

# CS240 Notes

Jacky Zhao

June 27, 2020

# 1 Course Objectives

## 1.1 Overview

What is this course about?

- When first learning to program, we emphasize **correctness**
- Starting with this course, we will also be concerned with **efficiency**
- We will study efficient methods of **storing, accessing, and performing operations** on large collections of data.
- Typical operations include: **inserting** new data items, **deleting** data items, **searching** for specific data items, **sorting**
- We will consider various **abstract data types** (ADTs) and how to implement them efficiently using appropriate **data structures**.
- There is a strong emphasis on mathematical analysis in the course
- Algorithms are presented using pseudocode and analyzed using order notation (big-O, etc.)

### **Course Topics:**

- big-O analysis
- priority queues and heaps
- sorting, selection
- binary search trees, AVL trees, B-trees
- skip lists
- hashing
- quadtrees, kd-trees
- range search
- tries
- string matching
- data compression

**Required knowledge:**

- arrays, linked lists (3.2- 3.4)
- strings (3.6)
- stacks, queues (4.2 - 4.6)
- abstract data types (4 - intro, 4.1, 4.8 - 4.9)
- recursive algorithms (5.1)
- binary trees (5.4 - 5.7)
- sorting (6.1 - 6.4)
- binary search (12.4)
- binary search trees (12.5)
- probability and expectations

## 1.2 General Terminologies

The core of CS240 is:

Given problem  $\Pi$ , design algorithm  $A$  that solves it, and analyze its **efficiency**

So what is a problem, an algorithms, and how do you quantify efficiency?

### Problem

- Given a **problem instance**, carry out a particular computational task
- Ex. Sorting is a problem

### Problem Instance

- **Input** for the specified problem

### Problem Solution

- **Output** (correct answer) for the specified problem instance

### Size of a problem instance

- **$Size(I)$**  is a positive integer which is a measure of the size of the instance  $I$

### Algorithm

- a **step-by-step process** (e.g. described in pseudocode) for carrying out a series of computations, given an arbitrary problem instance  $I$

### Algorithm solving a problem

- an algorithm  $A$  **solves** a problem  $\Pi$  if, for every instance  $I$  of  $\Pi$ ,  $A$  finds (computes) a valid solution for the instance  $I$  in finite time

### Program

- an **implementation** of an algorithm using a specified computer language

### Pseudocode

- a method of communicating an algorithm to another person
- in contrast, a program is a method of communicating an algorithm to a computer
- General rules of pseudocode:
  - omits obvious details (variable declarations)
  - has limited, if any, error detection
  - sometimes uses English descriptions
  - sometimes uses mathematical notation

### 1.3 Algorithms and programs

For a problem  $\Pi$ , we can have several algorithms.

For an algorithm  $A$  solving  $\Pi$ , we can have several programs (implementations)

Algorithms in practice: Given a problem  $\Pi$ :

1. **Algorithm Design:** Design an algorithm  $A$  that solves  $\Pi$
2. **Algorithm Analysis:** Assess **correctness** and **efficiency** of  $A$
3. If acceptable (correct and efficient), implement  $A$ .

## 2 Analysis of Algorithms I

- **Running Time:** In this course, we are primarily concerned with the **amount of time** a program takes to run
- **Space:** We also may be interested in the **amount of memory** the program requires
- The amount of time and/or memory required by a program will depend on  $Size(I)$ , the size of the given problem instance  $I$

### 2.1 Running time of Algorithms/Programs

Option 1: **Experimental Studies**

- Write a program implementing the algorithm
- Run the programs with various sizes of input and measure the actual running time
- Plot/compare the results

Shortcomings:

- Implementation may be complicated/costly
- Timings are affected by many factors: hardware, software environment, and human factors
- We cannot test all inputs (what are good **sample inputs**?)
- We cannot easily compare two algorithms/programs

We want a framework that:

- Does not require implementing the algorithm
- Is independent of the hardware/software environment
- Takes into account all input instances

Which means, we need some **simplifications**

We will develop several aspects of algorithm analysis:

- Algorithms are presented in structured high-level **pseudocode**, which is language-independent
- Analysis of algorithms is based on an **idealized computer model**
- The efficiency of an algorithm (with respect to time) is measure din terms of its **growth rate**, aka the **complexity** of the algorithm

## 2.2 Simplifications of running time

Overcome dependency on hardware/software

- Express algorithms using pseudocode
- Instead of time, count the number of **primitive operations**
- Implicit assumption: primitive operations have fairly similar, though different, running time on different systems

Random Access Machine (RAM) model:

- it has a set of memory cells, each of which stores one item (word) of data
- any **access to a memory location** takes constant time
- any **primitive operation** takes constant time
- the **running time** of a program can be computed to be the number of memory accesses plus the number of primitive operations

This is an idealized model, so these assumptions may not be valid for a "real" computer

Simplify Comparisons

- Example: Compare  $100n$  with  $10n^2$
- Idea: Use **order notation**
- Informally: ignore constants and lower order terms

We will simplify our analysis by considering the behaviour of algorithms for large input sizes

## 2.3 Asymptotic Notation

### $O$ -notation

- $f(n) \in O(g(n))$  if there exist constants  $C > 0$  and  $n_0 > 0$  such that  $|f(n)| \leq C|g(n)|$  for all  $n \geq n_0$
- Example:  $f(n) = 75n + 500$  and  $g(n) = 5n^2$ , choose  $c = 1$  and  $n_0 = 20$  can prove  $f(n) \in O(g(n))$
- Note: the absolute value signs in the definition are irrelevant for analysis of run-time or space, but are useful in other applications of asymptotic notation

### Example of Order Notation:

In order to prove that  $2n^2 + 3n + 11 \in O(n^2)$  from first principles, we need to find  $c$  and  $n_0$  such that:

$$0 \leq 2n^2 + 3n + 11 \leq cn^2 \text{ for all } n \geq n_0$$

Note that all choices of  $c$  and  $n_0$  will work. **Solution:**

Choose  $n_0 = 1$ .

$$n_0 \leq n \rightarrow 1 \leq n \rightarrow 1 \leq n^2 \rightarrow 11 \leq 11n^2$$

$$n_0 \leq n \rightarrow 1 \leq n \rightarrow n \leq n^2 \rightarrow 3n \leq 3n^2$$

$$\text{We also have: } 2n^2 \leq 2n^2$$

So we have:

$$2n^2 + 3n + 11 \leq 2n^2 + 3n^2 + 11n^2 \leq 16n^2$$

So let  $c = 16$  and  $n_0 = 1$ , and we have  $|f(n)| \leq c|g(n)|$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ .

Thus  $2n^2 + 3n + 11 \in O(n^2)$ . □

We want a **tight** asymptotic bound. So we have:

### $\Omega$ -notation

- $f(n) \in \Omega(g(n))$  if there exist constants  $c > 0$  and  $n_0 > 0$  such that  $c|g(n)| \leq |f(n)|$  for all  $n \geq n_0$

### $\Theta$ -notation

- $f(n) \in \Theta(g(n))$  if there exist constants  $c_1, c_2 > 0$ , and  $n_0 > 0$  such that  $c_1|g(n)| \leq |f(n)| \leq c_2|g(n)|$  for all  $n \geq n_0$

**Notice:**

$$f(n) \in \Theta(g(n)) \iff f(n) \in O(g(n)) \text{ and } f(n) \in \Omega(g(n))$$



**Example:**

Prove that  $\frac{1}{2}n^2 - 5n \in \Omega(n^2)$  from first principles.

**Solution:**

Let  $n_0 = 20$ . We find  $c$ .

$$\begin{aligned} n_0 = 20 \leq n \rightarrow 20n \leq n^2 \rightarrow 5n \leq \frac{1}{4}n^2 \rightarrow 0 \leq \frac{1}{4}n^2 - 5n \\ \frac{1}{2}n^2 - 5n = \frac{1}{4}n^2 + \underbrace{\frac{1}{4}n^2 - 5n}_{\geq 0} \geq \frac{1}{4}n^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\frac{1}{2}n^2 - 5n \geq \frac{1}{4}n^2$ , we choose  $c = \frac{1}{4}$  and we have  $\frac{1}{2}n^2 - 5n \in \Omega(n^2)$ . □

**Quick Summary:**

- $O \leftrightarrow$  asymptotically not bigger
- $\Omega \leftrightarrow$  asymptotically not smaller
- $\Theta \leftrightarrow$  asymptotically the same

We have  $f(n) = 2n^2 + 3n + 11 \in \Theta(n^2)$

- How do we express that  $f(n)$  is **asymptotically strictly smaller** than  $n^3$ ?

 **$o$ -notation**

- $f(n) \in o(g(n))$  if for **all** constants  $c > 0$ , there exists a constant  $n_0 > 0$  such that  $|f(n)| < c|g(n)|$  for all  $n \geq n_0$

 **$\omega$ -notation**

- $f(n) \in \omega(g(n))$  if for **all** constants  $c > 0$ , there exists a constant  $n_0 > 0$  such that  $0 \leq c|g(n)| < |f(n)|$  for all  $n \geq n_0$

The  $o$  and  $\omega$  notations are rarely proved from first principles.

## 2.4 Relationships between Order Notations

- $f(n) \in \Theta(g(n)) \leftrightarrow g(n) \in \Theta(f(n))$
- $f(n) \in O(g(n)) \leftrightarrow g(n) \in \Omega(f(n))$
- $f(n) \in o(g(n)) \leftrightarrow g(n) \in \omega(f(n))$
- $f(n) \in o(g(n)) \rightarrow f(n) \in O(g(n))$
- $f(n) \in o(g(n)) \rightarrow f(n) \notin \Omega(g(n))$
- $f(n) \in \omega(g(n)) \rightarrow f(n) \in \Omega(g(n))$
- $f(n) \in \omega(g(n)) \rightarrow f(n) \notin O(g(n))$

## 2.5 Algebra of Order Notations

### Identity rule

- $f(n) \in \Theta(f(n))$

### Maximum rules

Suppose that  $f(n) > 0$  and  $g(n) > 0$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ , then:

- $O(f(n) + g(n)) = O(\max\{f(n), g(n)\})$
- $\Omega(f(n) + g(n)) = \Omega(\max\{f(n), g(n)\})$

### Transitivity

- if  $f(n) \in O(g(n))$  and  $g(n) \in O(h(n))$ , then  $f(n) \in O(h(n))$
- if  $f(n) \in \Omega(g(n))$  and  $g(n) \in \Omega(h(n))$ , then  $f(n) \in \Omega(h(n))$

## 2.6 Techniques for Order Notation