

# A two-species birth-death model of bedload transport and bed elevation

Kevin Pierce

January 14, 2019

## 1 abstract

Bedload transport is intermittent, meaning it should really be described as a stochastic process. However, changes in river bed topography driven by sediment transport are usually interpreted by assuming bedload transport is a deterministic process. This work is a first stab at modeling sediment transport and bed elevations simultaneously. Applying a stochastic model within a control volume, we track the number of bed particles and the number of particles in motion at any instant. These two populations are subject to random transitions controlled by rates of entrainment and deposition. Since the number of particles in motion represents the bedload transport rate, and the number of particles at rest represents the bed elevation, our stochastic model describes the joint statistics of bedload transport and bed elevations. From the statistics of bed elevation, the time-scales of particle burial can be extracted. These burial time distributions have crucial implications for the interpretations of tracer experiments.

## 2 idea

Einstein [1950] understood bedload motion as a random switching between motion and rest states, controlled by transitions rates – entrainment and deposition – between the two states. Using this concept along with ad hoc reasoning, he developed a formula for the expected number of particles in motion within a control volume. This was an early stochastic model of bedload transport. Later on, Ancey et al. [2006, 2008], Heyman et al. [2013] refined the ideas of Einstein, reframing them in terms of the theory of Markov birth-death processes [e.g. Cox and Miller, 1965]. With this refined approach, they derived full probability distributions of the number of particles in motion within a control volume. With Einstein’s assumptions concerning entrainment and deposition, the probability distribution of the number of particles in motion is a Poisson distribution [Ancey et al., 2006]. The narrow fluctuations of the Poisson distribution are not comparable to experiments, which led Ancey et al. [2008] to introduce a collective entrainment mechanism into the Einstein-like birth-death model. Collective entrainment is a feedback on particle mobility which implies moving particles appear in groups. As a result of this mechanism, the refined Einstein-like model of Ancey et al. [2008] predicted a negative binomial distribution of the bedload flux, with realistically large fluctuations.

Parallel to these efforts to understand bedload transport with stochastic models, a series of experiments highlighted the random nature of bed elevation. When bed elevation is measured at a spatial location through time, it undergoes an apparently random evolution between minima and maxima, and the elevation changes are apparently induced by sediment entrainment and deposition [Wong et al., 2007, Singh et al., 2009]. Changes in bed elevation are significant for river science research because they control the burial of tracer particles [Voepel et al., 2013], and tracer particles are among the most common methods to gain understanding of river dynamics in a field setting [e.g. Hassan and Bradley, 2017]. While the evolution of bed elevation has been studied from a stochastic standpoint [Martin et al., 2014], no stochastic model has been developed which couples changes in bed elevation to sediment transport. This is the idea of this work. I present a coordinated stochastic model of bed elevation and sediment transport.

## 3 sketch of theory

Consider a control volume much larger than a single particle, but small enough so that sediment transport characteristics within it can be considered mostly homogeneous. For simplicity, consider that all grains on the bed surface have identical mobility characteristics. We keep track of the population of particles in motion, an integer  $n$ , and the

population of particles at rest, some other integer  $m$ . At each instant of time, particles can transition from rest to motion (entrainment) with a specified rate  $\lambda$ , and they can transition from motion to rest (deposition) with some rate  $\sigma$ . Depending on the number of particles on the bed surface, the bed elevation changes. Writing  $z(m)$  for the bed elevation given  $m$  particles at rest within the control volume, we can write

$$z(m) = km + z_0, \quad (1)$$

where  $k$  is some constant related to the geometry of particles and the number of particles which comprise a volume of bed, and  $z_0$  is the mean bed elevation, which relates to the dimensions of the control volume and the number of particles expected to be at rest.

Presumably, the entrainment and deposition rates ( $\lambda$  and  $\sigma$ ) should depend on bed elevation. When the elevation increases,  $\lambda$  should increase and  $\sigma$  should decrease. When the elevation decreases,  $\lambda$  should decrease and  $\sigma$  should increase. If  $b$  is some length scale characterising the magnitude of bed elevation changes, we can model the entrainment and deposition rates in terms of elevation as

$$\lambda(m) = \lambda_0(1 + z(m)/b), \quad (2)$$

and

$$\sigma(m) = \sigma_0(1 - z(m)/b). \quad (3)$$

The parameters  $\lambda_0$  and  $\sigma_0$  are constant parameters.

Using these elevation-dependent entrainment and deposition rates, one can write a Master equation for the joint probability distribution of the number of active and stationary particles,  $n$  and  $m$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P(n, m; t) = & \lambda_0 \left(1 + \frac{z(m+1)}{b}\right) P(n-1, m+1; t) + \sigma_0(n+1) \left(1 - \frac{z(m-1)}{b}\right) P(n+1, m-1; t) \\ & + \left[ \lambda_0 \left(1 + \frac{z(m)}{b}\right) + \sigma_0 m \left(1 - \frac{z(m)}{b}\right) \right] P(n, m; t). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

I do not think this can be solved analytically. Instead, it can be simulated for the steady state ( $\partial P/\partial t = 0$ ) probability distribution  $P(n, m)$  using the Gillespie [1977] algorithm. From these simulations we can determine three things:

1. the distribution of particle activities ( $n$ ), i.e., a statistical description of the bedload transport rate
2. the distribution of bed elevations ( $z(m)$ ), i.e., a statistical description of bed elevation and its fluctuations. Notably, these fluctuations emerge directly from the sediment transport process, instead of from an ad hoc statistical model without an underlying mechanism [e.g. Martin et al., 2014]
3. the distribution of bed elevation return time,  $P(T_r|z)$ . This object describes the time which has to pass for the bed, which is at time elevation  $z$ , to depart from this elevation (due to aggradation) and eventually return to  $z$  (due to erosion). Crucially, this timescale is the tracer burial timescale at elevation  $z$ .

## 4 current state of progress

I have set up the model and learned to simulate it with the Gillespie algorithm. I took some birth-death model parameters from the Ancey et al. [2008] paper, and used these to show the model is stable and works. Apparently, the bed elevation distribution is Gaussian, and the bedload flux distribution is Poisson. So far, I have neglected the collective entrainment mechanism of Ancey et al. [2008]. Very soon I should have the distribution of return times, and the results of the model for five or ten different parameter combinations (which I can take from Ancey et al. [2008]). Hopefully we can use this as a stochastic model for tracer burial times. I can use any advice or thoughts. Figure 1 is an example simulation output.




Figure 1: Particle activity and bed elevation probability distributions

## References

- Hans Albert Einstein. The Bed-Load Function for Sediment Transportation in Open Channel Flows. *Technical Report, Soil Conservation Service, U.S Department of Agriculture, U.S.A.*, (1026):1–31, 1950. ISSN 0103-7196.
- Christophe Ancey, Tobias Böhm, Magali Jodeau, and Philippe Frey. Statistical description of sediment transport experiments. *Physical Review E - Statistical, Nonlinear, and Soft Matter Physics*, 74(1):1–14, 2006. ISSN 15393755. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.74.011302.
- C. Ancey, A. C. Davison, T. Böhm, M. Jodeau, and P. Frey. Entrainment and motion of coarse particles in a shallow water stream down a steep slope. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 595(2008):83–114, 2008. ISSN 00221120. doi: 10.1017/S0022112007008774. URL [http://www.journals.cambridge.org/abstract\\_S0022112007008774](http://www.journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S0022112007008774).
- J. Heyman, F. Mettra, H. B. Ma, and C. Ancey. Statistics of bedload transport over steep slopes: Separation of time scales and collective motion. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40(1):128–133, 2013. ISSN 00948276. doi: 10.1029/2012GL054280.
- D. R. Cox and H.D. Miller. *The Theory of Stochastic Processes*. Chapman and Hall, London, 1965.
- Miguel Wong, Gary Parker, Paul DeVries, Timothy M. Brown, and Stephen J. Burges. Experiments on dispersion of tracer stones under lower-regime plane-bed equilibrium bed load transport. *Water Resources Research*, 43(3):1–23, 2007. ISSN 00431397. doi: 10.1029/2006WR005172.
- Arvind Singh, Kurt Fienberg, Douglas J. Jerolmack, Jeffrey Marr, and Efi Foufoula-Georgiou. Experimental evidence for statistical scaling and intermittency in sediment transport rates. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 114(1):1–16, 2009. ISSN 21699011. doi: 10.1029/2007JF000963.
- Hal Voepel, Rina Schumer, and Marwan A. Hassan. Sediment residence time distributions: Theory and application from bed elevation measurements. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 118(4):2557–2567, 2013. ISSN 21699011. doi: 10.1002/jgrf.20151.
- Marwan A. Hassan and D. Nathan Bradley. Geomorphic controls on tracer particle dispersion in gravel bed rivers. In *Gravel-Bed Rivers: Processes and Disasters*, pages 159–184. John Wiley & Sons Ltd., New York, NY, 2017. doi: 10.16719/j.cnki.1671-6981.2015.03.007.
- Raleigh L. Martin, Prashant K. Purohit, and Douglas J. Jerolmack. Sedimentary bed evolution as a mean-reverting random walk: Implications for tracer statistics. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 41(17):6152–6159, 2014. ISSN 19448007. doi: 10.1002/2014GL060525.
- Daniel T. Gillespie. Exact stochastic simulation of coupled chemical reactions. *Journal of Physical Chemistry*, 81(25):2340–2361, 1977. ISSN 00223654. doi: 10.1021/j100540a008.