

CS240 Notes

Jacky Zhao

June 29, 2020

1 Course Objectives

1.1 Overview

What is this course about?

- When first learning to program, we emphasize **correctness**
- Starting with this course, we will also be concerned with **efficiency**
- We will study efficient methods of **storing, accessing, and performing operations** on large collections of data.
- Typical operations include: **inserting** new data items, **deleting** data items, **searching** for specific data items, **sorting**
- We will consider various **abstract data types** (ADTs) and how to implement them efficiently using appropriate **data structures**.
- There is a strong emphasis on mathematical analysis in the course
- Algorithms are presented using pseudocode and analyzed using order notation (big-O, etc.)

Course Topics:

- big-O analysis
- priority queues and heaps
- sorting, selection
- binary search trees, AVL trees, B-trees
- skip lists
- hashing
- quadtrees, kd-trees
- range search
- tries
- string matching
- data compression

Required knowledge:

- arrays, linked lists (3.2- 3.4)
- strings (3.6)
- stacks, queues (4.2 - 4.6)
- abstract data types (4 - intro, 4.1, 4.8 - 4.9)
- recursive algorithms (5.1)
- binary trees (5.4 - 5.7)
- sorting (6.1 - 6.4)
- binary search (12.4)
- binary search trees (12.5)
- probability and expectations

1.2 General Terminologies

The core of CS240 is:

Given problem Π , design algorithm A that solves it, and analyze its **efficiency**

So what is a problem, an algorithms, and how do you quantify efficiency?

Problem

- Given a **problem instance**, carry out a particular computational task
- Ex. Sorting is a problem

Problem Instance

- **Input** for the specified problem

Problem Solution

- **Output** (correct answer) for the specified problem instance

Size of a problem instance

- **$Size(I)$** is a positive integer which is a measure of the size of the instance I

Algorithm

- a **step-by-step process** (e.g. described in pseudocode) for carrying out a series of computations, given an arbitrary problem instance I

Algorithm solving a problem

- an algorithm A **solves** a problem Π if, for every instance I of Π , A finds (computes) a valid solution for the instance I in finite time

Program

- an **implementation** of an algorithm using a specified computer language

Pseudocode

- a method of communicating an algorithm to another person
- in contrast, a program is a method of communicating an algorithm to a computer
- General rules of pseudocode:
 - omits obvious details (variable declarations)
 - has limited, if any, error detection
 - sometimes uses English descriptions
 - sometimes uses mathematical notation

1.3 Algorithms and programs

For a problem Π , we can have several algorithms.

For an algorithm A solving Π , we can have several programs (implementations)

Algorithms in practice: Given a problem Π :

1. **Algorithm Design:** Design an algorithm A that solves Π
2. **Algorithm Analysis:** Assess **correctness** and **efficiency** of A
3. If acceptable (correct and efficient), implement A .

2 Analysis of Algorithms I

- **Running Time:** In this course, we are primarily concerned with the **amount of time** a program takes to run
- **Space:** We also may be interested in the **amount of memory** the program requires
- The amount of time and/or memory required by a program will depend on $Size(I)$, the size of the given problem instance I

2.1 Running time of Algorithms/Programs

Option 1: **Experimental Studies**

- Write a program implementing the algorithm
- Run the programs with various sizes of input and measure the actual running time
- Plot/compare the results

Shortcomings:

- Implementation may be complicated/costly
- Timings are affected by many factors: hardware, software environment, and human factors
- We cannot test all inputs (what are good **sample inputs**?)
- We cannot easily compare two algorithms/programs

We want a framework that:

- Does not require implementing the algorithm
- Is independent of the hardware/software environment
- Takes into account all input instances

Which means, we need some **simplifications**

We will develop several aspects of algorithm analysis:

- Algorithms are presented in structured high-level **pseudocode**, which is language-independent
- Analysis of algorithms is based on an **idealized computer model**
- The efficiency of an algorithm (with respect to time) is measure din terms of its **growth rate**, aka the **complexity** of the algorithm

2.2 Simplifications of running time

Overcome dependency on hardware/software

- Express algorithms using pseudocode
- Instead of time, count the number of **primitive operations**
- Implicit assumption: primitive operations have fairly similar, though different, running time on different systems

Random Access Machine (RAM) model:

- it has a set of memory cells, each of which stores one item (word) of data
- any **access to a memory location** takes constant time
- any **primitive operation** takes constant time
- the **running time** of a program can be computed to be the number of memory accesses plus the number of primitive operations

This is an idealized model, so these assumptions may not be valid for a "real" computer

Simplify Comparisons

- Example: Compare $100n$ with $10n^2$
- Idea: Use **order notation**
- Informally: ignore constants and lower order terms

We will simplify our analysis by considering the behaviour of algorithms for large input sizes

2.3 Asymptotic Notation

O -notation

- $f(n) \in O(g(n))$ if there exist constants $C > 0$ and $n_0 > 0$ such that $|f(n)| \leq C|g(n)|$ for all $n \geq n_0$
- Example: $f(n) = 75n + 500$ and $g(n) = 5n^2$, choose $c = 1$ and $n_0 = 20$ can prove $f(n) \in O(g(n))$
- Note: the absolute value signs in the definition are irrelevant for analysis of run-time or space, but are useful in other applications of asymptotic notation

Example of Order Notation:

In order to prove that $2n^2 + 3n + 11 \in O(n^2)$ from first principles, we need to find c and n_0 such that:

$$0 \leq 2n^2 + 3n + 11 \leq cn^2 \text{ for all } n \geq n_0$$

Note that all choices of c and n_0 will work. **Solution:**

Choose $n_0 = 1$.

$$n_0 \leq n \rightarrow 1 \leq n \rightarrow 1 \leq n^2 \rightarrow 11 \leq 11n^2$$

$$n_0 \leq n \rightarrow 1 \leq n \rightarrow n \leq n^2 \rightarrow 3n \leq 3n^2$$

$$\text{We also have: } 2n^2 \leq 2n^2$$

So we have:

$$2n^2 + 3n + 11 \leq 2n^2 + 3n^2 + 11n^2 \leq 16n^2$$

So let $c = 16$ and $n_0 = 1$, and we have $|f(n)| \leq c|g(n)|$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

Thus $2n^2 + 3n + 11 \in O(n^2)$. □

We want a **tight** asymptotic bound. So we have:

Ω -notation

- $f(n) \in \Omega(g(n))$ if there exist constants $c > 0$ and $n_0 > 0$ such that $c|g(n)| \leq |f(n)|$ for all $n \geq n_0$

Θ -notation

- $f(n) \in \Theta(g(n))$ if there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$, and $n_0 > 0$ such that $c_1|g(n)| \leq |f(n)| \leq c_2|g(n)|$ for all $n \geq n_0$

Notice:

$$f(n) \in \Theta(g(n)) \iff f(n) \in O(g(n)) \text{ and } f(n) \in \Omega(g(n))$$

Example:

Prove that $\frac{1}{2}n^2 - 5n \in \Omega(n^2)$ from first principles.

Solution:

Let $n_0 = 20$. We find c .

$$\begin{aligned} n_0 = 20 \leq n \rightarrow 20n \leq n^2 \rightarrow 5n \leq \frac{1}{4}n^2 \rightarrow 0 \leq \frac{1}{4}n^2 - 5n \\ \frac{1}{2}n^2 - 5n = \frac{1}{4}n^2 + \underbrace{\frac{1}{4}n^2 - 5n}_{\geq 0} \geq \frac{1}{4}n^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{1}{2}n^2 - 5n \geq \frac{1}{4}n^2$, we choose $c = \frac{1}{4}$ and we have $\frac{1}{2}n^2 - 5n \in \Omega(n^2)$. □

Quick Summary:

- $O \leftrightarrow$ asymptotically not bigger
- $\Omega \leftrightarrow$ asymptotically not smaller
- $\Theta \leftrightarrow$ asymptotically the same

We have $f(n) = 2n^2 + 3n + 11 \in \Theta(n^2)$

- How do we express that $f(n)$ is **asymptotically strictly smaller** than n^3 ?

 o -notation

- $f(n) \in o(g(n))$ if for **all** constants $c > 0$, there exists a constant $n_0 > 0$ such that $|f(n)| < c|g(n)|$ for all $n \geq n_0$

 ω -notation

- $f(n) \in \omega(g(n))$ if for **all** constants $c > 0$, there exists a constant $n_0 > 0$ such that $0 \leq c|g(n)| < |f(n)|$ for all $n \geq n_0$

The o and ω notations are rarely proved from first principles.

2.4 Relationships between Order Notations

- $f(n) \in \Theta(g(n)) \leftrightarrow g(n) \in \Theta(f(n))$
- $f(n) \in O(g(n)) \leftrightarrow g(n) \in \Omega(f(n))$
- $f(n) \in o(g(n)) \leftrightarrow g(n) \in \omega(f(n))$
- $f(n) \in o(g(n)) \rightarrow f(n) \in O(g(n))$
- $f(n) \in o(g(n)) \rightarrow f(n) \notin \Omega(g(n))$
- $f(n) \in \omega(g(n)) \rightarrow f(n) \in \Omega(g(n))$
- $f(n) \in \omega(g(n)) \rightarrow f(n) \notin O(g(n))$

2.5 Algebra of Order Notations

Identity rule

- $f(n) \in \Theta(f(n))$

Maximum rules

Suppose that $f(n) > 0$ and $g(n) > 0$ for all $n \geq n_0$, then:

- $O(f(n) + g(n)) = O(\max\{f(n), g(n)\})$
- $\Omega(f(n) + g(n)) = \Omega(\max\{f(n), g(n)\})$

Transitivity

- if $f(n) \in O(g(n))$ and $g(n) \in O(h(n))$, then $f(n) \in O(h(n))$
- if $f(n) \in \Omega(g(n))$ and $g(n) \in \Omega(h(n))$, then $f(n) \in \Omega(h(n))$

2.6 Techniques for Order Notation

Suppose that $f(n) > 0$ and $g(n) > 0$ for all $n > n_0$. Suppose that:

$$L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)}$$

Then

$$f(n) \in \begin{cases} o(g(n)) & \text{if } L = 0 \\ \Theta(g(n)) & \text{if } 0 < L < \infty \\ \omega(g(n)) & \text{if } L = \infty \end{cases}$$

The required can often be computed using *l'Hôpital's rule*.

Note that this result gives **sufficient** (but not necessary) conditions for the stated conclusions to hold.

Example1:

Let $f(n)$ be a polynomial of degree $d \geq 0$

$$f(n) = c_d n^d + c_{d-1} n^{d-1} + \cdots + c_1 n + c_0$$

for some $c_d > 0$.

Then $f(n) \in \Theta(n^d)$.

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^d} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(c_d n^d + c_{d-1} n^{d-1} + \cdots + c_1 n + c_0)'}{(n^d)'} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(c_d)(d)n^{d-1} + (c_{d-1})(d-1)n^{d-2} + \cdots + (c_1)(1)n^0 + 0)'}{d n^{d-1}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(c_d)(d)n^{d-1}}{d n^{d-1}} + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(c_{d-1})(d-1)n^{d-2}}{d n^{d-1}} + \cdots + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(c_1)(1)n^0}{d n^{d-1}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(c_d)(d)n^{d-1}}{d n^{d-1}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_d \\ &= c_d \end{aligned}$$

Since $c_d > 0$, we know that $f(n) \in \Theta(n^d)$, as desired. □

Example2:

Prove that $f(n) = n(2 + \sin(\frac{n\pi}{2}))$ is $\Theta(n)$.

Note that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2 + \sin(\frac{n\pi}{2}))$ does not exist.

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(2 + \sin(\frac{n\pi}{2}))}{n} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{(2 + \sin(\frac{n\pi}{2}))}_{\text{DNE, no conclusion}} \end{aligned}$$

Think another way:

$$-1 \leq \sin(\frac{n\pi}{2}) \leq 1$$

$$1 \leq 2 + \sin(\frac{n\pi}{2}) \leq 3$$

Let $n_0 = 1$, so $n \geq 1$

$$1n \leq 2 + \sin(\frac{n\pi}{2}) \leq 3n$$

So we have $n_0 = 1$, $c_1 = 1$ and $c_0 = 1$. And thus $n(2 + \sin(\frac{n\pi}{2})) \in \Theta(n)$ □

2.7 Growth Rates

- If $f(n) \in \Theta(g(n))$, then the growth rates of $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ are the same
- If $f(n) \in o(g(n))$, then the growth rates of $f(n)$ is less than $g(n)$
- If $f(n) \in \omega(g(n))$, then the growth rates of $f(n)$ is greater than $g(n)$
- Typically, $f(n)$ may be complicated and $g(n)$ is chosen to be a very simple function

Example3:

Compare the growth rates of $\log n$ and n .

Note: In this course, we default the base of log to be 2, so by $\log n$ we mean $\log_2 n$

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{n} &\stackrel{H}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{n \ln 2}}{1} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n \ln 2} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

So $\log n \in o(n)$ and

Now compare the growth rates of $(\log n)^c$ and n^d , where $c, d > 0$ are arbitrary numbers.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\log n)^c}{n^d} &\stackrel{H}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c(\log n)^{c-1} \frac{1}{n \ln 2}}{d n^{d-1}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c(\log n)^{c-1}}{d(\ln 2) n^d} \\ &\stackrel{H}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c(c-1)(\log n)^{c-2}}{d^2(\ln 2)^2 n^d} \\ &\stackrel{H}{=} \dots \\ &\stackrel{H}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c!}{(\ln 2)^c d^c n^d} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

So $(\log n)^c \in o(n^d)$, meaning $(\log n)^c$ has growth rate less than n^d for arbitrary $c, d > 0$. This means, even if we have $(\log n)^{10000}$, we will still have a growth rate less than n^2

2.8 Common Growth Rates

Commonly encountered growth rates in analysis of algorithms include the following (in increasing order of growth rate):

- $\Theta(1)$ (constant complexity)
- $\Theta(\log n)$ (logarithmic complexity)
- $\Theta(n)$ (linear complexity)
- $\Theta(n \log n)$ (linearithmic)
- $\Theta(n \log^k n)$ for some constant k (quasi-linear)
- $\Theta(n^2)$ (quadratic complexity)
- $\Theta(n^3)$ (cubic complexity)
- $\Theta(2^n)$ (exponential complexity)

It is interesting to see how the running time is affected when the size of the problem in-

stance doubles (i.e. $n \rightarrow 2n$)	constant complexity:	$T(n) = c$	\rightarrow	$T(2n) = c$
	logarithmic complexity:	$T(n) = c \log n$	\rightarrow	$T(2n) = T(n) + c$
	linear complexity:	$T(n) = cn$	\rightarrow	$T(2n) = 2T(n)$
	linearithmic:	$T(n) = cn \log n$	\rightarrow	$T(2n) = 2T(n) + 2cn$
	quadratic complexity:	$T(n) = cn^2$	\rightarrow	$T(2n) = 4T(n)$
	cubic complexity:	$T(n) = cn^3$	\rightarrow	$T(2n) = 8T(n)$
	exponential complexity:	$T(n) = c2^n$	\rightarrow	$T(2n) = \frac{T(n)^2}{c}$

2.9 Techniques for Algorithm Analysis

Goal: Use asymptotic notation to simplify run-time analysis

- running time of an algorithm depends on the **input size** n
- identify **elementary operations** that require $\Theta(1)$ time
- the complexity of a loop is expressed as the **sum** of the complexities of each iteration of the loop
- Nested loops: starts with the innermost loop and proceed outwards.
This gives **nested summations**

Example:

Test1

```
sum  $\leftarrow$  0
for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $n$  do
  for  $j \leftarrow i$  to  $n$  do
    sum  $\leftarrow$  sum +  $(i + j)^2$ 
return sum
```

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= c_0 + c_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^n c_2 \\ &= c_0 + c_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n c_2(n - i + 1) \\ &= c_0 + c_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n c_2 n - \sum_{i=1}^n c_2 i + \sum_{i=1}^n c_2 \\ &= c_0 + c_1 + c_2 n^2 - c_2 \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \right) + c_2 n \\ &= c_0 + c_1 + c_2 \left(n^2 - \frac{n^2 - n}{2} + n \right) \\ &= c_0 + c_1 + \frac{c_2}{2} (n^2 + n) \end{aligned}$$

So $T(n) \in \Theta(n^2)$

Another way of doing the same thing is to find upper bound and lower bound.

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(n) &= c_0 + c_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^n c_2 \leq c_0 + c_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_2 \\
 &= c_0 + c_1 + c_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n 1 \\
 &= c_0 + c_1 + c_2 n^2
 \end{aligned}$$

So $T(n) \in O(n^2)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(n) &= c_0 + c_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^n c_2 \geq c_0 + c_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} \sum_{j=1}^n c_2 \\
 &\geq c_0 + c_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} \sum_{j=n/2+1}^n c_2 \\
 &= c_0 + c_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} c_2 \frac{n}{2} \\
 &= c_0 + c_1 + c_2 \frac{n}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} 1 \\
 &= c_0 + c_1 + c_2 \left(\frac{n}{2}\right) \left(\frac{n}{2}\right) \\
 &= c_0 + c_1 + c_2 \left(\frac{n^2}{4}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

So $T(n) \in \Omega(n^2)$. Therefore $T(n) \in \Theta(n^2)$

Two general strategies are as follows:

- Use Θ -bounds **throughout the analysis** and obtain a Θ -bound for the complexity of the algorithm
- Prove a O -bound and a **matching** Ω -bound **separately**.
Use upper bounds (for O -bounds) and lower bounds (for Ω -bounds) early and frequently
This may be easier because upper/lower bounds are easier to sum.

2.10 Complexity of Algorithms

Algorithm can have different running times on two instances of the same size

Test3(A, n)

A : array of size n

for $i \leftarrow 1$ to $n - 1$ do

$j \leftarrow i$

 while $j > 0$ and $A[j] > A[j - 1]$ do

 swap $A[j]$ and $A[j - 1]$

$j \leftarrow j - 1$

Let $T_A(I)$ denote the running time of an algorithm A on instance I .

Worst-case complexity of an algorithm

- it is a function $f : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ mapping n (the input size) to the **longest** running time for any input instance of size n

$$T_A(n) = \max\{T_A(I) : \text{Size}(I) = n\}$$

Average-case complexity of an algorithm

- it is a function $f : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ mapping n (the input size) to the **average** running time of A over all instances of size n

$$T_A^{avg}(n) = \frac{1}{|\{I : \text{Size}(I) = n\}|} \sum_{I: \text{Size}(I)=n} T_A(I)$$

The average is more important in real life, but it is also harder to calculate.

In this course, we are talking about **worst case** complexity by default.

We need to convince/explain why a case is the worst case and compute its running time.

In the example of Test3 above, the worst number of times the while loop will run is i times.

So $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i \in \Theta(n^2)$.

Note that the average running time for this code is also $\Theta(n^2)$.

2.11 O -notation and Complexity of Algorithms

We should not compare complexity of algorithms using O -notation because:

- the worst-case run-time may only be achieved on some instances
- O -notation is an upper bound

So if we want to compare algorithms, we should always use Θ -notation.

2.12 Analysis of Merge Sort

Design of Merge Sort

Input: Array A of n integers

- Step 1: We split A into two sub-arrays: A_L consists of the first $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ elements in A and A_R consists of the last $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ elements in A
- Step 2: **Recursively** run MergeSort on A_L and A_R
- Step 3: After A_L and A_R have been sorted, use a function **Merge** to merge them into a single sorted array

MergeSort implementation

MergeSort($A, l \leftarrow 0, r \leftarrow n - 1$)

A : array of size n , $0 \leq l \leq r \leq n - 1$

if ($r \leq l$) then

return

else

$m = (r + l) / 2$

MergeSort(A, l, m)

MergeSort($A, m+1, r$)

Merge(A, l, m, r)

$$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \Theta(\text{Merge})$$

Merge implementation

Merge(A, l, m, r)

$A[0 \dots n-1]$ is an array, $A[l \dots m]$ is sorted, $A[m+1 \dots r]$ is sorted

initialize auxiliary array $S[0 \dots n-1]$

copy $A[l \dots r]$ into $S[l \dots r]$

int $i_L \leftarrow l$; int $i_R \leftarrow m+1$;

for ($k \leftarrow l$; $k \leq r$; $k++$) do

if ($i_L > m$) $A[k] \leftarrow S[i_R++]$

else if ($i_R > r$) $A[k] \leftarrow S[i_L++]$

else if ($S[i_L] \leq S[i_R]$) $A[k] \leftarrow S[i_L++]$

else $A[k] \leftarrow S[i_R++]$

So Merge takes time $\Theta(r-l+1)$, which is $\Theta(n)$ time for merging n elements

Therefore the overall running time of MergeSort is:

$$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \Theta(n)$$

Analysis of MergeSort:

Let $T(n)$ denote the time to run MergeSort on an array of length n

- Step 1 takes time $\Theta(n)$
- Step 2 takes time $T(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil) + T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)$
- Step 3 takes time $\Theta(n)$

The **recurrence relation** for $T(n)$ is as follows:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} T(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil) + T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + \Theta(n) & \text{if } n > 1 \\ \Theta(1) & \text{if } n = 1 \end{cases}$$

It suffices to consider the following **exact recurrence**, with constant factor c replacing Θ 's:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} T(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil) + T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + cn & \text{if } n > 1 \\ c & \text{if } n = 1 \end{cases}$$

The following is the corresponding **sloppy recurrence**
(meaning it has floors and ceilings removed)

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + cn & \text{if } n > 1 \\ c & \text{if } n = 1 \end{cases}$$

The exact and slop recurrences are **identical** when n is a power of 2

The recurrence can easily be solved by various methods when $n = 2^j$

The solution has growth rate $T(n) \in \Theta(n \log n)$

It is impossible to show that $T(n) \in \Theta(n \log n)$ **for all n** by analyzing the exact recurrence.

So how to show $T(n) \in \Theta(n \log n)$ when $n = 2^j$?

$$\begin{aligned}
T(n) &= 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + cn \\
&= 2\left(2T\left(\frac{n}{2^2}\right) + c\frac{n}{2}\right) + cn \\
&= 2^2T\left(\frac{n}{2^2}\right) + 2cn \\
&= 2^2\left(T\left(\frac{n}{2^3}\right) + c\frac{n}{2^2}\right) + 2cn \\
&= 2^3T\left(\frac{n}{2^3}\right) + 3cn \\
&\dots \\
&= 2^jT\left(\frac{n}{2^j}\right) + jcn \\
&= 2^j c + jcn \\
&= cn + jcn \\
&= cn + cn \log n
\end{aligned}$$

So $T(n) \in \Theta(n \log n)$

Here's another way of proving it:

We know that $T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + cn$.

So $T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + c\frac{n}{2}$

We can draw a tree of the cost of $T(n)$, $T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)$, $T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right)$ and more, and sum them.

So we get $T(n) = (\log n + 1)cn = cn \log n + cn \in \Theta(n \log n)$

2.13 Common Recurrence Relations

Recursion	Resolves to	Example
$T(n) = T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \Theta(1)$	$T(n) \in \Theta(\log n)$	Binary search
$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \Theta(n)$	$T(n) \in \Theta(n \log n)$	Merge sort
$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \Theta(\log n)$	$T(n) \in \Theta(n)$	Heapify
$T(n) = T(cn) + \Theta(n)$ for some $0 < c < 1$	$T(n) \in \Theta(n)$	Selection
$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + \Theta(1)$	$T(n) \in \Theta(\sqrt{n})$	Range Search
$T(n) = T(\sqrt{n}) + \Theta(1)$	$T(n) \in \Theta(\log \log n)$	Interpolation Search

Once you know the result, it is usually easy to prove by induction

Many more recursions, and some methods to find the result, in CS341

2.14 Summary & Helpful formulas

O -notation

- $f(n) \in O(g(n))$ if there exist constants $C > 0$ and $n_0 > 0$ such that $|f(n)| \leq C|g(n)|$ for all $n \geq n_0$

Ω -notation

- $f(n) \in \Omega(g(n))$ if there exist constants $c > 0$ and $n_0 > 0$ such that $c|g(n)| \leq |f(n)|$ for all $n \geq n_0$

Θ -notation

- $f(n) \in \Theta(g(n))$ if there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$, and $n_0 > 0$ such that $c_1|g(n)| \leq |f(n)| \leq c_2|g(n)|$ for all $n \geq n_0$

o -notation

- $f(n) \in o(g(n))$ if for **all** constants $c > 0$, there exists a constant $n_0 > 0$ such that $|f(n)| < c|g(n)|$ for all $n \geq n_0$

ω -notation

- $f(n) \in \omega(g(n))$ if for **all** constants $c > 0$, there exists a constant $n_0 > 0$ such that $0 \leq c|g(n)| < |f(n)|$ for all $n \geq n_0$

Useful Sums:

Arithmetic sequence

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (a + di) = na + \frac{dn(n-1)}{2} \in \Theta(n^2) \text{ if } d \neq 0$$

Geometric sequence

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} ar^i = \begin{cases} a \frac{r^n - 1}{r - 1} & \in \Theta(r^n) & \text{if } r > 1 \\ na & \in \Theta(n) & \text{if } r = 1 \\ a \frac{1 - r^n}{1 - r} & \in \Theta(1) & \text{if } 0 < r < 1 \end{cases}$$

Harmonic sequence

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{i} = \ln n + \gamma + o(1) \in \Theta(\log n)$$

A few more

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{i^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \in \Theta(1)$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} i^k \in \Theta(n^k + 1) \text{ for } k \geq 0$$

2.15 Useful Math Facts

Logarithms

- $a^{\log_b c} = c^{\log_b a}$
- $\frac{d}{dx} \ln x = \frac{1}{x}$

Factorial

- $n!$ = number of ways to permute n elements
- $\log(n!) = \log n + \log(n-1) + \cdots + \log 1 \in \Theta(n \log n)$

Probability and moments

- (linearity of expectation)
- $E[aX] = aE[X]$
- $E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y]$

3 Heap

3.1 Abstract Data Types

ADT

- A description of **information** and a collection of **operations** on that information
- The information is accessed **only** through the operations

We have various **realizations** of an ADT, which specify:

- how the information is stored (**Data Structure**)
- how the operations are performed (**Algorithms**)

3.2 Stack ADT

Stack

- An ADT consisting of a collection of items with operations:
 - **push**: inserting an item
 - **pop**: removing the most recently inserted item
- Items are removed in LIFO order
- Items enter the stack at the **top** and are removed from the **top**
- We can have extra operations: **size**, **isEmpty**, and **top**

Applications

- addresses of recently visited websites
- procedure calls

Realizations of Stack ADT

- using arrays
- using linked lists

3.3 Queue ADT

Queue

- and ADT consisting of a collection of items with operations
 - **enqueue**: inserting an
 - **dequeue**: removing the last recently inserted item
- items are removed in FIFO order
- items enter the queue at the **rear** and are removed from the **front**
- we can have extra operations: **size**, **isEmpty**, and **front**

Applications

- waiting lines
- printer queues

Realizations of Queue ADT

- using (circular) arrays
- using linked lists

3.4 Priority Queue ADT

Priority Queue

- An ADT consisting of a collection of items (each having a **priority**) with operations
 - **insert**: inserting an item tagged with a priority
 - **deleteMax**: removing the item of **highest** priority
- **deleteMax** is also called **extractMax** or **getMax**
- the priority is also called **key**

The above definition is for a **maximum-oriented** priority queue.

A **minimum-oriented** priority queue is defined in the natural way, replacing operation **deleteMax** by **deleteMin**

Applications

- typical todo list
- simulation systems
- sorting

Using a PQ to sort

PQ-Sort ($A[0 \dots n-1]$)

 initialize PQ to an empty priority queue

 for $k \leftarrow 0$ to $n-1$ do

 PQ.insert($A[k]$, $A[k]$) (priority and item are equal to $A[k]$)

 for $k \leftarrow n-1$ down to 0 do

$A[k] \leftarrow PQ.deleteMax()$

- runtime $O(\sum_{0 \leq i < n} insert(i) + \sum_{0 \leq i < n} deleteMax(i))$
- depends on how we implement the priority queue

3.5 Realizations of Priority Queues

Realization 1: unsorted arrays

- **insert**: $O(1)$ (append to end)
- **deleteMax**: $O(n)$ (linear search for max)

Note: we assume **dynamic arrays**. i.e. expand by doubling as needed.

Amortized over all insertions this takes $O(1)$ extra time.

Proof:

Suppose we start from A of length 1. We do n insert, $n = 2^k$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Total cost of inserts} &= O(\underbrace{1 + 1 + \dots + 1}_{n \text{ times}} + \underbrace{1 + 2 + 4 + 8 + \dots + 2^{k-1}}_{2^k - 1 = n - 1}) \\ &= O(2n - 1) \\ &= O(n) \end{aligned}$$

Using unsorted linked lists is identical.

PQ-sort with this realization yields **selection sort**, so runtime is:

$$O(\sum_{i < n} i) = O(n^2)$$

Realization 2: sorted arrays

- **insert**: $O(n)$
- **deleteMax**: $O(1)$

Using sorted linked lists is identical.

PQ-sort with this realization yields **insertion sort**, runtime is:

$$O(\sum_{i < n} i) = O(n^2)$$

3.6 Heaps (binary)

Binary heap

- is a certain type of binary tree
- Recall a few things:
 - a binary tree is either
 - * empty, or
 - * consist of three parts:
 - a node & 2 binary trees (left subtree and right subtree)
 - few terms: root, leaf, parent, child, level sibling, ancestor, descendant, etc.
 - any binary tree with n nodes has height at least $\log(n + 1) - 1 \in \Omega(\log n)$
- Also remember that the height of a non-empty tree is the length of the longest path from root to node
- The height of the empty tree is -1

Heap

- is a binary tree with the following two properties
 - **Structural Property**: All the levels of a heap are completely filled, except (possibly) for the last level. The filled items in the last level are **left-justified**.
 - **Heap-order Property**: For any node i , the key of the parent of i is larger than or equal to key of i

The full name for this is **max-oriented binary heap Lemma**: The height of a heap with n nodes is $\Theta(\log n)$

Storing Heaps in Arrays

- Heaps should **not** be stored as binary trees!
- Let H be a heap of n items and let A be an array of size n . Store root in $A[0]$ and continue with elements **level-by-level** from top to bottom, in each level **left-to-right**

It is easy to navigate the heap using this array representation:

- the **root** node is at index 0
- the **left child** of node i (if it exists) is node $2i + 1$
- the **right child** of node i (if it exists) is node $2i + 2$
- the **parent** of node i (if it exists) is node $\lfloor \frac{i-1}{2} \rfloor$
- the **last** node is $n - 1$

PAUSED, Speed run for midterm