# Meeting Summary

Okay, here is a summary of the meeting based on the provided transcript, structured as requested.  
  
---  
  
### 1. Topic / Feature Discussed: Medical Personnel & Vessels (00:02-00:34)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\* Need to know the number of medical personnel. \*(00:07-00:09)\*  
\* The purpose of tracking is for monitoring, not necessarily action. \*(00:22-00:27)\*  
\* Need to know how many ships receive medical treatment. \*(00:17-00:22)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\* None explicitly mentioned.  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\* It's assumed the focus is on internal data sources, specifically SOS data. \*(00:34-00:37)\*  
  
---  
  
### 2. Topic / Feature Discussed: Crew Statistics - Leaving Port Area (00:54-01:48)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\* Need statistics on crew members leaving the port area. \*(00:54-01:00)\*  
\* Interested in the \*total number\* of crew leaving. \*(01:14-01:19)\*  
\* Needs to know:  
 \* Total number of crew leaving \*(01:37-01:39)\*  
 \* Which countries they are from \*(01:39-01:43)\*  
 \* Which ships they are associated with \*(01:39-01:43)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\* None explicitly mentioned.  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\* Data input will be from internal sources. \*(02:17-02:19)\*  
\* Frequency of data collection is a priority. \*(02:24-02:28)\*  
  
---  
  
### 3. Topic / Feature Discussed: Check-Out Process & "COM" (03:07-04:01)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\* Focus on crew members leaving the port area (check-out). \*(03:09-03:17)\*  
\* Concern about the "issuing" of something -- assumed to be a document or pass. \*(03:21-03:24)\*  
\* Need to differentiate between crew members and other visitors. \*(03:36-03:40)\*  
\* Need to know who issues the "COM" documents. \*(03:42-03:48)\*  
\* "COM" issued to visitors and crew. \*(03:49-03:53)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\* Need to clarify whether the First Port Sender issues the "COM". \*(03:45-03:49)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\* "COM" is issued at the end of the "pass" validity. \*(04:00-04:01)\*  
  
---  
  
### 4. Topic / Feature Discussed: COM Issuance - Process Details (04:01-05:17)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\* Clarification needed: Is the "COM" for returning to a foreign country? \*(04:10-04:12, 05:09-05:11)\*  
\* Need to understand the reason for issuing the COM and the relationship to extending passes. \*(04:14-04:22, 04:54-05:00)\*  
\* Flexibility is NOT needed for COM generation. \*(05:13-05:17)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\* None explicitly mentioned  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\* Assumptions about the "COM" being used for returning to a foreign country when a pass expires. \*(04:23-04:31, 04:54-05:00)\*  
\* Assumption: If someone wants to extend their stay, a "COM" is not required. \*(05:04-05:07)\*  
\* "COM" is a "SP" for return (SP not extended). \*(05:11-05:13)\*  
  
---  
  
### 5. Topic / Feature Discussed: COM Data - Scope and Issuers (05:26-06:27)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\* Interested in the \*total number\* of "COM" documents. \*(05:31-05:34)\*  
\* Want to monitor total count. \*(05:34-05:36)\*  
\* Need to know \*who\* issues the "COM" documents. \*(05:40-05:43)\*  
\* Want the \*total\* COM documents and not just the COM documents issued by Kaulan. \*(06:14-06:16)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\* Verify whether IBC needs to see the "COM" data from the Seafarers Module only or data from every module. \*(06:16-06:21)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\* Clarification on which departments issue "COM" documents (Foreign Workers Department, Enforcement, ESD). \*(05:40-05:43)\*  
\* Kaulan issues COM documents specifically for ship-related work. \*(05:51-05:56)\*  
\* URS specifies visitors and ship crew as COM receivers. \*(06:06-06:11)\*  
  
---  
  
### 6. Topic / Feature Discussed: COM Data - Categories and Sources (07:30-08:32)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\* Needs COM data based on three categories: Maritime, Crew, and Branch/State Offices. \*(07:55-08:07)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\* Confirm whether the COM should be based on category or location. \*(08:12-08:18)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\* Cawangan Negeri is a location, not a receiver category. \*(08:18-08:20)\*  
  
---  
  
### 7. Topic / Feature Discussed: COM "Issue" vs COM "Exit" (08:32-09:23)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\* Distinction needed: COM Issued (but not used) vs. COM Used (for Exit). \*(08:37-08:41)\*  
\* Concern is the number of issued COM documents that are never used. \*(09:05-09:21)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\* Confirm how to capture whether an individual who was issued a COM actually left the country. \*(09:12-09:21)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\* COM is "issued," but the person may not actually leave the country. \*(09:05-09:12)\*  
\* The system should track the status of COM documents. Awaiting exit. \*(09:12-09:21)\*  
  
---  
  
### 8. Topic / Feature Discussed: COM Data - Scope & Modularity (09:29-10:27)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\* IBC wants to monitor \*all\* COM documents. \*(09:45-09:51)\*  
\* Concern that some COM documents are issued but the individuals never leave. \*(09:29-09:41)\*  
\* If COM requires a port of exit tele, the module will need cross-module function. \*(10:02-10:11)\*  
\* Need data from all COM issuers to compare to port of exit tele data. \*(10:15-10:27)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\* Determine ownership of modules (IBC, EMS, and Exit Ports). \*(10:28-10:35)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\* Assumes there is a module that captures the exit confirmation at the departure port. \*(10:04-10:11)\*  
  
---  
  
### 9. Topic / Feature Discussed: Cross-Border Data - Module Ownership (10:35-11:30)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\* Modules are unique but data should be combined by IBC. \*(10:35-10:45)\*  
\* Exit port needs to verify exit. \*(10:55-11:02)\*  
\* IBC module focuses on seafarers. \*(11:09-11:15)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\* Identify which module (or modules) has information on Exit Port verification. \*(10:57-11:02)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\* Assumes all COM data is shared. \*(11:27-11:28)\*  
  
---  
  
### 10. Topic / Feature Discussed: COM Authority & Penguasa Role (11:30-12:56)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\* Need to know what modules exist to issue COMs. \*(11:28-11:30)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\* Need to determine who the module owners are (authority/penguasa). \*(11:37-11:43)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\* Some modules might be 'owned' by a central authority (Penguasa), even if used by other departments. \*(11:37-11:43)\*  
\* Discussion: "Penguasa" issues deportation orders (COM), but other depts use it. \*(11:55-11:58)\*  
\* IBC controls COM by warrant. \*(12:21-12:28)\*  
\* Need to add Penguasa to list of COM issuer. \*(12:53-12:56)\*  
  
---  
  
### 11. Topic / Feature Discussed: RECAP Penguasa Role in COM Issuance (15:00-15:33)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\* None.  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\* Add Penguasa to COM issuance entity list. \*(15:28-15:33)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\* Reiterates that Penguasa should be on the COM authority list since they are the controlling issuer for COM production. \*(15:17-15:24)\*  
  
---  
  
### 12. Topic / Feature Discussed: Inclusion of Enforcement in the SRS (15:36-16:17)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\* IBC using Enforcement’s module to issue COM, but have not discussed Enforcement's needs or current processes. \*(15:46-16:17)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\* Enforcement will have to approve processes by IBC involving their module. \*(16:17-16:19)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\* IBC is acting without Enforcement's awareness. \*(15:46-16:17)\*  
  
---  
  
### 13. Topic / Feature Discussed: SRS Finalization (16:46-17:04)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\* Final SRS should only contain final data. \*(16:46-16:55)\*  
\* SRS will be updated after R3. \*(16:55-17:01)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\* Finalize Enforcement inclusion and processes before listing in the SRS. \*(17:01-17:04)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\* List reports need approval from Enforcement before adding to the SRS. \*(17:01-17:04)\*  
  
---  
  
### 14. Topic / Feature Discussed: Data Source & SRS Update (17:04-17:29)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\* Data should be pulled from NICE due to the eventual data migration. \*(17:04-17:17)\*  
\* Even with a parallel database, NICE should still be the source. \*(17:17-17:29)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\* None explicitly stated.  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\* Data from IIS will eventually be migrated to NICE. \*(17:04-17:13)\*  
\* Migration will involve a parallel duplicate, not a direct migration. \*(17:17-17:29)\*  
  
---  
  
### 15. Topic / Feature Discussed: Remarks for COM Statistics (17:42-18:01)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\* Take requirements but postpone SRS update. \*(17:44-17:47)\*  
\* Updates should be subject to Enforcement approval. \*(17:47-17:52)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\* Discuss statistic reports with Enforcement. \*(17:47-17:52)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\* COM statistics are for monitoring purposes. \*(17:53-18:01)\*  
  
---  
  
### 16. Topic / Feature Discussed: Monitoring and Enforcement (18:01-18:25)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\* COM statistics and enforcement should be compared. \*(18:07-18:13)\*  
\* Verification of issued and exit tallies. \*(18:13-18:17)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\* Compare issued COM with actual exit. \*(18:13-18:17)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\* Reports should specify that the cross is with enforcement. \*(18:21-18:25)\*  
  
---  
  
### 17. Topic / Feature Discussed: System Prioritization for COM Statistics (18:25-19:06)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\* COM Statistic data is from enforcement. \*(18:32-18:45)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\* None explicitly stated.  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\* COM report frequency is "Should Have". \*(18:49-19:06)\*  
  
---  
  
### 18. Topic / Feature Discussed: NCC Integration of Reports (19:14-19:59)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\* Statistics report should be generated. \*(19:14-19:18)\*  
\* Report should be similar to the top report. \*(19:18-19:21)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\* Review NCC's reports and displays. \*(19:21-19:23)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\* NCC meetings involve modeling and ID management. \*(19:39-19:48)\*  
\* NCC meetings are with RA, not PSD or PA. \*(19:50-19:59)\*  
  
---  
  
### 19. Topic / Feature Discussed: RI/RE and NCC (20:26-20:56)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\* Display of PPS in RI. \*(20:37-20:49)\*  
\* COM data is \*not\* displayed in NCC. \*(20:49-20:56)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\* Decide who owns the COM data. \*(21:37-21:43)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\* RI and NCC have their own reports. \*(20:50-20:53)\*  
  
---  
  
### 20. Topic / Feature Discussed: Decision Making of SP with COM and REsponse (21:17-22:57)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\* None.  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\* None.  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\* Decision maker is undecided. \*(21:37-21:43)\*  
\* Need to know where Special Pass with COM are displayed. \*(22:32-22:49)\*  
  
---  
  
### 21. Topic / Feature Discussed: Update on FE and Special Pass being transferred to Visa (22:58-23:27)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\* Clarification needed on Visa and Special Pass connection. \*(23:12-23:18)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\* What the relation is between Visa and COM. \*(23:18-23:27)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\* Special Pass and COM decision to be discussed in management. \*(23:18-23:27)\*  
  
---  
  
### 22. Topic / Feature Discussed: COM and Data Limits with IBC (23:37-24:23)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\* COM depends on the data available to IBC. \*(23:37-24:12)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\* Make note of which COM's are relevant to IBC. \*(24:06-24:12)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\* "COM" is a standard form letter. \*(23:42-23:48)\*  
  
---  
  
### 23. Topic / Feature Discussed: Enforcement and Form P (24:23-25:31)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\* Need a COM to view the total number of department numbers. \*(24:23-24:31)\*  
\* Objective to view "Form P", but only if overdue. \*(24:47-25:12)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\* List COM's from enforcement in the report if the stay goes over seven days. \*(24:47-25:12)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\* Form P required for stays between 1-7 days. \*(24:50-25:05)\*  
\* Stays over seven days require enforcement involvement. \*(25:06-25:12)\*  
\* "Form P" is the surat from "Form P" stating that all information be exported. \*(25:23-25:31)\*  
  
---  
  
### 24. Topic / Feature Discussed: 7 Days SP to 30 Days SP (25:31-26:16)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\* Special Pass required 30 days but enforced as 7 days. \*(25:31-25:54)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\* Determine SP with COM duration. \*(25:54-25:57)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\* Enforcement to IBC to issue Special Pass for overstay. \*(26:01-26:16)\*  
  
---  
  
### 25. Topic / Feature Discussed: Objective and Users for SP+COM (26:16-26:35)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\* Objective: Monitor total statistics for COM issued for overstays. \*(26:16-26:24)\*  
\* User and Department list. \*(26:25-26:30)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\* What data to view on frequent charts. \*(26:35-26:40)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\* SP+COM for analysis purposes. \*(26:30-26:35)\*  
  
---  
  
### 26. Topic / Feature Discussed: Report on SP+COM (26:40-27:32)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\* Data source frequency TBD. \*(26:40-26:51)\*  
\* Frequency and priority is shoulder have. \*(26:51-27:01)\*  
\* Report on SP+COM is "Should Have". \*(27:06-27:14)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\* Review "Mission Exit COM". \*(27:14-27:23)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\* Need to view reasons why COM is issued. \*(27:26-27:32)\*  
  
---  
  
### 27. Topic / Feature Discussed: Attributes for SP+COM Reports (27:32-28:07)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\* Which SP+COM location is to be viewed. \*(27:32-27:50)\*  
\* Add attributes. \*(27:50-28:00)\*  
\* Data on the COM from that point. \*(28:00-28:07)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\* Data should segregate COM issued. \*(28:00-28:04)\*  
\* Determine if need type of SP pass. \*(28:04-28:07)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\* Objective is for 100,000 checks on SP+COM and where they leave COM. \*(28:04-28:07)\*  
  
---  
  
### 28. Topic / Feature Discussed: Added SP+COM attributes (30:01-30:45)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\*Segregated COM by type of pass issued. \*(30:01-30:17)\*  
\*View type of passes to segregate report. \*(30:17-30:24)\*  
\*Report objective -View reasons for COM issued with segregation pass issued for each reason. \*(30:24-30:37)\*  
\*Must view by COM. \*(30:37-30:45)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\*Make a detailed log of each COM pass and reason for issuance. \*(30:01-30:45)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\*None.  
  
---  
  
### 29. Topic / Feature Discussed: Status SP+COM reports (30:45-31:17)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\*COM should be verified by IBC, not issued by. \*(30:45-31:17)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\*Change report from "issued COM" to "Verification COM". \*(31:05-31:10)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\*None.  
  
---  
  
### 30. Topic / Feature Discussed: Verification SP+COM reports (31:17-32:30)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\*IBC need to monitor statistics on verification COM. \*(31:17-31:43)\*  
\*Objective is to monitor valid dates for verification COM. \*(31:52-31:56)\*  
\*Is there any trends to the verification COM? \*(32:01-32:30)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\*None.  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\*Trend analysis COM, determine students, workers and overstay. \*(32:13-32:27)\*  
  
---  
  
### 31. Topic / Feature Discussed: Verification SP+COM report options (32:30-33:12)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\*Do not need types of passes to view report. \*(32:30-32:34)\*  
\*Verify which pass is most used. \*(32:41-32:47)\*  
\*The COM should be tracked, which includes COM verification and special passes. \*(33:05-33:12)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\*None.  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\*Pemegang Pass, Student Pass COM used most. \*(33:05-33:12)\*  
  
---  
  
### 32. Topic / Feature Discussed: Finalizing COM Verification process for the report (33:15-34:47)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\*The cause of COM, how the types of passes should be listed. \*(33:15-33:43)\*  
\*The COM report should include special passes only. \*(33:43-33:47)\*  
\*Type passes, which passes have a high return and can be used in the report. \*(33:51-34:13)\*  
\*Data source for the COM is Stable. \*(34:44-34:47)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\*None.  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\*COM and operations in the report. \*(34:13-34:17)\*  
\*The user will understand the operation and how it works. \*(34:32-34:37)\*  
  
---  
  
### 33. Topic / Feature Discussed: Recap of what the COM report should look like (34:51-35:38)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\*COM report will have type of pass, and will show total COM issued for each pass. \*(34:51-35:38)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\*Rearrange the list of COM, by which is more used so it is easier to find. \*(35:30-35:34)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\*Use type of pass issued in the COM report. \*(35:16-35:21)\*  
\*Ensure team is following guidelines set. \*(35:43-35:45)\*  
  
---  
  
### 34. Topic / Feature Discussed: COM Requirements with DLICC and priority (35:47-36:22)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\*Requirements for DLICC and ICC still in review. \*(35:47-36:22)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\*Understand the roles and responsibilities of DLICC. \*(36:10-36:12)\*  
\*Understand the roles and responsibilities of ICC. \*(36:10-36:12)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\*Cheat bag concept with requirements, if one knows cheat bag, can get right answer. \*(36:21-36:22)\*  
  
---  
  
### 35. Topic / Feature Discussed: Cheat Bag with reports (37:33-38:44)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\*None.  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\*None.  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\*Team to minimize report data for ease of tracking. \*(38:21-38:44)\*  
  
---  
  
### 36. Topic / Feature Discussed: Combine reports with 42 with list of departures with COM (38:55-39:21)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\*None.  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\*Combine item from 42 with list of departure. \*(39:11-39:21)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\*Detail will improve from combining the list. \*(39:13-39:16)\*  
  
---  
  
### 37. Topic / Feature Discussed: Recap to combined reports (39:25-40:11)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\*Combine reports and label COM combine. \*(39:25-39:46)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\*Ensure reports are not doubled. \*(39:48-40:11)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\*Need to decide reports label so team can easily find data. \*(39:51-39:54)\*  
  
---  
  
### 38. Topic / Feature Discussed: Verification of Reports with COM (40:11-41:00)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\*Verification that each report with COM have individual. \*(40:11-40:33)\*  
\*Reports will use filter. \*(40:19-40:23)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\*Decide if reports 42 is required. \*(40:52-41:00)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\*Use filer for individual. \*(40:19-40:23)\*  
\*COM's are connected to types of SP. \*(40:30-40:36)\*  
  
---  
  
### 39. Topic / Feature Discussed: Report Label requirements. (41:08-41:21)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\*Labels need to be written in a way people understand. \*(41:08-41:16)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\*None.  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\*Make sure the labels reflect what the numbers present. \*(41:13-41:21)\*  
  
---  
  
### 40. Topic / Feature Discussed: Reiterate to use immigration definition (41:21-44:23)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\*None.  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\*What is a valid example. \*(42:29-42:30)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\*Pendatang Asing definition, immigrant with passport in Malaysia. \*(43:24-43:37)\*  
  
---  
  
### 41. Topic / Feature Discussed: Examples of Immigration with valid pass (44:43-45:16)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\*None.  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\*Understand with Immagrant without pass, which will use a valid pass. \*(44:43-45:16)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\*None.  
  
---  
  
### 42. Topic / Feature Discussed: Definition of immigrant (45:15-45:35)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\*None.  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\*Team to determine a solid example of what the label should be. \*(45:33-45:35)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\*None.  
  
---  
  
### 43. Topic / Feature Discussed: Finding Example of Immigrants who have pass (46:06-47:25)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\*All immigrants have a pass of some sort. \*(46:06-47:25)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\*What reports does the applicant want to create. \*(47:06-47:11)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\*All immigrants are valid. \*(47:06-47:11)\*  
  
---  
  
### 44. Topic / Feature Discussed: Breaking down the immigrants as part of the population (47:25-47:44)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\*None.  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\*None.  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\*All immigrants will have a pass. \*(47:25-47:44)\*  
  
---  
  
### 45. Topic / Feature Discussed: COM and the Immigrant reports (47:54-48:05)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\*Does the report require any type of attribute? \*(47:54-48:05)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\*Check if there are reports that have the same COM data. \*(48:04-48:05)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\*Want the label from the list, if the list will be outputted. \*(48:04-48:05)\*  
  
---  
  
### 46. Topic / Feature Discussed: Discussion if COM data is required (48:05-48:48)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\*Is the data on immigrant a required data? \*(48:05-48:32)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\*Need Cik Zul to create a report that the data in it, with the exact requirements. \*(48:34-48:41)\*  
\*Is there is a business case? \*(48:41-48:48)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\*Specific is required. \*(48:44-48:46)\*  
  
---  
  
### 47. Topic / Feature Discussed: Reaffirm the decision and simplify reports (48:51-49:26)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\*Simplify and only use data that is required. \*(48:51-49:26)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\*Are reports 42 and above needed? \*(49:17-49:18)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\*Have they already requested data? \*(49:17-49:18)\*  
  
---  
  
### 48. Topic / Feature Discussed: What Status do they have in the reports? (50:12-50:21)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\*Special pass required. \*(50:12-50:21)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\*How to combine. \*(50:18-50:21)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\*Must have filter. \*(50:18-50:21)\*  
  
---  
  
### 49. Topic / Feature Discussed: Filter data by document status (52:32-53:21)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\*Pass data for document (Not Party), filter out by status. \*(52:32-53:00)\*  
\*Status to be valid. \*(53:08-53:21)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\*Which filters to use for the document status. \*(53:00-53:10)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\*Data used is only valid and not past due. \*(53:08-53:10)\*  
  
---  
  
### 50. Topic / Feature Discussed: Expired Pass is Valid (53:21-54:04)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\*Pass must be valid and active. \*(53:21-54:04)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\*Must find out validity pass. \*(54:03-54:04)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\*Pass should provide SAF. \*(54:03-54:04)\*  
  
---  
  
### 51. Topic / Feature Discussed: Valid pass to create report (54:24-55:30)  
  
\*\*Client Requirements:\*\*  
\*The report can only be generated is the status is Active/Valid. \*(54:24-54:33)\*  
\*Determine what documents this reports. \*(54:33-55:30)\*  
  
\*\*To-Do List / Action Items:\*\*  
\*Understand what "passport" is to this team. \*(55:21-55:22)\*  
\*Confirm with team what documents can they see and which are the most important. \*(55:26-55:30)\*  
  
\*\*Clarifications & Key Assumptions:\*\*  
\*Team will use passports as identification