fitted model parameters $\hat{\theta}(\lambda)$ is a continuously differentiable function within a neighborhood of λ_0 . We can then apply the chain rule to get the gradient of the validation loss.

1.2 Gradient Derivations

1.2.1 Un-pooled Sparse Group Lasso

The joint optimization formulation of the un-pooled sparse group lasso is

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{1}{2n} \left\| \boldsymbol{y}_{V} - \boldsymbol{X}_{V} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \right\|_{2}^{2}$$
s.t. $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{1}{2n} \left\| \boldsymbol{y}_{T} - \boldsymbol{X}_{T} \boldsymbol{\theta} \right\|_{2}^{2} + \sum_{m=1}^{M} \lambda_{1}^{(m)} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(m)}\|_{2} + \lambda_{2} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{1} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{2}^{2}$ (5)

Let $I(\lambda) = \{i | \hat{\theta}_i(\lambda) \neq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, ..., p\}$. With similar reasoning in Section ??, the differentiable space for this problem is $span(\mathbf{I}_{I(\lambda)})$. All three conditions of Theorem ?? are satisfied. We note that the Hessian in this problem is

$$\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{X}_{T,I(\lambda)}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X}_{T,I(\lambda)} + \boldsymbol{B}(\lambda) + \epsilon \boldsymbol{I}$$
 (6)

where $B(\lambda)$ is the block diagonal matrix with components m = 1, 2, ..., M

$$\frac{\lambda_1^{(m)}}{||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(m)}||_2} \left(\boldsymbol{I} - \frac{1}{||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(m)}||_2^2} \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(m)} \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(m)\top} \right)$$
 (7)

from top left to bottom right. This is positive definite for any $\epsilon > 0$.

To find the gradient, the locally equivalent joint optimization with a smooth training criterion is

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{1}{2n} \left\| \boldsymbol{y}_{V} - \boldsymbol{X}_{V,I(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \right\|_{2}^{2}$$
s.t.
$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \frac{1}{2n} \left\| \boldsymbol{y}_{T} - \boldsymbol{X}_{T,I(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \boldsymbol{\beta} \right\|_{2}^{2} + \sum_{m=1}^{M} \lambda_{1}^{(m)} \|\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(m)}\|_{2} + \lambda_{2} \|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_{1} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_{2}^{2}$$
(8)

Implicit differentiation of the gradient condition with respect to the regularization parameters gives us

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{1}^{(1)}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{1}^{(M)}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{2}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \end{bmatrix} \\
= -\left(\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{X}_{T,I(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X}_{T,I(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} + \boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) + \epsilon \boldsymbol{I}\right)^{-1} \left[\boldsymbol{C}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})) \quad sgn(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})) \right] \tag{9}$$

where $C(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}))$ has columns m=1,2...,M

$$\begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
\frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\|_{2}} \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{bmatrix}$$
(10)

By the chain rule, we get that the gradient of the validation error is

$$\nabla_{\lambda} L(\boldsymbol{y}_{V}, \boldsymbol{X}_{V} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})) = \frac{1}{n} \left(\boldsymbol{X}_{V,I(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \right)^{\top} (\boldsymbol{y}_{V} - \boldsymbol{X}_{V,I(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}))$$
(11)

1.2.2 Additive Partially Linear Model with three penalties

The joint optimization formulation of the additive partially linear model with the elastic net penalty for the linear model β and the H-P filter for the nonparametric estimates θ is

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{1}{2} \left\| \boldsymbol{y}_{V} - \boldsymbol{X}_{V} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - (\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{I}_{T}) \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \right\|_{2}^{2}$$
s.t. $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{y}_{T} - \boldsymbol{X}_{T} \boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{I}_{T} \boldsymbol{\theta} \|_{2}^{2} + \lambda_{1} \| \boldsymbol{\beta} \|_{1} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{2} \| \boldsymbol{\beta} \|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{3} \| \boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{z}) \boldsymbol{\theta} \|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \| \boldsymbol{\theta} \|_{2}^{2}$

$$(12)$$

The differentiable space is exactly the same as that given in Section ??. Also, all three conditions of Theorem ?? are satisfied. Note that the Hessian of the training criterion with respect to the basis in ?? is

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{I}_{I(\lambda)}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X}_{T}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X}_{T} \boldsymbol{I}_{I(\lambda)} + \lambda_{2} \boldsymbol{I} & \boldsymbol{I}_{I(\lambda)}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X}_{T}^{\top} \boldsymbol{I}_{T} \\ \boldsymbol{I}_{T}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X}_{T} \boldsymbol{I}_{I(\lambda)} & \boldsymbol{I}_{T}^{\top} \boldsymbol{I}_{T} + \lambda_{3} \boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{z})^{\top} \boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{z}) + \epsilon \boldsymbol{I} \end{bmatrix}$$
(13)

To find the gradient, we first consider the locally equivalent joint optimization problem with a smooth training criterion:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{1}{2} \left\| \boldsymbol{y}_{V} - \boldsymbol{X}_{V,I(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - (\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{I}_{T}) \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \right\|_{2}^{2}$$
s.t. $\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\eta},\boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{1}{2} \left\| \boldsymbol{y}_{T} - \boldsymbol{X}_{T,I(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \boldsymbol{\eta} - \boldsymbol{I}_{T} \boldsymbol{\theta} \right\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda_{1} \|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{1} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{2} \|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{3} \|\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{z})\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{2}^{2}$

$$(14)$$

After implicit differentiation of the gradient condition with respect to the regularization parameters, we get that

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_1}\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_3}\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_3}\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_1}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_2}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_2}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_3}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})
\end{bmatrix} = -H^{-1}\begin{bmatrix}sgn(\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})) & \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{z})^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{z})\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\end{bmatrix}$$
(15)

We then apply the chain rule to get the gradient direction of the validation loss with respect to $\pmb{\lambda}$

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} L_{V}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = -\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{V,I(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) + (\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{I}_{T}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\right)^{\top} \left(\boldsymbol{y}_{V} - \boldsymbol{X}_{V,I(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - (\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{I}_{T}) \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\right)$$
(16)

1.3 Backtracking Line Search

Let the criterion function be $L: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that the descent algorithm is currently at point x with descent direction Δx . Backtracking line search uses a heuristic for finding a step size $t \in (0,1]$ such that the value of the criterion is minimized. The method depends on constants $\alpha \in (0,0.5)$ and $\beta \in (0,1)$.

Algorithm 1 Backtracking Line Search

Initialize t = 1. while $L(\boldsymbol{x} + t\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{x}) > L(\boldsymbol{x}) + \alpha t \nabla L(\boldsymbol{x})^T \boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{x}$ do Update $t := \beta t$ end while

1.4 Joint Optimization with Accelerated Gradient Descent and Adaptive Restarts

Algorithm 2 Joint Optimization with Accelerated Gradient Descent and Adaptive Restarts

Initialize $\lambda^{(0)}$.

while stopping criteria is not reached do

for each iteration $k = 0, 1, \dots$ do

Solve for $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(k)}) = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^p} L_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(k)}).$

Construct matrix $U^{(k)}$, an orthonormal basis of $\Omega^{L_T(\cdot,\lambda)}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(k)})\right)$.

Define the locally equivalent joint optimization problem

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \Lambda} L(\boldsymbol{y}_{V}, f_{\boldsymbol{U}^{(k)} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}(\boldsymbol{X}_{V}))$$
s.t. $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} L(\boldsymbol{y}_{T}, f_{\boldsymbol{U}^{(k)} \boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{X}_{T})) + \sum_{i=1}^{J} \lambda_{i} P_{i}(\boldsymbol{U}^{(k)} \boldsymbol{\beta})$ (17)

Calculate $\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}} \hat{\beta}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})|_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(k)}}$ where

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = -\left[\left. U^{(k)} \nabla^2 \left(L(\boldsymbol{y}_T, f_{\boldsymbol{U}^{(k)}\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{X}_T)) + \sum_{i=1}^J \lambda_i P_i(\boldsymbol{U}^{(k)}\boldsymbol{\beta}) \right) \right|_{\boldsymbol{\beta} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \right]^{-1} \left[\left. U^{(k)} \nabla P(\boldsymbol{U}^{(k)}\boldsymbol{\beta}) \right|_{\boldsymbol{\beta} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \right]$$
(18)

with $_{\boldsymbol{U}^{(k)}}\nabla^2$ and $_{\boldsymbol{U}^{(k)}}\nabla$ are as defined in (??). Calculate the gradient $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}L(\boldsymbol{y_V},f_{\hat{\theta}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}(\boldsymbol{X_V}))|_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(k)}}$ where

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} L(\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{V}}, f_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}(\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{V}})) = \left[\boldsymbol{U}^{(k)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \right]^{\top} \left[\boldsymbol{U}^{(k)} \nabla L(\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{V}}, f_{\boldsymbol{U}^{(k)}\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{V}})) \big|_{\boldsymbol{\beta} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \right]$$
(19)

Perform Neterov's update with step size $t^{(k)}$:

$$\eta := \lambda^{(k)} + \frac{k-1}{k+2} \left(\lambda^{(k)} - \lambda^{(k-1)} \right)
\lambda^{(k+1)} := \eta - t^{(k)} \nabla_{\lambda} L \left(y_{V}, f_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\lambda)}(\boldsymbol{X}_{V}) \right) \Big|_{\lambda=\eta}$$
(20)

if the stopping criteria is reached or

$$L\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{V}, f_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(k+1)})}(\boldsymbol{X}_{V})\right) > L\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{V}, f_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(k)})}(\boldsymbol{X}_{V})\right),$$
 (21)

then $\operatorname{set} \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)} := \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(k)}$ and break end if end for end while return $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)})$