

# Continuos time derivation - risk averse firms

Cristina Lafuente

August 2020

Starting point:

$$J_t = \Delta\pi_t + (1 - \Delta\delta)(1 - \Delta\rho) \frac{u'(c_{t+\Delta})}{u'(c_t)} J_{t+\Delta} \quad (1)$$

Use the approximation  $X_t \approx X_{t+\Delta} - \dot{X}_t\Delta$  on the LHS (left-hand-side):

$$J_{t+\Delta} - \dot{J}_t\Delta = \Delta\pi_t + (1 - \Delta\delta)(1 - \Delta\rho) \frac{u'(c_{t+\Delta})}{u'(c_t)} J_{t+\Delta}. \quad (2)$$

There are 2 general lessons for passing things to continuous time:

1. Try to pass everything not multiplied by a  $\Delta$  to the left hand side before taking the limit
2. Make sure the limit of the left hand side is something of the sort  $X_{t+\Delta} - X_t$  so it does not go to  $\infty$  when we take the limit.

With this in mind, after expanding the second term on the RHS we obtain

$$J_{t+\Delta} - \dot{J}_t\Delta = \Delta\pi_t + (\Delta^2\delta\rho - \Delta\delta - \Delta\rho) \frac{u'(c_{t+\Delta})}{u'(c_t)} J_{t+\Delta} + \frac{u'(c_{t+\Delta})}{u'(c_t)} J_{t+\Delta}. \quad (3)$$

The **last term on the RHS** is the only thing not being multiplied by  $\Delta$ , so we move it to the LHS. Similarly, the **second term on the LHS** is multiplied by  $\Delta$  so we pass it to the RHS:

$$J_{t+\Delta} - \frac{u'(c_{t+\Delta})}{u'(c_t)} J_{t+\Delta} = \Delta\pi_t + (\Delta^2\delta\rho - \Delta\delta - \Delta\rho) \frac{u'(c_{t+\Delta})}{u'(c_t)} J_{t+\Delta} + \dot{J}_t\Delta. \quad (4)$$

Perfect. Before we divide by  $\Delta$ , we can factor out  $J_{t+\Delta}$  to obtain

$$J_{t+\Delta} \left( 1 - \frac{u'(c_{t+\Delta})}{u'(c_t)} \right) = \Delta\pi_t + (\Delta^2\delta\rho - \Delta\delta - \Delta\rho) \frac{u'(c_{t+\Delta})}{u'(c_t)} J_{t+\Delta} + \dot{J}_t\Delta,$$

which can be nicely re-written as

$$\frac{J_{t+\Delta}}{u'(c_t)} (u'(c_t) - u'(c_{t+\Delta})) = \Delta\pi_t + (\Delta^2\delta\rho - \Delta\delta - \Delta\rho) \frac{u'(c_{t+\Delta})}{u'(c_t)} J_{t+\Delta} + \dot{J}_t\Delta. \quad (5)$$

The **second term on the LHS** is what we looking for: something of the form  $X_{t+\Delta} - X_t$  that we can divide by  $\Delta$ , take the limit and not end up in  $\infty$ .

Divide both sides by  $\Delta$  and take the limit:

$$\lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{J_{t+\Delta}}{u'(c_t)} \frac{(u'(c_t) - u'(c_{t+\Delta}))}{\Delta} = \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \pi_t + (\Delta\delta\rho - \delta - \rho) \frac{u'(c_{t+\Delta})}{u'(c_t)} J_{t+\Delta} + \dot{J}_t. \quad (6)$$

From the definition of the derivative w.r.t. time and the chain rule, we know that the limit

$$\lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{(u'(c_t) - u'(c_{t+\Delta}))}{\Delta} = -u''(c_t)\dot{c}_t,$$

so taking the limit equation 6 becomes

$$J_t \frac{-u''(c_t)\dot{c}_t}{u'(c_t)} = \pi_t - (\delta + \rho)J_t + \dot{J}_t. \quad (7)$$

Here is the time to invoke the CRRA property  $\frac{-u''(c_t)c_t}{u'(c_t)} = \gamma$  to rewrite the LHS as

$$J_t \gamma \frac{\dot{c}_t}{c_t} = \pi_t - (\delta + \rho)J_t + \dot{J}_t. \quad (8)$$

We can leave it here but it is nicer to rewrite this equation in a way we get one  $J_t$  on the LHS alone – and for that it is arguably more elegant to pass  $(\delta + \rho)J_t$  term to the other side and divide the RHS by  $(\delta + \rho)$ . Then this last equation becomes

$$J_t = \frac{\pi_t - \gamma \frac{\dot{c}_t}{c_t} J_t + \dot{J}_t}{(\delta + \rho)}, \quad (9)$$

which is what is coded in the solution file.

---

### BONUS: Interpretation of this equation

Solving for  $J_t$  we obtain

$$J_t = \frac{\pi_t + \dot{J}_t}{(\delta + \rho + \gamma \frac{\dot{c}_t}{c_t})}. \quad (10)$$

Normally in the DMP model  $J_t = \frac{\pi_t + \dot{J}_t}{(\delta + \rho)}$ , or the value of an open job is equal to the flow of profits plus the increase in asset value of an open job, discounted by the discount factor  $\rho$  and job destruction rate  $\delta$ .

Here the difference is that if consumption growth is not zero  $\frac{\dot{c}_t}{c_t} \neq 0$  the discount factor has an extra term. This is because firms want to smooth consumption, so during recoveries from recessions (low starting  $c_t$  and  $J_t$ ,  $\dot{J}_t$ ;  $\frac{\dot{c}_t}{c_t} \geq 0$ ) the value of a filled vacancy does not raise by as much as if firms did not care about smoothing consumption. Conversely, converging to steady state after a positive shock (high starting  $c_t$  and  $J_t$ ;  $\dot{J}_t$ ,  $\frac{\dot{c}_t}{c_t} \leq 0$ ) the value of a filled vacancy does not fall by as much. Firms react more slowly to shocks of either sign.