

(/)

# Practical Java Examples of the Big O Notation

Last modified: May 23, 2022

Written by: Orry Messer (<https://www.baeldung.com/author/orry-messer>)

**Algorithms** (<https://www.baeldung.com/category/algorithms>)

**Java** (<https://www.baeldung.com/category/java>) +

---

**Get started with Spring 5 and Spring Boot 2, through the *Learn Spring* course:**

> **CHECK OUT THE COURSE** (</ls-course-start>)

---

## 1. Overview

In this tutorial, we'll talk about what **Big O Notation** means. We'll go through a few examples to investigate its effect on the running time of your code.

## 2. The Intuition of Big O Notation

We often hear the **performance of an algorithm described using Big O Notation (/cs/big-o-notation).**

The study of the performance of algorithms – or algorithmic complexity – falls into the field of algorithm analysis

([https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Analysis\\_of\\_algorithms](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Analysis_of_algorithms)). Algorithm analysis answers the question of how many resources, such as disk space or time, an algorithm consumes.

We'll be looking at time as a resource. Typically, the less time an algorithm takes to complete, the better.

## 3. Constant Time Algorithms – $O(1)$

How does this input size of an algorithm affect its running time? **Key to understanding Big O is understanding the rates at which things can grow.** The rate in question here is **time taken per input size.**

Consider this simple piece of code:

```
int n = 1000;  
System.out.println("Hey - your input is: " + n);
```



Clearly, it doesn't matter what  $n$  is, above. This piece of code takes a constant amount of time to run. It's not dependent on the size of  $n$ .

Similarly:

```
int n = 1000;  
System.out.println("Hey - your input is: " + n);  
System.out.println("Hmm.. I'm doing more stuff with: " + n);  
System.out.println("And more: " + n);
```



The above example is also constant time. Even if it takes 3 times as long to run, it *doesn't depend on the size of the input,  $n$* . We denote constant time algorithms as follows:  $O(1)$ . Note that  $O(2)$ ,  $O(3)$  or even  $O(1000)$  would mean the same thing.

We don't care about exactly how long it takes to run, only that it takes constant time.

## 4. Logarithmic Time Algorithms – $O(\log n)$

Constant time algorithms are (asymptotically) the quickest. **Logarithmic time is the next quickest.** Unfortunately, they're a bit trickier to imagine.

One common example of a logarithmic time algorithm is the binary search ([https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Binary\\_search\\_algorithm](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Binary_search_algorithm)) algorithm. To see how to implement binary search in Java, click here. (/java-binary-search)

What is important here is that the **running time grows in proportion to the logarithm of the input (in this case, log to the base 2):**

```
for (int i = 1; i < n; i = i * 2){  
    System.out.println("Hey - I'm busy looking at: " + i);  
}
```



If  $n$  is 8, the output will be the following:

```
Hey - I'm busy looking at: 1  
Hey - I'm busy looking at: 2  
Hey - I'm busy looking at: 4
```



Our simple algorithm ran  $\log(8) = 3$  times.

## 5. Linear Time Algorithms – $O(n)$

After logarithmic time algorithms, we get the next fastest class: **linear time algorithms.**

If we say something grows linearly, we mean that it grows directly proportional to the size of its inputs.

Think of a simple for loop:

```
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {  
    System.out.println("Hey - I'm busy looking at: " + i);  
}
```



How many times does this for loop run?  $n$  times, of course! We don't know exactly how long it will take for this to run – and we don't worry about that.

**What we do know is that the simple algorithm presented above will grow linearly with the size of its input.**

We'd prefer a run time of  $0.1n$  than  $(1000n + 1000)$ , but both are still linear algorithms; they both grow directly in proportion to the size of their inputs.

Again, if the algorithm was changed to the following:

```
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {  
    System.out.println("Hey - I'm busy looking at: " + i);  
    System.out.println("Hmm.. Let's have another look at: " + i);  
    System.out.println("And another: " + i);  
}
```



The runtime would still be linear in the size of its input,  $n$ . We denote linear algorithms as follows:  $O(n)$ .

As with the constant time algorithms, we don't care about the specifics of the runtime.  **$O(2n+1)$  is the same as  $O(n)$** , as Big O Notation concerns itself with growth for input sizes.

## 6. N Log N Time Algorithms – $O(n \log n)$

**$n \log n$  is the next class of algorithms.** The running time grows in proportion to  $n \log n$  of the input:

```
for (int i = 1; i <= n; i++){  
    for(int j = 1; j < n; j = j * 2) {  
        System.out.println("Hey - I'm busy looking at: " + i + " and "  
+ j);  
    }  
}
```



For example, if the  $n$  is 8, then this algorithm will run  $8 * \log(8) = 8 * 3 = 24$  times. Whether we have strict inequality or not in the for loop is irrelevant for the sake of a Big O Notation.

## 7. Polynomial Time Algorithms – $O(n^p)$

Next up we've got polynomial time algorithms. These algorithms are even slower than  $n \log n$  algorithms.

The term polynomial is a general term which contains quadratic ( $n^2$ ), cubic ( $n^3$ ), quartic ( $n^4$ ), etc. functions. **What's important to know is that  $O(n^2)$  is faster than  $O(n^3)$  which is faster than  $O(n^4)$ , etc.**

Let's have a look at a simple example of a quadratic time algorithm:

```
for (int i = 1; i <= n; i++) {  
    for(int j = 1; j <= n; j++) {  
        System.out.println("Hey - I'm busy looking at: " + i + " and "  
+ j);  
    }  
}
```

This algorithm will run  $8^2 = 64$  times. Note, if we were to nest another for loop, this would become an  $O(n^3)$  algorithm.

## 8. Exponential Time Algorithms – $O(k^n)$

Now we are getting into dangerous territory; these algorithms grow in proportion to some factor exponentiated by the input size.

For example,  **$O(2^n)$  algorithms double with every additional input.** So, if  $n = 2$ , these algorithms will run four times; if  $n = 3$ , they will run eight times (kind of like the opposite of logarithmic time algorithms).

**$O(3^n)$  algorithms triple with every additional input,  $O(k^n)$  algorithms will get  $k$  times bigger with every additional input.**

Let's have a look at a simple example of an  $O(2^n)$  time algorithm:

```
for (int i = 1; i <= Math.pow(2, n); i++){  
    System.out.println("Hey - I'm busy looking at: " + i);  
}
```



This algorithm will run  $2^8 = 256$  times.

## 9. Factorial Time Algorithms – $O(n!)$

In most cases, this is pretty much as bad as it'll get. This class of algorithms has a run time proportional to the factorial (<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Factorial>) of the input size.

A classic example of this is solving the traveling salesman ([https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Travelling\\_salesman\\_problem](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Travelling_salesman_problem)) problem using a brute-force approach to solve it.

An explanation of the solution to the traveling salesman problem is beyond the scope of this article.

Instead, let's look at a simple  $O(n!)$  algorithm, as in the previous sections:

```
for (int i = 1; i <= factorial(n); i++){  
    System.out.println("Hey - I'm busy looking at: " + i);  
}
```



where *factorial(n)* simply calculates  $n!$ . If  $n$  is 8, this algorithm will run  $8! = 40320$  times.

## 10. Asymptotic Functions

**Big O is what is known as an *asymptotic function*.** All this means, is that it concerns itself with the performance of an algorithm *at the limit* – i.e. – when lots of input is thrown at it.

Big O doesn't care about how well your algorithm does with inputs of small size. It's concerned with large inputs (think sorting a list of one million numbers vs. sorting a list of 5 numbers).

Another thing to note is that **there are other asymptotic functions**. Big  $\Theta$  (theta) and Big  $\Omega$  (omega) also both describes algorithms at the limit (remember, *the limit* this just means for huge inputs).

To understand the differences between these 3 important functions, we first need to know that each of Big O, Big  $\Theta$ , and Big  $\Omega$  describes a *set* (i.e., a collection of elements).

Here, the members of our sets are algorithms themselves:

- Big O describes the set of all algorithms that run *no worse* than a certain speed (it's an upper bound)
- Conversely, Big  $\Omega$  describes the set of all algorithms that run *no better* than a certain speed (it's a lower bound)
- Finally, Big  $\Theta$  describes the set of all algorithms that run *at* a certain speed (it's like equality)

The definitions we've put above are not mathematically accurate, but they will aid our understanding.

**Usually, you'll hear things described using Big O**, but it doesn't hurt to know about Big  $\Theta$  and Big  $\Omega$ .

## 11. Conclusion

In this article, we discussed Big O notation, and how **understanding the complexity of an algorithm can affect the running time of your code**.

A great visualization of the different complexity classes can be found here. (<http://bigocheatsheet.com/>)

As usual, the code snippets for this tutorial can be found over on GitHub (<https://github.com/eugenp/tutorials/tree/master/algorithms-modules/algorithms-miscellaneous-3>).

**Get started with Spring 5 and Spring Boot 2,**