

IST 597A: Software Security and Analysis (Spring 2015)

Lecture Note 3: Type Systems

Dinghao Wu

1 Syntax

Consider the following Mini-C language.

Program	P	$::=$	(D, s)
Declarations	D	$::=$	$x : \tau_1; D$
Types	τ	$::=$	$\text{bool} \mid \text{int}$
Variables	x, y, \dots		
Statements	s	$::=$	$x = e \mid s_1; s_2 \mid \text{if } e \text{ then } s_1 \text{ else } s_2 \mid \text{while } e \text{ do } s$
Expressions	e	$::=$	$x \mid v \mid \odot e \mid e_1 \oplus e_2$
Values	v	$::=$	$n \mid \text{true} \mid \text{false}$
Integers	n		
Unary op	\odot	$::=$	$- \mid !$
Binary op	\oplus	$::=$	$+ \mid - \mid * \mid / \mid < \mid > \mid = \mid \wedge \mid \vee$

Exercise. Write a program in Mini-C to compute factorial.

2 Static Semantics

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{D \triangleright \Gamma \quad \Gamma \vdash s}{\vdash (D, s)} \text{ TyProg} \\[10pt] \frac{D \triangleright \Gamma}{x : \tau; D \triangleright \Gamma, (x, \tau)} \text{ TyDec} \\[10pt] \frac{}{\boxed{} \triangleright \boxed{}} \text{ TyDecEmpty} \\[10pt] \frac{(x, \tau) \in \Gamma \quad \text{Gamma} \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash x = e} \text{ TyStmAssign} \\[10pt] \frac{\Gamma \vdash s_1 \quad \text{Gamma} \vdash s_2}{\Gamma \vdash s_1; s_2} \text{ TyStmSeq} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \mathbf{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash s_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash s_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{if } e \text{ then } s_1 \text{ else } s_2} \text{ TyStmIf} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \mathbf{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash s}{\Gamma \vdash \text{while } e \text{ do } s} \text{ TyStmWhile} \\
\\
\frac{(x, \tau) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau} \text{ TyExpVar} \\
\\
\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \mathbf{bool}} \text{ TyExpTrue} \\
\\
\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \mathbf{bool}} \text{ TyExpFalse} \\
\\
\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash n : \mathbf{int}} \text{ TyExpInt} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \mathbf{int}}{\Gamma \vdash -e : \mathbf{int}} \text{ TyExpNeg} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \mathbf{bool}}{\Gamma \vdash !e : \mathbf{bool}} \text{ TyExpNot} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathbf{int} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \mathbf{int}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \oplus e_2 : \mathbf{int}} \text{ TyExpIntBop} \quad (\text{where } \oplus \in \{+, -, *, /\}) \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathbf{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \mathbf{bool}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \oplus e_2 : \mathbf{bool}} \text{ TyExpBoolBop} \quad (\text{where } \oplus \in \{\wedge, \vee\})
\end{array}$$

Exercise. Give a few examples of typing derivation.

3 Dynamic Semantics

3.1 Small Step Operational Semantics

An abstract program state (Δ, C) consists of the current computation store Δ (maps variables to values) and the code C needed for the rest of the computation. This can be viewed as an abstract machine. The machine starts with the original program P and an empty store (memory) $[\cdot]$. The machine halts when there is no code left to be executed. To make it more readable, a “\$” is put there in the abstract machine to indicate it’s done.

$$\frac{}{\langle \Delta, (x : \mathbf{bool}; D, s) \rangle \mapsto \langle \Delta[(x, \text{false})], (D, s) \rangle} \text{ EvalProgDeclBool}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{\langle \Delta, (x : \text{int}; D, s) \rangle \mapsto \langle \Delta[(x, 0)], (D, s) \rangle} \text{ EvalProgDeclInt} \\
\\
\frac{}{\langle \Delta, (\text{nil}, s) \rangle \mapsto \langle \Delta, s \rangle} \text{ EvalProgDeclNil} \\
\\
\frac{}{\langle \Delta, x = v \rangle \mapsto \langle \Delta[(x, v)], \$ \rangle} \text{ EvalStmAssignVal} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta \triangleright e \mapsto e'}{\langle \Delta, x = e \rangle \mapsto \langle \Delta, x = e' \rangle} \text{ EvalStmAssign} \\
\\
\frac{\langle \Delta, s_1 \rangle \mapsto \langle \Delta', s'_1 \rangle}{\langle \Delta, (s_1; s_2) \rangle \mapsto \langle \Delta', (s'_1; s_2) \rangle} \text{ EvalStmSeq} \\
\\
\frac{}{\langle \Delta, \text{if true then } s_1 \text{ else } s_2 \rangle \mapsto \langle \Delta, s_1 \rangle} \text{ EvalStmIfTrue} \\
\\
\frac{}{\langle \Delta, \text{if false then } s_1 \text{ else } s_2 \rangle \mapsto \langle \Delta, s_2 \rangle} \text{ EvalStmIfFalse} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta \triangleright e \mapsto e'}{\langle \Delta, \text{if } e \text{ then } s_1 \text{ else } s_2 \rangle \mapsto \langle \Delta, \text{if true then } s_1 \text{ else } s_2 \rangle} \text{ EvalStmIf} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta \triangleright e \mapsto^* \text{true}}{\langle \Delta, \text{while } e \text{ do } s \rangle \mapsto \langle \Delta, s ; \text{while } e' \text{ do } s \rangle} \text{ EvalStmWhileTrue} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta \triangleright e \mapsto \text{false}}{\langle \Delta, \text{while } e \text{ do } s \rangle \mapsto \langle \Delta, \$ \rangle} \text{ EvalStmWhileFalse}
\end{array}$$

Exercise. Work out the small-step typing rules for expressions.

3.2 Big-Step Operational Semantics

See Harper [3, Ch. 7].

4 Type Safety = Preservation + Progress

See Chapter 6 of Harper [3] and Chapter 8 of Pierce [5].

5 Homework 3

Problem 1. Add a “halt” statement in the language. Work out typing and evaluation rules and augment the safety proof.

Problem 2. Add a “ref τ ” type for pointers and a pointer dereference operator “*” as in C, but with no pointer arithmetic. Work out their typing rules and prove the type safety theorem.

Problem 3. Add a security type qualifier “red τ ” which stands for sensitive datatypes. You can use red color instead of text “red.” Work out their typing rules and prove the type safety theorem.

6 Bibliography Notes and Further Reading

Informally speaking, the type safety states that well-typed programs do not “go wrong.” The slogan “safety is preservation plus progress” is due to Harper.

The small-step style operational semantics is sometimes called *structural operational semantics* (as it operates on the structures of terms) introduced by Plotkin [6]. The big-step style is due to Kahn [4].

Harper [3] and Pierce [5] are two excellent textbooks on this topic. Cardelli [1] and Cardelli [2] are excellent introduction to the topic too.

References

- [1] Luca Cardelli. Type systems. In *The Computer Science and Engineering Handbook*, pages 2208–2236. CRC Press, 1997.
- [2] Luca Cardelli and Peter Wegner. On understanding types, data abstraction, and polymorphism. *ACM Comput. Surv.*, 17(4):471–523, December 1985.
- [3] Robert Harper. *Practical Foundations for Programming Languages*. Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, USA, 2012. <http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~rwh/plbook/book.pdf>.
- [4] Gilles Kahn. Natural semantics. In *STACS 87, Proceedings of the 4th Annual Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science*, pages 22–39, Passau, Germany, 1987.
- [5] Benjamin C. Pierce. *Types and Programming Languages*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, USA, 2002.
- [6] Gordon D. Plotkin. A structural approach to operational semantics. Technical Report DAIMI FN-19, Computer Science Department, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark, 1981.