## 1 Appendix

## 1.1 Proof of Theorem 1

*Proof.* We will show that for a given  $\lambda_0$  that satisfies the given conditions, the validation loss is continuously differentiable within some neighborhood of  $\lambda_0$ . It then follows that if the theorem conditions hold true for almost every  $\lambda$ , then the validation loss is continuously differentiable with respect to  $\lambda$  at almost every  $\lambda$ .

Suppose the theorem conditions are satisfied at  $\lambda_0$ . Let  $\mathbf{B}'$  be an orthonormal set of basis vectors that span the differentiable space  $\Omega^{L_T}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\lambda_0), \lambda_0)$  with the subset of vectors  $\mathbf{B}$  that span the model parameter space.

Let  $\tilde{L}_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$  be the gradient of  $L_T(\cdot, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$  at  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  with respect to the basis  $\boldsymbol{B}$ :

$$\tilde{L}_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) =_{\boldsymbol{B}} \nabla L_T(\cdot, \boldsymbol{\lambda})|_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$$
 (1)

Since  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0)$  is the minimizer of the training loss, the gradient of  $L_T(\cdot, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_0)$  with respect to the basis  $\boldsymbol{B}$  must be zero at  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0)$ :

$$_{\boldsymbol{B}}\nabla L_{T}(\cdot,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{0})|_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{0})} = \tilde{L}_{T}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{0}),\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{0}) = 0$$
 (2)

From our assumptions, we know that there exists a neighborhood W containing  $\lambda_0$  such that  $\tilde{L}_T$  is continuously differentiable along directions in the differentiable space  $\Omega^{L_T}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\lambda_0), \lambda_0)$ . Also, the Jacobian matrix  $D\tilde{L}_T(\cdot, \lambda_0)|_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\lambda_0)}$  with respect to basis  $\boldsymbol{B}$  is nonsingular. Therefore, by the implicit function theorem, there exist open sets  $U \subseteq W$  containing  $\lambda_0$  and V containing  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\lambda_0)$  and a continuously differentiable function  $\gamma: U \to V$  such that for every  $\lambda \in U$ , we have that

$$\tilde{L}_T(\gamma(\lambda), \lambda) = \nabla_B L_T(\cdot, \lambda)|_{\gamma(\lambda)} = 0$$
(3)

That is, we know that  $\gamma(\lambda)$  is a continuously differentiable function that minimizes  $L_T(\cdot, \lambda)$  in the differentiable space  $\Omega^{L_T}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\lambda_0), \lambda_0)$ . Since we assumed that the differentiable space is a local optimality space of  $L_T(\cdot, \lambda)$  in the neighborhood W, then for every  $\lambda \in U$ ,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} L_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Omega^{L_T}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0), \boldsymbol{\lambda}_0)}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} L_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \gamma(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$$
(4)

Therefore, we have shown that if  $\lambda_0$  satisfies the assumptions given in the theorem, the fitted model parameters  $\hat{\theta}(\lambda)$  is a continuously differentiable function within a neighborhood of  $\lambda_0$ . We can then apply the chain rule to get the gradient of the validation loss.

### 1.2 Gradient Derivations

#### 1.2.1 Un-pooled Sparse Group Lasso

The joint optimization formulation of the un-pooled sparse group lasso is

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{1}{2n} \left\| \boldsymbol{y}_{V} - \boldsymbol{X}_{V} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \right\|_{2}^{2}$$
s.t.  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{1}{2n} \left\| \boldsymbol{y}_{T} - \boldsymbol{X}_{T} \boldsymbol{\theta} \right\|_{2}^{2} + \sum_{m=1}^{M} \lambda_{1}^{(m)} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(m)}\|_{2} + \lambda_{2} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{1} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{2}^{2}$  (5)

Let  $I(\lambda) = \{i | \hat{\theta}_i(\lambda) \neq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, ..., p\}$ . With similar reasoning in Section 2.4.3, the differentiable space for this problem is  $span(\mathbf{I}_{I(\lambda)})$ . All three conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied. We note that the Hessian in this problem is

$$\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{X}_{T,I(\lambda)}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X}_{T,I(\lambda)} + \boldsymbol{B}(\lambda) + \epsilon \boldsymbol{I}$$
 (6)

where  $B(\lambda)$  is the block diagonal matrix with components m = 1, 2, ..., M

$$\frac{\lambda_1^{(m)}}{||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(m)}||_2} \left( \boldsymbol{I} - \frac{1}{||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(m)}||_2^2} \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(m)} \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(m)\top} \right)$$
 (7)

from top left to bottom right. This is positive definite for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

To find the gradient, the locally equivalent joint optimization with a smooth training criterion is

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{1}{2n} \left\| \boldsymbol{y}_{V} - \boldsymbol{X}_{V,I(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \right\|_{2}^{2}$$
s.t. 
$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \frac{1}{2n} \left\| \boldsymbol{y}_{T} - \boldsymbol{X}_{T,I(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \boldsymbol{\beta} \right\|_{2}^{2} + \sum_{m=1}^{M} \lambda_{1}^{(m)} \|\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(m)}\|_{2} + \lambda_{2} \|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_{1} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_{2}^{2}$$
(8)

Implicit differentiation of the gradient condition with respect to the regularization parameters gives us

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{1}^{(1)}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{1}^{(M)}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{2}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \end{bmatrix} \\
= -\left(\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{X}_{T,I(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X}_{T,I(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} + \boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) + \epsilon \boldsymbol{I}\right)^{-1} \left[ \boldsymbol{C}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})) \quad sgn(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})) \right] \tag{9}$$

where  $C(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}))$  has columns m=1,2...,M

$$\begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
\frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\|_{2}} \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{bmatrix}$$
(10)

By the chain rule, we get that the gradient of the validation error is

$$\nabla_{\lambda} L(\boldsymbol{y}_{V}, \boldsymbol{X}_{V} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})) = \frac{1}{n} \left( \boldsymbol{X}_{V,I(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \right)^{\top} (\boldsymbol{y}_{V} - \boldsymbol{X}_{V,I(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}))$$
(11)

#### 1.2.2 Additive Partially Linear Model with three penalties

The joint optimization formulation of the additive partially linear model with the elastic net penalty for the linear model  $\beta$  and the H-P filter for the nonparametric estimates  $\theta$  is

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{1}{2} \left\| \boldsymbol{y}_{V} - \boldsymbol{X}_{V} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - (\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{I}_{T}) \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \right\|_{2}^{2}$$
s.t.  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{y}_{T} - \boldsymbol{X}_{T} \boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{I}_{T} \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda_{1} \|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_{1} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{2} \|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{3} \|\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{z}) \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{2}^{2}$ 

$$(12)$$

The differentiable space is exactly the same as that given in Section 2.4.5. Also, all three conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied. Note that the Hessian of the training criterion with respect to the basis in (54) is

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{I}_{I(\lambda)}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X}_{T}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X}_{T} \boldsymbol{I}_{I(\lambda)} + \lambda_{2} \boldsymbol{I} & \boldsymbol{I}_{I(\lambda)}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X}_{T}^{\top} \boldsymbol{I}_{T} \\ \boldsymbol{I}_{T}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X}_{T} \boldsymbol{I}_{I(\lambda)} & \boldsymbol{I}_{T}^{\top} \boldsymbol{I}_{T} + \lambda_{3} \boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{z})^{\top} \boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{z}) + \epsilon \boldsymbol{I} \end{bmatrix}$$
(13)

To find the gradient, we first consider the locally equivalent joint optimization problem with a smooth training criterion:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{1}{2} \left\| \boldsymbol{y}_{V} - \boldsymbol{X}_{V,I(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - (\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{I}_{T}) \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \right\|_{2}^{2}$$
s.t.  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\eta},\boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{1}{2} \left\| \boldsymbol{y}_{T} - \boldsymbol{X}_{T,I(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \boldsymbol{\eta} - \boldsymbol{I}_{T} \boldsymbol{\theta} \right\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda_{1} \|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{1} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{2} \|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{3} \|\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{z})\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{2}^{2}$ 

$$(14)$$

After implicit differentiation of the gradient condition with respect to the regularization parameters, we get that

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_1}\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_3}\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_3}\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_1}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_2}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_2}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_3}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})
\end{bmatrix} = -H^{-1}\begin{bmatrix}sgn(\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})) & \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{z})^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{z})\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\end{bmatrix}$$
(15)

We then apply the chain rule to get the gradient direction of the validation loss with respect to  $\pmb{\lambda}$ 

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} L_{V}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = -\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{V,I(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) + (\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{I}_{T}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\right)^{\top} \left(\boldsymbol{y}_{V} - \boldsymbol{X}_{V,I(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - (\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{I}_{T}) \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\right)$$
(16)

## 1.3 Backtracking Line Search

Let the criterion function be  $L: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that the descent algorithm is currently at point x with descent direction  $\Delta x$ . Backtracking line search uses a heuristic for finding a step size  $t \in (0,1]$  such that the value of the criterion is minimized. The method depends on constants  $\alpha \in (0,0.5)$  and  $\beta \in (0,1)$ .

# Algorithm 1 Backtracking Line Search

Initialize t = 1. while  $L(\boldsymbol{x} + t\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{x}) > L(\boldsymbol{x}) + \alpha t \nabla L(\boldsymbol{x})^T \boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{x}$  do Update  $t := \beta t$ end while

# 1.4 Joint Optimization with Accelerated Gradient Descent and Adaptive Restarts

Algorithm 2 Joint Optimization with Accelerated Gradient Descent and Adaptive Restarts

Initialize  $\lambda^{(0)}$ .

while stopping criteria is not reached do

for each iteration  $k = 0, 1, \dots do$ 

Solve for  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(k)}) = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^p} L_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(k)}).$ 

Construct matrix  $U^{(k)}$ , an orthonormal basis of  $\Omega^{L_T(\cdot, \lambda)} \left( \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(k)}) \right)$ .

Define the locally equivalent joint optimization problem

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \Lambda} L(\boldsymbol{y}_{V}, f_{\boldsymbol{U}^{(k)} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}(\boldsymbol{X}_{V}))$$
s.t.  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} L(\boldsymbol{y}_{T}, f_{\boldsymbol{U}^{(k)} \boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{X}_{T})) + \sum_{i=1}^{J} \lambda_{i} P_{i}(\boldsymbol{U}^{(k)} \boldsymbol{\beta})$  (17)

Calculate  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}} \hat{\beta}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})|_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(k)}}$  where

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = -\left[ \left. U^{(k)} \nabla^2 \left( L(\boldsymbol{y}_T, f_{\boldsymbol{U}^{(k)}\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{X}_T)) + \sum_{i=1}^J \lambda_i P_i(\boldsymbol{U}^{(k)}\boldsymbol{\beta}) \right) \right|_{\boldsymbol{\beta} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \right]^{-1} \left[ \left. U^{(k)} \nabla P(\boldsymbol{U}^{(k)}\boldsymbol{\beta}) \right|_{\boldsymbol{\beta} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \right]$$
(18)

with  $U^{(k)} \nabla^2$  and  $U^{(k)} \nabla$  are as defined in (15).

Calculate the gradient  $\nabla_{\lambda} L(y_{V}, f_{\hat{\theta}(\lambda)}(X_{V}))|_{\lambda=\lambda^{(k)}}$  where

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} L(\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{V}}, f_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}(\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{V}})) = \left[ \boldsymbol{U}^{(k)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \right]^{\top} \left[ \boldsymbol{U}^{(k)} \nabla L(\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{V}}, f_{\boldsymbol{U}^{(k)}\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{V}})) \big|_{\boldsymbol{\beta} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \right]$$
(19)

Perform Neterov's update with step size  $t^{(k)}$ :

$$\eta := \lambda^{(k)} + \frac{k-1}{k+2} \left( \lambda^{(k)} - \lambda^{(k-1)} \right) 
\lambda^{(k+1)} := \eta - t^{(k)} \nabla_{\lambda} L \left( y_{V}, f_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\lambda)}(\boldsymbol{X}_{V}) \right) \Big|_{\lambda=\eta}$$
(20)

if the stopping criteria is reached or

$$L\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{V}, f_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(k+1)})}(\boldsymbol{X}_{V})\right) > L\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{V}, f_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(k)})}(\boldsymbol{X}_{V})\right),$$
 (21)

then  $\operatorname{set} \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)} := \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(k)}$  and break end if end for end while return  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}$  and  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)})$