## HOMOGENEOUS SPACES AS QUOTIENTS OF GROUPS

## JUSTIN KATZ

This statement and proof draws heavily on the exposition of Paul Garrett, in the appendix of http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/mfms/notes/02\_solenoids.pdf.

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and G be a topological group acting continuously, transitively on X. Fix a point  $x \in X$  and let  $G_x$  be the isotropy subgroup of G at x.

Claim 1. The G-space X is homeomorphic to the quotient space  $G/G_x$  under the assignment

$$gG_x \mapsto gx$$

*Proof.* By the transitivity of the G-action on X, the map  $gG_x \mapsto gx$  surjects. Because  $G_x$  fixes x, the map injects. To prove the claim, it suffices to show that the map is continuous and open.

The topology on the quotient with projection  $\pi: G \to G/G_x$  is uniquely characterized by the condition that any continuous map out of G that is constant on  $G_x$  factors uniquely through  $\pi$  to a continuous map out of  $G/G_x$ . The map  $g \mapsto gx$  is continuous as a restriction of the action, and is constant on  $G_x$  by definition of isotropy. Thus  $g \mapsto gx$  factors uniquely through  $\pi$  to a continuous map out of  $G/G_x$ . The map  $gG_x \mapsto gx$  fits the bill, so is continuous.

To prove that  $gG_x \mapsto gx$  is open, let U be a neighborhood of  $g \in G$ . For reasons that will become apparent later, we want a compact neighborhood V of 1 so that  $gV^2 = \{gvh : v, h \in V\} \subset U$ . To show such a compact set V exists first show the result at g = 1. The inverse image of the open U under the (continuous) product map  $h \times k \mapsto hk$  is again open. Open sets in the product topology are generated by products of opens in the producands, so the inverse image of U under multiplication contains a product of opens  $W_1 \times W_2$  each containing 1. Let  $W = W_1 \cap W_2$  so that  $W^2 \subset W_1 \cdot W_2 \subset U$  where the last containment comes from the definition of  $W_1 \times W_2$  as a subset of the inverse image of U under multiplication. Furthermore G is Hausdorff, so there is some neighborhood W' of 1 contained in W such that  $\overline{W'}$  is compact and sits inside W. Let  $V = \overline{W'}$  so that  $V^2 \subset W^2 \subset U$ . For generic g with neighborhood U, the open  $g^{-1}U$  is a neighborhood of 1, and the above discussion gives the result. We can balance V about 1 (i.e. make it such that  $V = V^{-1}$ ) by setting  $V \mapsto V \cap V^{-1}$ .

Next, we show that the whole group G can be covered by countably many translates of the compact V. First, we show the result for some open W in V. Let  $\{U_1, U_2, ...\}$  be a (countable) basis for G. For each  $g \in G$ , by the definition of basis, the open gW is the union of those  $U_i \subset gW$ . As such, for each  $g \in G$  there is a smallest index index j(g) such

2 JUSTIN KATZ

that  $g \in U_{j(g)} \subset gW$ . For each index i pick some  $g_i$  in  $j^{-1}(i)$  so that  $g_i \in U_i \subset g_iW$ . By definition of the map  $g \mapsto j(g)$ , we have  $j^{-1}(i) \subset U_i \subset g_iW$ . Taking the union over all (countably many) indices  $i, \cup j^{-1}(i) = G \subset \cup g_iW$  as desired. We can certainly replace W by its compact superset V so that  $G = \cup g_iV$  as claimed.

We are now ready to prove that the map  $gG_x \mapsto gx$  is open. Recall that U is a neighborhood of some point  $g \in G$ , V is a balanced compact in U such that  $V^2 \subset U$ . We want to show that Ux is open. Recall the version of the Baire category theorem:

A locally compact Hausdorff space is not a countable union of nowhere dense sets

In particular, by transitivity of the group action we can cover the space X by countably many Vx translates  $X = \bigcup g_i Vx$ . Note that each translate  $g_i Vx$  is closed, being the continuous image of a compact  $g_i V$  in a Hausdorff space. By Baire, some  $g_m Vx$  contains a nonempty open S. Let h be such that  $g_m hx \in S$  and write

$$gx = g(g_m h)^{-1}(g_m h)x \in gh^{-1}g_m^{-1}S$$

The rightmost set in the above display is again open in X because translation in X by a fixed element of G is a homeomorphism. Compute

$$gx \in gh^{-1}g_m^{-1}S \subset gh^{-1}g_m^{-1}g_mVx$$
 (By definition of S)  
 $\subset gh^{-1}Vx$   
 $\subset gV^{-1}Vx$   
 $= gV^2x$  ( $V$  is balanced about 1)  
 $\subset Ux$  (By definition of  $V$ ),

meaning gx is an interior point of Ux. The group element  $g \in U$  was arbitrary so Ux is open, proving the claim.

Remark 1. If  $^1X$  is a smooth manifold and G is a Lie group acting on X smoothly, then the homeomorphism in the conclusion of the above claim is actually a diffeomorphism. Indeed as the isotropy subgroup  $G_x$  is closed, the quotient  $G/G_x$  has a unique smooth structure so that any smooth map out of G constant on  $G_x$  factors uniquely through the projection  $\pi$  to a smooth map out of  $G/G_x$ . Because  $G/G_x$  is already homeomorphic to  $G/G_x$  and (by the mapping property of quotients) the map  $f: gG_x \mapsto gx$  is smooth, (by the inverse function theorem) it suffices to show that the differential  $df_{1G_x}: T(G/G_x)_{1G_x} \to TX_x$  is nonsingular. Note that the map  $h: G \to X$  defined by  $g \mapsto gx$  is the composition  $f \circ \pi$ . Thus, to show that  $df_{1G_x}$  is nonsingular, it suffices to show that the kernel of  $dh_1$  is exactly the kernel of  $d\pi_1$ , i.e. the tangent space  $T(G_x)_1$ . One direction is easy:  $\ker dh_1$  certainly contains  $T(G_x)_1$ , because h is constant on  $G_x$ . To prove the other direction, let  $z \in \ker dh_1$  and let  $z \in \ker dh_2$  and let  $z \in \ker dh_3$  and let  $z \in \ker dh_4$  and let  $z \in \ker dh_4$  is the equality  $d(L_{\gamma})_{Z(\cdot)} = Z \circ L_{\gamma}(\cdot)$  where  $L_{\gamma}: g \mapsto \gamma g$  is the (smooth)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>I essentially follow Warner in his text Foundations of Differntiable Manifolds and Lie Groups, roughly page 120

(Ch

left action of G on itself. That Z corresponds to z means that Z is the unique vector field such that  $\frac{d}{dr}\exp(rZ)|_0=z$ . To show  $z\in T(G_x)_1$  it suffices to show that  $\exp(tZ)\in G_x$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , meaning  $\exp(tZ)$  fixes x for all t. Consider the curve  $\alpha: t \mapsto h(\exp(tZ))$  in M. If the tangent vector to  $\alpha$  is zero at every t then  $\alpha$  is constant. In particular,  $\alpha(0) = h(1) = x$ so if  $\alpha$  is constant then  $\exp(tZ)$  fixes x for all t and is thus in  $G_x$ . To prove that the tangent vector to  $\alpha$  is zero, first compute for t=0

$$d(\alpha)_0 = d(h)_1 \circ \frac{d}{dr} \exp(rZ)|_0$$

$$= dh_1(z) \qquad (Z \text{ corresponds to } z)$$

$$= 0 \qquad (z \in \ker d(h)_1).$$

To prove that  $\frac{d}{dr}\alpha(r)|_t=0$  for all t notice that the map h is invariant under conjugation by a group element  $\gamma$  i.e.  $\gamma \cdot h \circ L_{\gamma}^{-1}(g) = \gamma \cdot \gamma^{-1} \cdot gx = gx = h(g)$ . In particular, for  $\gamma = \exp(tZ)$  compute

$$\frac{d}{dr}\alpha(r)|_{t} = d(h)_{\exp(tZ)} \circ \frac{d}{dr} \exp(rZ)|_{t}$$

$$= d(\exp(tZ) \cdot h \circ L_{\exp(-tZ)})_{\exp(tZ)} \circ \frac{d}{dr}e^{rZ})|_{t}$$
(Invariance of  $h$  under conj
$$= d(\exp(tZ) \cdot h)_{L_{\exp(-tZ)}(\exp(tZ))} \circ \frac{d}{dr}L_{\exp(-tZ)} \exp(rZ)|_{t}$$
(Church the proof of  $h$  under conj
$$= d(\exp(tZ) \cdot h)_{L_{\exp(-tZ)}(\exp(tZ))} \circ \frac{d}{dr}L_{\exp(-tZ)} \exp(rZ)|_{t}$$
(Definition of left action, changing variables  $t$ 

$$= 0$$
( $\frac{d}{dr} \exp(rZ)|_{0} = z \in \mathbb{R}$ 

Thus the curve  $\alpha$  is constant, so  $\exp(tZ)$  fixes x for all t, meaning the tangent vector z corresponding to Z is in  $T_1G_x$ . Therefore  $\ker dh_1 = T_1G_x$ , so that smooth bijection  $f: gG_x \mapsto gx$  has nonsingular derivative, and is thus a diffeomorphism as desired.