Mathematics of Computer Science. - M.I.T. opencourseware

Abstract:

Large deviation means there are lot of gap between the event point and expected value. So it is hard to figure out the meaningful boundary. However with Markov and Chebyshev and Chernoff's theory, but also there are some limit conditions, we could tellthe probability's bound.

For the English:

develop, say, trivially, might, state of the art, minuscule

Lec 24. LARGE DEVIATION:

```
- Markov's THM:
If R is a non-negative rv, then \forall x > 0. Pr(R>=x) = \langle Ex(R)/x \rangle
PF: Ex(R) = Ex(R|R>=x)*Pr(R>=x) + Ex(R|R<x)*Pr(R<x) >= 0 (non-negative)
\Rightarrow Ex(R) \Rightarrow xPr(R>=x)
COR: If R is non-negative rv, then \forall c > 0 \text{ Pr}(R >= cEx(R)) =< 1/c
PF: set x = cEx(R) in THM (Ex(R) be eliminated by denominator)
EX: R = weight of random person (weight is never negative)
Suppose Ex(R) = 100
Pr(R \ge 200) = 100/200 = 1/2
Chinese appetizer != hat check problem : get bound
     1/n
                          1/n!
what if R is small upper bound
COR: If R =< u for some u \in |R|, then \forall x < u
Pr(R = < x) = < u-Ex(R) / u-x
PF: Pr(R = < x) = R(u-R > = u-x)
Pr(u-R >= u-x) = \langle Ex(u-R)/u-x == u-Ex(R)/u-x \rangle
EX: R = score of random student
max score = 100 = u // suppose Ex(R) = 75
Pr(R = < 50) = 100-75/100-50 = 1/2
If know variance => could got better bounds
- Chebyshev's THM
analog of Markov's Thm based on variance
: \forall x > 0 \& any rv R, Pr(|R-Ex(R)| >= x) = \langle Var(R)/x^2 \rangle
PF: Pr(|R-Ex(R)| >= x) = Pr((R-Ex(R))^2 >= x^2) =< Ex((R-Ex(R))^2)/x^2 = Var(R)
                           square = non-negative apply Markov thm
COR: Pr(|R-Ex(R)| \ge c\$(R)) = \sqrt{(R)/c^2\$(R)^2} = 1/c^2
                       std dev
                                            =Var(R)
EX: R = IQ of random person
Assume R >= 0, Ex(R) = 100, \$(R) = 15
```

```
Pr(R \ge 250) Markov: =< 2/5 in thm
Chebyshev: Pr(R-100 >= 150) = Pr(R-Ex(R) >= 10\$(R)) =< Pr(|R-Ex(R)| >= 10\$(10)) = 1/100
which is much better bound
not normalized => not always symmetric
THM: For any ry R
Pr(R - Ex(R) \ge c\$(R)) = <1/C^2+1 // Pr(R - Ex(R) = <-c\$(R)) = <1/c^2+1
- Chernoff Bound
THM: Let T1 ~ Tn be any mut indep rv's s/t ∀j 0 =< Tj =< 1
Let T = \sum T_i, then for any c > 1, Pr(T >= cEx(T)) =< e^{-ZEx(T)} - exponentially small
Where Z = cln(c) + 1 - c > 0
EX: Suppose Ex(T) = 100, c = 2 \Rightarrow Z = 2ln(2) + 1 - 2 > 0.38
Pr(T) >= 2Ex(T) =< e^{-38} (-0.38 * 100) - exponentially small
EX: 10 million people play pick 4
Pr(win) = 1/10000 Ex(# winners) = 1000 Pr( >= 2000 winners) =< e^-380 if mut indep
picks // however in Markov's thm => 1/2 too rough bound
Pr( >= 1100 \text{ winners}) \quad c = 1.1 \quad z = 1.1 \ln(1.1) + 1 - 1.1 >= 0.0048
=< e^{-4.8} < 1/100
PF: Chernoff for case Tj \in \{0, 1\}:
Pr(T \ge cEx(T)) = Pr(c^T \ge c^cEx(T)) = cx(c^T)/c^cEx(T) by Markov
T = T1 + T2 ... Tn \Rightarrow C^T = C^T1*C^T2...C^Tn (II)
\Rightarrow Ex(C^T) = Ex(\PiC^Tj) = \PiEx(C^Tj) by product rule & mut indep
Ex(C^Tj) = C1Pr(Tj=1) + C0Pr(Tj=0) = CPr(Tj=1) + 1 - Pr(Tj=1)
= 1 + (c-1)Pr(Tj) = 1 + (c-1)Ex(Tj)
                                              fact : 1 + x = < e^x
=< e^(c-1)Ex(Tj) => Ex(C^T) =< \Pi e^(c-1)Ex(Tj) = e^(c-1)Ex(\Sigma Tj) - linearity of exp
= e^{(c-1)Ex(T)} and then came back to Markov
=< Ex(C^T)/C^cEx(T) = e^c(-1)Ex(T)/C^cEx(T) = e^-(cln(c) + c^{-1})Ex(T) = e^{-ZEx(T)}
(A>B) = Pr(f(A) > f(B)) = \langle Ex(f(A))/f(B) \rangle
- Load balancer
N jobs B1, B2 \sim Bn // M servers S1 \sim Sn // N = 100,000, M = 10
Bj takes Lj times (0 =< Lj =< 1)
Let = L = \sum L_j
Assume L = 25,000 L/M = 25,000/10 = 2500
: Practical solution is assign job randomly
PF: Let Rij be load on server Si from job Bj
Rij = { Lj if Bj assigned to Si - prob = 1/m || 0 otherwise - prob = 1 - 1/m }
Let Ri be =< \sumRij = load on Si Ex(Ri) = \sum Ex(Rij)
deviation = 서버간의 편차
Pr(Ri >= C^L/M) = < e^{-2L/M} z = cln(c) + 1 - c = \sum Lj/M = L/M is optimal
```