

Proofs for Smoothness of Non-Parametric Regression Models

November 23, 2016

Intro

In this document, we consider nonparametric regression models g from function class \mathcal{G} . Throughout, we will suppose that the projection of the true model into the model space \mathcal{G} is g^* .

We are interested in establishing inequalities of the form

$$\|\hat{g}(\cdot|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(2)}) - \hat{g}(\cdot|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)})\|_D \leq C\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(2)} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}\|_2$$

Document Outline

Let D be some set of observed covariates (it could be the training and validation sets combined or just the validation set).

We prove smoothness for two nonparametric regression examples:

1. Additive model (no ridge!)

$$\hat{g}(\cdot|\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \arg \min_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{1}{2} \left\| y - \sum_{j=1}^J g_j \right\|_T^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j (P_j(g_j))$$

2. Multiple penalties for a single model

$$\hat{g}(\cdot|\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \arg \min_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{1}{2} \|y - g\|_T^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j \left(P_j(g) + \frac{w}{2} \|g\|_D^2 \right)$$

- (a) This regression problem is complicated and we may want to just leave it out of the real paper. This regression model will give two possible smoothness conditions

- i. $\|\hat{g}(\cdot|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(2)}) - \hat{g}(\cdot|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)})\|_D^2 \leq C\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(2)} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}\|_2$
- ii. $\|\hat{g}(\cdot|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(2)}) - \hat{g}(\cdot|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)})\|_D \leq C\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(2)} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}\|_2$

We also note that Sobolev is an example of a non-parametric additive regression model that satisfies the theorem conditions.

We note that there is a functional definition for convexity using Gateaux derivatives. Refer to Convex Functional Analysis, Authors: Andrew J. Kurdila, Michael Zabaranin

1 Additive Model

Consider the problem

$$\mathcal{G}(T) = \left\{ \hat{g}(\cdot|\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \arg \min_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{1}{2} \left\| y - \sum_{j=1}^J g_j \right\|_T^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j P_j(g_j) \right\}$$

where $\Lambda = [\lambda_{min}, \lambda_{max}]^J$.

For all $j = 1, \dots, J$, suppose the penalty functions P_j are convex and twice-differentiable. Suppose there is a $d > 0$ such that the second Gateaux derivative of the training criterion at the minimizer is strongly convex. That is, it satisfies

$$\left\langle D_g^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \left\| y - \sum_{j=1}^J g_j \right\|_T^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j P_j(g_j) \right) \right|_{g=\hat{g}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \circ h, h \rangle \geq d \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{G} \text{ and } \|h\|_D = 1$$

Let

$$C_{g^*, \Lambda} = \frac{1}{2} \left\| y - \sum_{j=1}^J g_j^* \right\|_T^2 + \lambda_{max} \sum_{j=1}^J P_j(g_j^*)$$

Then for any $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(2)} \in \Lambda$ we have for all $j = 1, \dots, J$

$$\|\hat{g}_j(\cdot|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(2)}) - \hat{g}_j(\cdot|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)})\|_D \leq \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(2)} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}\| \frac{1}{d\lambda_{min}} \sqrt{2C_{g^*, \Lambda} \frac{n_D}{n_T} \left(1 + \frac{J\lambda_{max}}{\lambda_{min}} \right)}$$

Proof

Let the set of additive components with nonzero differences be denoted

$$H_{\text{nonzero}} = \left\{ j : \|\hat{g}_j(\cdot|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(2)}) - \hat{g}_j(\cdot|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)})\|_D > 0 \right\}$$

For every $j \in H_{\text{nonzero}}$, let

$$h_j = \frac{\hat{g}_j(\cdot|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(2)}) - \hat{g}_j(\cdot|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)})}{\left\| \hat{g}_j(\cdot|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(2)}) - \hat{g}_j(\cdot|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}) \right\|_D}$$

For notational convenient, let $\hat{g}_{1,j}(\cdot) = \hat{g}_j(\cdot|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)})$.

We consider the optimization problem restricted to the set of non-zero differences

$$\hat{\mathbf{m}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \{\hat{m}_j(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\}_{j \in H_{\text{nonzero}}} = \arg \min_{m_j : j \in H_{\text{nonzero}}} \frac{1}{2} \|y - \sum_{j=1}^J (\hat{g}_{1,j} + m_j h_j)\|_T^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j P_j(\hat{g}_{1,j} + m_j h_j)$$

1. Calculate $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \hat{\mathbf{m}}_j(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$

By the gradient optimality conditions, the gradient of the objective with respect to m_ℓ for all $\ell \in H_{\text{nonzero}}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial m_\ell} \left[\frac{1}{2} \|y - \sum_{j=1}^J (\hat{g}_{1,j} + m_j h_j)\|_T^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j P_j(\hat{g}_{1,j} + m_j h_j) \right]_{m=\hat{\mathbf{m}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \\ &= \left\langle y - \sum_{j=1}^J (\hat{g}_{1,j} + m_j h_j), h_\ell \right\rangle_T + \lambda_\ell \frac{\partial}{\partial m_\ell} P_\ell(\hat{g}_{1,\ell} + m_\ell h_\ell) \Big|_{m=\hat{\mathbf{m}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now we implicitly differentiate with respect to λ_k for all $k \in H_{\text{nonzero}}$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_k} \left[\left\langle y - \sum_{j=1}^J (\hat{g}_{1,j} + m_j h_j), h_\ell \right\rangle_T + \lambda_\ell \frac{\partial}{\partial m_\ell} P_\ell(\hat{g}_{1,\ell} + m_\ell h_\ell) \right]_{m=\hat{\mathbf{m}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^J \left[\langle h_j, h_\ell \rangle_T + 1[\ell = j] \lambda_\ell \frac{\partial^2}{\partial m_\ell^2} P_\ell(\hat{g}_{1,\ell} + m_\ell h_\ell) \right] \frac{\partial \hat{m}_j(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\partial \lambda_k} + 1[\ell = k] \frac{\partial}{\partial m_\ell} P_\ell(\hat{g}_{1,\ell} + m_\ell h_\ell) \Big|_{m=\hat{\mathbf{m}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Define the following square matrices

$$S : S_{ij} = \langle h_j, h_\ell \rangle_T \forall \ell, j \in H_{\text{nonzero}}$$

$$D_1 = \text{diag} \left(\lambda_\ell \frac{\partial^2}{\partial m_\ell^2} P_\ell(\hat{g}_{1,\ell} + m_\ell h_\ell) \Big|_{m=\hat{m}(\lambda)} \quad \forall \ell \in H_{\text{nonzero}} \right)$$

$$D_2 = \text{diag} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial m_\ell} P_\ell(\hat{g}_{1,\ell} + m_\ell h_\ell) \Big|_{m=\hat{m}(\lambda)} \quad \forall \ell \in H_{\text{nonzero}} \right)$$

$$M : \text{column } M_j = \nabla_\lambda \hat{m}_j(\lambda) \forall j \in H_{\text{nonzero}}$$

From the implicit differentiation equations, we have the following system of equations:

$$M = D_2 (S + D_1)^{-1}$$

2. We bound every diagonal element in D_2 :

We first bound $\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial m_k} P_k(\hat{g}_{1,k} + m_k h_k) \right|$ for all $k \in H_{\text{nonzero}}$.

Note that from the gradient optimality conditions, we have that for all $k = 1, \dots, J$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial m_k} P_k(\hat{g}_{1,k} + m_k h_k) \right|_{m=\hat{m}(\lambda)} &= \left| \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \left\langle y - \sum_{j=1}^J (\hat{g}_{1,j} + \hat{m}_j(\lambda) h_j), h_k \right\rangle_T \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{\min}} \left\| y - \sum_{j=1}^J (\hat{g}_{1,j} + \hat{m}_j(\lambda) h_j) \right\|_T \|h_k\|_T \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{\min}} \left\| y - \sum_{j=1}^J (\hat{g}_{1,j} + \hat{m}_j(\lambda) h_j) \right\|_T \sqrt{\frac{n_D}{n_T}} \end{aligned}$$

where the last line uses the fact that

$$\|h_k\|_T = \frac{\left\| \hat{g}_k(\cdot | \lambda^{(2)}) - \hat{g}_k(\cdot | \lambda^{(1)}) \right\|_T}{\left\| \hat{g}_k(\cdot | \lambda^{(2)}) - \hat{g}_k(\cdot | \lambda^{(1)}) \right\|_D} \leq \sqrt{\frac{n_D}{n_T}}$$

We can bound $\left\| y - \sum_{j=1}^J (\hat{g}_{1,j} + \hat{m}_j(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) h_j) \right\|_T$ using the basic inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \left\| y - \sum_{j=1}^J (\hat{g}_{1,j} + \hat{m}_j(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) h_j) \right\|_T^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j P_j(\hat{g}_{1,j}) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| y - \sum_{j=1}^J \hat{g}_{1,j} \right\|_T^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j P_j(\hat{g}_{1,j}) \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \left\| y - \sum_{j=1}^J \hat{g}_{1,j} \right\|_T^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j^{(1)} P_j(\hat{g}_{1,j}) + \sum_{j=1}^J (\lambda_j - \lambda_j^{(1)}) P_j(\hat{g}_{1,j}) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| y - \sum_{j=1}^J g_j^* \right\|_T^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j^{(1)} P_j(g_j^*) + J \lambda_{max} \max_{j=1:J} P_j(\hat{g}_{1,j}) \\
& = C_{g^*, \Lambda} + J \lambda_{max} \max_{j=1:J} P_j(\hat{g}_{1,j})
\end{aligned}$$

To bound $\max_{j=1:J} P_j(\hat{g}_{1,j})$, we also use the basic inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{min} \max_{j=1:J} P_j(\hat{g}_{1,j}) & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| y - \sum_{j=1}^J \hat{g}_{1,j} \right\|_T^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j^{(1)} P_j(\hat{g}_{1,j}) \\
& \leq C_{g^*, \Lambda}
\end{aligned}$$

Putting the two above inequalities together, we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\| y - \sum_{j=1}^J (\hat{g}_{1,j} + \hat{m}_j(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) h_j) \right\|_T^2 \leq C_{g^*, \Lambda} \left(1 + \frac{J \lambda_{max}}{\lambda_{min}} \right) \implies \left\| y - \sum_{j=1}^J (\hat{g}_{1,j} + \hat{m}_j(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) h_j) \right\|_T \leq \sqrt{2 C_{g^*, \Lambda} \left(1 + \frac{J \lambda_{max}}{\lambda_{min}} \right)}$$

So

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial m_k} P_k(\hat{g}_{1,k} + m_k h_k) \right|_{m=\hat{m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{min}} \sqrt{2 C_{g^*, \Lambda} \frac{n_D}{n_T} \left(1 + \frac{J \lambda_{max}}{\lambda_{min}} \right)}$$

Define the matrix D_{upper} which bounds the diagonal elements of D_2

$$D_{upper} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{min}} \sqrt{2 C_{g^*, \Lambda} \frac{n_D}{n_T} \left(1 + \frac{J \lambda_{max}}{\lambda_{min}} \right)}$$

So $D_{upper} \succeq D_3$.

3. We bound the norm of $\nabla_\lambda \hat{m}_k(\lambda)$ for all $k = 1, \dots, J$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla_\lambda \hat{m}_k(\lambda)\| &= \|Me_k\| \\
&= \|D_2(S + D_1)^{-1}e_k\| \\
&\leq \|D_{upper}(S + D_1)^{-1}e_k\| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{min}} \sqrt{2C_{g^*, \Lambda} \frac{n_D}{n_T} \left(1 + \frac{J\lambda_{max}}{\lambda_{min}}\right)} \|(S + D_1)^{-1}e_k\| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{min}} \sqrt{2C_{g^*, \Lambda} \frac{n_D}{n_T} \left(1 + \frac{J\lambda_{max}}{\lambda_{min}}\right)} d^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that the second Gateaux derivative

$$e_k^\top (S + D_1) e_k \geq \left\langle D_g^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \left\| y - \sum_{j=1}^J g_j \right\|_T^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j P_j(g_j) \right) \circ h, h \right\rangle \geq d$$

4. Apply the Mean Value Theorem

By the MVT, for all $j = 1, \dots, J$, we have that there exists an $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \hat{m}_j(\lambda^{(2)}) - \hat{m}_j(\lambda^{(1)}) \right| &= \left| \left\langle \lambda^{(2)} - \lambda^{(1)}, \nabla_\lambda \hat{m}_j(\lambda) \right\rangle_{\lambda = \alpha \lambda^{(1)} + (1-\alpha) \lambda^{(2)}} \right| \\
&\leq \left\| \lambda^{(2)} - \lambda^{(1)} \right\| \frac{1}{d\lambda_{min}} \sqrt{2C_{g^*, \Lambda} \frac{n_D}{n_T} \left(1 + \frac{J\lambda_{max}}{\lambda_{min}}\right)}
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\hat{g}_j(\cdot | \lambda^{(2)}) - \hat{g}_j(\cdot | \lambda^{(1)}) = \hat{m}_j(\lambda^{(2)}) \frac{\hat{g}_j(\cdot | \lambda^{(2)}) - \hat{g}_j(\cdot | \lambda^{(1)})}{\left\| \hat{g}_j(\cdot | \lambda^{(2)}) - \hat{g}_j(\cdot | \lambda^{(1)}) \right\|_D}$$

Then

$$\left\| \hat{g}_j(\cdot | \lambda^{(2)}) - \hat{g}_j(\cdot | \lambda^{(1)}) \right\|_D \leq \left\| \lambda^{(2)} - \lambda^{(1)} \right\| \frac{1}{d\lambda_{min}} \sqrt{2C_{g^*, \Lambda} \frac{n_D}{n_T} \left(1 + \frac{J\lambda_{max}}{\lambda_{min}}\right)}$$

2 Multiple smooth penalties for a single model

Consider the problem

$$\mathcal{G}(T) = \left\{ \hat{g}(\cdot | \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \arg \min_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{1}{2} \|y - g\|_T^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j \left(P_j^{v_j}(g) + \frac{w}{2} \|g\|_D^2 \right) \right\}$$

where $\Lambda = [\lambda_{min}, \lambda_{max}]^J$ and $v_j > 1$ for all $j = 1, \dots, J$.

For all $j = 1, \dots, J$, suppose the penalty functions P_j are convex and twice-differentiable: For any functions g, h , the following second-derivative exists and the inequality holds:

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial m^2} P_j(g + mh) \geq 0 \forall j = 1, \dots, J$$

Also, suppose that the penalty functions P_j are semi-norms: for all functions a, b , the triangle inequality is satisfied

$$P_j(a) + P_j(b) \geq P_j(a + b)$$

For $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(2)} \in \Lambda$ where $\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(2)}\|$ is sufficiently small, we have

$$\|\hat{g}(\cdot | \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(2)}) - \hat{g}(\cdot | \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)})\|_D^2 \leq \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(2)} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}\| \left(w\sqrt{J}\lambda_{min} \right)^{-1} C_0$$

where C_0 is a constant.

Proof

Let $h = \hat{g}(\cdot | \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(2)}) - \hat{g}(\cdot | \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)})$. For notational convenient, let $\hat{g}_1(\cdot) = \hat{g}(\cdot | \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)})$. Suppose $\|h\|_D > 0$.

We consider the optimization problem restricted to the set of non-zero differences

$$\hat{m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \arg \min_m \frac{1}{2} \|y - (\hat{g}_1 + mh)\|_T^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j \left(P_j^{v_j}(\hat{g}_1 + mh) + \frac{w}{2} \|\hat{g}_1 + mh\|_D^2 \right)$$

1. Calculate $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \hat{m}(\lambda)$

By the gradient optimality conditions, we have that

$$\left\langle y - (\hat{g}_1 + mh), h \right\rangle_T + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial m} P_j^{v_j}(\hat{g}_1 + mh) + w \langle h, \hat{g}_1 + mh \rangle_D \right) \Bigg|_{m=\hat{m}(\lambda)} = 0$$

Now we implicitly differentiate with respect to λ_k to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_k} \left[\langle y - (\hat{g}_1 + mh), h \rangle_T + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial m} P_j^{v_j}(\hat{g}_1 + mh) + w \langle h, \hat{g}_1 + mh \rangle_D \right) \right] \Big|_{m=\hat{m}(\lambda)} \\
&= \left[\|h\|_T^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial m^2} P_j^{v_j}(\hat{g}_1 + mh) + w \|h\|_D^2 \right) \right]_{m=\hat{m}(\lambda)} \frac{\partial \hat{m}(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda_k} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial m} P_k^{v_k}(\hat{g}_1 + mh) + w \langle h, \hat{g} + mh \rangle_D \right) \Big|_{m=\hat{m}(\lambda)} \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\frac{\partial \hat{m}(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda_k} = - \left[\|h\|_T^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial m^2} P_j^{v_j}(\hat{g}_1 + mh) + w \|h\|_D^2 \right) \right]^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial m} P_k^{v_k}(\hat{g}_1 + mh) + w \langle h, \hat{g} + mh \rangle_D \right) \Big|_{m=\hat{m}(\lambda)}$$

2. Bound $\frac{\partial \hat{m}(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda_k}$

The first multiplicand is bounded by

$$\left| \|h\|_T^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial m^2} P_j^{v_j}(\hat{g}_1 + mh) + w \|h\|_D^2 \right) \right|^{-1} \leq (wJ\lambda_{\min} \|h\|_D^2)^{-1}$$

since the penalty functions are convex.

By Lemma Semi-norm derivatives (Appendix), we have that since P_k is a semi-norm, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial m} P_j(\hat{g}_1 + mh) \right| &\leq P_j(h) \\
&= P_j(\hat{g}(\cdot|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(2)}) - \hat{g}(\cdot|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)})) \\
&\leq P_j(\hat{g}(\cdot|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(2)})) + P_j(\hat{g}(\cdot|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}))
\end{aligned}$$

By the basic inequality, we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{\min} P_j(\hat{g}(\cdot|\boldsymbol{\lambda})) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|y - g^*\|_T^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j \left(P_j^{v_j}(g^*) + \frac{w}{2} \|g^*\|_D^2 \right) \\
&\leq C
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$C = \frac{1}{2} \|y - g^*\|_T^2 + \lambda_{max} \sum_{j=1}^J \left(P_j^{v_j}(g^*) + \frac{w}{2} \|g^*\|_D^2 \right)$$

Therefore

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial m} P_j(\hat{g}_1 + mh) \right| \leq 2C/\lambda_{min}$$

Also by the definition of $\hat{m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{min} \left(P_k^{v_k}(\hat{g}_1 + \hat{m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})h) + \frac{w}{2} \|\hat{g} + \hat{m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})h\|_D^2 \right) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|y - \hat{g}_1\|_T^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j \left(P_j^{v_j}(\hat{g}_1) + \frac{w}{2} \|\hat{g}_1\|_D^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|y - \hat{g}_1\|_T^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j^{(1)} \left(P_j^{v_j}(\hat{g}_1) + \frac{w}{2} \|\hat{g}_1\|_D^2 \right) + \sum_{j=1}^J \left(\lambda_j - \lambda_j^{(1)} \right) \left(P_j^{v_j}(\hat{g}_1) + \frac{w}{2} \|\hat{g}_1\|_D^2 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|y - g^*\|_T^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j^{(1)} \left(P_j^{v_j}(g^*) + \frac{w}{2} \|g^*\|_D^2 \right) + J\lambda_{max} \max_j \left(P_j^{v_j}(\hat{g}_1) + \frac{w}{2} \|\hat{g}_1\|_D^2 \right) \\ &\leq C + J\lambda_{max} \max_j \left(P_j^{v_j}(\hat{g}_1) + \frac{w}{2} \|\hat{g}_1\|_D^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

And by the definition of \hat{g}_1 ,

$$\lambda_{min} \max_j \left(P_j^{v_j}(\hat{g}_1) + \frac{w}{2} \|\hat{g}_1\|_D^2 \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|y - g^*\|_T^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j^{(1)} \left(P_j^{v_j}(g^*) + \frac{w}{2} \|g^*\|_D^2 \right) \leq C$$

Therefore

$$\lambda_{min} P_k^{v_k}(\hat{g}_1 + \hat{m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})h) \leq C \left(1 + \frac{J\lambda_{max}}{\lambda_{min}} \right) \implies P_k^{v_k-1}(\hat{g}_1 + \hat{m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})h) \leq \left[\frac{C}{\lambda_{min}} \left(1 + \frac{J\lambda_{max}}{\lambda_{min}} \right) \right]^{(v_k-1)/v_k}$$

and

$$\lambda_{min} \frac{w}{2} \|\hat{g} + \hat{m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})h\|_D^2 \leq C \left(1 + \frac{J\lambda_{max}}{\lambda_{min}} \right) \implies \|\hat{g} + \hat{m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})h\|_D \leq \sqrt{\frac{2C}{\lambda_{min}w} \left(1 + \frac{J\lambda_{max}}{\lambda_{min}} \right)}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial m} P_k^{v_k}(\hat{g}_1 + mh) + w \langle h, \hat{g} + mh \rangle_D \right| &\leq \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial m} P_k^{v_k}(\hat{g}_1 + mh) \right| + w \|h\|_D \|\hat{g} + mh\|_D \\ &\leq \frac{2Cv_k}{\lambda_{min}} \left[\frac{C}{\lambda_{min}} \left(1 + \frac{J\lambda_{max}}{\lambda_{min}} \right) \right]^{(v_k-1)/v_k} + w \|h\|_D \sqrt{\frac{2C}{\lambda_{min}w} \left(1 + \frac{J\lambda_{max}}{\lambda_{min}} \right)} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\left| \frac{\partial \hat{m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\partial \lambda_k} \right| \leq (wJ\lambda_{\min} \|h\|_D^2)^{-1} \left[\frac{2Cv_k}{\lambda_{\min}} \left[\frac{C}{\lambda_{\min}} \left(1 + \frac{J\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}} \right) \right]^{(v_k-1)/v_k} + w\|h\|_D \sqrt{\frac{2C}{\lambda_{\min}w} \left(1 + \frac{J\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}} \right)} \right]$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_{\lambda} \hat{m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\| &\leq \sqrt{J} \left[(wJ\lambda_{\min} \|h\|_D^2)^{-1} \left[\frac{2Cv_k}{\lambda_{\min}} \left[\frac{C}{\lambda_{\min}} \left(1 + \frac{J\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}} \right) \right]^{(v_k-1)/v_k} + w\|h\|_D \sqrt{\frac{2C}{\lambda_{\min}w} \left(1 + \frac{J\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}} \right)} \right] \right] \\ &= (w\sqrt{J}\lambda_{\min} \|h\|_D^2)^{-1} \left[\frac{2Cv_k}{\lambda_{\min}} \left[\frac{C}{\lambda_{\min}} \left(1 + \frac{J\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}} \right) \right]^{(v_k-1)/v_k} + w\|h\|_D \sqrt{\frac{2C}{\lambda_{\min}w} \left(1 + \frac{J\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}} \right)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

3. Apply the Mean Value Theorem

Assuming that the penalty functions are smooth, then $\hat{m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is continuous and differentiable. Then by the MVT, there is an $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \hat{m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(2)}) - \hat{m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}) \right| &= \left\langle \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(2)} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \nabla_{\lambda} \hat{m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})|_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\alpha\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}+(1-\alpha)\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(2)}} \right\rangle \\ &\leq \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(2)} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}\| \left\| \nabla_{\lambda} \hat{m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})|_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\alpha\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}+(1-\alpha)\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(2)}} \right\| \\ &\leq \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(2)} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}\| (w\sqrt{J}\lambda_{\min} \|h\|_D^2)^{-1} \left[\frac{2Cv_k}{\lambda_{\min}} \left[\frac{C}{\lambda_{\min}} \left(1 + \frac{J\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}} \right) \right]^{(v_k-1)/v_k} + w\|h\|_D \sqrt{\frac{2C}{\lambda_{\min}w} \left(1 + \frac{J\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}} \right)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Since $\hat{m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(2)}) - \hat{m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}) = 1$, then we have

$$\|h\|_D^2 \leq \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(2)} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}\| (w\sqrt{J}\lambda_{\min})^{-1} \left[\frac{2Cv_k}{\lambda_{\min}} \left[\frac{C}{\lambda_{\min}} \left(1 + \frac{J\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}} \right) \right]^{(v_k-1)/v_k} + w\|h\|_D \sqrt{\frac{2C}{\lambda_{\min}w} \left(1 + \frac{J\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}} \right)} \right]$$

Case 1:

Suppose $\frac{2Cv_k}{\lambda_{\min}} \left[\frac{C}{\lambda_{\min}} \left(1 + \frac{J\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}} \right) \right]^{(v_k-1)/v_k} \geq w\|h\|_D \sqrt{\frac{2C}{\lambda_{\min}w} \left(1 + \frac{J\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}} \right)}$.

Then

$$\|h\|_D^2 \leq \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(2)} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}\| (w\sqrt{J}\lambda_{\min})^{-1} \frac{4Cv_k}{\lambda_{\min}} \left[\frac{C}{\lambda_{\min}} \left(1 + \frac{J\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}} \right) \right]^{(v_k-1)/v_k}$$

Case 2:

Suppose $\frac{2Cv_k}{\lambda_{\min}} \left[\frac{C}{\lambda_{\min}} \left(1 + \frac{J\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}} \right) \right]^{(v_k-1)/v_k} \leq w\|h\|_D \sqrt{\frac{2C}{\lambda_{\min}w} \left(1 + \frac{J\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}} \right)}$.

$$\|h\|_D \leq \|\lambda^{(2)} - \lambda^{(1)}\| \left(\sqrt{J} \lambda_{\min} \right)^{-1} 2 \sqrt{\frac{2C}{\lambda_{\min} w} \left(1 + \frac{J \lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}} \right)}$$

Unfortunately, for $\|\lambda^{(2)} - \lambda^{(1)}\|$ sufficiently small, then $\|h\|_D$ will be sufficiently small such that we will always be in Case 1.

3 Nonsmooth penalties

It is possible for penalties to be non-smooth, like the total variation penalty

$$P_j(g_j) = \int \left| g_j^{(r_j)}(x) \right| dx$$

We might be able to suppose the conditions as before, but are they even reasonable? That is, will we be able to say that the differentiable space of the training criterion at $\hat{g}(\cdot|\lambda)$ is a local optimality space. A differentiable space is now thought to be $\{h : \frac{d}{dt} P(\hat{g}(\cdot|\lambda) + th) \text{ exists}\}$. In the case of TV, the penalty is not differentiable if h has any non-zero-measure segment of zero values (doesn't matter what the point we are evaluating the penalty's derivative). This is very confusing... Is a differentiable space also a local optimality space for any non-smooth penalties? This is already a conjecture for the lasso, and I have no idea what the behavior is for non-parametric settings. Let us not think about it.

4 Examples

Sobolev satisfies the conditions in Section 2

$$\arg \min_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{1}{2} \left\| y - \sum_{j=1}^J g_j \right\|_T^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j \left(P_j(g_j) + \frac{w}{2} \|g_j\|_D^2 \right)$$

where

$$P_j(g_j) = \int \left(g_j^{(r_j)}(x) \right)^2 dx$$

Note that the Sobolev penalty is convex since

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial m^2} P_j(g + mh) = P_j(h) \geq 0$$

5 Appendix

Lemma: Bounding the derivative of a semi-norm

Let P be a semi-norm. Then

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial m} P(a + mb) \right| \leq P(b)$$

Proof

By triangle inequality, we know

$$|P(a + mb) - P(a)| \leq |m|P(b)$$

Therefore as we take $m \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial m} P(a + mb) \right| \leq P(b)$$

Lemma: second gateaux differential

Suppose

$$D_{v,w}^2 F(g) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{D_v F(g + \epsilon w) - D_v F(g)}{\epsilon} \geq 0$$

where

$$D_v F(g) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(g + \epsilon v) - F(g)}{\epsilon}$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial m^2} F(g + mh) \geq 0$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial m} F(g + mv) \big|_{m=m} &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(g + (m + \epsilon)v) - F(g + mv)}{\epsilon} \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(g + mv + \epsilon v) - F(g + mv)}{\epsilon} \\ &= D_v F(g + mv) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial m^2} F(g + mv) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial m} F(g + mv) \big|_{m=m+\epsilon} - \frac{\partial}{\partial m} F(g + mv) \big|_{m=m}}{\epsilon} \\
&= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{D_v F(g + (m + \epsilon)v) - D_v F(g + mv)}{\epsilon} \\
&= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{D_v F(g + mv + \epsilon v) - D_v F(g + mv)}{\epsilon} \\
&= D_{v,v}^2 F(g + mv) \\
&\geq 0
\end{aligned}$$