

Polarization Propagator and Equation of Motion Methods

Joshua Goings

Department of Chemistry, University of Washington

June 21, 2013

1 Derivation of general propagator methods

We have derived earlier a response function, or frequency dependent polarizability,

$$\Pi(BA|\omega) = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{1}{\hbar} \right) \sum_{n \neq 0} \left\{ \frac{\langle 0|B|n\rangle \langle n|A|0\rangle}{\omega + i\eta - \omega_{0n}} - \frac{\langle 0|A|n\rangle \langle n|B|0\rangle}{\omega + i\eta + \omega_{0n}} \right\} \quad (1)$$

where A is the applied perturbation, and B is the observable, and both are assumed to be Hermitian. ω_{0n} is the excitation energy for the change between states 0 and n . It should be clear that the response function has poles when ω — the applied field frequency — equals to the excitation energy ω_{0n} . Finding these poles is precisely the goal of polarization propagator methods. In the polarization propagator approach, the above equation has η set to 0, and the response function (the ‘propagator’), defined as:

$$\langle\langle B; A \rangle\rangle_\omega \equiv \sum_{n \neq 0} \left\{ \frac{\langle 0|B|n\rangle \langle n|A|0\rangle}{\hbar\omega - \hbar\omega_{0n}} + \frac{\langle 0|A|n\rangle \langle n|B|0\rangle}{-\hbar\omega - \hbar\omega_{0n}} \right\} \quad (2)$$

Now we want to describe the propagator in terms of commutators between A and B . Make the observation that $\frac{ab}{c+d} = \frac{ab}{c} - \frac{d}{c} \left(\frac{ab}{c+d} \right)$, and applying to the first term of eq. (2) yields:

$$\sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\langle 0|B|n\rangle \langle n|A|0\rangle}{\hbar\omega - \hbar\omega_{0n}} = \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\langle 0|B|n\rangle \langle n|A|0\rangle}{\hbar\omega} - \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{-\hbar\omega_{0n} \langle 0|B|n\rangle \langle n|A|0\rangle}{\hbar\omega (\hbar\omega - \hbar\omega_{0n})} \quad (3)$$

Do the same for the second term of eq. (2) and combine, recognizing that the $n = 0$ term vanishes in the first part (thus we get a sum over all n):

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle B; A \rangle\rangle_\omega &= \frac{1}{\hbar\omega} \sum_n \{ \langle 0|B|n\rangle \langle n|A|0\rangle - \langle 0|A|n\rangle \langle n|B|0\rangle \} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\hbar\omega} \sum_{n \neq 0} \left\{ \frac{-\hbar\omega_{0n} \langle 0|B|n\rangle \langle n|A|0\rangle}{\hbar\omega - \hbar\omega_{0n}} - \frac{-\hbar\omega_{0n} \langle 0|A|n\rangle \langle n|B|0\rangle}{-\hbar\omega - \hbar\omega_{0n}} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Making use of the fact that $1 = \sum_n |n\rangle \langle n|$ and $H|n\rangle = E_n|n\rangle$ and $\hbar\omega_{0n} = E_n - E_0$:

$$\langle\langle B; A \rangle\rangle_\omega = \frac{1}{\hbar\omega} \langle 0|[B, A]|0\rangle + \frac{1}{\hbar\omega} \sum_{n \neq 0} \left\{ \frac{\langle 0|B|n\rangle \langle n|[H, A]|0\rangle}{\hbar\omega - \hbar\omega_{0n}} + \frac{\langle 0|[H, A]|n\rangle \langle n|B|0\rangle}{-\hbar\omega - \hbar\omega_{0n}} \right\} \quad (5)$$

Which is to say that

$$\langle\langle B; A \rangle\rangle_\omega = \frac{1}{\hbar\omega} \langle 0|[B, A]|0\rangle + \frac{1}{\hbar\omega} \langle\langle B; [H, A] \rangle\rangle_\omega \quad (6)$$

Or, as we will use it:

$$\hbar\omega\langle\langle B; A \rangle\rangle_\omega = \langle 0 | [B, A] | 0 \rangle - \langle\langle [H, B]; A \rangle\rangle_\omega \quad (7)$$

As you may have started to see, we can define the propagator iteratively in terms of commutator expectation values of ever-increasing complexity. This is what is known as the so-called “moment expansion” of the propagator. Thus by iteration:

$$\langle\langle B; A \rangle\rangle_\omega = \frac{1}{\hbar\omega} \left\{ \langle 0 | [B, A] | 0 \rangle + \left(\frac{-1}{\hbar\omega} \right) \langle 0 | [[H, B], A] | 0 \rangle + \left(\frac{-1}{\hbar\omega} \right)^2 \langle 0 | [[H, [H, B]], A] | 0 \rangle + \dots \right\} \quad (8)$$

We introduce the “superoperator” (analogous to the Liouville operator in Statistical Mechanics), which acts on operators to give their commutator:

$$\hat{H}B = [H, B], \quad \hat{H}^2 B = [H, [H, B]], \quad \hat{H}^3 B = [H, [H, [H, B]]], \quad \dots \quad (9)$$

With this definition, we have the power series

$$\langle\langle B; A \rangle\rangle_\omega = \frac{1}{\hbar\omega} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-1}{\hbar\omega} \right)^n \langle 0 | [\hat{H}^n B, A] | 0 \rangle \quad (10)$$

At this point we make two useful observations. First, recognize that

$$\langle 0 | [\hat{H}B, A] | 0 \rangle = -\langle 0 | [B, \hat{H}A] | 0 \rangle \quad (11)$$

and so \hat{H} can be applied to A instead of B insofar as we introduce a factor of $(-1)^n$. Furthermore, note that the power series is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{1-x} = 1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + \dots \quad (12)$$

Making use of these two observations (and using $\hat{1}X = X$ and $\hat{H}^0 = \hat{1}$, where $\hat{1}$ is the unit superoperator), we have

$$\langle\langle B; A \rangle\rangle_\omega = \langle 0 | \left[B, \left(\hbar\omega\hat{1} - \hat{H} \right)^{-1} A \right] | 0 \rangle \quad (13)$$

Which is merely a cosmetic change at this point, as the superoperator resolvent is defined by the series expansion. We need to find a matrix representation of the resolvent, which implies that we find a complete basis set of operators. To do this, we are going to develop an “operator space”, where \hat{H} is defined by its effect on operators instead of vectors. Introducing the notation

$$(X|Y) = \langle 0 | [X^\dagger, Y] | 0 \rangle \quad (14)$$

and it follows that $(Y|X) = (X|Y)^*$. As defined, we now have

$$\langle\langle B; A \rangle\rangle_\omega = \left(B^\dagger | \left(\hbar\omega\hat{1} - \hat{H} \right)^{-1} | A \right) \quad (15)$$

Which is formally exact, albeit useless until we develop approximations. However, the form of the above equation *does* look similar to ordinary vector spaces in Hartree-Fock, *etc.* methods. Truncation of a basis in linear vector space V to n elements produces a subspace V_n , and truncation of a general vector corresponds to finding its projection onto the subspace. It follows, then, that we need to find a projection operator ρ , associated with the truncated basis. If the basis (\mathbf{e} , say) is orthonormal we write

$$\rho = \sum_i e_i e_i^* = \mathbf{e} \mathbf{e}^\dagger \quad (16)$$

which in a complete basis gives:

$$\rho = \sum_i |e_i\rangle\langle e_i| = \mathbf{1} \quad (17)$$

If it is not an orthonormal basis, we must include the metric matrix $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{e}^\dagger \mathbf{e}$ (or Löwdin basis $\bar{\mathbf{e}}\mathbf{S}^{-1/2}$):

$$\rho = \mathbf{e}\mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{e}^\dagger = \sum_{ij} e_i (S^{-1})_{ij} e_j^* \quad (18)$$

When using a truncated basis in *operator space*, two kinds of projections are useful (Löwdin, 1977, 1982),

$$A' = \rho A \rho, \quad A'' = A^{1/2} \rho A^{1/2} \quad (19)$$

which are the *outer projection* and *inner projection*, respectively, onto space V_n defined by ρ . Note that $AB = C \not\Rightarrow A'B' = C' \not\Rightarrow A''B'' = C''$. Plugging the metric into A'' :

$$A'' = A^{1/2} \mathbf{e}\mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{e}^\dagger A^{1/2} \quad (20)$$

and we define

$$\mathbf{f} \equiv A^{1/2} \mathbf{e} = \begin{pmatrix} A^{1/2} \mathbf{e}_1 & A^{1/2} \mathbf{e}_1 & \dots \end{pmatrix} \quad (21)$$

We assume that A is Hermitian and positive-definite, so that $A^{1/2}$ can be defined. Note that $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{e}^\dagger \mathbf{e} = (A^{-1/2} \mathbf{f})^\dagger (A^{-1/2} \mathbf{f}) = \mathbf{f}^\dagger A^{-1} \mathbf{f} \Rightarrow A'' = \mathbf{f} (\mathbf{f}^\dagger A^{-1} \mathbf{f})^{-1} \mathbf{f}^\dagger$. Because A is arbitrary, replace it with A^{-1} , and since $\mathbf{f}^\dagger A \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{A}$ with $A_{ij} = \langle f_i | A | f_j \rangle$:

$$(\mathbf{A}^{-1})'' = \mathbf{f} (\mathbf{f}^\dagger A \mathbf{f})^{-1} \mathbf{f}^\dagger = \mathbf{f} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{f}^\dagger \quad (22)$$

As the basis $V_n \rightarrow V$, the inner projection $\rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{-1}$, else it is simply a finite basis approximation to the inverse. This is the operator inverse in terms of a matrix inverse. Since \mathbf{e} was an arbitrary basis defining V_n , let \mathbf{f} define n -dimensional subspace V'_n . Thus:

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} \approx \mathbf{e} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{e}^\dagger = \sum_{ij} e_i (\mathbf{e}^\dagger \mathbf{A} \mathbf{e})_{ij}^{-1} e_j^* \quad (23)$$

Thus the inner projection leads to an approximation for the projector. Let us define the (as of yet undefined) operator basis:

$$\mathbf{n} = (\mathbf{n}_1 \quad \mathbf{n}_2 \quad \mathbf{n}_3 \quad \dots) \quad (24)$$

Given that the binary product (compare with $\langle x | y \rangle = x^* y$ for vectors)

$$X^\dagger \cdot Y = (X | Y) = \langle 0 | [X^\dagger, Y] | 0 \rangle \quad (25)$$

then for our resolvent superoperator we have

$$\hat{R}(\omega) = (\hbar\omega\hat{1} - \hat{H})^{-1} = \mathbf{n} R(\omega) \mathbf{n}^\dagger = \sum_{r,s} \mathbf{n}_r [R(\omega)]_{rs} \mathbf{n}_s^\dagger \quad (26)$$

where \mathbf{n}_r and \mathbf{n}_s^\dagger are the analogues of \mathbf{e} and \mathbf{e}^* in operator space. Finally, if

$$R(\omega) = M(\omega)^{-1}, \quad M(\omega) = \mathbf{n}^\dagger (\hbar\omega\hat{1} - \hat{H}) \mathbf{n} \quad (27)$$

then we have

$$\langle \langle B; A \rangle \rangle_\omega = B \cdot R(\omega) \cdot A = B \cdot \mathbf{n} R(\omega) \mathbf{n}^\dagger \cdot A \quad (28)$$

which is the key to calculating approximations to response properties. The matrix M is determined once we have chosen an operator basis. This approximation depends on two things: 1) the basis \mathbf{n} (and its truncations), and 2) the reference function, that is not the exact ground state. Any approximations to these two things are where we get out various response methods.