

Cut Elimination in Cyclic Proofs for Temporal Logic

Johannes Kloibhofer
(j.w.i.p.w. Bahareh Afshari)

April 28, 2023

Institute for Logic, Language and Computation
University of Amsterdam, Netherlands

- Introduce modal logic with eventually
- Show problems with usual cut elimination
- Present our method

Modal logic with eventually operator

Formulas of *modal logic with the eventually operator* MLe are defined by

$$\varphi := p \mid \varphi \wedge \varphi \mid \neg\varphi \mid \Diamond\varphi \mid F\varphi$$

$F\varphi$ is interpreted as "eventually φ ":

- there is a reachable world, where φ holds,
- in μ -calculus: $F\varphi \equiv \mu x.(\varphi \vee \Diamond x)$.

Proof rules

$$\text{Ax: } \frac{}{\varphi \Rightarrow \varphi}$$

$$\wedge_L: \frac{\varphi, \psi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\varphi \wedge \psi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$\neg_L: \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi}{\neg \varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$w_L: \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$F_R: \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi, \Diamond F\varphi}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, F\varphi}$$

$$\Diamond: \frac{\varphi \Rightarrow \Gamma}{\Diamond \varphi \Rightarrow \Diamond \Gamma}$$

$$\wedge_R: \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \psi}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \wedge \psi}$$

$$\neg_R: \frac{\varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \neg \varphi}$$

$$w_R: \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi}$$

$$F_L: \frac{\Diamond F\varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \quad \varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{F\varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$\text{cut: } \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \quad \varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

Trace condition

A trace τ is *successful* if it is principal in an F_L rule, where it goes to the left.

Trace condition

A trace τ is *successful* if it is principal in an F_L rule, where it goes to the left.

We work with **annotated sequents**:

- Only formulas in antecedent are annotated
- Formulas in focus are of form $F\varphi$ or $\Diamond F\varphi$
- On every sequent there is **at most one** formula *in focus*

$$\text{Ax: } \frac{}{\varphi^u \Rightarrow \varphi}$$

$$\Diamond: \frac{\varphi^a \Rightarrow \Gamma}{\Diamond \varphi^a \Rightarrow \Diamond \Gamma}$$

$$\wedge_L: \frac{\varphi^u, \psi^u, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\varphi \wedge \psi^u, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$\wedge_R: \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \psi}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \wedge \psi}$$

$$\neg_L: \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi}{\neg \varphi^u, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$\neg_R: \frac{\varphi^u, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \neg \varphi}$$

$$w_L: \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\varphi^u, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$w_R: \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi}$$

$$F_R: \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi, \Diamond F\varphi}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, F\varphi}$$

$$F_L: \frac{\Diamond F\varphi^a, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \quad \varphi^u, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{F\varphi^a, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$\text{cut: } \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \quad \varphi^u, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$f: \frac{\varphi^u, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\varphi^f, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$u: \frac{\varphi^f, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\varphi^u, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

Definition

A GKe^a *pre-proof* is a derivation defined by the above rules.

Definition

A path α in a GKe^a pre-proof is *successful* if it holds that

1. Every sequent on α has a formula in focus and
2. α passes through an application of F_L , where the principal formula is in focus.

We call a leaf v in a GKe^a pre-proof π a *discharged leaf* if there is a proper ancestor $c(v)$ such that

1. v and $c(v)$ are labelled by the same annotated sequent and
2. the path from $c(v)$ to v is successful.

Definition

A GKe^a *proof* is a finite GKe^a pre-proof, where every leaf is either an axiom or a discharged leaf.

Standard cut elimination

Usual procedure:

1. Take infinite unfolding of cyclic proof
2. Push cuts upwards
3. Obtain limit proof
4. Check soundness condition

Critical case

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi, \Diamond F\varphi}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, F\varphi} F_R}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\Diamond F\varphi^f, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{F\varphi^f, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} F_L \quad \frac{\varphi^u, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{F\varphi^f, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} F_L}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \text{cut}$$

Critical case

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi, \Diamond F\varphi}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, F\varphi} F_R}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\Diamond F\varphi^f, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{F\varphi^f, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} F_L \quad \varphi^u, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \text{cut}}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \text{cut}$$

will be transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi, \Diamond F\varphi}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi} \quad \Diamond F\varphi^f, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi} \text{cut} \quad \varphi^u, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \text{cut}$$

F_L -rule is removed:

- Might produce unsuccessful paths!

We call a cut **unimportant**, if no descendant of the cut-formula is in focus and **important** otherwise.

Treat them separately:

Idea

We call a cut **unimportant**, if no descendant of the cut-formula is in focus and **important** otherwise.

Treat them separately:

Important cuts can be brought in to the following form, where c is a companion node:

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, F\varphi \quad c: \frac{F\varphi^f, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{F\varphi^u, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \text{u}}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \text{cut}$$

Important cuts

Consider the following important cut:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \vdots \\
 \pi_v \\
 v: \frac{\Gamma_v \Rightarrow \Delta_v, \varphi, \Diamond F\varphi}{\Gamma_v \Rightarrow \Delta_v, F\varphi} F_R \\
 \vdots \\
 \pi_l \\
 \vdots \\
 \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, F\varphi \\
 \hline
 \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \vdots \\
 \pi_w \\
 w: \frac{\Diamond F\varphi^f, \Gamma_w \Rightarrow \Delta_w \quad \varphi^u, \Gamma_w \Rightarrow \Delta_w}{F\varphi^f, \Gamma_w \Rightarrow \Delta_w} F_L \\
 \vdots \\
 \pi_r \\
 \vdots \\
 \frac{F\varphi^f, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{F\varphi^u, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} u \\
 \hline
 \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \quad \text{cut}
 \end{array}$$

Important cuts

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{c}
 \vdots \\
 \pi_v \\
 v: \frac{\Gamma_v \Rightarrow \Delta_v, \varphi, \Diamond F\varphi}{\Gamma_v \Rightarrow \Delta_v, F\varphi} F_R \\
 \vdots \\
 \pi_I \\
 \vdots \\
 \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, F\varphi
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \vdots \\
 w: \frac{\frac{\Diamond F\varphi^f, \Gamma_w \Rightarrow \Delta_w \quad \varphi^u, \Gamma_w \Rightarrow \Delta_w}{F\varphi^f, \Gamma_w \Rightarrow \Delta_w} F_L \quad \pi_w \\
 \vdots \\
 \pi_r \\
 \vdots \\
 \frac{F\varphi^f, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{F\varphi^u, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} u \\
 \text{cut}
 \end{array} \\
 \hline
 \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta
 \end{array}$$

Delete occurrences of $F\varphi$ and $\Diamond F\varphi$ in left subproof:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{c}
 \pi_v \\
 v: \frac{\Gamma_v \Rightarrow \Delta_v, \varphi, \cancel{\Diamond F\varphi}}{\Gamma_v \Rightarrow \Delta_v, \cancel{F\varphi}} F_R \\
 \vdots \\
 \pi_I \\
 \vdots \\
 \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \cancel{F\varphi}
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Important cuts

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{c}
 \vdots \\
 \pi_v \\
 v: \frac{\Gamma_v \Rightarrow \Delta_v, \varphi, \Diamond F\varphi}{\Gamma_v \Rightarrow \Delta_v, F\varphi} F_R \\
 \vdots \\
 \pi_l \\
 \vdots \\
 \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, F\varphi
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \vdots \\
 w: \frac{\Diamond F\varphi^f, \Gamma_w \Rightarrow \Delta_w \quad \varphi^u, \Gamma_w \Rightarrow \Delta_w}{F\varphi^f, \Gamma_w \Rightarrow \Delta_w} F_L \\
 \vdots \\
 \pi_r \\
 \vdots \\
 \frac{F\varphi^f, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{F\varphi^u, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} u \\
 \text{cut}
 \end{array} \\
 \hline
 \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta
 \end{array}$$

Combine it with cut of smaller complexity:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{c}
 \pi_v \quad \pi_w \\
 \Gamma_v \Rightarrow \Delta_v, \varphi \quad \varphi^u, \Gamma_w \Rightarrow \Delta_w \\
 \hline
 \Gamma_v, \Gamma_w \Rightarrow \Delta_v, \Delta_w
 \end{array} \text{ cut} \\
 \vdots \\
 \pi_l, \pi_r \\
 \vdots \\
 \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta
 \end{array}$$

Unimportant cuts

Let C be an unimportant cut on a cycle.

Strategy:

- push C upwards in unfolding of cycle
- C unimportant \rightarrow does not affect formulas in focus
- reach repeat below cut
- same formulas in focus \rightarrow successful repeat

Summary of method:

- Working with *annotated proof system*
- Treat critical case *separately*
- Usual argumentation for rest
- Produces *cyclic proof*

- Complexity
- Which properties of proof system do we need?
- Can this be extended to other logics? Candidates:
 - PDL
 - Alternation-free μ -calculus
 - ...

Thank you !